

O.A. 156/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 16.02.2018

C.A. 12/2018 in O.A. 156/2017

Heard Shri V.R. Thote, Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the grievances in the present O.A. are redressed and therefore nothing survives in the present application. He however submits that in case the retiral benefits are not paid according to rules, liberty to file separate O.A. or any proceeding can be granted. In the circumstances, the C.A. as well as O.A. stand disposed of with liberty as prayed for. No order as to costs.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 539/2016 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 16.02.2018

C.A. 16/2018

Heard Shri P.V. Thakre, Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Potnis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. For the reasons stated in the application, the request of early hearing is hereby granted.

O.A. 539/2016 –

Heard Shri P.V. Thakre, Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Potnis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. Upon hearing both the sides, it appears that the present applicant was denied second time bound promotion for the reasons that the gradation of the ACRs. in his case was not available (refer sr.no.9 at page-68 of the Minutes of DPC).

3. The reply-affidavit on behalf of respondent no.2, the Collector, Nagpur at page-59, we have the Table of the gradation of the present applicant. It

would show that the gradation was “B” or “B+”.

4. Upon reading on both these documents, it appears that before the DPC his gradation was not available. In the circumstances the concerned respondent is directed to take fresh decision in the light of the above facts. In case, the concerned respondent comes to the conclusion that no corrective steps can be taken for any other additional information or for any other reasons, then a short affidavit explaining the reasons be filed on record.

S.O. to 14/03/2018 for compliance.

To act on steno copy.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 617/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 16.02.2018

Heard Shri N.S. Warulkar, Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for R-1. Await service of R-2.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that in view of the application submitted to the respondent no.2 dated 03/01/2018 (P-20, Exh-X), the applicant does not wish to pursue the present application. He further submitted that in the fact of case that the applicant is to superannuate in the month of December,2018 and his leave applications in view of his illness are being granted time and again, the respondent no.2. may be directed to consider the case of the present applicant sympathetically.

3. In the circumstances, the present application is disposed of with a direction to respondent no.2 as above. No order as to costs.

To act on steno copy.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 885/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 16.02.2018

None for the applicant. Shri A.P. Potnis, Id. P.O. for R-1. Await service of R-2 to 6.

No steps are taken to serve respondent nos. 2 to 6.

S.O. to 21/03/2018 for taking steps or for passing necessary orders.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 16/2018 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 16.02.2018

Shri P.V. Thakre, Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for R-1. Await service of R-2 &3.

At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, **S.O. to 28/02/2018** for filing service affidavit.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 29/2018 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 16.02.2018

Shri Bharat Kulkarni, Id. counsel holding for Shri S.P. Palshikar, Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. C.P.O. for R-1. Await service of R-2 &3.

At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, **S.O. to 15/03/2018.**

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 37/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 16.02.2018

Shri Bharat Kulkarni, Id. Counsel
for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id.
P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. to 26/03/2018 for final
hearing.

Put up along with other connected
matters.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 38/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 16.02.2018

Heard Shri Bharat Kulkarni, Id.
Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I.
Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. to 26/03/2018 for final
hearing. In the meantime the applicant is
allowed to file rejoinder, if any.

Put up along with other connected
matters.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 39/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 16.02.2018

Heard Shri Bharat Kulkarni, Id.
Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A.
Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. to 26/03/2018 for final
hearing.

Put up along with other connected
matters.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 577/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 16.02.2018

None for the applicant. Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for the respondent nos. 1,2,4,5&6 and Mrs. N.A. Palshikar, Id. Counsel for R-3.

At the request of learned counsel for R-3, **S.O. to 06/03/2018.**

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 586/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 16.02.2018

Heard Shri P.V. Thakre, Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjurkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that on the basis of the instructions from the present applicant who is present in the Tribunal Hall makes a statement that he does not want to proceed with the present application. In view of that the present application stands disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 628/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 16.02.2018

Heard Shri P.S. Wathore, Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjurkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The present applicant was denied second Assured Progressive Scheme benefit by the DPC as he did not fulfill the criteria of the scheme as enumerated in G.R. dated 1/4/2010.

3. We have however the revised G.R. dated 5/7/2010 (A-7,P-42). It prescribes that for the purpose of grant of second Assured Progressive Scheme benefit, the ACRs. of last six years should be considered, further from those six ACRs. any three ACRs. favorable to the applicant shall be taken for consideration and the average of those ACRs. should be "B+".

4. In the reply-affidavit on behalf of respondent nos. 2&3 at para-4, page-48 we have the following Table regarding the

ACRs. that was placed before the District Selection Committee.

Year	Period	Confidential report	Remarks
2008-09	1/4/2008 to 31/3/2009	B+	Confidential report for last 5 years is not B+ (Absolutely Good) and therefore considering the same he has been disqualified for second time bound promotion.
2009-10	22/7/2009 to 30/11/2009	B+	
-"	30/11/2009 to 31/3/2010	A	
2010-11	1/4/2010 to 31/3/2011	B+	
2011-12	1/4/2011 to 31/3/2012	B	
2012-13	1/4/2012 to 31/3/2013	B	

5. Para-5 of the reply-affidavit would show that there was up gradation of ACR of the year 2011-2012 making it "B+" after review of the same.

6. In the circumstances one fails to understand as to how the District Selection Committee even earlier would

have refused the benefit to the present applicant because at the time also earlier ACRs. placed before the Selection Committee and there were three “B+” and one “A” gradation of the ACRs. of four years and as such the average of the same was more than “B+”. In view of these facts, the following order:-

ORDER

It is hereby declared that the applicant was entitled to get the second time bound promotion in the post w.e.f. 21/2/2013. The necessary benefit accrued there from shall be given to the present applicant. The present application accordingly is disposed of with no order as to costs.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 642/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 16.02.2018

Shri S.P. Chavhan, Id. counsel holding for Shri N.D. Thombre, Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of learned P.O.,
S.O. to 13/03/2018 for filing reply.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 649/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 16.02.2018

Shri Bharat Kulkarni, Id. counsel holding for Shri S.P. Palshikar, Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Potnis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, **S.O. to 15/03/2018.**

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 665/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 16.02.2018

Shri N.R. Saboo, Id. Counsel for
the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id.
P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of learned P.O.,
S.O. to 12/03/2018 for filing reply.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 676/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 16.02.2018

None for the applicant. Shri P.N. Warjurkar, Id. P.O. for R-1 and Mrs. N.A. Palshikar, Id. Counsel for R-2 to 5.

At the request of learned counsel for R-2 to 5, **S.O. to 13/03/2018.**

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 682/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 16.02.2018

Shri S.M. Khan, Id. counsel holding
for Shri P.C. Marpakwar, Id. Counsel for
the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O.
for the respondents.

At the request of learned P.O.,
S.O. to 05/03/2018 for filing reply.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 892/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 16.02.2018

Shri A.V. Neware, Id. counsel holding for Shri S.R. Charpe, Id. Counsel for the applicants and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of learned counsel for the applicants, **S.O. to 16/03/2018**.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 922/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 16.02.2018

Shri Bharat Kulkarni, Id. counsel holding for Shri S.P. Palshikar, Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjurkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, **S.O. to 15/03/2018.**

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 18/2018 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 16.02.2018

Shri Bharat Kulkarni, Id. counsel holding for Shri S.P. Palshikar, Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjurkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, **S.O. to 15/03/2018.**

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 22/2018 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 16.02.2018

Heard Shri S. S. Shirsat, Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. It is an exceptional that the order of suspension can very well be challenged in the departmental appeal. In view of the said fact that the O.A. is not tenable.

3. The learned counsel for the applicant raised on making submissions after submissions. In the circumstances, the present application is dismissed with cost of Rs.1,000/- to be deposited with the concerned respondents within a period of two months.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 693/2016 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 16.02.2018

Shri R.S. Suryawanshi, Id. Counsel
for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id.
P.O. for R-1,2&4. None for R-3.

At the request of learned counsel
for the applicant, **S.O. to 13/03/2018**.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 117/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 16.02.2018

The applicant in person present.
Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the
respondents.

At the request of applicant in
person, **S.O. to 13/03/2018.** .

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 187/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 16.02.2018

None for the applicant. Heard Shri V.A. Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The record shows that the applicant and his counsel did not appear on many earlier occasions. The learned P.O. submits that admittedly after superannuation of the present applicant a representation was made seeking correction in the date of birth recorded with the concerned respondent at the time of initial appointment. He submits that therefore there is no force in the present application.

3. However, in view of the absence of applicant or his counsel without going into the merits of the case, the present application stands dismissed in default with no order as to costs.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 300/2017 **(SB)**

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 16.02.2018

Heard Shri N.R. Saboo, Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The common submission from both the sides show that the representation of the present applicant regarding his transfer is pending with respondent no.1. They further submit that in the circumstances, the present application can be disposed off by directing the respondent no.1 to decide the representation within a time frame. In the circumstances, the present application is disposed of with a direction to respondent no.1 to decide the representation as well as the proposal within a period of three months from the date of this order. No order as to costs.

To act on steno copy.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 330/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 16.02.2018

Heard Shri P.V. Thakre, Id. Counsel for the applicant, Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for R-1 to 3 and Shri S.N. Gaikwad, Id. Counsel for R-4.

2. In the present application, the applicant is challenging the order of transfer of respondent no.4 solely on the ground that while the representation of respondent no.4 was favorably considered, the representation of the applicant was not favorably considered. Therefore in view of the provisions of The Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (in short "Transfers Act"), the applicant's prayer cannot be considered. In the circumstances, the present application is dismissed with no order as to costs. .

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 360/2017 **(SB)**

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 16.02.2018

Heard Shri P.B. Patil, Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Potnis , Id. P.O. for R-1 to 6. None for R-7.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant seeks time. It is to be noted that there was no interim relief granted in favour of the applicant. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has yet not joined at the transfer place. In the circumstances with a additional clarification that there is no stay to the transfer order, at the request of learned counsel for the applicant, **S.O. to 05/03/2018.** .

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 415/2017 (SB)

Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,
Vice-Chairman (J).

Dated : **16.02.2018**

Heard Shri A.N. Ansari, Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for R-1 to 4. None for R-5.

2. It is an admitted fact that the applicant was terminated from the post of Police Patil as he has got 3rd child against the rules and the said fact was not disclosed at the time of appointment.

3. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that in fact the said 3rd child namely Ku. Yukti was given by adoption to one Shri Deoram L. Banait (P-48) and therefore there was no 3rd child. The learned counsel for the applicant relied on the rules of Maharashtra Civil Services (Small Family), Rules, 2005 (Annex-R-1,P-58). The second explanation of Rule-2 defines child excluding the adopted child.

4. Upon hearing both the sides, there is no force in the O.A. According to the applicant he has "given in adoption" the 3rd child and has not" adopted the 3rd child" and as such the termination cannot be assailed. The application is therefore dismissed with no order as to costs.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 431/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 16.02.2018

Shri P.J. Mehta, Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for the Respondents.

At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, **S.O. to 07/03/2018**.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 690/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Justice M.T.Joshi,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 16.02.2018

Heard Shri M.P. Kariya, Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the Respondents.

At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, **S.O. to 20/03/2018** for filing rejoinder.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 949/2017. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J)**

Dated : 16th February 2018.

C.A. No. 38/2018

Heard Shri N.R. Saboo, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, the Ld. P.O. for the respondent No.1.

2. In the C.A., the applicant is claiming direction to respondent No.3 not to fill the post of Multipurpose Health Worker at P.H.C., Kangaon, sub-centre, Kosula. Admittedly, the respondent No.3 has selected the applicant for the said post and only appointment order was to be issued. But in the meantime, the applicant has been informed that he cannot be appointed for the reason that necessary payment sheet has not been made available by the concerned department and, therefore, the applicant cannot be appointed. Prima facie, it seems that the applicant is not responsible for submission of such payment sheet. In the interest of justice, the respondent No.3 is, therefore, directed not to appoint anybody in place of the applicant till further orders.

3. In the meantime, issue notice to respondent Nos. 2 to 4 returnable within **four weeks**.

4. Shri A.M. Khadatkar, the Ld. P.O. waives notice or the respondent No.1. Hamdast granted.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

7. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunals (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post or courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within three weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. Steno copy be provided to the Ld. P.O.

Vice-
Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No. 950/2017. (D.B.)

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J)

Dated : 16th February 2018.

C.A. No. 39/2018

Heard Shri N.R. Saboo, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, the Ld. P.O. for the respondent No.1.

2. In the C.A., the applicant is claiming direction to respondent No.3 not to fill the post of Multipurpose Health Worker at P.H.C., Nachangaon. Admittedly, the respondent No.3 has selected the applicant for the said post and only appointment order was to be issued. But in the meantime, the applicant has been informed that he cannot be appointed for the reason that necessary payment sheet has not been made available by the concerned department and, therefore, the applicant cannot be appointed. Prima facie, it seems that the applicant is not responsible for submission of such payment sheet. In the interest of justice, the respondent No.3 is, therefore, directed not to

appoint anybody in place of the applicant till further orders.

3. In the meantime, issue notice to respondent Nos. 2 to 4 returnable within **four weeks**.

4. Shri A.M. Khadatkar, the Ld. P.O. waives notice to the respondent No.1. Hamdast granted.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

7. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunals (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post or courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within three weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. Steno copy be provided to the Ld. P.O.

Vice-
Chairman(J)

Pdg

O.A. No. 135/2018. (D.B.)

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J)

Dated : 16th February 2018.

Heard Dr. A.Y. Humne, the applicant in person and Shri S.A. Deo, the Ld. C.P.O. for the respondent No.1.

2. The applicant is claiming stay to the enquiry initiate by respondent No.2 i.e. Acting Dean, Govt. Medical College, Akola. Respondent No.2 is joined as a party in the personal capacity and not as a Dean. It seems that wild allegations are made against respondent Nos. 2 to 7 and, therefore, there is a need of reply of the said respondents. Inquiry is just initiated and the applicant will get an opportunity to put his case before the Inquiry Officer. In any case, this is not a fit case to grant any interim stay to the enquiry.

3. Hence, issue notice to respondent Nos. 2 to 7 returnable within four weeks.

4. Shri S.A. Deo, the Ld. C.P.O. waives notice or the respondent No.1. Hamdast granted.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

7. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunals (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post or courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within three weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

Vice-
Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No. 417/2016. (D.B.)

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J)

Dated : 16th February 2018.

C.A.524/2017

Shri M.R. Johrapurkar,
learned counsel for the applicant
and Shri A.M. Ghogre, the Ld. P.O.
for respondents 1 and 2. Shri M.V.
Mohokar, Adv. for R.3.

S.O. **two weeks.**

Vice-
Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No. 50/2017. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J)**

Dated : 16th February 2018.

C.A.27/2018

Shri P.S. Khubalkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, the Ld. P.O. for the respondents 1 and 2. None for R.3.

S.O. **20.2.2018.**

Vice-
Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No. 489/2017. (D.B.)

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,

Vice-Chairman(J)

Dated : 16th February 2018.

Shri R.M. Tiwari, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, the Ld. P.O. for the respondents.

Ld. P.O. has filed reply affidavit on behalf of R.2 & 3. It is taken on record and a copy is supplied to the other side.

S.O. **one week.**

Vice-
Chairman(J)

O.A. No. 04/2018. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J)**

Dated : 16th February 2018.

C.A.01/2018

Shri R.N. Palaspagar, Adv. holding for Shri S.M. Nafde, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, the Ld. C.P.O. for the respondents 1 to 3. Shri V.S. Giramkar, Adv. for R.4.

S.O. **22.2.2018.**

Vice-
Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No. 25/2018. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J)**

Dated : 16th February 2018.

Shri N.R. Saboo, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, the Ld. P.O. for the respondents 1 and 2. None for R. 4 and 5.

Await service to R.3 and 6.

S.O. **one week.**

Vice-
Chairman(J)

Pdg

O.A. No. 111/2018. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J)**

Dated : 16th February 2018.

Shri M.P. Kariya, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, the Ld. P.O. for the respondents 1 to 3. Shri P.S. Wathore Adv. for R.4.

S.O. 21.2.2018

Vice-
Chairman(J)

Pdg

O.A. No. 108/2017. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J)**

Dated : 16th February 2018.

Shri Sandeep Tatke, Adv. holding for Shri R.V. Shiralkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, the Ld. P.O. for the respondents.

Ld. P.O. has filed reply affidavit on behalf of R.1 to 3. It is taken on record and a copy is supplied to the other side.

S.O. **two weeks.**

Vice-
Chairman(J)

Pdg

O.A. No. 365/2017. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J)**

Dated : 16th February 2018.

Shri R.S. Suryavanshi,
learned counsel for the applicant
and Shri A.M. Ghogre, the Ld. P.O.
for the respondents.

Ld. P.O. has filed reply
affidavit on behalf of R.2. It is taken
on record and a copy is supplied to
the other side.

S.O. 27.2.2018

Vice-
Chairman(J)

Pdg

O.A. No. 724/2017. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J)**

Dated : 16th February 2018.

Shri Sandeep Tatke, Adv. holding for Shri R.V. Shiralkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, the Ld. C.P.O. for the respondents.

Ld. C.P.O. has filed reply affidavit on behalf of R. 1 to 3. It is

taken on record and a copy is supplied to the other side.

S.O. two weeks.

Vice-
Chairman(J)

Pdg

O.A. No. 761/2017. (D.B.)

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J)

Dated : 16th February 2018.

The applicant is present in person. Shri V.A. Kulkarni,, the Ld. P.O. for the respondent Nos. 1,2 and 3.

Ld. C.P.O. has filed reply affidavit on behalf of R. 1 and 2 and reply of R.3 separately. It is taken on record and he undertakes to supply its copy to the other side.

S.O. **two weeks.**

Vice-
Chairman(J)

Pdg

O.A. No. 485/2014. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J)**

Dated : 16th February 2018.

R.A. 18/2017.

The applicant is present in person. Shri P.N. Warjekar, the Ld. P.O. for the respondent Nos. 1 to 6.

Ld. P.O. has filed reply affidavit on behalf of R. 1, 2 and 4 to 6. It is taken on record and a copy of it is supplied to the other side.

S.O. 6.3.2018..

Vice-
Chairman(J)

Pdg

O.A. No. 886/2017. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J)**

Dated : 16th February 2018.

Shri A.B. Chalak, Adv. for the
applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar
the Ld. P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. 2.3.2018. for reply.

Vice-
Chairman(J)

Pdg