

O.A. No.725/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 25.09.2017.

Heard Shri Anjan De, Id. counsel for the applicant and Smt. S.V. Kolhe, Id. P.O. for the State.

2. The applicant has been appointed as Assistant Public Prosecutor vide order dated 30/01/2013 and was posted at Akola. Thereafter vide order dated 1/6/2017 she was transferred from Akola to Yavatmal and she has joined at Yavatmal and on 8/8/2017 she has been transferred from Yavatmal to Pandharkawada stating that it is internal arrangement. The last para of the said transfer order however shows that vide impugned order two persons are transferred from Yavatmal, i.e., the applicant and one Shri Deepak V. Gote at Pandharkawada, Dist. Yavatmal and Wani, Dist. Yavatmal respectively and last para says that other persons be appointed in place of their vacant posts at Yavatmal which in other word means that keeping the posts of applicant and

Shri Gote at Yavatmal vacant, the applicant has been transferred to Pandharkawada. Whether the so called is internal arrangement in the interest of administration or not will have to be considered on merits. The applicant has made a statement that she has yet not been relieved from the present post itself. Prima facie the order seems to be premature and hence it is stayed in the interest of justice till the reply of the respondents is filed.

3. Issue notice to R-1 to 3, returnable in four weeks. Learned P.O. waives notice for R-4. Hamdast allowed.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. **S.O. four weeks.**

9. Steno copy be supplied to the learned counsel for parties.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No.728/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 25.09.2017.

Heard Shri A.M. Sudame, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for the State.

2. The applicant has been appointed as Police Sub Inspector (PSI) in 1983 and was promoted as Additional Superintendent of Police. He was serving at Mumbai in Local Area Division, Worli as Police Inspector and was transferred to Nagpur as Dy. Superintendent of Police / Additional Superintendent of Police and was posted in the Anti Corruption Bureau, Nagpur vide order dated 16/4/2016 at Paper Book Page no.20 (wrongly mentioned as 16/4/2015). Accordingly, the applicant joined at Nagpur as he was relieved from Mumbai on 5/4/2016. However, vide impugned order dated 19/9/2017 the applicant has been shown to have been transferred from the office of Additional Superintendent of Police, Anti Corruption Bureau, Nagpur to Assistant Police Commissioner, Mumbai

City. It is material to note that the applicant is due for retirement on 30/9/2017 i.e. just within five days. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has not yet been relieved from Nagpur and all his pension papers have been forwarded to the Competent Authority through his Nagpur office. Considering all these aspects, the impugned order of transfer of the applicant dated 19/9/2017 stands stayed.

3. Issue notice to R-2 and 3, returnable in four weeks. Learned P.O. waives notice for R-1. Hamdast allowed.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure)

Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. **S.O. four weeks.**

9. Steno copy be supplied to the learned counsel for parties.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No.561/2017 with C.A.470/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 25.09.2017.

Shri Sachin Khandekar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. files affidavit-in-reply on behalf of R-1 to 3 in O.A. It is taken on record. Copy is given to the other side. The learned counsel files rejoinder on behalf of the applicant. Same is taken on record and copy is given to the other side.

3. Since the pleading are complete and the prayer for interim relief is to be decided on or before 26/9/2017, the learned P.O. submits that the matter be heard on merit itself tomorrow for which the learned counsel for the applicant has no objection. Hence, put up the matter for final hearing on **26/9/2017**.

4. Matter be kept on **High on board** along with other connected matter.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No.562/2017 with C.A.471/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 25.09.2017.

Shri Sachin Khandekar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. files affidavit-in-reply on behalf of R-1 to 3 in O.A. It is taken on record. Copy is given to the other side. The learned counsel files rejoinder on behalf of the applicant. Same is taken on record and copy is given to the other side.

3. Since the pleading are complete and the prayer for interim relief is to be decided on or before 26/9/2017, the learned P.O. submits that the matter be heard on merit itself tomorrow for which the learned counsel for the applicant has no objection. Hence, put up the matter for final hearing on **26/9/2017**.

4. Matter be kept on **High on board** along with other connected matter.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No.532/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 25.09.2017.

C.A.346/2017 -

Shri R.V.Shiralkar, Id. counsel for the applicant, Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for R-1,2&4 and Shri C.V. Mahurkar, Id. counsel for R-3.

The learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on C.A.

The learned counsel for respondent no.3 also seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply to the O.A.

At the request of Id. P.O. as well as Id. counsel for R-3, **S.O. two weeks**.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No.222/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 25.09.2017.

C.A. Nos.391/2017 & 403/2017 -

Heard Shri D.S. Sawarkar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for R-1. Await service of R-2&3.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to file service affidavit.

3. For the reasons stated in the applications, both the applications for amendment are allowed in the interest of justice and equity. Amendment be carried out forthwith and amended copy be supplied to the learned P.O.

O.A. 222/2017 –

Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply. At his request, **S.O. four weeks.**

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No.676/2014

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 25.09.2017.

C.A.464/2017 -

Heard Shri S. Majid, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

For the reasons stated in the application, the C.A. No.464/2017 for permission to file documents on record is allowed. Documents be taken on record.

O.A. 676/2014 –

S.O. two weeks for final hearing.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No.219/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 25.09.2017.

Shri G.C. Khond, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for the respondent nos. 1&4. Await service of R-2&3.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that he will file service affidavit of R-2&3 today itself. The learned P.O. also submits that he will file reply-affidavit of R-4 during the course of day. He seeks further time to file reply on behalf of other respondents. At his request, **S.O. two weeks**.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No.510/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 25.09.2017.

Shri G.C. Khond, Id. counsel for the applicant and Smt. S.V. Kolhe, Id. P.O. for the respondent no. 1. Await service of R-2&3.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that he will file service affidavit of R-2&3 during the course of day. The learned P.O. submits that the application is premature since no appeal has been filed against the impugned order. She therefore requested that she may be given time to file short affidavit on the point of maintainability.

S.O. two weeks.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No.643/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 25.09.2017.

Shri S.P. Palshikar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for R-1. Await service of R-2&3.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that both the respondents have been served and he will file service affidavit to that effect.

3. The learned P.O. submits that he has not received the amended copy. The learned counsel for the applicant undertakes to serve the copy to the learned P.O.

S.O. two weeks

I.R. to continue till then.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No.187/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 25.09.2017.

Shri A.S. Ambathay, Id. counsel holding for Shri P.R. Agrawal, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

Since the pleadings are complete, the matter is admitted and kept for final hearing. In the meantime the applicant to file rejoinder, if any.

The learned P.O. waives notice for the respondents.

S.O. four weeks.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. Nos.305/2017 & 306/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 25.09.2017.

Heard Shri D.M. Upadhyay, Id.
counsel for the applicants and Shri S.A.
Sainis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

Closed for orders.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No.340/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 25.09.2017.

Shri S.N. Gaikwad, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. Vide order dated 11/09/2017 the respondent no.2, i.e., the Director of Public Health Services (Maharashtra State), Mumbai was directed to state as to whether the applicant can be accommodated on any of the choice post given by her in her request letter and if not as to why. The learned P.O. seeks one week's time to take instruction in this regard. At his request, **S.O. one week.**

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No.341/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 25.09.2017.

Shri S.N. Gaikwad, Id. counsel for
the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id.
P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. two weeks.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No.382/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 25.09.2017.

Shri Asuray Dhanvijay Id. counsel holding for Shri I.G. Meshram, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Potnis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. two weeks** for filing reply.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No.392/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 25.09.2017.

Shri G.C. Khond, Id. counsel holding for Shri S.U. Nemade, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Potnis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O.**
three weeks for filing reply.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No.465/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 25.09.2017.

Heard Shri Bharat Kulkarni, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The learned counsel files rejoinder on behalf of the applicant. It is taken on record. Copy is given to the learned counsel for the applicant.

Since the pleadings are complete, the matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.

The learned P.O. waives notice for the respondents.

S.O. 29/9/2017.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No.467/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 25.09.2017.

Heard Shri S.V. Bhutada, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

Since the pleadings are complete, the matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.

The learned P.O. waives notice for the respondents.

S.O. 27/9/2017.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No.557/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 25.09.2017.

Heard Shri G.K. Bhusari, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for R-1 to 3. None for R-4.

Since the pleadings are complete, the matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.

The learned P.O. waives notice for the respondent nos. 1 to 3.

S.O. one week.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No.635/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 25.09.2017.

Shri N.D. Thombre, Id. counsel for
the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id.
P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of learned P.O.,
S.O. four weeks for filing reply.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No.637/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 25.09.2017.

Shri H.N. Potbhare, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for the respondent nos. 1 to 4. None for R-5.

At the request of learned P.O.,
S.O. four weeks for filing reply.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No.818/2015

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 25.09.2017.

Mrs. M.S. Joshi, Id. counsel holding for Ms. A. Athalye, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjurkar, Id. P.O. for R-1&2. None for R-3.

At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, **S.O. one week** for filing rejoinder.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No.466/2008

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 25.09.2017.

Shri A.M. Sudame, Id. counsel for
the applicant and Smt. S.V. Kolhe, Id.
P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. 27/9/2017.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No.81/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 25.09.2017.

None for the applicant.

2. Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

3. Vide order dated 19/9/2017 the learned P.O. was to take instructions as to whether the post of Associate Professor is lying vacant at Amravati. Accordingly, the learned P.O. has placed on record one statement which is marked Exh-X for the purposes of identification from which it is stated that five posts are vacant at Amravati. The learned P.O. is therefore directed to take instructions as to whether the respondents are ready to accommodate the applicant at Amravati, if yes, within how many days necessary order will be passed.

S.O. two weeks.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No.294/2017 with C.A.365/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 25.09.2017.

Heard Shri S.N. Gaikwad, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for the respondent nos. 1&2 and Shri A.P. Tathod, Id. counsel for R-3.

2. A detailed order was passed on 18/9/2017 wherein it was clearly observed that the transfer order of the applicant to Nagpur from Amravati was premature and punitive and it seems that same has been passed to adjust respondent no.3. The applicant has given option for Pune and requested that he be accommodated at Pune and therefore the learned P.O. was directed to take instructions as to whether the applicant can be accommodated at Pune.

3. In response to the said order the learned P.O. has placed on record the communication dated 22/9/2017 in which it is stated that the post at Pune vacated by Shri D.D. Pawar is not in fact vacant. It is stated that Shri Pawar has been sent

on deputation to Maharashtra Rajya Kaushal Vikas Society at Mumbai. It is stated that after his deputation is completed he will be posted at Pune. This communication is marked Exh-X for the purposes of identification.

4. The learned counsel for respondent no.3 has also placed on record the copy of the transfer of Shri Pawar dated 6/5/2017 which is marked Exh-X1 for the purposes of identification. Pleading of the said order clearly shows that Shri Pawar has been transferred to Mumbai and not deputed as stated by in the communication dated 22/9/2017. The copy of another communication dated 15/5/2017 filed by respondent no.3 which is marked Exh-X2 clearly shows that one Shri S.B. Angane was given charge of the post vacated due to transfer of Shri Pawar. This clearly shows that Shri Pawar has been transferred and not deputed. The communication dated 22/9/2017 Exh-X has been signed by one Shri B.S. Mandwe, Deputy Secretary to Government of Maharashtra. The Deputy Secretary of Government of Maharashtra Shri B.S. Mandwe is therefore directed to file affidavit in this regard and to explain

as to why false statement has been made that Shri Pawar has been sent on deputation to Mumbai and that there is any plan to take him back at Pune. He shall also mention the period for which such deputation if any has been assigned and shall also place on record the Minutes of the Meeting whereby Shri Pawar has been transferred to Mumbai.

S.O. three weeks. (P.H.)

Steno copy be supplied to the learned counsel for parties.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 500/2017.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).
Dated : 25th September 2017.
C.A. Nos.116 & 117 of 2017.

Heard Shri A.P. Tathod, the learned counsel for the applicants and Smt. S.V. Kolhe, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

The learned counsel for the applicants submits that he will file service affidavit and seeks time to file a copy of the relevant G.R.

At the request of the learned counsel for the applicants, S.O. **two weeks.**

Vice-
Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No. 314/2017.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).

Dated : 25th September 2017.

C.A. No. 438/2017 in
C.P.(St.)2462/2017.

Heard Shri D.T. Shinde, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri SA. Sainis, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

2. It seems *prima facie* that there is a non compliance of the order passed in O.A.No.314/2017 dated 8.6.2017.

3. In view thereof, issue notice on C.A. No. 438/2017 in C.P.(St.)2462/2017 to the respondent/State returnable after **four weeks.**

4. Shri SA. Sainis, the learned P.O. waives notice for respondent/State.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

7. This notice is ordered under Rule 8 (i) (b) of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunals (Contempt of Courts) Rules, 1996.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post or courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within three weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. **four weeks.**

. Vice-
Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No. 663/2017.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).
Dated : 25th September 2017.
C.A. No. 460/2017.

Heard Shri J.C. Shukla, the
learned counsel for the applicant and Shri
H.K.Pande, the learned P.O. for the

respondents 1 to 3. None for R.4 to 8.

Shri G.G. Bade, Adv. for R.9 and 10.

At the request of Ld. P.O. as well as Ld. counsel for newly added respondents 9 and 10, S.O. **two weeks.**

Vice-
Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No. 220/2017.

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).
Dated : 25th September 2017.**

Heard Shri O.Y. Kashid, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

The Ld. P.O. has filed on record an affidavit in reply on behalf of R. 2. The same is taken on record and a copy thereof is supplied to the learned counsel

for the applicant. Ld. P.O. submits that the reply of R.1 and 3 is not necessary.

The learned counsel for the applicant wants to go through the reply and wants to file rejoinder, if necessary.

S.O. **four weeks.**

Chairman(J) . Vice-

pdg

O.A. No. 685/2016.

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 25th September 2017.

Mrs. M.S. Joshi, Adv. holdilng for Shri S.G. Joshi, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I.Khan, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Ld. P.O., S.O. **four weeks** for filing reply affidavit.

Vice-
Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No. 844/2016.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).
Dated : 25th September 2017.

None for the applicant. Shri V.A. Kulkarni, the learned P.O. for the respondents 1 to 3. None for R.4.

At the request of Id. P.O., S.O. **two weeks.**

Vice-
Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No. 58/2017.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).
Dated : 25th September 2017.

None for the applicant. Shri S.A. Sainis, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Ld. P.O., S.O. **one week.**

Vice-
Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No. 509/2017.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).
Dated : 25th September 2017.

Heard Shri M.R. Patil, the learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. S.V. Kolhe, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Ld. P.O., S.O. **two weeks** for filing reply.

Chairman(J) . Vice-

pdg

O.A. No. 516/2017.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).
Dated : 25th September 2017.

None for the applicant. Shri S.A.
Deo, the learned C.P.O. for the
respondents.

S.O. **two weeks.**

Vice-
Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No. 545/2017.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).
Dated : 25th September 2017.

Heard Shri M.B. Agasti, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, the learned C.P.O. for the respondents.

In this O.A., the applicant has requested to quash and set aside the order dated 30.6.2017 and requested that he be allowed to perform the duty as usual i.e. Assistant Professor in Pharmacology , as if no such order is

passed. Ld. CPO has placed on record a copy of order dated 22.9.2017 which is marked "X" for identification, from which it seems that the Govt. has taken a decision to allow the applicant to work till he completes the age of 64 years and to treat the intervening period as compulsory waiting period. In view thereof, nothing survives in this O.A. Hence, this O.A. is disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs.

Vice-
Chairman(J) pdg

O.A. No. 546/2017.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).
Dated : 25th September 2017.

Heard Shri M.B. Agasti, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, the learned C.P.O. for the respondents.

In this O.A., the applicant has requested to quash and set aside the order dated 30.6.2017 and requested that he be allowed to perform the duty as usual i.e. Assistant Professor in Pharmacology , as if no such order is passed. Ld. CPO has placed on record a copy of order dated 22.9.2017 which is marked "X" for identification, from which it seems that the Govt. has taken a decision to allow the applicant to work till he competes the age of 64 years and to treat the intervening period as compulsory waiting period. In view thereof, nothing survives in this O.A. Hence, this O.A. is disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs.

. Vice-
Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No. 97/2011.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).
Dated : 25th September 2017.

Heard Shri D.M. Upadhye, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

The learned counsel for the applicant submits that he wants to file some documents as well as pursis. He is permitted to file the same and it be filed in advance and its copy be supplied to the learned P.O.

At the request of the learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. **two weeks** as a last chance.

Vice-

Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No. 491/2015.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).
Dated : 25th September 2017.

Heard Shri Bharat Kulkarni, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjekar, the learned P.O. for the respondents 1 and 2. Shri S.C. Deshmukh, Adv. for R.3.

Ld. P.O. submits that he has received some instructions in this regard and seeks two weeks' time in pursuance of the order dated 6.7.2017.

At the request of P.O., S.O. **two weeks.**

Vice-
Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No. 361/2017.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).
Dated : 25th September 2017.

Heard Shri M.R. Rajgure, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

The Ld. P.O. has filed on record an affidavit in reply on behalf of R. 1 and 2. The same is taken on record and a copy thereof is supplied to the learned counsel for the applicant.

The learned counsel for the applicant submits that he is filing C.A. for amendment also today itself.

The Ld. P.O. seeks time to file reply to the amendment application.

S.O. **three weeks.**

Ld. P.O. waives notice for R. 1 to 4.

. Vice-
Chairman(J)
pdg

O.A. No. 737/2016.

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).
Dated : 25th September 2017.**

Heard Shri M.R. Rajgure, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Potnis, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. **three weeks.**

Put up this O.A. with O.A. No.
361/2017.

Chairman(J) . Vice-

pdg

