

**O.A.No.251/2018**

**(S.B.)**

**Coram:Shri J.D. Kulkarni,**  
**Vice-Chairman (J)**

**Dated : 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2018.**

None for the applicant. Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

Hamdast has not been collected, as seen from non-compliance of the order. Order dated 15.10.2018 clearly states that if noticed are not collected within three days and service report is not filed before the returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to the order of this Tribunal. In pursuance of it, applicant has not collected hamdast nor remained present. Hence, the O.A. stands dismissed.

Vice-Chairman(J)

Dt. 22.11.2018.

pdg.

O.A.No.307/2018

(S.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J)**

**Dated : 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2018.**

None for the applicant. Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for the respondent No.1.

Await service to R.2. Applicant to file service affidavit.

S.O. **two weeks.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

Dt. 22.11.2018.

pdg.

O.A.No.311/2018

(S.B.)

**Coram:Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J)**

**Dated : 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2018.**

None for the applicant. Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Ld. P.O., S.O. **two weeks.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

Dt. 22.11.2018.

pdg.

O.A.No.454/2018

(S.B.)

**Coram:Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J)**

**Dated : 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2018.**

Shri A.D. Girdekar, Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Ld. P.O., S.O. **two weeks.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

Dt. 22.11.2018.

pdg.

O.A.No.472/2018

(S.B.)

**Coram:Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J)**

**Dated : 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2018.**

Shri M.R. Khan, Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Ld. P.O., S.O. **two weeks** for filing reply.

Vice-Chairman(J)

Dt. 22.11.2018.

pdg.

O.A.No.675/2018

(S.B.)

**Coram:Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J)**

**Dated : 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2018.**

None for the applicant. Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3. None for R.4.

At the request of Ld. P.O., S.O. **two weeks** for filing reply.

Vice-Chairman(J)

Dt. 22.11.2018.

pdg.

**O.A.Nos.432/2017 & 498/2017. (S.B.)**

**Coram:Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J)**

**Dated : 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2018.**

**R.A.Nos. 03 and 04 of 2018.**

Heard Shri M.I. Khan and Shri A.M. Ghogre, the Ld. P.Os for the applicants (original respondents) and Shri N.D. Thombre, the learned counsel for the respondents. (original applicants).

2. The State has filed these Review Applications against the order passed by this Tribunal on 20.2.2018 in both the O.As.

3. The learned P.Os submit that in the order contents of reply affidavit filed by the Respondent /State in para No.11 has not been considered, wherein the respondents have referred the case of the Hon'ble Apex Court in **Jagdev Singh's case**. In the said case, the aspect of undertaking given by the employee at the time of fixing of revised pay scale has been considered. Perusal of the order shows that this aspect is not considered and it needs to be considered. I am, therefore, satisfied that there is an

apparent inadvertent mistake in the order passed by this Tribunal. The order dated 22.2.2018 stands quashed and set aside and the matter will be heard afresh, keeping the point of undertaking open for consideration. Review Application Nos. 03 and 04 of 2018 are allowed and O.A. Nos. 432/2017 & 498/2017 be kept for final hearing for reconsideration on **18.12.2018**.

Vice-Chairman(J)

Dt. 22.11.2018.

pdg.



O.A.No. 628/2018

(S.B.)

**Coram:Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J)**

**Dated : 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2018.**

Shri D.M. Kakani, Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, the learned P.O. for the respondent No.1. Shri S.N. Gaikwad, Adv. for R.2.

At the request of Ld. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. two weeks** for filing reply to the amended O.A. as a last chance.

Vice-Chairman(J)

Dt. 22.11.2018.

pdg.

O.A.No. 859/2018

(S.B.)

**Coram:Shri J.D. Kulkarni,**  
**Vice-Chairman (J)**

**Dated : 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2018.**

Shri G.K. Iyer, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

The Ld. counsel for the applicant wants to take instructions from the applicant and for that purpose, he seeks time till Monday.

**S.O. 26.11.2018.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

Dt. 22.11.2018.

pdg.

**O.A.No. 882/2018**

**(S.B.)**

**Coram:Shri J.D. Kulkarni,**  
**Vice-Chairman (J)**

**Dated : 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2018.**

Shri S.N. Gaikwad, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, the learned P.O. for the respondents 1 to 3. None for R.4.

The Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that the grievance of the applicant has been redressed and, therefore, he wants to withdraw the O.A. He seeks permission to withdraw the O.A. Permission is granted. O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

Vice-Chairman(J)

Dt. 22.11.2018.

pdg.

O.A.No. 328/2018

(S.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J)**

**Dated : 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2018.**

Shri V.B. Bhise, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Ld. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. 27.11.2018.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

Dt. 22.11.2018.

pdg.

O.A.No. 657/2015

(S.B.)

**Coram:Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J)**

**Dated : 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2018.**

Shri V.B. Bhise, Adv. holding for Shri A.C. Dharmadhikari, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Ld. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. 27.11.2018.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

Dt. 22.11.2018.

pdg.

O.A.No. 720/2015

(S.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J)**

**Dated : 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2018.**

Shri Mahesh Vyas, Adv. holding for Shri U.S. Deshpande, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

**S.O. 26.11.2018.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

Dt. 22.11.2018.

pdg.

O.A.No. 262/2015

(S.B.)

**Coram:Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J)**

**Dated : 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2018.**

Shri M.R. Khan, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

The Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that there is a typographical mistake in typing the name of respondent No.3 and the same shall be allowed to be corrected. Permission is granted to correct the mistake. It be corrected today itself.

At the request of P.O., **S.O. one week.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

Dt. 22.11.2018.

pdg.

O.A.No. 681/2015

(S.B.)

**Coram:Shri J.D. Kulkarni,**  
**Vice-Chairman (J)**

**Dated : 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2018.**

Shri J.R. Kidilay, the Ld. counsel for the applicants and Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for the respondents 1& 2. Shri P.V. Thakre, Adv. for R. 3 to 5.

The Ld. counsel for the applicant seeks permission to carry out the amendment which was granted on 12.4.2017. He is permitted to carry out the amendment today. It is stated that in the similar issue, this Tribunal has given judgment in O.A. No. 837/2016 on 2.2.2017 and the same has been challenged before the Hon'ble High Court at Mumbai in W.P. No. 2605/2017 and the said matter is pending for final hearing on 8<sup>th</sup> January 2019. It is, therefore, requested that the matter be kept after 8<sup>th</sup> January 2019. In view thereof, matter be kept in the **second week of January 2019.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

Dt. 22.11.2018.  
pdg.



O.A.No. 375/2016

(S.B.)

**Coram:Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J)**

**Dated : 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2018.**

Shri B.D. Pandit, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

The Ld. counsel for the applicant seeks permission to carry out the amendment which was granted on 12.4.2017. He is permitted to carry out the amendment today. It is stated that in the similar issue, this Tribunal has given judgment in O.A. No. 837/2016 on 2.2.2017 and the same has been challenged before the Hon'ble High Court at Mumbai in W.P. No. 2605/2017 and the said matter is pending for final hearing on 8<sup>th</sup> January 2019. It is, therefore, requested that the matter be kept after 8<sup>th</sup> January 2019. In view thereof, matter be kept in the **second week of January 2019.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

Dt. 22.11.2018.  
pdg.

O.A.No. 724/2015

(S.B.)

**Coram:Shri J.D. Kulkarni,**  
**Vice-Chairman (J)**

**Dated : 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2018.**

None for the applicant. Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. **28.11.2018** for passing necessary orders.

Vice-Chairman(J)

Dt. 22.11.2018.

pdg.

**O.A.No. 228/2016**

**(S.B.)**

**Coram:Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J)**

**Dated : 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2018.**

Shri M.R. Khan, Adv. holding for Shri N.R. Saboo, Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, the learned P.O. for the respondents 1 and 2. Shri A.Y. Kapgate, Adv. for R.3.

At the request of the Ld. counsel for the applicant, S.O. **20.12.2018.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

Dt. 22.11.2018.

pdg.

O.A.No. 502/2017

(S.B.)

**Coram:Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J)**

**Dated : 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2018.**

None for the applicant. Shri S.A. Sainis, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

On the last date, nobody had appeared for the applicant. Hence, it be kept for dismissal on **27.11.2018.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

Dt. 22.11.2018.

pdg.

O.A.No. 793/2016

(S.B.)

**Coram:Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J)**

**Dated : 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2018.**

Shri R.V. Shiralkar, Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of the Ld. counsel for the applicant, S.O. **three weeks** for filing rejoinder.

Vice-Chairman(J)

Dt. 22.11.2018.

pdg.

O.A. No. 915/2012. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Member (A) and  
Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J)  
Dated : 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2018.**

Shri S.N.Gaikwad, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I.Khan, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The Id. counsel for the applicant requested that the matter was already heard in other bench constituted with Hon'ble Vice Chairman (J) and Hon'ble Member (A). The Id. counsel for the applicant further requested that matter will be heard in the same Bench.

The Id. counsel for the applicant's request is examined. At his request, **S.O. two weeks.**

**Member(J)**  
**Date:-22/11/2018.**  
aps.

**Member(A)**

O.A. No. 545/2008. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Member (A) and  
Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J)**

**Dated : 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2018.**

**C.A.Nos. 546/2017 & 259/2018:-**

Shri S.N.Gaikwad, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A.Sainis, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The Id. counsel for the applicant requested for one week time to file Vakalatnama as well as reply on C.As. on behalf of the Shri P.B.Patil.

The C.A. No. 259/2018 for early hearing is not pressed by the Id. counsel for the applicant.

**S.O. 28/11/2018.**

**Member(J)  
Date:-22/11/2018.  
aps.**

**Member(A)**

O.A. No. 356/2017. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Member (A) and  
Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J)**

**Dated : 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2018.**

**C.A.No. 351/2018:-**

Shri S.Borkute, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.Ghogre, the Id. P.O. for the respondent nos. 1 & 2. None for the respondent no. 3.

2. The Id. counsel for the applicant submits that during pendency of the O.A. waitlisted candidate at Sr. No. 3 is appointed inspite of the applicant at Sr. No. 1.

3. The Id. counsel for the applicant wants to amend the O.A.. The Id. counsel of the applicant is directed to carry out the amended within one week. Hence, the **C.A. No. 351/2018 is allowed**. Accordingly, he wants to make the party to the newly added person. Issue notice to the newly added person.

4. Issue notice to R-3, returnable on Four Weeks. Learned P.O. waives notice for R-1. Hamdast allowed.



5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed

without reference to Tribunal and papers be  
consigned to record.

**10. S.O. four weeks.**

**Member(J)**  
**Date:-22/11/2018.**  
aps.

**Member(A)**

**O.A. Nos. 444 & 114/2017. (D.B.)**

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Member (A) and  
Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J)**  
**Dated : 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2018.**

**C.P.Nos. 43 & 45/2018:-**

Shri N.R.Saboo, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I.Khan, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The Id. counsel for the applicant is pointed out that show cause notice has not been served to the respondent no. 2. The Id. P.O. does not have any instructions regarding the delivery of show cause notice. The matter pertains to the contempt of order dated 05/05/2018 and it is clear cut seems to be contempt of order.

3. The Id. P.O. has placed on record order No. `df t i uk@v k j k ; @v k L F A k & 3 @ x V & c @ 8 5 1 9 @ 2 0 1 8 ]` dated 20/11/2018 by C.E.O., Z.P., Nagpur and order No. `v L F A k & 2 @ e o s \ k l @ 4 0 2 1 2 & 1 8 @ 1 8` by Deputy Director, Public Health Services, Akola Mandal, Akola. Copy of the above same is supplied to the other side. Today itself the Id. counsel for the applicant get the copy, he wants to go through the same.

4. The Id. P.O. also needs to take instructions from the department. Office will

ensure that show cause notice is again issue to the respondent no. 2. The Id. P.O. is requested that concerned respondent should appear before this Tribunal and explain the same. The Id. P.O. is further requested to intimate the order to the respondent no. 2 to avoid the delay.

5. Issue Notice to the respondent Nos. 2 returnable in **06/12/2018** under Rule 8 of the MAT (Contempt of Courts) Rules, 1996 as to why they should not be proceeded for committing contempt of this Tribunal's order and as to why they shall not be punished under the Contempt of Court Act. Respondent No. 2 shall appear personally before this Tribunal.

6. Shri M.I.Khan, the learned P.O. waives notice for respondents. Hamdast granted.

7. S.O. **06/12/2018**.

8. Steno copy is granted.

9. *Put up this matter along with the C.P. Nos. 46/2018, 32/2017 & 33/2017.*

**Member(J)**

**Date:-22/11/2018.**

**O.A. No. 403/2017.**

**Member(A)**

**(D.B.)**

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Member (A) and**

**Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J)**  
**Dated : 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2018.**

**C.P.No. 46/2018:-**

Shri N.R.Saboo, the Id. counsel for the applicant, Shri M.I.Khan, the Id. P.O. for the respondent nos. 1 & 2 & Shri S.N.Gaikwad, the Id. counsel for the respondent no. 3.

2. The Id. counsel for the applicant is pointed out that show cause notice has not been served to the respondent no. 2. The Id. P.O. does not have any instructions regarding the delivery of show cause notice. The matter pertains to the contempt of order dated 05/05/2018 and it is clear cut seems to be contempt of order.

3. The Id. P.O. has placed on record order No. dft i uk@vkj; @vklFAk&3@xV&c@8519@2018] dated 20/11/2018 by C.E.O., Z.P., Nagpur and order No. vLFAk&2@eo\$kl @40212&18@18 by Deputy Director, Public Health Services, Akola Mandal, Akola. Copy of the above same is supplied to the other side. Today itself the Id. counsel for the applicant get the copy, he wants to go through the same.

4. The Id. P.O. also needs to take instructions from the department. Office will ensure that show cause notice is again issue to the respondent no. 2. The Id. P.O. is requested that concerned respondent should appear

before this Tribunal and explain the same. The Id. P.O. is further requested to intimate the order to the respondent no. 2 to avoid the delay.

5. Issue Notice to the respondent Nos. 2 returnable in **06/12/2018** under Rule 8 of the MAT (Contempt of Courts) Rules, 1996 as to why they should not be proceeded for committing contempt of this Tribunal's order and as to why they shall not be punished under the Contempt of Court Act. Respondent No. 2 shall appear personally before this Tribunal.

6. Shri M.I.Khan, the learned P.O. waives notice for respondents. Hamdast granted.

7. S.O. **06/12/2018**.

8. Steno copy is granted.

9. *Put up this matter along with the C.P. Nos. 43 & 45/2018 & 32 & 33/2017.*

**Member(J)**

**Date:-22/11/2018.**

**O.A. Nos. 75 & 42/2016.**

**Member(A)**

**(D.B.)**

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,**

**Member (A) and**

**Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J)**

**Dated : 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2018.**

**C.P.Nos. 32 & 33/2017:-**

Shri N.R.Saboo, the Id. counsel for the applicant & Shri A.M.Ghogre, the Id. P.O. for

the respondent nos. 1 to 3. None for the respondent no. 4.

S.O. **06/12/2018**.

*Put up this matter alongwith the C.P.  
Nos. 43, 45 & 46/2018.*

**Member(J)**  
**Date:-22/11/2018.**  
aps.

**Member(A)**

O.A. No. 98/2016. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Member (A) and  
Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J)**  
**Dated : 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2018.**

**C.P.No. 35/2018:-**

None for the applicant. Shri A.M.Khadatkar, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The Id. P.O. submitted that order of the Tribunal was issued on 10/02/2016 which was challenged in the Hon'ble High Court. The Hon'ble High Court in order dated 09/06/2017 in last para, remanded back in O.A. No. 98/2016 to the Tribunal to decide the matter within three months from the date of this order. This Tribunal in order dated 10/10/2018 in O.A. No. 65/2016 and O.A.No. 98/2016, dismissed the O.As.

The Id. P.O. is therefore, directed to produce all these documents and clarify on Monday. **S.O. Monday i.e. 26/11/2018.**

**Member(J)**  
**Date:-22/11/2018.**  
aps.

**Member(A)**

O.A. No. 243/2012. (D.B.)



**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Member (A) and  
Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J)**  
**Dated : 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2018.**

Shri R.V.Shiralkar, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N.Warjurkar, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The Id. counsel for the applicant invited my attention to the Annexure-A-6, P.B., Pg.No. 42. In that order the persons promoted from the different faculty and applicant is from the Marathi faculty. There is no documents regarding the same. It is understood that in Education field Lecturers seniority is kept subject wise and there is no documents which is showing the list of seniority of Lecturers in Marathi subject and at the same time, the Id. counsel for the applicant is also not clear that whether any Junior Lecturers has been promoted above the applicant.

In view of this, the Id. counsel for the applicant is directed to consult his client and place the concerned documents on record about vacancy in Marathi Language and seniority list of Marathi Language.

The Id. P.O. is also requested to procure and place on record, seniority list of

Lecturers in Marathi Language and any promotion in that Department.

**S.O. after two weeks.**

*Matter is treated as P.H.*

*Steno copy is granted.*

**Member(J)**  
**Date:-22/11/2018.**  
aps.

**Member(A)**

O.A. No. 972/2017. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Member (A) and  
Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J)**  
**Dated : 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2018.**

Shri S.M.Khan, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N.Warjurkar, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The Id. counsel for the applicant has filed the pursis dated 05/11/2018 and he has submitted that grievances of the applicant have been redressed.

In view of that O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.

**Member(J)**  
**Date:-22/11/2018.**  
aps.

**Member(A)**

O.A. No. 114/2018. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Member (A) and  
Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J)**

**Dated : 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2018.**

Shri N.S.Warulkar holding for Shri S.S.Shingane, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N.Warjurkar, the Id. P.O. for the respondents. None for the Caveator.

The Id. counsel for the applicant submits that he will file the 2<sup>nd</sup> set of Rejoinder within two weeks.

**S.O. two weeks for Rejoinder.**

**Member(J)  
Date:-22/11/2018.  
aps.**

**Member(A)**

O.A. No. 508/2018. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Member (A) and  
Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J)**

**Dated : 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2018.**

Shri P.N.Shende, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N.Warjurkar, the Id. P.O. for the State.

The Id. counsel for the applicant submits that notices have been served to the respondent nos. 2 & 3. However, he will file the affidavit to that effect within one week time.

S.O. two weeks.

**Member(J)**  
**Date:-22/11/2018.**  
aps.

**Member(A)**

**O.A. No. 85/2017. (D.B.)**

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Member (A) and  
Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J)**

**Dated : 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2018.**

**C.P.No.39/2018:-**

Heard Shri D.N.Mathur, the Id. counsel for the applicant, Shri M.I.Khan, the Id. P.O. for the Respondents, the Commissioner & the Director in persons in detailed.

The respondent nos. 1 & 2 have been directed that amount related to G.P.F., G.I.S. and Pension whatever is within their power they should ensure that the payment is made to the petitioner as early as possible and the documents must be file regarding whatever the power there senior officers are having. They should submit the detailed of the same.

The respondent nos. 1 & 2 are also requested not to call the applicant unnecessarily to Mumbai or anywhere because applicant has to bear travel cost and if there is necessity, then only they should call the applicant.

**S.O. 06/12/2018.**

**Member(J)**  
**Date:-22/11/2018.**  
aps.

**Member(A)**

O.A. No. 92/2009. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Member (A) and  
Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J)**

**Dated : 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2018.**

**C.P.No.47/2018:-**

Heard Shri S.Khandekar, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N.Warjurkar, the Id. P.O. for the Respondents.

The Id. P.O. filed the affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent no. 5. It is taken on record. Copy is served to the other side.

The Id. counsel for the applicant submits that just now he got the reply. He wants to go through it.

At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. three weeks.**

*In the meantime, the Id. counsel for the applicant is at liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.*

**Member(J)**  
**Date:-22/11/2018.**  
aps.

**Member(A)**



**O.A. No. 400/2018. (D.B.)**

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Member (A) and  
Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J)**

**Dated : 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2018.**

Shri D.N.Mathur, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A.Sainis, the Id. P.O. for the Respondents.

In last hearing, the Id. P.O. was directed to take instructions regarding the Technical mistake in the chargesheet. The Id. P.O. is yet to take instructions.

The Id. P.O. is directed to take instructions and whether the proposal has been sent to the Government or not and the same should be filed on record.

**S.O. 03/12/2018.**

**Member(J)  
Date:-22/11/2018.  
aps.**

**Member(A)**

O.A. No. 749/2016. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Member (A) and  
Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J)**

**Dated : 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2018.**

Shri N.S.Warulkar, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A.Sainis, the Id. P.O. for the Respondents.

The Id. P.O. filed the affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent no. 3. It is taken on record. Copy is served to the other side. The Id. counsel for the applicant wants to go through the same.

The Id. P.O. must take instructions under which paragraph of the G.R., the respondents are refusing the appointment claimed by the applicant.

**S.O. two weeks.**

*Matter is treated as P.H.*

**Member(J)**  
**Date:-22/11/2018.**  
aps.

**Member(A)**

O.A. No. 335/2011. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Member (A) and  
Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J)**

**Dated : 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2018.**

Shri N.S.Warulkar holding for Shri N.R.Tekade, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N.Warjurkar, the Id. P.O. for the Respondent nos. 1 to 3. None for the respondent no. 4.

At the request of the Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. one week as a last chance.**

**Member(J)  
Date:-22/11/2018.  
aps.**

**Member(A)**