

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.316/2015 IN O.A.St.No.709/2015**

(Dr. P.M.Kamble V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 15-11-2016

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri M.N.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. prays for time for filing reply in the M.A. on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O.09-12-2016.

YUK ORAL ORDER 15-11-2016

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.195/2016**

(G.B.Shejwal V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 15-11-2016

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri A.D.Sonar learned Advocate holding for  
Shri V.B.Patil learned Advocate for the applicant and  
Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for  
adjournment. Adjournment granted.

3. S.O.16-12-2016.

YUK ORAL ORDER 15-11-2016

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.682/2015**

(B.Y.Sole & Ors. V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 15-11-2016

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Smt. Vinaya Muley-Dharurkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. It seems that number of dates are already given for filing reply, however, last chance is granted to the learned P.O. to file reply. Time of 2 weeks is granted as a last chance for filing reply.

4. If reply is not filed on 09-12-2016, heavy costs will be saddled on the respondents.

5. S.O.09-12-2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 15-11-2016

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.811/2015**

(S.S.Bangar V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 15-11-2016

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to take instruction about steps taken in the matter. Hence, S.O. 24-11-2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 15-11-2016

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.830/2015**

(Dr. B.G.Manoorkar V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 15-11-2016

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri K.G.Salunke learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant requested for adjournment. Adjournment granted.

3. S.O. 16-11-2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 15-11-2016

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.104/2016**  
(Dr. L.N.Dolas V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 15-11-2016

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. It seems from the record that notices were issued vide order dated 08-02-2016. Thereafter, number of dates have been taken for filing reply affidavit on behalf of the respondents.

4. Learned P.O. submits that nobody has turned up inspite of intimation to the concerned department to file reply.

5. Such excuse of the respondents cannot be accepted for failure to file reply. In fact, respondents are negligent in filing reply. A cost of Rs.2000/- (Rs. Two thousand only) is saddled on the respondents for failure to file reply. Costs be paid on the next date and reply also be filed on that date. Costs of Rs.2000/- be paid to M.A.T. Bar Association.

6. S.O. 09-12-2016.

7. Steno copy be provided to the learned P.O.

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.151/2016**

(Dr. S.M.Surwase V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 15-11-2016

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri P.R.Tandale learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Since pleadings are complete, matter is admitted and kept for final hearing on 16-12-2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 15-11-2016

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.188/2016**  
(J.V.Rathod V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J)  
DATE : 15-11-2016

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Shri A.U.Pawar/Mujahed Haque learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri S.S.Deshmukh/M.S.Sheikh learned Advocate for respondent no.3 (**absent**).

Heard Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents and Shri Ashish Rajkar learned Advocate for respondent no.4.

2. It seems that the applicant and his Advocate/s are not appearing in the matter since long. Vide order dated 10-10-2016, it was observed that nobody has appeared since last 3 occasions, and therefore, matter was kept for dismissal on 15-11-2016 i.e. on today. Today also nobody appears for the applicant.

3. It is, therefore, evident that the applicant may not be interested in prosecuting the O.A. Hence, O.A. stands dismissed in default.

**MEMBER (J)**



YUK ORAL ORDER 15-11-2016

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.222/2016  
(S.K.Patil V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 15-11-2016

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri S.R.Sapkal learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that inspite of stay granted by the Tribunal on 21-03-2016 not to appoint the respondent no.3, respondent no.3 has been appointed as Police Patil for village Ghanegaon Tanda, Tq. Soygaon, Dist. Aurangabad.

3. Learned P.O. is directed to take instruction as to whether this is true and respondent no.2 shall file affidavit as to under what circumstances the respondent no.3 has been appointed, inspite of stay of the Tribunal.

4. S.O. tomorrow i.e. 16-11-2016.

5. Steno copy be provided to the learned P.O.

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.229/2016**

(Dr. P.D.Gaikwad V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 15-11-2016

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submits that he will file reply affidavit on the next date failing which costs of Rs.2000/- may be saddled on the respondents.

3. In view of this undertaking, S.O.02-12-2016 for filing reply of the respondents.

4. Steno copy be provided to the learned P.O.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 15-11-2016

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.253/2016**

(M.N.Tadvi V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 15-11-2016

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Shri M.M.Bhokarikar learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Smt. Resha Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos.1 to 3 and Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for respondent no.4.

2. Learned Advocate for respondent no.4 submits that he will file reply affidavit during the course of the day. He undertakes to serve copy of the reply on the other side.

3. S.O.19-12-2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 15-11-2016

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.260/2016**

(R.D.Bhadad V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 15-11-2016

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that suspension period has been regularized and no grievance is remained to be redressed. In view thereof, this O.A. may be disposed of.

3. Learned P.O. has also placed on record copies of the orders dated 15-09-2016 and 17-09-2016 passed by the competent authority in this regard, which are marked as Exhibit-X and X-1 for identification.

4. In view thereof, O.A. stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.265/2016**

(S.H.Rathod V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 15-11-2016

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri A.D.Sugdare learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply affidavit on behalf of respondents. Time granted as a last chance.
3. If reply is not filed till next date, heavy costs will be saddled on the respondents.
4. S.O.13-12-2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 15-11-2016

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.285/2016**

(D.V.Salgarkar V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 15-11-2016

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri S.B.Mene learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S.Deshpande learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents and Shri S.D.Dhongde learned Advocate for respondent no.3.

2. Learned P.O. Shri Thorat requests time for filing reply on behalf of the respondent no.2. Already many adjournments are taken for filing reply. However, last chance is granted on condition that heavy costs will be saddled on the respondents if reply is not filed on the next date.

3. S.O.02-12-2016.

4. Steno copy be provided to the learned P.O.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 15-11-2016

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.387/2016**

(Dr. Nomani Md. Tahair V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 15-11-2016

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Smt. Vinaya Muley learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted as a last chance.

3. However, last chance is granted on condition that heavy costs will be saddled on the respondents if reply is not filed on the next date.

4. S.O.20-12-2016.

5. Steno copy be provided to the learned P.O.

**MEMBER (J)**



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.505/2016**

(M.A.Suradkar V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 15-11-2016

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri N.K.Tungar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents. Shri N.L.Jadhav / K.N.Farooqui learned Advocate for respondent no.4 (**absent**).

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the applicant. Learned P.O. undertakes to serve copy of the reply on respondent no.4.

3. S.O.14-12-2016.

YUK ORAL ORDER 15-11-2016

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.517/2016**

(B.R.Sardar V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 15-11-2016

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he could not amend the O.A. during the vacation and seeks permission to amend it during the course of the day.

Permission is granted.

3. Learned CPO seeks time to file reply to the amended O.A. Time granted.

3. S.O.28-11-2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 15-11-2016

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 771 OF 2015  
[Shri Shravan Bajirao Dabhade Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra & Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.11.2016.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present Original Application is heard at length and reserved for orders.

**MEMBER (J)**

**HDD**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 408 OF 2013  
[Shri Gangadhar Rambhau Dahiwal Vs. The State of Mah.  
& Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.11.2016.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the similar matter i.e. O.A. No. 771/2015 is being dealt with by the Single Bench today. The learned Advocates for the respective parties have agreed that this O.A. No. 408/2013 also can be heard by the Single Bench. Hence, Registrar of this Tribunal Bench at Aurangabad is directed to place the present O.A. before the Single Bench.

3. Accordingly, the present Original Application is heard at length and reserved for orders.

**MEMBER (J)**

**hdd**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 242 OF 2016  
[Shri Govind Ramkisan Sakhare Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.11.2016.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke – learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude – learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 4 and Shri S.K. Sawangikar – learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. The Learned Advocate for respondent No. 3 seeks permission to file affidavit in reply on his behalf. The learned Advocate for the applicant, as well as, learned Presenting Officer, have no objection and they have also received the copy of the said affidavit in reply. Hence, the copy of the same is taken on record.

3. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that during the pendency of the present Original Application the applicant has received the information that the respondent No. 3 is having more than two children after 2005 and, therefore, his candidature is invalid in view of Government Resolution dated 28.3.2005. He, therefore, seeks permission to amend the present O.A. and to challenge the appointment of respondent No. 3 on this count also.

4. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he will amend the O.A. within a period of one week.

5. Hence, S.O. to 24<sup>th</sup> November, 2016 for amendment.

**MEMBER (J)**

**hdd**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 276 OF 2016  
[Girjabai Hiranman Khandagale & Ors. Vs. The State of  
Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.11.2016.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri D.G. Kamble – learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri N.U. Yadav – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. The learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2 and the same is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 20<sup>th</sup> December, 2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

**hdd**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 420 OF 2016  
[Shri Shivaji Pandurang Nikale Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra & Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.11.2016.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi – learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri I.S. Thorat – learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri Ganesh Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Shelke – learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 16<sup>th</sup> November, 2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

**hdd**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 852 OF 2016  
[Shri Ankush Kundlik Tekale Vs. The State of Maharashtra  
& Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
[This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE : 15.11. 2016.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The applicant has challenged the order of his demotion from the post of Police Head Constable to the post of Constable. The said order is with retrospective effect, since he was promoted to the post of Police Naik on 20.07.2006 and to the post of Head Police Constable on 30.04.2014. It seems that both these promotions have been cancelled. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that at the most the applicant could have been reverted to the post of Police Naik and not to the post of Police Constable. It is necessary to obtain the say of the respondents before passing any interim relief, hence, issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 30<sup>th</sup> November, 2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.



**O.A. NO. 852 OF 2016**

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 30<sup>th</sup> November, 2016.
8. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**hdd**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.426/2016 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1824/2016  
[Shri Krishna Bhaskar Joshi Vs. The State of Maharashtra  
& Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
[This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE : 15.11. 2016.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The applicant is claiming condonation of delay, which is counted to be 1031 days. Hence, issue notices to the respondents in M.A. No. 426/2016, returnable on 13<sup>th</sup> December, 2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A.

Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,

**M.A.426/2016 IN O.A.ST. 1824/2016**

1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> December, 2016.

8. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**hdd**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.427/2016 IN O.A.NO. 325/2012  
[The State of Mah. & Ors.Vs. Shri Ratan Anandrao  
Suradkar]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
[This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE : 15.11. 2016.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the applicants/original respondents. Smt. Amruta P. Paranjape – learned Advocate for the applicant in Original Application (**absent**).

2. Issue notices to the respondent/original applicant in M.A. No. 427/2016, returnable on 13<sup>th</sup> December, 2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants/original respondents are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/original applicant intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

**M.A.NO.427/2016 IN O.A.NO. 325/2012**

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> December, 2016.
8. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**hdd**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 575 OF 2015  
[Shri Ajay V. Kolhe Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
[This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE : 15.11.2016.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri H.A. Joshi – learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. Since the pleadings are complete, the present O.A. is admitted and be kept for final hearing before the Division Bench, whenever it is available.

**MEMBER (J)**

**hdd**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 574 OF 2015  
[Shri Ajay V. Kolhe Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
[This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE : 15.11.2016.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri H.A. Joshi – learned Advocate for the applicant  
(**absent**). Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande – learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondents, present.

2. The learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit  
in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 19<sup>th</sup> December, 2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

**hdd**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 454 OF 2016  
[Shri Dhiraj Ramdas Mote Vs. The State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
[This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE : 15.11. 2016.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh – learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri D.R. Patil – learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri Sharad S. Shinde, learned Advocate holding for Shri Vivek Bhavthankar – learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

2. The learned Advocate for respondent No. 4 seeks time to file affidavit in reply. It appears from the record that on 12.8.2016 and 12.9.2016 also time was sought for filing affidavit in reply. Hence, time granted as a last chance subject to payment of costs of Rs. 500/-, which will be remitted to the MAT Bar Association.

3. The learned Presenting Officer also seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 14<sup>th</sup> December, 2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

**hdd**



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 575 OF 2016  
[Shri Aniket Nagnath Mundhe Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra & Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
[This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE : 15.11. 2016.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri P.R. Kadam – learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri Amit S. Savale – learned Advocate for respondent No. 4, were present.

2. The learned Advocate for respondent No. 4 has filed affidavit in reply on his behalf and the same has been taken on record and the copy thereof has been served upon the learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3. The copy of the said affidavit in reply could not be served on the applicant, as none is present on his behalf.

3. S.O. to 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

**hdd**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 664 OF 2016  
[Shri Sambhaji Waghoji Asole Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra & Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
[This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE : 15.11. 2016.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri A.S. Bangar – learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. The learned Chief Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

**hdd**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 697 OF 2016  
[Dr. S.S. Hindole & 5 Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
[This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE : 15.11. 2016.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri J.S. Deshmukh – learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, S.O. to 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2016 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (J)**

**hdd**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 148/15 IN M.A.ST.65/15 IN C.P.15/01 IN  
O.A.1178/99**

**[Shri Satish Baburao Ohal Vs. The State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
[This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE : 15.11.2016.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri M.M. Manjaramkar – learned Advocate for the applicant and SHri I.S. Thorat – learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2. Shri Ajay Deshpande – learned Advocate for respondent No. 3 (**absent**).

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he will file the copy of the order of which the contempt is alleged for which he seeks four weeks' time.

3. Time granted as prayed for.

4. S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> December, 2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

**hdd**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 278/2015 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1137/2014  
[Kalpana Jagdish Shinde Vs. The State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
[This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE : 15.11. 2016.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Vinod P. Patil – learned Advocate for the applicant  
(**absent**). Shri N.U. Yadav – learned Presenting Officer for the  
respondents, present.

2. Since none appears for the applicant, S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup>  
December, 2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

**hdd**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.423/2015 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1369/2015  
[Shri Navnath Bhagwan Khaire Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra & Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
[This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE : 15.11. 2016.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri H.M. Shaikh – learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri I.S. Thorat – learned Presenting Officer for respondent No. 1 and Shri S.S. Shinde, learned Advocate holding for Shri Vivek Bhavthankar – learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 to 5.

2. The learned Presenting Officer for respondent No. 1, as well as, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 to 5 seek time to file reply to the amended O.A. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> December, 2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

**hdd**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 430/2015 IN O.A.ST.NO. 419/2015  
[Shri Rajesh Balkrishna Yawalkar Vs. The State of Mah. &  
Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
[This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE : 15.11. 2016.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.R. Barlinge – learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. The applicant viz. Rajesh Balkrishna Yawalkar present in person today and seeks time. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 14<sup>th</sup> December, 2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

**hdd**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 71/2016 IN O.A.ST.NO.1053/2014  
[Shri Govind Bhoju Rathod Vs. The State of Maharashtra  
& Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
[This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE : 15.11. 2016.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri H.A. Joshi – learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.o. to 19<sup>th</sup> December, 2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

**hdd**



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 21/2016 IN O.A.NO. 421/2015  
[Saiyed Gaus Saiyed Pasha Vs. The State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
[This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE : 15.11. 2016.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Mrs. Suchita A. Dhongde – learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks one week's time to amend the O.A. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 23<sup>rd</sup> November, 2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

**hdd**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.254/2016 IN O.A.ST. 558/2016  
[Shri Ramesh Bhausheb Kandke Vs. The State of Mah. &  
Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
[This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE : 15.11. 2016.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri R.S. Sadaphule – learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh – learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri P.G. Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri G.N. Patil – learned Advocate for respondent No. 3, were present.

2. Since none appears for the applicant, S.O. to 14<sup>th</sup> December, 2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

**hdd**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 351/16 WITH M.A.ST.1540/16 IN C.P.ST.1541/16 IN  
O.A.571/12**

**[Shri Jagannath Narayan Ghatge & Ors. Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra & Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
[This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE : 15.11.2016.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Ganesh Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Shelke – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 3 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 14<sup>th</sup> December, 2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

**hdd**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 368/2016 IN O.A.NO. 520/2016  
[Shri Padmanabh R. Kulkarni Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra & Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
[This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE : 15.11. 2016.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh – learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 16<sup>th</sup> December, 2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

**hdd**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 379/2016 IN O.A.NO. 249/2010  
[Shri Ajay V. Kolhe Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
[This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE : 15.11.2016.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Sham Patil – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22<sup>nd</sup> November, 2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

**hdd**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 320 OF 2010  
[Shri N.P. Namdeo & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.]**

**WITH  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 326 OF 2010  
[Shri G.D. Tarkase Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
[This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE : 15.11. 2016.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Ajay Deshpande – learned Advocate for the applicants (**absent**). Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh & Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande – learned Presenting Officers for the respondents, were present.

2. Place these matters before the Division Bench as and when it is available.

**MEMBER (J)**

**hdd**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 634 OF 2011  
[Shri P.D. Bhamrambe Vs. The State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
[This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE : 15.11. 2016.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Bodade, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary – learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present Original Application be placed before the Division Bench, as and when it is available.

**MEMBER (J)**

**hdd**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 842 OF 2011  
[Shri U.K. Patil Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
[This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE : 15.11.2016.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Bodade, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present Original Application be placed before the Division Bench, as and when it is available.

**MEMBER (J)**

**hdd**



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 843 OF 2011  
[Shri Ganesh G. Patil Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
[This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE : 15.11.2016.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Bodade, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present Original Application be placed before the Division Bench, as and when it is available.

**MEMBER (J)**

**hdd**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 846 OF 2011  
[Shri V.S. Patil Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
[This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE : 15.11.2016.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Bodade, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri Deepak R. Patil – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present Original Application be placed before the Division Bench, as and when it is available.

**MEMBER (J)**

**hdd**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 108 OF 2014  
[Shah Dilip Usman & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
[This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE : 15.11. 2016.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 12<sup>th</sup> January, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**hdd**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 312 OF 2015  
[Dr. Shivani Vikesh Sachdeva Vs. The State of Mah & Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
[This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**DATE : 15.11.2016.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.B. Talekar – learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 & 7 and Shri A.S. Deshmukh – learned Advocate for respondent No. 4, were present. Shri R.P. Adgaonkar – learned Advocate for respondent No. 6 (**absent**). None appears for respondent No. 5.

2. The present Original Application be placed before the Division Bench, as and when it is available.

**MEMBER (J)**

**hdd**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

----

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.36/2015.**  
(R.G. Jakulwar Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

----

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE** :15.11.2016.

**ORAL ORDER**

1. Heard Shri S. G. Magare, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.D. Godhamgaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt SK Ghate Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Shri S. G. Magare, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.D. Godhamgaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 1.12.2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

15.11.2016-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

----

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.532/2016.**

( G.S.Mali Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

----

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE** :15.11.2016.

**ORAL ORDER**

1. Heard Shri AS Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri VR Bhumkar,, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents1 & 2 and Shri VB Wagh, learned Advocate for the Respondent no.3.

2. The applicant and respondent no.3 belongs to Class IV category being Peons. Vide order dated 31.5.2016 the applicant was transferred to Jamner in place of Respondent no.3 and vice versa. The said order came to be cancelled by the Respondent authorities vide impugned order dated 14.6.2016. It means that, the earlier order dated 31.5.2016 was passed, as applicant as well as respondent no.3 applied for transfer at respective places. The said order has been implemented. The applicant was relieved on 1.6.2016 and has already joined at Jamner on 2.6.2016.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has placed on record the copy of the consent for choice of posting given by respondent no.3, which is at paper book page no.16. The learned P.O. submits that, the respondent no.3 subsequently filed one

application/representation making it clear that, he has never given such consent and that somebody has played mischief. It is not known whether due inquiry was made in this regard. However, the fact remains that, the applicant was already relieved. However, as per impugned order the applicant is working at Jalgaon and Respondent no.3 is working at Jamner.

4. The learned P.O. is directed to take instructions as to whether any post of the Peon is available at Jamner.

5. S.O. to 23.11.2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

15.11.2016-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

----

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.549/2016.**

( R.R. Chavan Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

----

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE** :15.11.2016.

**ORAL ORDER**

1. Leave note filed by Shri HA Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant. Smt SK Ghate Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 16.12.2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

15.11.2016-ATP



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

----

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.620/2016.**

( B.R. Sonawane Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

----

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE** :15.11.2016.

**ORAL ORDER**

1. Heard Shri VB Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri MP Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file short affidavit to the rejoinder. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 24.11.2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

15.11.2016-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

----

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.621/2016.**

( A.Y. Kalokhe Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

----

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE** :15.11.2016.

**ORAL ORDER**

1. Heard Shri VB Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri DR Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
  
2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file short affidavit to the rejoinder. Time granted.
  
3. S.O. to 24.11.2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

15.11.2016-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

----

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.645/2016.**

( S.R. Borse Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

----

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE** :15.11.2016.

**ORAL ORDER**

1. Heard Shri AS Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt DS Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files reply affidavit on behalf of Respondents no.1 to 4. Same is taken on record. Its copy is served on the applicant.

3. S.O. to 24.11.2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

15.11.2016-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

----

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.647/2016.**

( G.T. Pagare Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

----

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE** :15.11.2016.

**ORAL ORDER**

1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt RS Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri M.S. Indani, learned Advocate for the Intervenor.

2. The date was already given on 17.11.2016, but subsequently it seems to have been changed at the request of the learned Advocate for the Intervenor. Hence, S.O. to 17.11.2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

15.11.2016-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

----

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.699/2016.**

( JD Patil Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

----

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE** :15.11.2016.

**ORAL ORDER**

1. Heard Shri SD Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri MP Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the applicant filed short rejoinder. It is taken on record. Its copy is served on the respondents.
3. Learned P.O. seeks time to go through it. Time granted.
4. S.O. to 1.12.2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

15.11.2016-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

----

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.718/2016.**  
( A.T. Bari & Ors. Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

----

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE** :15.11.2016.

**ORAL ORDER**

1. None present for the applicants. Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
  
2. Learned P.O. seeks time for filing reply affidavit. Time granted.
  
3. S.O. to 20.12.2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

15.11.2016-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

----

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.805/2016.**

( Firoz Khelekha Jamadar Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

----

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE** :15.11.2016.

**ORAL ORDER**

1. Heard Shri VB Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri MS Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant is claiming for direction to respondents no.1 & 2 to issue the certificate under Rule 27 (4) of MCS (Pension) Rules,1982 "no dues & no inquiry" certificate. The applicant submits that, there is no inquiry pending against him. In view thereof learned C.P.O. is directed to take instructions as to within how many days the said certificate will be issued.

3. S.O. to 26.11.2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

15.11.2016-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

----

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.823/2016.**

( B.R. Wakode Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

----

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE** :15.11.2016.

**ORAL ORDER**

1. Heard Shri MK Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri MS Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant has filed representation dated 5.6.2015 for regularization of period of suspension from 7.4.2007 to 30.6.2008. It is stated that, the criminal case against the applicant has already been ended in his acquittal vide order dated 9.11.2011. From the documents placed on record it seems that, the applicant's case has been recommended for regularization of suspension period. In view thereof, learned C.P.O. is directed to take instructions as to within how many days necessary orders may be passed.

3. S.O. to 1.12.2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

15.11.2016-ATP



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

----

**MA NO.536/2015 IN OA ST. NO.1422/2015.**

( S. V. Jagtap Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

----

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE** :15.11.2016.

**ORAL ORDER**

1. Heard Shri P.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt DS Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files reply affidavit on behalf of Respondents no.1 to 4. Same is taken on record. Its copy is served on the applicant.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to go through it and to file rejoinder, if necessary.

4. S.O. to 21.12.2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

15.11.2016-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

----

**MA NO.341/2016 IN OA.NO.427/2016.**

(Dr. D.B. Mote Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

----

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE** :15.11.2016.

**ORAL ORDER**

1. Heard Shri MB Kolpe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri MS Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned C.P.O., the matter is adjourned to 21.11.2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

15.11.2016-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

----

**MA NO.388/2016 IN OA.St. NO.1682/2016.**

(Smt. S.R. Pathak Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

----

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE** :15.11.2016.

**ORAL ORDER**

1. Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., the matter is adjourned to 7.12.2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

15.11.2016-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

----

**MA NO.396/2016 IN OA.St.No.691/2016.**

(P.V. Gaikwad Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

----

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE** :15.11.2016.

**ORAL ORDER**

1. Heard Shri VA Dhakne, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri MS Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Ganesh Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri A. S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the Original Applicant.

2. At the request of the learned C.P.O., the matter is adjourned to 20.12.2016 for filing reply affidavit.

**MEMBER (J)**

15.11.2016-ATP