

O.A. No. 198/2018.

(S.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J)**

Dated : 9th July 2018.

Shri S.A. Sahu, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, the Ld. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of the Ld. counsel for the applicant, S.O. **two weeks.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No. 759/2015.

(S.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J)**

Dated : 9th July 2018.

Heard Shri N.D. Thombre, the
Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri
M.I. Khan, the Ld. P.O. for the
respondents.

Closed for orders.

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No. 733/2016.

(S.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J)**

Dated : 9th July 2018.

Heard Shri S.P. Palshikar, the
Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri
A.M. Ghogre, the Ld. P.O. for the
respondents.

Closed for orders.

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. Nos. 181, 182, 183 & 184 of 2018.
(S.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J)**

Dated : 9th July 2018.

Shri S.P. Palshikar, the Ld. counsel for the applicants and Shri M.I. Khan, the Ld. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of the Ld. counsel for the applicant, S.O. **16.7.2018.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No. 937 2017.

(S.B.)

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J)

Dated : 9th July 2018.

Heard Shri N.S. Warulkar, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, the Ld. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The applicant has challenged the order dated 31.5.2016, whereby he has been transferred from Government Residential School for SC and Navbuddha Boys, Wangepalli Aheri, District Gadchiroli to District Caste Scrutiny Committee, Wardha and consequent order of dated 10.8.2017 issued by respondent No.2, whereby the applicant has been relieved. Admittedly, the applicant has been relieved on 2.11.2017 and he has not yet joined at his post at Wangepalli Aheri. According to the Ld. counsel for the applicant, the applicant is on medical leave. It may not be necessary to make any

comment on the absence of the applicant after he was relieved, since departmental will be at liberty to take action as per rules. The Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant wants to withdraw the O.A. with permission to file representation, since the post at Wangepalli Aheri is still vacant. In fact, there is no such permission is required for filing representation. However, the O.A. stands disposed of with liberty to file representation, if the applicant wants to file. No order as to costs.

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. 472/2009 (D.B.)

**Coram: J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J) and
Shree Bhagwan,Member(A)**

Dated : 09/07/2018

Mrs. Ratna Singh, Id. counsel holding for Shri S.U. Nemade, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for R-1 to 3. None for R-4.

At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, **S.O. three weeks.**

Put up along with O.A.640/2009.

Member(A)
dnk.

Vice-Chairman(J)

O.A. 640/2009 (D.B.)

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni,

Vice-Chairman(J) and

Shree Bhagwan,Member(A)

Dated : 09/07/2018

Mrs. Ratna Singh, Id. counsel holding for Shri A. Mardikar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for R-1 to 3. None for R-4 to 6.

At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, **S.O. three weeks.**

Put up along with O.A.472/2009.

Member(A)
dnk.

Vice-Chairman(J)

O.A. 603/2016 (D.B.)

**Coram: J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J) and
Shree Bhagwan,Member(A)**

Dated : 09/07/2018

Shri S.C. Deshmukh, Id. counsel
for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre,
Id. P.O. for R-1&2. None for R-3.

At the request of learned counsel
for the applicant, **S.O. 11/07/2018** for
hearing on admission stage.

Matter be treated as **P.H.**

Member(A)
dnk.

Vice-Chairman(J)

O.A. 459/2017 (D.B.)

**Coram: J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J) and
Shree Bhagwan,Member(A)**

Dated : 09/07/2018

Shri R.V. Shiralkar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjurkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. files reply-affidavit on behalf of R-2&3. It is taken on record. Copy is served on the applicant. The learned counsel for the applicant wants to go through the same.

S.O. four weeks.

Put up along with O.A.503/2017

Member(A)
dnk.

Vice-Chairman(J)

O.A. 503/2017 (D.B.)

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni,

**Vice-Chairman(J) and
Shree Bhagwan,Member(A)**

Dated : 09/07/2018

Shri R.V. Shiralkar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. files reply-affidavit on behalf of R-2&3. It is taken on record. Copy is served on the applicant. The learned counsel for the applicant wants to go through the same.

S.O. four weeks.

Put up along with O.A.459/2017

Member(A)
dnk.

Vice-Chairman(J)

O.A. 863/2017 (D.B.)

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni,

Vice-Chairman(J) and

Shree Bhagwan,Member(A)

Dated : 09/07/2018

None for the applicant.

Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for R-1 to 4. None for R-5.

2. The learned P.O. submits that the applicant's grievance has been redressed since the applicant has been re-appointed. However, since nobody appeared for the applicant, the matter be kept **after two weeks** for disposal.

Member(A)

dnk.

Vice-Chairman(J)

C.P. 05/2018 in O.A. 76/2017 (D.B.)

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni,

Vice-Chairman(J) and

Shree Bhagwan,Member(A)

Dated : 09/07/2018

Ms. A. Lanjewar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The learned P.O. to supply copies of the detailed pension papers of the applicant.

S.O. 12/07/2018.

Member(A)
dnk.

Vice-Chairman(J)

O.A. 436/2014 (D.B.)

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni,

Vice-Chairman(J) and

Shree Bhagwan,Member(A)

Dated : 09/07/2018

Shri Santosh Bhatkhore, Id. counsel holding for Shri S.D. Chande, Id. counsel for the applicant, Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for R-1&2 and Shri P.S. Wathore, Id. counsel for R-3.

2. The matter is circulated today by the applicant. However, Shri Santosh Bhatkhore, Id. counsel appearing on behalf of Shri S.D. Chande, Id. counsel for the applicant and submitted that his Senior Advocate Shri S.D. Chande is busy in the High Court and hence he

seeks two days time. At his request,
S.O. 11/07/2018.

Member(A) Vice-Chairman(J)
dnk.
O.A. 427/2016 (D.B.)

**Coram: J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J) and
Shree Bhagwan,Member(A)**

Dated : 09/07/2018

Heard Shri C.S. Captan, Id. Senior learned counsel along with Shri P.S. Chawhan, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The applicant has participated in the process of recruitment for Police Sub Inspector from S.C. (Scheduled Caste) Category. The simple case of the applicant is that number of candidates from S.C. category who have secured more marks than the Bench marks applicable for the Open Category have been considered from S.C. (General) and not from Open (General) category. It is stated that the Bench marks for

Open category was 475, whereas that for S.C. category was 452. The applicant got 447 marks, i.e., more than the Bench marks applicable for S.C. category. It is the case of the applicant that since the candidates from S.C. category who have secured more marks than the Bench marks of Open Category should have been considered for Open category and consequently the other candidates from S.C. category who got less marks than 475, should have been considered for S.C. (General) category.

3. The respondent no.2, i.e., the MPSC is therefore directed to file a short affidavit making following points clear :-

(i) How many candidates from S.C. category who have secured more marks than the Bench marks from Open category have not been considered for Open (General) category.

(ii) How many candidates from S.C. (General) category who should have been considered for S.C. (General) category could not be considered from

that category since the S.C. category candidates competing for Open category are shown as S.C. (General) category.

(iii) How many candidates are there from S.C. category who have obtained marks in between 447 to 452 marks.

S.O. two weeks. Matter be treated as **P.H.**

Steno copy is granted.

Member(A)
dnk.

Vice-Chairman(J)

O.A. 325/2015 (D.B.)

**Coram: J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J) and
Shree Bhagwan,Member(A)**

Dated : 09/07/2018

C.A. No. 400/16

Heard Shri S.P. Palshikar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id.

P.O. for the respondents. None for Intervener.

2. The application is filed by the intervener for dismissal of O.A. No.325/2015. The said O.A. was already fixed for final hearing and therefore the matter will be heard on merits. In view thereof, the C.A.No.400/2016 for dismissal of O.A. is dismissed.

O.A.325/2015 –

The matter be kept for hearing on **1st week of August, 2018.**

Member(A)
dnk.

Vice-Chairman(J)

O.A. 500/2017 (D.B.)

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni,

Vice-Chairman(J) and

Shree Bhagwan,Member(A)

Dated : 09/07/2018

C.A. 116/2017 & 117/2017

Heard Shri A.D. Girdekar, Id. counsel for the applicants and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for R-1 & 3. Await service of R-2.

2. The learned P.O. files reply-affidavit on behalf of R-3 on C.A.116/2017. It is taken on record. Copy is served on the applicant.

3. The learned counsel for the applicant wants to go through the same and for that purpose he seeks time. At his request, **S.O. four week**.

Member(A) **Vice-Chairman(J)**
dnk.
O.A. 61/2018 (D.B.)

**Coram: J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J) and
Shree Bhagwan, Member(A)**

Dated : 09/07/2018

C.A. 147/2018

Shri Y.P. Kaslikar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of learned P.O.,
S.O. 06/08/2018 for filing reply on C.A.

Member(A)
dnk.

Vice-Chairman(J)

O.A. 474/2018 (D.B.)

**Coram: J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J) and
Shree Bhagwan,Member(A)**

Dated : 09/07/2018

C.A. 151/2018

Heard Shri Y.P. Kaslikar, Id.
counsel for the applicants and Shri A.M.
Ghogre, Id. P.O. for the State.

2. By this C.A., the applicants are
seeking leave to sue jointly. For the reasons
stated in the C.A., leave to sue jointly as
prayed for is granted, subject to the
applicants paying requisite court fees, if not
already paid. C.A. stands disposed of
accordingly.

O.A. 474/2018 -

Heard Shri Y.P. Kaslikar, Id. counsel for the applicants and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for the State.

2. Issue notice to R-2 and 3, returnable on **06/08/2018**. Learned P.O. waives notice for R-1. Hamdast allowed.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is

directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to

Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. **S.O. 06/08/2018.**

Member(A)
dnk.

Vice-Chairman(J)

O.A. 288/2017 (D.B.)

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J) and
Shree Bhagwan,Member(A)

Dated : 09/07/2018

C.A. 157/2018 -

Heard Shri N.D. Thombre, Id. counsel for the applicant, Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for the respondents and Ms. V.S. Mohod, Id. counsel for Intervener.

2. This is an application for Intervener. The applicant has not participated in the recruitment process nor he is claiming for interim relief in this O.A. and therefore there is no locus standi to file such application.

3. The learned counsel for Intervener submits that she has been instructed to withdraw the C.A. and seeks permission for the same. In view thereof, the C.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn.

C.A.158/2018 –

Heard Shri N.D. Thombre, Id. counsel for the applicant, Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. This is an application for amendment. The applicant has received some documents which he wants to bring to the

notice of this Tribunal and also wants to amend the prayer clause for grant of deemed date of appointment and consequential benefits. The said amendment may not be change in the nature of the original O.A. and therefore the C.A. for amendment is allowed in the interest of justice subject to cost of Rs.500/- to be deposited to the MAT Bar Association. The necessary amendment be carried out within one week and amended copy be supplied to the learned P.O. well in advance. The learned P.O. is at liberty to file reply-affidavit to the amended portion.

O.A.288/2017 -

S.O. four weeks.

Member(A) Vice-Chairman(J)
Dnk.
O.A.No.438/2018 (S.B.)

Coram : Hon. Shri Shree Bhagwan,

Member (A)

Dated : 09.07.2018.

Shri S.A.Marathe, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A.Sainis, Id. P.O. for the State.

2. In order dated 25.06.18, the Id. counsel for the applicant was allowed to add Smt.A.V.Sarkate for the respondent, it has been done and as per the request of Id. counsel for the applicant notices are issued to the respondents.

3. Issue notice to R-2 to 5, returnable on three weeks. Learned P.O. waives notice for R-1. Hamdast allowed.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as

limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

9. **S.O. three weeks.**

Member (A)

aps.

O.A.No.353/2018 (S.B.)

Coram : Hon. Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Member (A)

Dated : 09.07.2018.

Shri S.N.Gaikwad, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for the Respondents.

As requested by Id. P.O., matter will be listed after four weeks.

S.O. four weeks.

Member (A)

aps.

O.A.No.726/2017 (S.B.)

Coram : Hon. Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Member (A)

Dated : 09.07.2018.

C.A.No.549/2017:-

None for the applicant. Shri A.M.Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for the State. Await service of respondent nos. 2 and 3. None for respondent no. 4.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. three weeks.**

Member (A)

aps.

O.A.No.107/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Member (A)**

Dated : 09.07.2018.

C.A.No.34/2018:-

Shri M.R.Joharapurkar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K.Pande, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The Id. counsel for the applicant pointed out at Pg. No. 179, his application for Jt. O.A. is allowed. However, the Id. P.O. submits that copy of O.A. has not supplied. The Id. counsel for the applicant directed to supply the original copy of the O.A. to the Id. P.O.

S.O. three weeks.

*Put up this matter alongwith
O.A.108/2018.*

Member (A)

aps.

O.A.No.108/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Member (A)**

Dated : 09.07.2018.

C.A.No.35/2018:-

Shri M.R.Joharapurkar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K.Pande, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The Id. counsel for the applicant pointed out at Pg. No. 179, his application for Jt. O.A. is allowed. However, the Id. P.O. submits that copy of O.A. has not supplied. The Id. counsel for the applicant directed to supply the original copy of the O.A. to the Id. P.O.

S.O. three weeks.

*Put up this matter alongwith
O.A.107/2018.*

Member (A)

aps.

O.A.No.352/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Member (A)**

Dated : 09.07.2018.

Shri M.R.Khan, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A.Deo, Id. C.P.O. for the respondents.

The Id. Counsel for the applicant has filed the Rejoinder. It is taken on record. Copy is served to the other side.

Heard Id. Counsel for considerable time then Id. C.P.O. explained the matter in detail and the Id. C.P.O. submitted pay-slips of November 2015 to May 2018. In all these pay-slips, it is itself clear that recovery is not made from the subsistence allowance as submitted by the Id. Counsel for the applicant. According to the impugned order no. ~~ilvok@ys#k@oru 2@~~ 9056@2017] dated 21/12/2017, the applicant mentioned that recovery from the subsistence allowance is

going on. However, It was pointed out by Id. C.P.O. that by order no. dzilsvok@fopk@i h&67 ¼1½@i kgok&188@fu-eq@2017 vkn\$Afnukd 21 Dec. 2017, the applicant was reinstated and from the order no. dzilsvok@ysll@oru 2@foukoru&ulr@5725@2018 dated 16/07/2018, it is very much clear that further recovery is from the salary. As far as the question of his leave without pay between 09/12/2014 to 21/03/2015 is concerned, the Id. C.P.O. pointed out another O.A. No. 184/2016 of applicant is pending before this Tribunal only and that is yet to be decided.

Both these orders of the same date. The impugned order dzilsvok@ysll@oru 2@9056@2017] dated 21/12/2017 and order for reinstatement dzilsvok@fopk@i h&67 ¼1½@i kgok&188@fu-eq@2017 vkn\$Afnukd 21 Dec. 2017 are of the same date and that is the reason that the Id. Counsel for the applicant has raise the issue of recovery from subsistence allowance during suspension.

However, salary slips from November 2015 to May 2018 is presented by the Id. C.P.O. has clearly shows that there is no recovery from the subsistence allowances during suspension and in this background there is no issue of any hardship or injustice to the applicant.

As far as the order of recovery dated 21/12/2017 is concerned, it is now clear that the said recovery is from the salary and not from the subsistence allowance. Hence, the instant O.A. is rejected and disposed off as dismissed. No order as to costs.

The Id. C.P.O. has pleaded with clinching evidences, he is appreciated for his way of pleading.

Member (A)

aps.

O.A.No.295/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Member (A)**

Dated : 09.07.2018.

None for the applicant. Shri S.A.Sainis,
Id. P.O. for the State. Await service of
respondent nos. 2 and 3.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. three
weeks.**

Member (A)

aps.

O.A.No.377/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Member (A)**

Dated : 09.07.2018.

Shri S.N.Gaikwad, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for the State. Await service of respondent nos. 2 and 3.

At the request of Id. Counsel for the applicant, **S.O. two weeks.**

Stay granted in order dated 11/06/2018 in para no. 2 is continued till next date of hearing.

Member (A)

aps.

O.A.No.394/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Member (A)**

Dated : 09.07.2018.

Ku.M.D.Karare, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A.Sainis, Id. P.O. for the respondent nos. 1 to 3. Await service of respondent no. 4.

The Id. Counsel for the applicant submits that she will file service affidavit at day after tomorrow. The Id. P.O. seeks three weeks time to file affidavit-in-reply, **S.O. three weeks.**

Member (A)

aps.

O.A.No.432/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Member (A)**

Dated : 09.07.2018.

Shri K.S.Malokar, Id. counsel for the applicant, Shri H.K.Pande, Id. P.O. for the respondents and Shri Sheikh Sohabuddin is going to file vakalatnama for intervention.

The Id. P.O. has filed the affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent nos. 1 to 3. The same is taken on record. Copy is served to the other side.

S.O. one week to file Rejoinder, if any.

Member (A)

aps.

O.A.No.494/2017 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Member (A)**

Dated : 09.07.2018.

Mrs. S.K.Paunikar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A.Sainis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The Id. P.O. submits that the reply of respondent no. 2 is yet to be submitted. At his request, **S.O. three weeks.**

Member (A)

aps.

O.A.No.502/2017 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Member (A)**

Dated : 09.07.2018.

Shri M.K.Kulkarni, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A.Sainis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The Id. P.O. has filed the affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent nos. 1 to 4. The same is taken on record. Copy is served to the other side.

Hence, the matter is **admitted** and kept for final hearing.

S.O. two weeks for Rejoinder, if any.

Member (A)

aps.

O.A.No.78/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Member (A)**

Dated : 09.07.2018.

None for the applicant. Shri S.A.Sainis,
Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The Id. P.O. seeks three weeks time to
file reply. At his request, **S.O. three weeks.**

Member (A)

aps.

O.A.No.120/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Member (A)**

Dated : 09.07.2018.

Shri G.G.Bade, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I.Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The Id. P.O. pointed out that as per the Additional P.C.C.F. (administration) an order dated 02/06/2018 at Pg. No. 121, the respondent no. 3 is directed at Pg. No. 126 in the last para, that the grievances of the applicant to be redressed. The Id. Counsel for the applicant pointed out that even now it has not been done.

The respondent no. 3 is directed to take immediate action as per the order passed by the respondent no. 2. The respondent no. 3 is further directed to take immediate action

within two weeks and communicate the same order to the Tribunal.

S.O. two weeks.

Steno copy granted.

Member (A)

aps.

O.A.No.330/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Member (A)**

Dated : 09.07.2018.

Shri G.K.Bhusari holding for Shri D.M.Kakani, Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N.Warjurkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The Id. P.O. has filed the affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent nos. 1 to 3. The same is taken on record. Copy is served to the other side.

At the request of Id. Counsel for the applicant, **S.O. two weeks** for Rejoinder.

The stay granted in order dated 04/06/2018 in para no. 2 is continued till next date of hearing.

Member (A)

aps.

O.A.No.356/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Member (A)**

Dated : 09.07.2018.

Shri A.P.Sadavarte, Id. Counsel for the
applicant and Shri S.A.Deo, Id. C.P.O. for the
respondents.

At the request of Id. C.P.O., **S.O. three
weeks** for reply.

Member (A)

aps.

O.A.No.376/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Member (A)**

Dated : 09.07.2018.

Shri S.P.Palshikar, Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.Ghogre, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

As per the Tribunal order dated 11/06/2018, the Id. Counsel for the applicant has deleted the respondent no. 2.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O.**
16/07/2018 for reply.

Member (A)

aps.

O.A.No.385/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Member (A)**

Dated : 09.07.2018.

Shri A.P.Tathod, Id. Counsel for the applicant, Shri A.M.Ghogre, Id. P.O. for the respondent nos. 1 and 2 and Shri S.P.Palshikar, Id. Counsel for respondent no. 3.

The Id. P.O. has filed the reply on behalf of the respondent nos. 1 and 2. The same is taken on record. Copies are served to the other side.

However, the Id. Counsel for the applicant desires time to file Rejoinder. At his request, **S.O. 16/07/2018.**

Member (A)

aps.

O.A.No.427/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Member (A)**

Dated : 09.07.2018.

Smt. K.N.Saboo, Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A.Sainis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The Id. P.O. seeks two weeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.

In order dated 18/06/2018 in para no. 2, the stay has been granted, it is continued till next date of hearing.

Member (A)

aps.

