

O.A. 126/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 15.2.2018**

Heard Shri A.K. Tripathi, Id. counsel holding for Shri A. Deshpande, Id. counsel for the applicant, Shri V.A. Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for R-1 and Shri N. Majthia, Id. counsel for R-2&3.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that he will carry out the amendment within one week.

3. After amendment, issue notice to added R-4 returnable on **21/03/2018**. Hamdast allowed.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. **S.O. 21/03/2018.**

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A. 183/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 15.2.2018**

**C.A.525/2017**

Heard Shri S. Singh, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Kakani, Id. Special counsel for R-1.

2. Perused the additional affidavit. It is crystal that respondent no.1, Maharashtra Jeevan Pradikaran is not a State Government and in such circumstances this Tribunal would have no jurisdiction to entertain the present application and therefore the C.A. as well as the O.A. stand dismissed with no order as to costs.

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A. 931/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 15.2.2018**

None for the applicant. Heard Shri D.M. Kakani, Id. Special counsel for R-1.

2. Perused the additional affidavit. It is crystal that respondent no.1, Maharashtra Jeevan Pradikaran is not a State Government and in such circumstances this Tribunal would have no jurisdiction to entertain the present application and therefore the same stands dismissed with no order as to costs.

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A. 271/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 15.2.2018**

None for the applicant. Shri A.P. Potnis, Id. P.O. for R-1&3. Await service of R-2&4.

Prima facie it appears that respondent no.4 is not necessary party to the present application and therefore it's affidavit-in-reply may not be required. However, in view of the absence of the applicant and his counsel, **S.O. to 06/03/2018** for passing necessary orders on the above line and for hearing on admission.

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A. 511/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 15.2.2018**

None for the applicant. Shri A.P. Potnis, Id. P.O. for R-1. Await service of R-2.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. to 12/03/2018** for filing reply of R-1.

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A. 680/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 15.2.2018**

**C.A.433/2017**

Heard Shri N.R. Saboo, Id. counsel for the applicants and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for R-1.

2. By this C.A., the applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. For the reasons stated in the C.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. C.A. stands disposed of accordingly.

**O.A.680/2017 –**

Heard Shri N.R. Saboo, Id. counsel for the applicants and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for R-1.

2. Issue notice to R-2 returnable on **14/03/2018**. Learned P.O. waives notice for R-1. Hamdast allowed.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. **S.O. 14/03/2018.**

Put up along with other connected matter.

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.



O.A. 681/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 15.2.2018**

Shri N.R. Saboo, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of learned P.O., **S.O.**  
**to 14/03/2018.**

Put up along with other connected matter.

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A. 928/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 15.2.2018**

Heard Smt. N. Jog, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. CPO for the State.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the objections would be removed within a period of one week. The corrections be carried in the office and thereafter the application be placed for hearing.

3. After removing objections, issue notice to R-2 to 4, returnable on **26/03/2018**. Learned C.P.O. waives notice for R-1. Hamdast allowed.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be

taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. **S.O. 26/03/2018.**

Put up along with other connected matter.

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A. 929/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 15.2.2018**

Heard Smt. N. Jog, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. CPO for the State.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the objections would be removed within a period of one week. The corrections be carried in the office and thereafter the application be placed for hearing.

3. After removing objections, issue notice to R-1&2, returnable on **26/03/2018**. Learned C.P.O. waives notice for R-3. Hamdast allowed.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be

taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. **S.O. 26/03/2018.**

Put up along with other connected matter.

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A. 23/2018 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 15.2.2018**

Shri S.M. Bhagde, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. CPO for R-1. Await service of R-2 to 6.

At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, **S.O. to 01/03/2018** for filing service affidavit of R-2 to 6.

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A. 263/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 15.2.2018**

Shri S. Pathak, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of learned P.O., **S.O.**  
**to 06/03/2018** for filing reply by way of last chance.

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A. 587/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 15.2.2018**

Ms. N. Jog, Id. counsel for the applicants and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of learned counsel for the applicants, **S.O. to 26/02/2018.**

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.



O.A. 620/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 15.2.2018**

Shri S.N. Gaikwad, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. files reply-affidavit on behalf of R-2. It is taken on record. Copy is served on the applicant.

3. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, **S.O. to 07/03/2018** for hearing on admission.

Put up along with other connected matters.

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A. 623/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 15.2.2018**

Shri S.N. Gaikwad, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. files reply-affidavit on behalf of R-2&3 separately. Same are taken on record. Copies are served on the applicant.

3. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, **S.O. to 07/03/2018** for hearing on admission.

Put up along with other connected matters.

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A. 627/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 15.2.2018**

Shri S.N. Gaikwad, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. files reply-affidavit on behalf of R-3. It is taken on record. Copy is served on the applicant.

3. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, **S.O. to 07/03/2018** for hearing on admission.

Put up along with other connected matters.

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A. 693/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 15.2.2018**

Heard Shri S.C. Deshmukh, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. files reply-affidavit on behalf of R-2. It is taken on record. Copy is served on the applicant.

3. Upon hearing both the sides, it appears that there is no merit in the present application. The present applicant was promoted once and thereafter the respondent after 12 years granted time bound promotion. The learned counsel for the applicant however submits that a rejoinder is required to be filed. In the circumstances, if the present application appears to be pursued unnecessarily, a direction for imposing cost or for direction to make adverse entry in the ACR may be issued.

4. **S.O. to 27/02/2018** for filing rejoinder and for hearing on admission on the above line.

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A. 771/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 15.2.2018**

Shri N.D. Thombre, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. C.P.O. for the respondents.

The learned CPO submits that the concerned respondent is considering a policy decision regarding filling of the post on the regular basis. At his request, **S.O. to 26/02/2018.**

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A. 866/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 15.2.2018**

Heard Shri D.M. Kakani, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for R-1,2&4 and M.P. Munshi, Id. counsel for R-3.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant files affidavit for further explanation. It is taken on record. Copies are served on the other sides.

3. The learned counsel for R-3 submits that the impugned order is now cancelled. The learned counsel for the applicant however points that salary of the disputed period remained to be paid without decision is taken by the respondent no.3 regarding the payment of the salary.

4. **S.O. to 05/03/2018** for making statement by respondent no.3 on the above line.

Put up along with other connected matter.

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A. 867/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 15.2.2018**

Heard Shri D.M. Kakani, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for R-1,2&4 and M.P. Munshi, Id. counsel for R-3.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant files affidavit for further action. It is taken on record. Copies are served on the other sides.

3. The learned counsel for R-3 submits that the impugned order is now cancelled. The learned counsel for the applicant however points that salary of the disputed period remained to be paid without decision is taken by the respondent no.3 regarding the payment of the salary.

4. **S.O. to 05/03/2018** for making statement by respondent no.3 on the above line.

Put up along with other connected matter.

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A. 894/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 15.2.2018**

Shri Bharat Kulkarni, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The learned P.O. files reply-affidavit on behalf of R-1 to 4. It is taken on record. Copy is served on the applicant.

**S.O. to 06/03/2018** for hearing on admission.

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.



O.A. 923/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 15.2.2018**

Heard Ms. S.S. Dhone, Id. counsel for the applicant, Shri A.P. Potnis, Id. P.O. for the respondent nos.1,2&5 and Shri S. Majid, Id. counsel for R-3&4.

2. The learned P.O. submits that the respondent nos.1&2 adopt the reply-affidavit filed by respondent nos. 3&4.

3. Upon hearing both the sides, It is clear that the present applicant himself has given the options vide letter dated 24/04/2017 for his posting at Pachgaon, Titur, Makardhokada and Kanhan. The copy is at Annex-R-4. The present applicant is according transferred at Makardhokada.

4. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that in fact the said application was seeking a temporary posting. The plain reading of the said application however would show that the transfer on permanent basis was sought. It appears that the applicant is unnecessarily indulged in litigation with

the employer, i.e., the respondent. The learned counsel for the applicant however seeks instructions regarding withdrawal if any of the present applicant or for continuation of the application. At her request, **S.O. to 27/02/2018.**

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A. 974/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 15.2.2018**

Shri N.S. Warulkar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The learned P.O. files reply-affidavit on behalf of R- 4. It is taken on record. Copy is served on the applicant.

**S.O. to 14/03/2018.**

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A. 106/2018 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 15.2.2018**

Shri S.S. Dhengale, Id. counsel for  
the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. CPO  
for the respondents.

**S.O. to .**

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A. 507/2016 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 15.2.2018**

Heard Shri Y.R. Sonkusare, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. Upon hearing on both the sides, it is clear that the present transfer order is neither mid-term nor mid-tenure. Even one mistake committed regarding T.A. in the transfer order is withdrawn. The applicant now merely wants that representation be decided. The same can be decided by the respondents within a time frame that may be put by this Tribunal. The learned counsel for the applicant therefore may take instructions regarding withdrawal if any of the present applicant.

**S.O. to 27/02/2018** for seeking instructions or for passing necessary orders.

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A. 44/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 15.2.2018**

Shri S.P. Palshikar, Id. counsel for  
the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id.  
P.O. for the respondents.

**S.O. to .**

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A. 85/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 15.2.2018**

Shri D.N. Mathur, Id. counsel for  
the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O.  
for the respondents.

At the request of learned counsel  
for the applicant, **S.O. to 14/03/2018.**

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A. 151/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 15.2.2018**

Heard Shri R.S. Parsodkar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. Upon hearing both the sides, it appears that the only charge against present applicant is that he failed to communicate the financial irregularities committed by one Mr. N.G. Kodali after taking charge of the post from him.

3. The learned counsel for the applicant points at Annex-A-13, page-43 which show that on the very day of taking of the charge on 6<sup>th</sup> December,2014 the present applicant may have communicated the said facts.

4. The learned P.O. seeks time to take instructions in this regard and regarding receipt of Annex-A-13 by reference to the inward register etc. of the day.

5. It is an admitted fact that the main alleged delinquent in the case, i.e., Mr.



N.G. Kodali is retired. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the suspension of Mr. Kodali was revoked and even no departmental enquiry is initiated against him during the period he was in service. The learned P.O. seeks time to take instructions on the above line and to file additional reply-affidavit, if any, in answer to the above facts and would also take the instructions as to whether due to the lapse of the time the suspension of the present applicant can be revoked.

**S.O. to 27/03/2018** for report from the respondents or for filing additional affidavit.

To act on steno copy.

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A. 206/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 15.2.2018**

Shri V.B. Bhise, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, **S.O. to 13/03/2018.**

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A. 407/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 15.2.2018**

Heard Shri N.R. Saboo, Id. counsel for the applicant, Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondent nos. 1&2 and P. Rane, Id. counsel for R-3. None for R-4.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant files Pursis. It is taken on record and marked Exh-X for the purposes of identification. Copy of the same is supplied to the other sides. He submits that in view of the subsequent transfer orders as detailed in the pursis along with those orders, the impugned transfer order has become infructuous. In that view of the matter, the application stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A. 557/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 15.2.2018**

Heard Shri D.M. Kakani, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for R-1 to 3. None for R-4.

2. The learned P.O. placed on record the return submission on behalf of R-1 along with order. The same is accepted.

3. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that since the grievance of the present applicant is redressed,

nothing survives in the same and therefore permission to withdraw the application be granted. In view of this, the applications stands disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A. 616/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 15.2.2018**

Shri N.R. Saboo, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, **S.O. to 05/03/2018.**

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

**O.A. No. 673/2013. (D.B.)**

**Coram: Justice M.T.Joshi,**  
**Vice-Chairman(J)**

**Dated : 15<sup>th</sup> February 2018.**

**C.A.159/2016 in C.P.(St.) No.634/2016.**

None for the applicant. Heard Shri  
S.A. Sainis, the Ld. P.O. for the respondents.

**S.O. 20<sup>th</sup> March 2018.**

Vice-  
Chairman(J)

**O.A. No. 61/2010. (D.B.)**

**Coram: Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman(J)**

**Dated : 15<sup>th</sup> February 2018.**

**C.A.270/2016 in C.P.(St.) 1057/2016.**

Heard Shri Bharat Kulkarni, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjekar, the Ld. P.O. for the respondent 1& 2 None for R.3..

The learned P.O. submits that he seeks time. Time would be required to comply with the order, as the process is to be completed.

At his request, S.O. **3<sup>rd</sup> April 2018** with a warning that in case compliance is not made by the respondents, permission to initiate contempt proceedings would be granted.

To act on steno copy.

Chairman(J) Vice-



**O.A. No. 197/2016. (D.B.) (Both O.As)**

**Coram: Justice M.T.Joshi,**  
**Vice-Chairman(J)**

**Dated : 15<sup>th</sup> February 2018.**

**C.A.406/2017 in C.P.(St.) 2311/2017 and**  
**C.A.407/2017 in C.P.(St.) 2313/ 2017.**

None for the applicants. Shri A.M. Khadatkar, the Ld. P.O. for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4. Shri B.N. Jaipurkar, Adv.for R.5 & 6.

The learned P.O. submits that the concerned respondent is intending to file writ petition in the High Court and, therefore, some time may be granted. It is to be noted that the decision was rendered by the Division Bench of this Tribunal on 13.12.2016 wherein number of applicants are there. The concerned respondent,

however, failed to take cognizance of the personal notice issued by the applicant and thereafter no writ petition was filed. However, only

to have a decision on merit, as a last chance, six weeks' time is granted with the warning that in case no writ petition is filed within the said period or no compliance is made of the order, the concerned respondent shall be liable to pay nominal cost of Rs. 5,000/- on the next date which may be increased for non compliance of the order thereafter. With this, S.O. **4<sup>th</sup> April 2018.**

To act on steno copy.

Chairman(J)

Vice-

pdg

**O.A. No. 90/2016. (D.B.)**

**Coram: Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman(J)**

**Dated : 15<sup>th</sup> February 2018.**

**C.A.541/2017 in R.A.(St.) 2915/2017.**

Heard Shri S.A. Sainis, the learned P.O. for the applicant (original R.2.). None appeared for the respondent (original applicant.)

S.O. **27<sup>th</sup> March 2018.**

Vice-  
Chairman(J)

pdg

**O.A. No. 109/2018. (D.B.)**

**Coram: Justice M.T.Joshi,**  
**Vice-Chairman(J)**

**Dated : 15<sup>th</sup> February 2018.**

**C.A.36/2018.**

Heard Shri M.I. Dhattrak, the learned counsel for the applicants and Shri S.A. Deo, the Ld. C.P.O. for the respondent No.1.

For the reasons stated in the application, permission to sue jointly is thereby granted, subject to payment of necessary court fee. C.A. stands disposed of.

**O.A. No. 109/2018.**

O.A. be registered and upon registration of the O.A., issue notice to respondent Nos. 2 and 3 returnable by **4<sup>th</sup> April 2018.**

2. Shri S.A. Deo, the Ld. C.P.O. waives notice for the respondent No.1. Hamdast granted.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of

date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunals (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post or courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within three weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Liberty is granted to move for interim relief in case any exigency arises.

Vice-  
Chairman(J)

pdg

**O.A. No. 914/2012. (D.B.)**

**Coram: Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman(J)**

**Dated : 15<sup>th</sup> February 2018.**

**C.A.37/2018 in C.P. No.02/2016.**

Heard Shri P.N. Warjekar, the learned P.O. for the applicant (original respondents) and Mrs.

P.D. Sood, the respondent in person (original applicant).

At the request of parties, S.O.  
**19<sup>th</sup> March 2018.**

Chairman(J) Vice-

pdg

**O.A. No. 914/2012. (D.B.)**

**Coram: Justice M.T.Joshi,**



**Vice-Chairman(J)**

**Dated : 15<sup>th</sup> February 2018.**

**C.P. No.01/2014.**

Heard Shri S.A. Deo, the learned CPO for the applicant (original respondents) and Mrs. P.D. Sood, the respondent in person (original applicant/Contemnor).

At the request of parties, S.O.  
**19<sup>th</sup> March 2018.**

Vice-  
Chairman(J)

pdg

**O.A. No. 914/2012. (D.B.)**

**Coram: Justice M.T.Joshi,**  
**Vice-Chairman(J)**

**Dated : 15<sup>th</sup> February 2018.**

Heard Mrs. P.D. Sood, the applicant in person and Shri S.A. Deo, the learned CPO for the respondents 1 to 5. None for R. 6.

At the request of parties, S.O.  
**19<sup>th</sup> March 2018.**

Chairman(J) Vice-

Pdg

**O.A. No. 618/2014. (D.B.)**

**Coram: Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman(J)**

**Dated : 15<sup>th</sup> February 2018.**

**C.P. No.09/2017.**

There is a leave note from Shri S.D. Zoting, the learned counsel for the applicant. Shri V.A. Kulkarni, the Ld. P.O. for the respondents 1 to 3. Shri P. Kadu Adv. for R.4.

Learned counsel for R.4 who has to comply with the order, makes a statement that the original order is complied with in the

meantime. In view of leave note from the learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. **27<sup>th</sup> February 2018** for making a statement by him.

Vice-  
Chairman(J)

pdg

**O.A. No. 90/2016. (D.B.)**

**Coram: Justice M.T.Joshi,**  
**Vice-Chairman(J)**

**Dated : 15<sup>th</sup> February 2018.**

**C.P.No.11/2017.**

None for the applicant. Shri S.A. Sainis, the Ld. P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. 7<sup>th</sup> March 2018.

Chairman(J) Vice-

pdg

O.A. No. 833/2011. (D.B.)

Coram: Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman(J)

Dated : 15<sup>th</sup> February 2018.

**C.P. No.12/2017.**

Heard Mrs. A.V. Kale, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, the Ld. P.O. for the respondents.

The learned counsel for the applicant points towards the calculation made by the applicant supported by the information received by her under Right to Information Act from District Court, Yavatmal which would show that the salary and perquisites granted to the entry level District Judge upon implementation of First National Judicial Commission recommendation popularly called as Shetty Commission and Padmanabhan Commission. The respondents are, therefore, directed to ascertain the said position and to make a statement on the lines of the same within a period of four weeks from the date of this order. In case the respondents come to

the conclusion that the implementation made by the District Court, Yavatmal would not be applicable to the present applicant despite the directions given by this Tribunal, then a short affidavit, explaining the reasons shall be filed before this Tribunal which directly the concerned respondent would be dealt according to the procedure and would be required to attend regularly before this Tribunal till the decision in the contempt proceedings, according to rules.

**S.O. four weeks.**

To act on steno copy.

Vice-  
Chairman(J)

Pdg

**O.A. No. 804/2011. (D.B.)**

**Coram: Justice M.T.Joshi,**

**Vice-Chairman(J)**

**Dated : 15<sup>th</sup> February 2018.**

**C.P. No.13/2017.**

Heard Shri P.R. Pudke, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, the Ld. P.O. for the respondents.

Both parties submit that the order is complied with. It would not be expedient to continue with the application, the same stands disposed of without any order as to costs.

Chairman(J)

Vice-

pdg



**O.A. No. 112/2015. (D.B.)**

**Coram: Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman(J)**

**Dated : 15<sup>th</sup> February 2018.**

**C.P. No.14/2017.**

Heard Shri N.D. Thombre, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, the Ld. P.O. for the respondents.

The Ld. P.O. has filed affidavit in reply of R.5 and submits that some of the compliance is made and only the issue would remain regarding arrears of pay upon grant of time bound promotion.

At his request, S.O. **10<sup>th</sup> April 2018.**  
By that time, all positive compliance shall be made.

Chairman(J)

Vice-

pdg

**O.A. No. 687/2014. (D.B.)**

**Coram: Justice M.T.Joshi,  
Vice-Chairman(J)**

**Dated : 15<sup>th</sup> February 2018.**

**C.P. No.17/2017.**

Heard Shri A.C.  
Dharmadhikari, the learned counsel  
for the applicant and Shri H.K.  
Pande, the Ld. P.O. for the

respondents 1 to 3. None for R. 4 to 6.

The learned counsel for the applicant submits that he has to verify whether as per affidavit in reply filed on behalf of R. 4 and 5, payment is made or not.

At his request, S.O. 20<sup>th</sup>  
March 2018.

Chairman(J)

Vice-

pdg

O.A. No. 379/2014. (D.B.)

Coram: Justice M.T.Joshi,

Vice-Chairman(J)

Dated : 15<sup>th</sup> February 2018.

C.P. No.35/2017.

With consent, heard Shri N.S. Khandewale, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjekar, the Ld. P.O. for the respondents.

Affidavit in reply filed by respondent Nos.1 and 3 and more particularly para 5 would show that after calculation of the amount, interest of Rs. 40,000/- was temporarily agreed. It was also agreed that thereafter after calculation by the Accountant General (M.S), Nagpur, the amount of interest of Rs. 36,126/- (Page 6) was fixed and it was paid. In that view of the matter, nothing survives in the application. The same stands disposed of without any order as to costs.

Chairman(J)

Vice-

pdg

**O.A. No. 44/2017. (S.B.)**

**Coram: Justice M.T.Joshi,**

**Vice-Chairman(J)**

**Dated : 15<sup>th</sup> February 2018.**

Shri Bharat Kulkarni, Adv. holding for Shri S.P. Palshikar, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, the Ld. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, S.O. **7<sup>th</sup> March 2018.**

Chairman(J)

Vice-

pdg

**O.A. No. 106/2018. (S.B.)**

**Coram: Justice M.T.Joshi,**  
**Vice-Chairman(J)**

**Dated : 15<sup>th</sup> February 2018.**

Shri S.S. Dhengale, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, the Ld. C.P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, S.O. **6<sup>th</sup> March 2018.**

Vice-  
Chairman(J)

pdg