

O.A. 158/2018 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 02.04.2018

C.A. 49/2018 –

Heard Shri N.C. Phadnis, Id.
counsel for the applicants and Shri S.A.
Deo, Id. CPO for the respondents.

Closed for orders.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 675/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 02.04.2018

Shri R.V. Shiralkar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. CPO for the respondents.

The learned CPO files reply-affidavit on behalf of respondents. It is taken on record. copy is served on the applicant.

The learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder. At his request, **S.O. two weeks**.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 842/2012 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 02.04.2018

Shri Ansari, Id. counsel holding for
Shri H.D. Dangre, Id. counsel for the
applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, Id. P.O.
for the respondents.

At the request of learned counsel
for the applicant, **S.O. one week.**

Matter be kept along with
O.A.316/2012.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 52/2015 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 02.04.2018

Shri S.M. Khan, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, **S.O. 06/04/2018** along with other connected matter.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 55/2015 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 02.04.2018

Shri S.M. Khan, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, **S.O. 06/04/2018** along with other connected matter.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 722/2014 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 02.04.2018

Shri S.M. Khan, Id. counsel holding
for Shri P.C. Marpakwar, Id. counsel for
the applicant and Shri A.P. Potnis, Id.
P.O. for the respondents.

The learned P.O. seeks time to file
certain documents on record.

At the request of learned P.O.,
S.O. two weeks.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 783/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 02.04.2018

C.A. 53/2018 -

Shri Kaslikar, Id. counsel holding for Shri P.S. Patil, Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for R-1 to 3 and Shri S.N. Gaikwad, Id. counsel for proposed intervener (R/4).

The learned counsel for the applicant files reply to the application for intervention i.e. C.A. 53/2018. Same is taken on record and copy is served on the other sides.

S.O. one week.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 418/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 02.04.2018

Shri S.N. Gaikwad, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Potnis, Id. P.O. for R-1. Await service of R-2 to 4.

The learned counsel for the applicant submits that he will file service affidavit of R-2 to 4.

The learned P.O. seeks time to file reply.

S.O. four weeks.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 805/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 02.04.2018

Shri R.V. Shiralkar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for R-1. Await service of R-2.

The learned counsel for the applicant submits that he will file service affidavit of R-2 within a week..

The learned P.O. seeks time to file reply.

S.O. one week.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 794/2016 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 02.04.2018

None for the applicant.

Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

A detailed order was passed on 05/03/2018 and the matter was adjourned and the learned counsel for the applicant wanted to take instructions. The learned P.O. submits that criminal case and departmental inquiry were pending against the applicant. The departmental inquiry is almost completed, except taking final action, but since the criminal case is pending, the action is not taken. In my opinion, even if the criminal case is decided in favour of the applicant, it may not affect the departmental inquiry and if he is convicted the department will always at liberty to take appropriate action.

The learned P.O. is therefore directed to take instructions as to within how many days the appropriate action will be taken in the departmental inquiry.

S.O. two weeks.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 137/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 02.04.2018

None for the applicant.

Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for the
respondents.

At the request of learned P.O.,
S.O. one week for filing reply.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 556/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 02.04.2018

Shri Y. P. Kaslikar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, **S.O. two weeks** for filing rejoinder.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 657/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 02.04.2018

Heard Shri Y. P. Kaslikar, Id.
counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N.
Warjurkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

Closed for orders.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 678/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 02.04.2018

None for the applicant.

Shri V.A. Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for the
respondents.

At the request of learned P.O.,
S.O. three weeks for filing reply.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 922/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 02.04.2018

Heard Shri S. P. Palshikar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

Since the pleadings are complete, the matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.

The learned P.O. waives notice for the respondents.

In the meantime, the applicant is at liberty to file rejoinder, if necessary.

S.O. four weeks.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 290/2009 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 02.04.2018

Heard Shri A.S. Tiwari, Id. counsel
for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, Id.
P.O. for the respondents.

Closed for orders.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 644/2016 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 02.04.2018

MCA 59/2017 in MCA 60/2017

Shri S.M. Vaishnav, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that his father was appearing in this matter and therefore due to his personal difficulties and ill-health, no steps could be taken. He is ready to take necessary steps within one week. At his request, **S.O. 09/04/2018**.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 661/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 02.04.2018

Heard Shri G.G. Bade, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for R-1 to 3. None for R-4.

2. The applicant is an Inspector of Legal Metrology has been transferred from Nagbhid to Nagpur vide impugned order dated 31/05/2017. In fact, he was transferred from Aheri to Nagbhid vide order dated 25/07/2014 and was not due for transfer.

3. A detailed order was passed on 22/03/2018 where from it seems that the copies of minutes of the meeting vide which the impugned order of transfer was passed, was placed on record and from the said copies it seems that though the applicant's transfer was recommended, the said recommendation was not accepted by the Civil Services Board and therefore prima facie it seems that the applicant's transfer has not been made by the approval of the competent authority.

4. The learned P.O. submits that 82 persons were transferred as per the approval of the Civil Services Board and separate orders were issued in respect of them. However, fact remains that Civil Services Board did not approve the applicant's transfer.

5. The learned P.O. submits that the applicant has already joined at Nagpur and in his place the respondent no.4 has been transferred and respondent no.4 has already joined at Nagbhid and therefore the applicant cannot be accommodated at Nagbhid at this juncture. It is now admitted fact that the applicant has already joined at Nagpur so also respondent no.4 has joined at Nagbhid in place of applicant and therefore if the impugned order of transfer in respect of applicant is cancelled, the respondents authorities may face administrative problems.

6. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is ready to be accommodated at Saoner. The learned P.O. submits that the post at Saoner is likely to become vacant in the annual general transfers of 2018 since a person at Saoner is overdue. The learned P.O. is therefore directed to take

instructions as to whether the applicant will be accommodated at Saoner in the annual general transfers of 2018 in place of Shri J.W. More.

S.O. one week.

Steno copy be given to the learned counsel for parties.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No.530/2013. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J) and
Shree
Bhagwan,Member(A)**

Dated : 2nd April 2018.

**C.A. No.126/16 in C.P.(St.)
490/2016**

Heard Shri R.S. Khobragade,
the Ld. counsel for the applicant
and Shri P.N. Warjekar, the Ld.
P.O. for the respondents.

The Ld. P.O. submits that the
writ petition before the Hon'ble High
Court, Bench at Nagpur is pending
and hence, he seeks three weeks'
time.

S.O. **three weeks.**

Member(A)

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No.754/2015. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J) and
Shree
Bhagwan,Member(A)**

Dated : 2nd April 2018.

C.A. No.381/16 in C.A. 466/2016

None for the applicant. Shri P.N. Warjekar, the Ld. P.O. for the respondents.

The Ld. P.O. has filed C.A. for vacating interim stay, since the Division Bench was not available. Now, since the Division Bench has been available, the C.A. be heard alongwith the O.A. and since the

matter is of the year 2015, same shall be fixed for final hearing after two weeks.

At the request of Ld. P.O., S.O.
two weeks.

Member(A) Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No.614/2017. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J) and
Shree
Bhagwan,Member(A)**

Dated : 2nd April 2018.

C.A. No.533/17 in C.A. 68/2018

Heard Shri R.V. Shiralkar, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, the Ld. P.O. for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2. Shri N.B. Rathod, Adv. for R.3.

In the additional affidavit, the applicant is bringing some reasons for delay in filing the O.A. The Advocate for R.3 and the Ld. P.O. submit that they want to file reply to the additional affidavit whereby new grounds have been made out. The Advocate for R.3 and the Ld. P.O. seek time of one week for filing reply affidavit.

Hence, S.O. **one week.**

Member(A)
Chairman(J)

Vice-

pdg

O.A. No.545/2008. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J) and
Shree
Bhagwan,Member(A)**

Dated : 2nd April 2018.

C.A. No.546/17.

Miss A.M. Gupta, Adv. holding for Shri P.B. Patil, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, the Ld. P.O. for the respondents.

Not before the Member i.e. Shri J.D. Kulkarni, V.C.(J).

S.O. after eight weeks.

Member(A)
Chairman(J)

Vice-

pdg

O.A. No.49/2016. (D.B.)

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J) and
Shree
Bhagwan,Member(A)
Dated : 2nd April 2018.

Heard Miss K.K. Pathak, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjekar, the Ld. P.O. for the respondents.

The Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that due to some difficulty, the applicant is not available and hence she seeks time to file amendment application.

S.O. **three weeks.**

Member(A)
Chairman(J)

Vice-

O.A. (St.) No.307/2018. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J) and
Shree
Bhagwan,Member(A)**

Dated : 2nd April 2018.

C.A. No.41/18.

Heard Shri R.S. Khobragade,
the Ld. counsel for the applicant
and Shri S.A. Deo, the Ld. C.P.O.
for the respondents.

The Ld. CPO seeks time to
file reply affidavit.

S.O. **four weeks** for filing
reply to the application for
condonation of delay.

Member(A)
Chairman(J)

Vice-

pdg

O.A. No.281/2015. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J) and
Shree
Bhagwan,Member(A)**

Dated : 2nd April 2018.

None for the applicant. Shri M.I. Khan, the Ld. P.O. for the respondent No.1. Shri H.D. Marathe, Adv. for R. 2 to 5.

At the request of Ld. Adv. for R. 2 to 5, S.O. **four weeks.**

Member(A)
Chairman(J)

Vice-

pdg

O.A. No.689/2015. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J) and
Shree
Bhagwan,Member(A)**

Dated : 2nd April 2018.

Heard Shri R.V. Shiralkar, Adv. holding for Shri R. Joshi, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, the Ld. P.O. for the respondents.

The Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that he will file Vakalatmana and argue the matter in the next week.

Shri R. Joshi, the Ld. counsel for the applicant will be available in the next week.

S.O. **one week.**

Member(A)
Chairman(J)

Vice-

O.A. No.391/2017. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J) and
Shree
Bhagwan,Member(A)**

Dated : 2nd April 2018.

Heard Shri S.C. Deshmukh,
the Ld. counsel for the applicant
and Shri A.M. Ghogre, the Ld.
P.O. for the respondents.

The Ld. P.O. has filed reply
affidavit of R. 1 to 3, it is taken on
record and a copy thereof is
supplied to the Ld. counsel for the
applicant.

At the request of the Ld.
counsel for the applicant, S.O.

three weeks for filing
rejoinder.

Member(A)
Chairman(J)

Vice-

pdg

O.A. No.662/2017. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J) and
Shree
Bhagwan,Member(A)**

Dated : 2nd April 2018.

Shri S.C. Deshmukh, Adv.
holding for Shri D.M. Surjuse, the

Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I.Khan, the Ld. P.O. for the respondents.

The Ld. counsel for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.

S.O. one week.

Member(A)
Chairman(J)

Vice-

pdg

O.A. No.212/2018. (D.B.)

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J) and
Shree
Bhagwan,Member(A)
Dated : 2nd April 2018.

Heard Shri M.R. Rajgure, the
Ld. counsel for the applicant and
Shri S.A. Deo, the Ld. C.P.O. for
the respondent No.1.

2. The applicant is claiming
directions to respondent Nos. 1 to 3
to permit him to appear for the
examination in the ensuing
M.F.A.S.-III examination scheduled
to be held from 24.4.2018 onwards.
According to the applicant, he was
eligible to appear for the said
examination and has appeared
accordingly and he had cleared five
subjects out of eight. However, he
is being denied an opportunity to
appear for further examination. He
pointed out the rules known as,
"Maharashtra Finance and
Accounts Service, Class-III

Examination) Rules, 1965, which shows that a candidate shall be allowed to exhaust for four chances for each part of the examination. It is stated that no examination was conducted after 2015, though it was obligatory on the part of the respondent authorities to conduct the examination each year.

3. The Ld. CPO submits that he will take instructions from the competent authority and the MPSC and will file reply within two weeks prior to examination which is scheduled to be held on 24.4.2018.

4. In the meantime, issue notice to R. 2 to 4 returnable after by 16.4.2018.

5. Shri S.A. Deo, the Ld. C.P.O. waives notice for respondent No.1. Hamdast granted.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and

separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

8. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunals (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post or courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within three weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. **16.4.2018.**

Member(A)
Chairman(J)

Vice-

pdg

**O.A. Nos. 614 to 616 of 2013.
(D.B.)**

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J) and**

**Shree
Bhagwan,Member(A)**

Dated : 2nd April 2018.

C.P. No. 15/2018.

Heard Shri D.S. Wasnik, the Ld. counsel for the applicants and Shri P.N. Warjekar, the Ld. P.O. for the respondents.

2. This is an application for Contempt of Court in not complying with the order of this Tribunal in O.A. Nos. 614, 615 and 6616 of 2013 passed on 14.2.2017. The following relevant order was passed by this Tribunal as under:-

“For the foregoing, these O.As are disposed of with a direction to the respondents to act in accordance herewith and to reconsider the case of the applicants for regularization as Forest Labourers in accordance with the G.R. of 16.10.2012 from Revenue and Forest Department bearing

always in mind the observation hereinabove. If the time limit is not kept and appropriate decision in accordance herewith is not taken within six weeks, then the applicants shall stand regularized and the respondents shall take appropriate action in that behalf. No order as to costs.”

3. The Ld. P.O. submits that the respondent authorities have complied with the order passed by this Tribunal and rejected the claim of the applicants for regularization as Forest Laborers in view of G.R. dated 16.10.2012. The order was also communicated to the applicants and the applicants have also filed copies of those orders on

record. He submits that the applicants have also challenged the individual order of non regularization passed in compliance with the order passed by this Tribunal and those matters are pending.

4. From the aforesaid circumstances, it is clear that this Tribunal directed the competent authority to re-consider the claim of the applicants for regularization as Forest Labourers in accordance with the G.R. dated 16.10.2012 and the authorities have accordingly re-considered the claim of the applicants and rejected the same. The applicants have already challenged the rejection of their claim by filing a separate O.A. It seems that nothing survives in application for Contempt of Court. Hence, the same stands disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs.

Member(A)
Chairman(J)

Vice-

pdg

O.A. No.556/95. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J) and
Shree
Bhagwan,Member(A)**

Dated : 2nd April 2018.

C.A.No.43/2016 in C.A.No.52/2016 in
C.A.No.53/2016 in C.A.No.428/2016 in
C.A.No.101/2017 in C.A.No.246/2017 in
C.A.No.247/2017 in in M.C.A.No.40/2017 in
C.A.No.75/2018.

None for the applicants. Shri A.M. Ghogre, the Ld. P.O. for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3. None for R.4.

2. The Ld. P.O. invited our attention to the pursis dated 5.4.2017 whereby it is stated that a detailed information as available with the department, has been supplied to the office of this Tribunal. It is necessary for the applicant to serve the notice to 950 respondents. It seems that the applicants have not taken steps since then to serve the respondents.

3. The Ld. P.O. has also invited our attention to the order passed by the Hon'ble High Court, Bench at Nagpur

in C.P. No. 38/2018 in W.P. No.952/2001 wherein the Hon'ble High Court has also observed that, the applicants have to serve the notice to 950 respondents. The applicants, are therefore, directed to take necessary steps to serve the respondents, details of which have been supplied to the Ld. P.O. Such action shall be taken within four weeks from today.

S.O. **four weeks**.

The C.As will be taken up for hearing after notices are served on the respondents.

Member(A)
Chairman(J)

Vice-

O.A. No.449/2005. (D.B.)

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J) and
Shree
Bhagwan,Member(A)
Dated : 2nd April 2018.

Shri S.N. Gaikwad, Adv.
holding for Shri P.S. Kshirsagar, the
Ld. counsel for the applicant and
Shri M.I. Khan, the Ld. P.O. for the
respondents.

At the request of the Ld.
counsel for the applicant, S.O.
4.4.2018 for arguments.

Member(A)
Chairman(J)

Vice-

O.A. No.573/2007. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J) and
Shree
Bhagwan,Member(A)**

Dated : 2nd April 2018.

Heard Shri R.V. Shiralkar, the Ld. counsel for the applicants and Shri H.K. Pande, the Ld. P.O. for the respondents.

After hearing the matter for a considerable time, Ld. P.O. seeks time to file on record the Recruitment Rules for the post of Range Forest Officer as well as the relevant circular, if any, delegating the powers under the rules.

At the request of Ld. counsel for the applicant, S.O. **5.4.2018.**

To be treated as part heard.

Member(A)
Chairman(J)

Vice-

pdg

O.A. No.102/2008. (D.B.)

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,

**Vice-Chairman(J) and
Shree
Bhagwan,Member(A)
Dated : 2nd April 2018.**

Shri Raja Dandawate, Adv. holding for Shri R.J. Mirza, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, the Ld. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of the Ld. counsel for the applicant, S.O.
4.4.2018.

Member(A)
Chairman(J)

Vice-

O.A. No.241/2008. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J) and
Shree
Bhagwan,Member(A)**

Dated : 2nd April 2018.

Shri Shyam P. Chavan, Adv. holding for Shri N.D. Thombre, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjekar, the Ld. P.O. for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2. Shri D.T. Shinde, Adv. for R. 3 to 6.

At the request of the Ld. counsel for the applicant, S.O.

3.4.2018.

Member(A)
Chairman(J)

Vice-

pdg

O.A. No.367/2008. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J) and
Shree
Bhagwan,Member(A)**

Dated : 2nd April 2018.

None for the applicant. Shri P.N. Warjekar, the Ld. P.O. for the respondents.

This matter has been received from the Hon'ble High Court, Nagpur with a direction to decide the O.A. afresh on merits. Parties were directed to appear before this Tribunal on 6.3.2017. It seems that the writ was received by this Tribunal on 7.3.2017. Accordingly, the parties appeared before this Tribunal and the matter was placed before the Vice-Chairman Shri Rajiv Agrawal and Advocate Shri A.S. Sonak sought three weeks' time and, therefore, the matter was directed to be kept before the D.B. whenever available. Accordingly, the matter came today on board. Today also, nobody appeared for the applicant. However, in the interest of justice, it be kept after **one week.**

S.O. **one week.**

Member(A)
Chairman(J)

Vice-

pdg

O.A. No.185/2009. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J) and
Shree
Bhagwan,Member(A)**

Dated : 2nd April 2018.

Heard Shri Shri S.K. Verma,
Adv. holding for Shri M.M. Sudame,
the Ld. counsel for the applicant

and Shri H.K. Pande, the Ld. P.O.
for the respondents.

The Ld. counsel for the applicant has filed a pursis which is marked "X" for identification and submits that the applicant does not desire to contest this O.A. and seeks permission to withdraw the same unconditionally. In view thereof, O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

Member(A)
Chairman(J)

Vice-