

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 186/2017  
(Uday Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent no. 1 and Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 2 to 5.

2. The learned P.O. for res. no. 1 as well as learned Advocate for res. nos. 2 to 5 seek time to file reply of respective respondents. At their request S.O. to 4.12.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 01.11.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 263/2017  
(Bhaskar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. From the submissions of the learned Advocate for the applicant, it appears that the applicant is acquitted in the criminal case long back i.e. on 26.12.2016. A communication of the said decision was made to the concerned respondents however no decision has been taken by the concerned respondents subsequent to the receipt of the said communication.

3. In the circumstances, the concerned respondents are hereby directed to take corrective steps, if any, according to law and file report about the same on 29.11.2017. In case the respondents are unable to take decision according to any rules or law, then short affidavit explaining the reasons be filed on the next date.

4. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 01.11.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 276/2017  
(Prashant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. At the request of learned P.O. S.O. to 7.12.2017 for filing affidavit in reply of res. no. 4.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 01.11.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 434/2017  
(Abhijit Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----  
**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4.

2. The learned P.O. for res. nos. 1 to 3 and learned Advocate for res. no. 4 seek time to file affidavit in reply of respective respondents. At their request S.O. to 4.12.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 01.11.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 647/2017  
(Dr. Sonali Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----  
**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Shri Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant submits that though the applicant is an ad-hoc employee, still she cannot be transferred only on oral direction. He seeks time to place reliance on authorities in this regard.

3. The learned C.P.O. files on record additional affidavit in reply of res. no. 4. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant. He also seeks short accommodation for filing affidavit in reply of res. no. 2. In the circumstances, as a last chance S.O. to 14.11.2017.

4. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned C.P.O.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 01.11.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. NO. 298/2017 IN O.A. 373/2016  
(Anant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----  
**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.M. Jade, learned Advocate holding for Shri G.G. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.H. Solunke, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4.

2. The learned P.O. fairly submits that copies of necessary documents as sought by the applicant in the present M.A. would be produced on 28.11.2017.

3. In the circumstances, M.A. stands allowed and disposed of with no order as to costs.

4. The copies of documents as sought by the applicant in the present M.A. be supplied to him on 28.11.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 01.11.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**MA 188/2017 IN OA ST. 495/2017  
(Anjali Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----  
**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. No steps are taken by the applicant regarding service of notice upon the respondents. In the circumstances S.O. to 5.12.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 01.11.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**MA 342/2017 IN OA 225/2016  
(Rukhmini Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2, is present. None appears for respondent no. 3.

2. No steps are taken by the applicant regarding service of notice upon the respondents. In the circumstances S.O. to 5.12.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 01.11.2017



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 265/2016  
(Shivaji Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. With consent of both the sides S.O. to 7.12.2017 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 01.11.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 405/2017  
(Wali Abdul Khadar Syed Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.)**

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Reddy, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. A short question involved in the present matter is as to whether recovery of excess payment made to the applicant towards salary now from the pension of the retired employee i.e. the present applicant can be directed.

3. Annex. A-2 page 20 would show that as from the period from 9.2.2008 to 15.11.2009 the applicant, who is retired as Assistant Sub Inspector, was absent on duty, he was not due for increment dated 1.7.2009, however, the said increment was granted to him, which ultimately resulted into excess payment of Rs. 93,480/- of which now recovery is directed from his pension.

4. It is well settled principal of law that once a responsible Officer of the State Government or Union makes a decision regarding grant of pay to an employee and if later on it is found that the said payment is wrongly made without fault on the part of the employee, the recovery of the said amount of pay cannot be directed from the pension of the concerned employee.

5. In this regard, the learned Advocate for the applicant has placed reliance on the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS VS. RAFIQ MASHI (WHITE WASHER) AND OTHERS reported at [(2015) 4 SCC 334].

6. In view of above facts on record following order :-

**ORDER**

(i) The O.A. stands allowed without any order as to costs.

(ii) The impugned decision dtd. 15.9.2016 (Annex. A-2 page 20) is hereby quashed and set aside. The recovery made, if any be refunded to the applicant within a period of 4 weeks from the date of this order.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**  
ARJ ORAL ORDERS 01.11.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 320/2016  
(Meena Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.P. Golewar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3. None appears for respondent no. 4.

2. With consent of both the sides S.O. to 12.12.2017 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 01.11.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 610/2016  
(Jyoti Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----  
**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. S.O. to 11.12.2017 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 01.11.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 41/2017  
(Baburao Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. S.O. to 12.12.2017 for filing additional affidavit in reply of the concerned respondents.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 01.11.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.230/2017  
(Dr. Nagnath Kole V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Dr. Rajendra Godbole learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. In reference to the order dated 26<sup>th</sup> September, 2017, Shri I.S.Thorat learned P.O. points out that affidavit in reply is filed on record, which would show that the candidature of all three candidates was rejected, and therefore, there is no question of completion of selection process for appointment of somebody about which information was sought by this Tribunal by order dated 26<sup>th</sup> September, 2017

3. In the circumstances, there is no need to file any additional affidavit as directed by the Tribunal

4. Upon hearing the matter, it appears that there is arguable case. Hence, the case is admitted. Notice waived by the respondents.

5. This case be placed before the Division Bench as and when it is available.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.443/2017  
(Dr. Uttam Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of the Division Bench)

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Upon hearing both sides, it prima facie appears that hearing on delay is required to be made.

3. On the last date, i.e. on 29<sup>th</sup> September, 2017, learned Advocate for the applicant has made submission that the applicant is a cancer patient and he would like to file documents in this regard.

4. S.O. to 27-11-2017 for making submissions on the above line.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 03-10-2017 VC F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.497/2017  
(Shri Sayyad Abrar Husain V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

-----  
**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of the Division Bench)

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.D.Godhamgaonkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Submissions of learned Advocate for the applicant are that the present applicant is acquitted from the criminal case and departmental enquiry on the same facts cannot be now initiated against the applicant.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to satisfy the Tribunal on the issue of provisions or law, if any, on this count.

4. At his request, S.O. to 28-11-2017 for making submissions.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 03-10-2017 VC F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.336/2016 IN O.A.St.No.1567/2017  
(Shri Bhanudasrao Gosavi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

-----  
**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of the Division Bench)

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submits that the Naib Tahsildar has taken decision in the matter, however, copy of the same is not received.

3. Hence, at his request, S.O. to 30-11-2017 for filing the copy of the decision taken by the Naib Tahsildar.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 03-10-2017 VC F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.118/2017 IN O.A.St.No.346/2017  
(Shri Udhav Pavale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

-----  
**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of the Division Bench)

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Jeevan Patil learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents and Shri Shamsundar B. Patil learned Advocate for the respondent nos.4, 5 and 6.

2. Learned P.O. as well as the learned Advocate for respondent nos.4, 5 and 6 sought time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. At their request, S.O. to 05-12-2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 03-10-2017 VC F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.38/2014  
(Shri Suresh Veer V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

-----  
**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of the Division Bench)

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.J.Deshpande learned Advocate holding for Shri V.D.Gunale learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant is not ready for withdrawal of the original application.

3. In the circumstances, since the mater pertains to the Division Bench, it be removed from the board and be placed before the Division Bench as and when it is available.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 03-10-2017 VC F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.62/2015  
(Shri Manoj Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

-----  
**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of the Division Bench)

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Vishnu Patil learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. It appears that decision on merit by the Division Bench is required in the matter. Hence, it be removed from the board and be placed before the Division Bench as and when it is available.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 03-10-2017 VC F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.65/2015  
(Shri Anant Parmarhi & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of the Division Bench)

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks a short adjournment. Adjournment granted.

3. At her request, S.O. to 30-11-2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 03-10-2017 VC F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS.574/2015 & 575/2015  
(Shri Ajay Kolhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

-----  
**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of the Division Bench)

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Y.P.Deshmukh learned Advocate holding for Shri H.A.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents in both cases.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed true copy of the order passed in Writ Petition No.4893/2015 reference of which was made in earlier order dated 31-08-2017. He submits that reading of the judgment would show that as the petitioner therein had not completed 3 years in service, he would not be entitled for regularization. However, in the present case, applicant was in service for more than 3 years. Copy of the said judgment in Writ Petition No.4893/2015 is accepted and marked as document "X" for identification.

3. Upon hearing both sides, it appears that decision on merit will be required. In the circumstances, present cases be removed from the board and be placed before the Division Bench whenever it is available.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 01-11-2017 VC F



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.St.No.1409/2017 in C.P.St.No.1410/2017 IN C.P.St.No.03/1998  
IN O.A.No.291/1991**

**(Shri Arvind Sulakhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

(This case is placed before Single Bench due  
to non-availability of the Division Bench)

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri C.R.Thorat learned Advocate for the  
applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting  
Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant on  
instructions from the applicant prays for leave to  
withdraw the matter. Leave as prayed for is granted.

3. M.A.St.No.1409/2017 in C.P.St.No.1410/2017  
in C.P.St.No.03/1998 is disposed of as withdrawn  
with no order as to costs.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 03-10-2017 VC F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.18/2016 IN O.A.St.No.1364/2015  
(Shri Balaji Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

-----  
**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Rudrappa Bhumkar learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note** on record. Shri G.N.Patil learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**.

Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 05-12-2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 03-10-2017 VC F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.758/2017  
(Shri Dadarao Borade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 06-12-2017.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 06-12-2017.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 01-11-2017 VC F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.326/2017  
(Shri Nilkant Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

-----  
**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Surekha Mahajan learned Advocate holding for Shri Sandip Rathod learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that waiting list directed to be placed on record was already produced before Hon'ble Member (J) of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Aurangabad on 11-09-2017. Now, she is producing additional copy of the same on record. However, record does not bear out this fact. She further submits that copy of the proclamation would also be produced and same would be placed on record on the next date.

3. Therefore, it would be better to place the matter before the same Hon'ble Member (J) Shri B.P.Patil, who has earlier dealt with the matter.

4. S.O. to 14-11-2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 01-11-2017 VC F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.442/2017 IN O.A.St.No.1589/2017  
(Shri Parshuram Bramhne & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

-----  
**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Sudhir Patil learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Considering the fact that the cause pursued by the applicants is common, M.A. for sue jointly is allowed. M.A.No.442/2017 is disposed of accordingly. No costs.

3. O.A. be registered and numbered on payment of court fees if not paid and after removal of office objections, if any.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 0-11-2017 VC F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A.St.No.1589/2017  
(Shri Parshuram Bramhne & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Sudhir Patil learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 06-12-2017.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 06-12-2017.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.
9. This case be tagged with O.A.St.No.1591/2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.443/2017 in O.A.St.No.1598/2017  
(Shri Bhimrao Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

-----  
**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D.Sugdare learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 20-11-2017.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 20-11-2017.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 03-10-2017 VC F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO.1249/2017  
(Shri Samadhan Bari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Manoj Shinde learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. Applicant was required to satisfy the Tribunal on the point of objection raised by the office regarding limitation.

3. In view of the absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22-11-2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 03-10-2017 VC F



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. NO. 444/17 IN OA St.No.1505/17.**

**(Smt Manjusha Bhairav & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R. D. Khadap learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M. S. Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. While hearing on merit it is found that in fact the advertisement dated 14.06.2017 (Annexure A-4, page 23) is only for filling of the backlog. Further, the learned C.P.O. submits that, even screening test is held and final examination is scheduled. By the present O.A. the applicant however, is seeking quashment of the said advertisement on the ground that, parallel reservation is not specified in the said advertisement.

//2//

3. In view of the above fact, prima facie, it appears that, O.A. is not maintainable. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to take instructions from the applicant for withdrawal of the application, if any. At his request, S.O. to 7.11.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ATP ORAL ORDERS 01-11-2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**OA No.769/2017.**

(Shri S. A. Bansode V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri C. V. Dharurkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt P. R. Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The applicant is seeking order of injunction, apprehending coercive action against him. The applicant also apprehends that, FIR may be filed against him by the respondents.

3. Apparently the application does not appears to be tenable. The learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to take instructions regarding withdrawal, if any, of the present application.

4. S.O. to 8.11.2017 for taking instructions.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**OA No.439/2010.**

(Shri D. S. Karle V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----  
**CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Miss Bhavna Panpatil learned Advocate holding for Shri S. B. Talekar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M. S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned C.P.O. files on record the communication received to him from the Special Director General of Police, which would show as the applicant is retired. According to the rules, the Govt. has to take decision and therefore, the proposal is sent to the Govt. The copy of the said communication is accepted and marked as "X" for the purpose of identification.

3. S.O. to 20.12.2017 for taking further steps.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**OA No. 858/2016.**

(Shri S. L. Jadhav & ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S. D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt S. K. Ghatge Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. After the Tribunal pointed out to Shri S. D. Dhongde, the learned Advocate for the applicant that on the last date he made a statement that, though the Tribunal was going to pass the order of vacation of the stay he prayed for continuation of the said order at-least after Diwali vacation and therefore, the case was posted today for taking instructions regarding the statement for not pressing the continuation of the order of stay, the original applicant however, is not ready to

make such statement and therefore, order on merit would be required.

3. Since the submissions were made long back on 6.10.2017 the Tribunal would be required to rehear on the issue and in the circumstances, S.O. to 06.11.2017 for making submissions afresh.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ATP ORAL ORDERS 01-11-2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**OA No. 689/15.**

(Dr. S. B. Tambe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Miss Bhavna Panpatil learned Advocate holding for Shri S. B. Talekar learned Advocate for the applicant, M. S. Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents no. 1 to 4 and Shri V. B. Wagh learned Advocate for the Respondent no.6. None present for the Respondent no.5.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to make statement as to whether the cause of action survives and/or to file an application for amendment. At her request, S.O. to 5.12.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**OA No. 307/2016.**

(Dr. N. R. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V. B. Wagh learned Advocate for  
the applicant and Shri S. K. Shirse learned  
Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant files  
affidavit in rejoinder. The same is accepted.

Copy served on the other side.

3. Be placed the O.A. for hearing on  
admission as well as regarding the report from  
the Additional Secretary as per order dated  
29.8.2017 to 12.12.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**OA No. 750/2016.**

(Shri J. S. Maher V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Miss Bhavna Panpatil learned Advocate holding for Shri S. B. Talekar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M. S. Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondent no.1 and Shri Sham Patil learned Advocate for the Respondents No.2 & 3. None present for Respondent no.4.

2. The arguable case is made out.

3. Admit.

4. Learned P.O. waives the notice for the respondents upon admission hearing.

5. Remove from Board. Be placed for final hearing as and when the Division Bench would be available with liberty to file affidavit in rejoinder, if any, in the meantime.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**OA No. 143/2017.**

(Shri U. R. Naglot V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V. B. Wagh learned Advocate  
holding for Shri Vivek Pingale learned Advocate  
for the applicant and Shri M. S. Mahajan  
learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant  
seeks time to file rejoinder. At his request, S.O.  
to 29.11.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ATP ORAL ORDERS 01-11-2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**  
**OA Nos.825, 864, 865, 866 & 867 of 2016.**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A. S. Sonwane learned Advocate holding for Shri V. B. Jogdand learned Advocate for the applicants in all the matters and Shri V. R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents no.1 to 4 in all the matters. None present for remaining respondents.

2. Shri A. S. Sonwane learned Advocate holding for Shri V. B. Jogdand learned Advocate for the applicants submits that, Shri V. B. Jogdand learned Advocate for the applicant wants accommodation and there is no instructions regarding departmental appeal, if any. In the circumstances, both the sides are directed to take instructions in this regard.

//2//

At their request, S.O. to 29.11.2017 for taking instructions from both the sides.

3. The learned P.O. is directed to act on the Steno copy of this order.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ATP ORAL ORDERS 01-11-2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**OA No. 408/2017.**

(Shri S. S. Kamble V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S. P. Urgunde learned Advocate  
for the applicant and Smt P. R. Bharaswadkar  
learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant  
submits that, during course of the day service  
affidavit would be filed. The same be accepted.

3. S.O. to 6.12.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**OA No.327/2017.**

(Shri A. R. Pofale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S. R. Dheple learned Advocate  
for the applicant, Shri V. R. Bhumkar learned  
Presenting Officer for respondents No.1 & 2 and  
Miss Bhavna Panpatil learned Advocate for the  
Respondents no.3 & 4.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant  
seeks time to file affidavit in rejoinder. At his  
request, S.O. to 5.12.2017 for filing rejoinder as  
well as hearing on continuation of I. R.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ATP ORAL ORDERS 01-11-2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**OA No.685/2015.**

(Shri R. B. Kulkarni & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Sham Patil learned Advocate  
holding for Shri R. P. Adgaonkar learned  
Advocate for the applicants and Smt R. S.  
Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for  
respondents.

2. Shri Sham Patil learned Advocate holding  
for Shri R. P. Adgaonkar learned Advocate for the  
applicants seeks time. At his request S.O. to  
4.12.2017 for making submissions on the line of  
the order dated 24.7.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ATP ORAL ORDERS 01-11-2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**  
**MA No.438/17 in OA St.No.1358/17.**

(Shri R. K. Mhaske & ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.**

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K. M. Nagarkar learned Advocate holding for Shri R. P. Bhumkar learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt P. R. Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. It is reported that Shri R. P. Bhumkar learned Advocate for the applicants has filed leave note.

3. Shri K. M. Nagarkar learned Advocate holding for Shri R. P. Bhumkar learned Advocate for the applicants seeks time. At his request, S.O. to 22.11.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ATP ORAL ORDERS 01-11-2017



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**OA No.240/16.**

(Shri R. B. Khajekar. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.**

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K. M. Nagarkar learned Advocate holding for Shri R. P. Bhumkar learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt R. S. Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. It is reported that Shri R. P. Bhumkar learned Advocate for the applicants has filed leave note.

3. Shri K. M. Nagarkar learned Advocate holding for Shri R. P. Bhumkar learned Advocate for the applicants seeks time. At his request, S.O. to 22.11.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ATP ORAL ORDERS 01-11-2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**OA No.68/17.**

(Shri P. E. Dadpe. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.**

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K. M. Nagarkar learned Advocate holding for Shri R. P. Bhumkar learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt P. R. Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. It is reported that Shri R. P. Bhumkar learned Advocate for the applicants has filed leave note.

3. Shri K. M. Nagarkar learned Advocate holding for Shri R. P. Bhumkar learned Advocate for the applicants seeks time. At his request, S.O. to 22.11.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ATP ORAL ORDERS 01-11-2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**OA No.764/2016.**

(Shri B. M. Swami V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----  
**CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.**

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K. M. Nagarkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt R. S. Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Shri K. M. Nagarkar learned Advocate for the applicants seeks time. At his request, S.O. to 06.12.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ATP ORAL ORDERS 01-11-2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**OA No.600/2017.**

(Shri S. J. Daware V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.**

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K. M. Nagarkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I. S. Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Shri K. M. Nagarkar learned Advocate for the applicants seeks time. At his request, S.O. to 06.12.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ATP ORAL ORDERS 01-11-2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**OA No.388/2017.**

(Shri R. P. Pawale & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.**

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K. M. Nagarkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N. U. Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned P. O. submits that reply would be filed during course of the day. It be accepted. Copy be served on the other side.

3. Shri K. M. Nagarkar learned Advocate for the applicants seeks time. At his request, S.O. to 07.12.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ATP ORAL ORDERS 01-11-2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**OA No.278/2016.**

(Shri M. K. Devbone V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.**

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K. M. Nagarkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N. U. Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Shri K. M. Nagarkar learned Advocate for the applicants seeks time. At his request, S.O. to 11.12.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ATP ORAL ORDERS 01-11-2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**  
**MA No.283/2017 IN OA ST. NO.942/2017.**  
(Shri S. V. Bhalerao V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.**

**DATE : 01.11.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K. M. Nagarkar learned Advocate holding for Shri B. R. Kedar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S. K. Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply. At his request, S.O. to 11.12.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ATP ORAL ORDERS 01-11-2017