

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 905/2016
[Shri Mahavir C. Gosavi Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 09.06.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri Nusrat Pathan, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply to the rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 28.06.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 09.06.2017-KPB(SB)BPP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 02/2017
[Shri Dattatraya K. Ubale Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 09.06.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted as a most last chance.

3. S.O. to 29.06.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 09.06.2017-KPB(SB)BPP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 46/2017

[Dr. Pramod A. Mhaske & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 09.06.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 14.07.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 09.06.2017-KPB(SB)BPP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 265/2017
[Dr. Suresh M. Karamunge Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 09.06.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 14.07.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 09.06.2017-KPB(SB)BPP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 814/2015
[Shri Prabhakar K. Joshi & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 09.06.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri Sachin Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.C. Ghode, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no. 2. It is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 17.07.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 09.06.2017-KPB(SB)BPP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 301/2017
[Dr. Seema P. Panad Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 09.06.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 & 2.

2. Learned Advocate Shri B.S. Mundhe, has filed VAKALATNAMA on behalf of respondent no. 3. It is taken on record. He seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no. 3. Time granted.

3. Learned Presenting Officer also seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos. 1 & 2. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 19.06.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 09.06.2017-KPB(SB)BPP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 68/2017
[Shri Prakash E. Dadpe Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 09.06.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file service affidavit. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 30.06.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 09.06.2017-KPB(SB)BPP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

M.A. No. 173/2017 in O.A. No. 886/2016
[Shri Dinkar R. Bhosrekar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 09.06.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri P.G. Rodge, learned Advocate for the Applicant (**Absent**). Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 to 4, present. Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for respondent no. 5, **absent**.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the copy of the M.A. No. 173/2017 has not been served on him.

3. Hence, the learned Advocate for the applicant is directed to serve the copy of the M.A. No. 173/2017 to the learned Presenting Officer.

4. S.O. to 03.07.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 09.06.2017-KPB(SB)BPP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 610/2016
[Smt. Jyoti J. Aglave Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 09.06.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for respondents, S.O. to 20.06.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 09.06.2017-KPB(SB)BPP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 342/2017

[Shri Jitendrakumar K. Kundile Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 09.06.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Sachin G. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant was working as a Senior Clerk in the Government Polytechnic College, Ambad, since 10.06.2016. The applicant has not completed his normal tenure. He has been transferred by the impugned order dated 31.05.2017 and posted in the Government Residential Woman's Polytechnic College, Latur. He has submitted that the transfer of the applicant is in contravention of the provisions of Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (in short "the Transfer Act 2005") and therefore, he prayed to stay the execution of impugned order of transfer dated 31.05.2017.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the applicant has been transferred on the basis of complaints of the employees working in the Government Polytechnic College, Ambad. He has submitted that the criminal case has been registered against the applicant on the basis of complaint filed by the other employees working in the Government Polytechnic College, Ambad. He has submitted that the Police Inspector, Police Station Ambad requested to the Joint Director, Technical Divisional Office, Aurangabad to transfer the applicant, as there would be law and order problem in near future because of the dispute between the applicant and other employees working in the Government Polytechnic College, Ambad. He has produced on record a copy of the said communication dated 6.5.2017. He has submitted that on the basis of said complaint, the applicant has been transferred and therefore, no illegality in the impugned order of transfer.

4. On perusal of the record, it reveals that there are complaints of serious nature against the applicant about his misbehavior with the employees working in the

//3// O.A. No. 342/2017

Government Polytechnic College, Ambad. By his communication dated 6.5.2017 addressed to the Joint Director, Technical Division Office, Aurangabad, the Police Inspector, Police Station, Ambad requested him to transfer the applicant. He expressed apprehension that there would be law and order problem in near future, if the applicant is kept there because of the dispute between the applicant and other employees. Therefore, by impugned order the applicant has been transferred on administrative ground. Prima-facie there is no illegality in issuing the impugned order of transfer. Therefore, in my opinion it is not a fit case to grant interim relief. Hence, the interim relief as prayed for by the applicant is rejected.

5. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 12.07.2017.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly

//4//

O.A. No. 342/2017

authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. S.O.to 12-07-2017.

11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

ORAL ORDERS 09.06.2017-KPB(SB)BPP

MEMBER (J)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 347/2017
[Shri Sanjay S. Gaikwad Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 09.06.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant is working as a Sub Divisional Officer, Amalner, Dist. Jalgaon w.e.f. 13.10.2015. The applicant has not completed his normal tenure of three years, but he has been transferred by impugned order dated 7.6.2017 from the post of Sub Divisional Officer, Amalner to the post of Deputy Collector/Special Land Acquisition Officer, Medium Irrigation Project, Dhule, Dist. Dhule. He has submitted that the said order is in contravention of the provisions of the Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (in short "the Transfer Act 2005"). Therefore, the applicant has prayed to grant interim relief as prayed for.

3. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has submitted that the applicant has been transferred on administrative ground as per the provisions of Section 4(4) and 4(5) of the Transfer Act, 2005 and the Government has complied the necessary requirement. He has submitted that the detailed affidavit in reply to be filed on behalf of respondents and therefore, he sought time.

4. Prima-facie, it reveals that the applicant has not completed his normal tenure on the present posting and he has not yet relieved from the present posting. Therefore, it is just and proper to direct the respondents to maintain the status-quo till filing of the affidavit in reply, if the applicant is not already relieved from the present posting.

5. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 23.06.2017.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. S.O.to 23-06-2017.

11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 09.06.2017-KPB(SB)BPP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 833/2016

[Dr. Sanjay K. Muley Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 09.06.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the communication dated 9.6.2017 is received to him from the Desk Officer, Health Department, Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai, that the proposal of the applicant has been forwarded to the competent authority for taking necessary action and, therefore, she sought time.

3. On going through the order dated 28.04.2017 it reveals that show cause notice has been issued to the respondents to show cause as to why proceeding should not be initiated against them for flouting the directions given by this Tribunal on 14.03.2017. None of respondents have filed their explanation. Learned

Presenting Officer has submitted that she has no instruction in that regard from the respondents. This

//2// O.A. No. 833/2016

fact shows that the respondents are deliberately flouting the direction given by this Tribunal. The said act on the part of the respondents amounts contempt of the order of the Tribunal.

4. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that she will call the Deputy Director of Health Services, Aurangabad, personally and therefore, she sought time and prays to keep the present matter today at 3.00 p.m.

5. Hence, keep it at 3.00 p.m. today.

MEMBER (J)

Later on

6. Dr. Vijay Kandewad, Deputy Director of Health Services, Aurangabad, has appeared before this Tribunal personally and tendered unconditional apology for not responding to the show cause notice issued to him. He has submitted that the proposal as regards applicant has been forwarded to the Government and it is pending

before the competent authority. He has submitted that he is pursuing the matter with his higher authority and therefore, he prayed to grant time.

//3//

O.A. No. 833/2016

7. Respondent nos. 1 and 2 neither appeared before this Tribunal nor filed their explanation. However, in view of the submissions made by the respondent no. 3 i.e. the Deputy Director of Health Services, Aurangabad, short time is granted.

8. S.O. to 16.06.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 09.06.2017-KPB(SB)BPP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.470/2016
(Shri Tulshidas Patil V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-06-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri A.D.Gadekar learned Advocate holding for Shri Milind K. Deshpande learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant,
S.O.14-07-2017.

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-06-2017

MEMBER (J)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.792/2015
WITH
MISCELLANEOUS APPLICATION No.192/2017
(Dr. Prasad Kulkarni & Ors. V/s. The State of Mah. &
Ors.)**

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-06-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri Vishnu Dhoble learned Advocate for the applicants, Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for the respondents and Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the Intervenors.

2. On consent of the parties, S.O.23-06-2017.
3. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-06-2017

MEMBER (J)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

M.A.No.191/2017 IN O.A.St.No.519/2017
(Shaikh Mohammad Aminuddin V/s. The State of
Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-06-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri K.K.Naik learned Advocate holding for
Shri U.A.Khekale learned Advocate for the applicant and
Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the
respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant,
S.O.29-06-2017.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-06-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.340/2017

(Shri Kishor Choudhary V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-06-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 11-07-2017.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

=2=

O.A.No.340/2017

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O.to 11-07-2017.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-06-2017

MEMBER (J)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.284/2016

(Dr. Usha Bholane V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-06-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri D.S.Jadhav learned Advocate holding for Shri K.A.Ingle learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for the respondents. Shri B.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for respondent no.5 is **absent**.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed rejoinder. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O.14-07-2017.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-06-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.616/2016
(Sonali Choudhary V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-06-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri V.P.Patil learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for the respondents. Shri Vaibhav R. Patil learned Advocate for respondent no.4 is **absent**.

2. At the request of learned P.O., **S.O.07-07-2017** for taking some instruction from the respondents.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-06-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.671/2016

(Vandanan Kharmale V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-06-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has requested for adjournment. Adjournment granted.

3. S.O.29-06-2017.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-06-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.755/2016

(Shri Kakasaheb Chathe V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-06-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Shri S.S.Phatale learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Smt. Resha Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. None is present for the applicant. On previous dates also nobody appeared for the applicant. Hence case be fixed for dismissal on 12-07-2017.

3. S.O.12-07-2017.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-06-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.763/2016

(Shri Santosh Ghorpade V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-06-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Shri A.S.Shejwal learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted as a most last chance.

3. S.O.14-07-2017.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-06-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.719/2016

(Shri Pandurang Chandanshiv V/s. The State of
Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-06-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri K.J.Suryavanshi learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos.1 to 3 and Shri I.D.Maniyar learned Advocate holding for Shri P.D.Suryavanshi learned Advocate for respondent no.4.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 and 3. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned P.O. and learned Advocate for respondent nos.4 and 5 submitted that they require time to file short affidavit as directed by the Tribunal vide order dated 24-04-2017. Time granted.

4. S.O.20-06-2017.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-06-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.793/2016

(Shri Rajendra Pathade V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-06-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri V.G.Pingle learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed communications dated 01-06-2017 and 03-06-2017. Those are taken on record. Copies are marked as document "X" collectively, for identification.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant S.O.29-06-2017.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-06-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.807/2016

(Shri Madhukar Jadhav V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-06-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondent no.3. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of other respondents. Time granted.

4. S.O. 17-07-2017.

5. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-06-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.808/2016

(Shri Gangadhar Kakade V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-06-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondent no.3. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of other respondents. Time granted.

4. S.O. 17-07-2017.

5. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-06-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.810/2016
(Shri Babu Ghute V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-06-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed on record Pension Payment Order. It is marked as document "X" for identification.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

4. S.O. 17-07-2017.

5. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-06-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.343/2017
(Mrunalini Sunil Bawiskar V/s. The State of
Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-06-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submitted that the applicant is working as Office Superintendent (O.S.) in Civil Hospital, Dhule since August, 2014. She has not completed her normal tenure. She has been transferred by the impugned order dated 31-05-2017 from Dhule to B. J. Government Medical Hospital, Pune. It is submitted that the applicant is not relieved from the present post till today. It is further submitted that the applicant is posted in place of Shri Sunil Teli who is transferred from Pune to Kolhapur. Shri Sunil Teli has filed O.A.No.457/2017 before the Principal Seat of the Tribunal at Mumbai and

the Hon'ble Member has stayed the impugned order so far as Shri Teli is concerned and further ordered that even if technically he has been relieved, he be reposted in that post within three days from the date of the order. Therefore, he prayed to stay the execution of impugned order to the extent of the applicant.

3. On perusal of the documents, it reveals that the applicant has been transferred on the post of Office Superintendent, B.J.Medical Hospital, Pune where Shri Teli is working. Transfer of Shri Teli from Pune to Kolhapur has already been stayed by the Principal Seat of the Tribunal at Mumbai till 27-07-2017.

4. In these circumstances, it will be just and proper to direct the respondents to maintain status quo so far as the applicant is concerned, if she is not relieved till today. Hence, respondents are directed to maintain status quo in the matter till next date, if the applicant is not relieved.

5. In the meanwhile, issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 11-07-2017.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and

=4=

O.A.No.343/2017

produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. S.O.to 11-07-2017.

11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-06-2017

MEMBER (J)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.772/2016

(Shri Rajan Darange V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-06-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.2. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed rejoinder. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

4. Learned P.O. has filed communication signed by Assistant Superintending Engineer, Minor Irrigation (Water Conservation) Circle, Nashik dated 07-04-2017 addressed to Executive Engineer, Minor Irrigation (Water Conservation) Department, Ahmednagar by which Executive Engineer is directed to take necessary steps as regards payment of salary, transfer allowance and

H.R.A. of the applicant. Assistant Superintending Engineer, Nashik has directed the Executive Engineer, Minor Irrigation (Water Conservation) Department, Ahmednagar to draw salary, transfer allowance and H.R.A. to the applicant and to take action immediately.

5. Learned P.O. is unable to state as to whether the amount has been disbursed to the applicant. Learned Advocate for the applicant has also submitted that he has no instruction from the applicant as to whether said amount has been disbursed to him or not. Both sought time to take instruction from their respective clients. Time is granted till 19-06-2017.

6. Respondent no.2 is directed to keep responsible officer present before the Tribunal on 19-06-2017.

7. S.O.19-06-2017.

MEMBER (J)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

M.A.116/17 IN C.P.ST.362/17 IN O.A. 711/16

**(Shri Omprakash S/o. Dhondiram Mane Vs. The State of
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman
(This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench)**

DATE : 09.06. 2017.

ORAL ORDER : -

1. Heard Shri A.O. Mane, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered affidavit in reply affirmed by Shri G. Sreedhar, Superintendent of Police, Beed, and the same is taken on record and the copy of the same has been served on Shri A.O. Mane, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. In view of leave note filed by Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant, adjourned to 16th June, 2017.

CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 09.06.2017 – HDD(DB)/ARJ

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

M.A.NO. 16/16 IN O.A.ST. NO. 45/2016

**(Shri Anil S/o. Madan Tambe Vs. The State of
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman
(This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench)**

DATE : 09.06. 2017.

ORAL ORDER : -

1. Shri S.D. Dhongde – learned Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note. Shri V.R. Bhumkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.
2. In view of leave note filed by Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant, adjourned to 28th June, 2017.

CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 09.06.2017 – HDD(DB)/ARJ

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 388/15 WITH M.A.St.860/15 IN O.A.St.861/15
M.A. 389/15 WITH M.A.St.864/15 IN O.A.St.865/15
M.A. 390/15 WITH M.A.St.858/15 IN O.A.St.859/15
M.A. 392/15 WITH M.A.St.871/15 IN O.A.St.872/15
M.A. 393/15 WITH M.A.St.873/15 IN O.A.St.874/15
M.A. 394/15 WITH M.A.St.875/15 IN O.A.St.876/15
M.A. 395/15 WITH M.A.St.866/15 IN O.A.St.867/15
M.A. 396/15 WITH M.A.St.862/15 IN O.A.St.863/15
M.A. 397/15 WITH M.A.St.869/15 IN O.A.St.870/15
M.A. 074/16 WITH M.A.St.217/16 IN O.A.St.218/16
M.A. 075/16 WITH M.A.St.220/16 IN O.A.St.221/16
M.A. 220/16 WITH M.A.St.785/16 IN O.A.St.786/16
M.A. 221/16 WITH M.A.St.788/16 IN O.A.St.789/16**

**(Maharashtra RajyaRojandariVaKayam Van
KamgarKrutiSamiti V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors)**

**Coram : Hon'ble Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman
(This matter has been placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)**

DATE : 09-06-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri A.S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these cases and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer prays for time to file affidavit in reply to the substituted Original Applications. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 11th July, 2017.

CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 09.06.2017 – HDD(DB)/ARJ

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

M.A. 186/2017 IN C.P.ST. 697/17 IN O.A. 632/2014

**(Shri Bhagwan S/o. Sahebrao Kadam Vs. The State of
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman
(This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench)**

DATE : 09.06. 2017.

ORAL ORDER : -

1. Heard Shri Vivek Pingle – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, S.O. to 16th June, 2017.

CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 09.06.2017 – HDD(DB)/ARJ

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.329/2017.

(Shri Karwar D. Balbhim V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon Shri Justice M. T. Joshi, Vice Chairman.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 09-06-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri U. S. Mote learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt P. R. Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. is directed to seek instructions and / or copies of the documents to show as to what criteria was applied by the Respondents for selection of candidates from N.T.-C category and for non selection of the present applicant.

3. At the request of the learned P.O. S.O. to 28.6.2017.

4. The learned P.O. is directed to act on Steno copy of this order.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ORAL ORDERS 09-06-2017-ATP

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.330/2017.

(Shri D. S. Thakur V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon Shri Justice M. T. Joshi, Vice Chairman.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 09-06-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri M. A. Golegaonkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt P. R. Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. From the record it appears that, the present applicant was given ad hoc promotion subject to the production of caste validity certificate and subject to the decision in OA St.No.711/2015 and other O.As decided by Division Bench of this Tribunal on 13.12.2016 (Annexure A-3 page no.21).

3. The applicant apprehends that since the Caste Scrutiny Committee has not yet validated the caste certificate of the applicant, he may be reverted by the respondents. The proceeding of scrutiny is pending with the Committee since the year 2005 (Annexure A-1 page no.15). In the circumstances, it is hereby directed that, the applicant shall not be reverted until further

orders solely on the ground that his certificate is not validated by the Scrutiny Committee.

4. The respondents would be at liberty to take action according to the decision in the O.A. referred above.

5. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 27.7.2017.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal

(Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. S.O. to 27.7.2017.

11. Authenticated copy & hamdust allowed to both the parties.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ORAL ORDERS 09-06-2017-ATP

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.355/2016.

(Shri V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon Shri Justice M. T. Joshi, Vice Chairman.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 09-06-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri S. B. Munde learned Advocate holding for Shri V. D. Gunale learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I. S. Thorat learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents no.1 & 2. None present for the Respondents no.3 & 4.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondents no.1 & 2. The same is taken on record. Its copy is served on the other side.

3. Earlier order would show that, the learned Advocate for the applicant was required to satisfy the Court on the issue of tenability of the present O.A.

4. Shri S. B. Munde learned Advocate holding for Shri V. D. Gunale learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time. Taking into consideration the case which is pending since long, as a last chance S.O. to 20.6.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ORAL ORDERS 09-06-2017-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.800/2016.

(Shri M. P. Sapkale V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon Shri Justice M. T. Joshi, Vice Chairman.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 09-06-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Shri A. C. Deshpande learned Advocate for the applicant (Absent). Heard Shri S. K. Shirse learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The record would show that, since 24.11.2016 frequently nobody is appearing for the applicant. In the circumstances, the application is dismissed in default.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ORAL ORDERS 09-06-2017-ATP

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.920/2016.

(Smt. Sunita M. Gabale V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon Shri Justice M. T. Joshi, Vice Chairman.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 09-06-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri A. V. Patil learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V. R. Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Read Annexure -F (page no.40) the letter which shows that the representation of the present applicant was rejected by the respondents on the ground that the policy of appointment of Reader on contract basis is not now in existence so far as Govt. Polytechnics are concerned.

3. The learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to satisfy this Tribunal that, in fact appointments on contract basis are being made. At his request, S.O. to 28.7.2017. Till then there is no need of reply.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ORAL ORDERS 09-06-2017-ATP

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.107/2017.

(Shri S. M. Bedre V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon Shri Justice M. T. Joshi, Vice Chairman.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 09-06-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Miss Preeti Wankhade learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt R. S. Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply. In the meantime the applicant is directed to file copy of G.R. dated 16.10.2012 reference is made in (Annexure A-1 page no.12).

3. S.O. to 21.7.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ORAL ORDERS 09-06-2017-ATP

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.113/2017.

(Marathwada Sarva Shramik Sanghatana through its
General Secretary & Ors. V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon Shri Justice M. T. Joshi, Vice Chairman.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 09-06-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Shri A. S. Shelke learned Advocate for the applicants (Absent). Heard Smt S. K. Ghate Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 26.7.2017.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 26.7.2017.

8. Steno copy & hamdust allowed to both the parties.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.117/17.

(Shri C. N. Dhawale V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon Shri Justice M. T. Joshi, Vice Chairman.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 09-06-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

It is reported that due to urgency Shri S. D. Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant is required to be out of station. Heard Smt R. S. Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.2. The same is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 25.7.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ORAL ORDERS 09-06-2017-ATP

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.212/2017.

(Smt Ahilya R. Mashram V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon Shri Justice M. T. Joshi, Vice Chairman.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 09-06-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

None present for the applicant. Shri M. S. Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. The record would show that the present applicant is convicted by the Special Judge, Beed for the offences punishable under Sections 7 and 13 (2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act and copy of her representation page no.41-A would show that, she has preferred an appeal against the said order with the Hon'ble High Court and she is released on bail. Her contention as per representation page no.41-A is that the punishment is also set aside, however, the appeal is still pending. These appears to be contrary submissions.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant is therefore, directed to satisfy the Tribunal on the issue. In view of the absence of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 26.7.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ORAL ORDERS 09-06-2017-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.303/2017.

(Miss Palvi D. Dhabhade V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon Shri Justice M. T. Joshi, Vice Chairman.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 09-06-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

None present for the applicant. Shri V. R. Bhumkar
learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. Notices are not collected. In the circumstances,
S.O. to 28.7.2017. In the meantime notices be collected
and be served as per the earlier order.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ORAL ORDERS 09-06-2017-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

MA NO.423/15 IN OA ST.NO.1369/15.

(Shri N. B. Khaire V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon Shri Justice M. T. Joshi, Vice Chairman.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 09-06-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri H. M. Shaikh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N. U. Yadav learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant files on record true copies of the complaint filed with the Industrial Court at Solapur and other documents. He seeks time to make submissions. At his request, S.O. to 28.7.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ORAL ORDERS 09-06-2017-ATP

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

MA NO.19/2016 IN MA 289/12 IN OA ST.628/12.
(Shri RM Girbone & Ors. V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon Shri Justice M. T. Joshi, Vice Chairman.
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 09-06-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

None present for the applicant. Shri S. K. Shirse
learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply. As
a last chance time is granted.

3. S.O. to 27.7.2017.

4. The learned P.O. is directed to act on the Steno
copy of this order.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ORAL ORDERS 09-06-2017-ATP

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

MA NO.117/17 IN CP ST.257/17 IN OA 663/2014.

(Shri N. S. Bele V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon Shri Justice M. T. Joshi, Vice Chairman.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 09-06-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri V. B. 117 learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V. R. Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. as well as C.P.O. submit that definite statement would be made on the next date as to whether one post vacant of the Stenographer is of post reserved for S.T. candidate or not. At their request, S.O. to 28.7.2017 as a last chance.

3. The learned P.O. is directed to act on the Steno copy of this order.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ORAL ORDERS 09-06-2017-ATP

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.574/2015.

(Shri A. V. Kolhe V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon Shri Justice M. T. Joshi, Vice Chairman.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 09-06-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

None present for the applicant. Smt D. S.
Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for the respondents
is present.

2. S.O. to 12.7.017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ORAL ORDERS 09-06-2017-ATP

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.249/2016.

(Shri P. N. Rankhamb V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon Shri Justice M. T. Joshi, Vice Chairman.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 09-06-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Shri Sham B. Patil learned Advocate for the applicant (Absent). Shri S. K. Shirse learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. Learned P.O. submit that in fact Advocate Shri Sham Patil told him that the applicant is reported to have been reinstated and he would able to make a statement on the next date.

3. S.O. to 22.6.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ORAL ORDERS 09-06-2017-ATP