

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 832 OF 2018  
(Shri Shaikh Nasir Sk. Miya V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 04.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri G.R. Gotiwale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 and 3. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been submitted to the other side.

3. S.O. to 20.06.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 04.04.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 883 OF 2018  
(Shri Sawairam D. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 04.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri G.N. Kulkarni (Mardikar), learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 19.06.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 04.04.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 886 OF 2018  
(Shri Baliram D. Waghmare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 04.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri G.N. Kulkarni (Mardikar), learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 19.06.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 04.04.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 231/2018 in O.A. St. No. 1065/2018  
(Shri Majid Ismail Shaikh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 04.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**).

Heard Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1, 3 & 4 and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent No. 2.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A. Time granted as a most last chance.

3. S.O. to 19.06.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 04.04.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 454/2018 in O.A. St. No. 1906/2018  
(Shri Kiran S. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 04.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 08.04.2019 for filing affidavit in reply in M.A.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 04.04.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 519/2018 in O.A. No. 279/2017  
(Smt. Rekha A. Bonlawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 04.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.D. Patnoorkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 04.06.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 04.04.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 16/2019 in O.A. St. No. 42/2019  
(Shri Gulab G. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 04.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that during the course of the day affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A. would be filed.

3. S.O. to 03.06.2019 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 04.04.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 793 OF 2018  
(Shri Siddarath R. Divekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 04.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 10.06.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 04.04.2019.



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 197 OF 2019  
(Shri Vijaykumar P. Narwade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 04.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Vijaykumar P. Narwade, the applicant (part in person) is **absent**. Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. On last date i.e. on 22.03.2019 none present for the applicant.

3. Hence, S.O. to 11.04.2019 for passing dismissal order.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 04.04.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 269 OF 2019  
(Shri Sunil S. Wagh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 04.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.P. Tripathi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The applicant has challenged the order of revision of pay scale. The subject matter of revision of pay scale is being dealt with by the Division Bench.

3. Hence, the present matter is removed from the board. The Registry is directed to take appropriate steps for placing the present matter before the Division Bench.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 04.04.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 300 OF 2019  
(Shri Sakharam B. Rakh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 04.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 20.06.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 20.06.2019.

9. Steno copy and hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 04.04.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 301 OF 2019  
(Shri Sakharam B. Rakh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 04.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 20.06.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 20.06.2019.

9. Steno copy and hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 04.04.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 309 OF 2019  
(Shri Nikhil V. Wagh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 04.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri E.S. Murge, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 21.06.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 21.06.2019.

9. Steno copy and hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 04.04.2019.



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 162/2019 in O.A. St. No. 692/2019  
(Shri Shankar R. Tomke & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 04.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Piryra R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, and to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

4. Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 04.04.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 692 OF 2019  
(Shri Shankar R. Thomke & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 04.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 21.06.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 21.06.2019.

9. Steno copy and hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 04.04.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 411/2018 in O.A. No. 261/2017  
(Shri Shivram Y. Survase V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 04.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 07.06.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 04.04.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 585 OF 2017  
(Shri Anandidas B. Pande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 04.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri E.S. Murge, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Ghute, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete. Hence, the present O.A. is admitted and it be kept for final hearing on 19.06.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 04.04.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 403 OF 2018  
(Shri Ravi S. Shirame V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 04.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to take steps against the respondent 5, since he has not received the envelope sent to the respondent No. 5 by post, which has been refused by the respondent No. 5.

3. S.O. to 29.04.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 04.04.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 626 OF 2018  
(Shri Dilip S. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 04.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.N. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. On perusal of the record, it reveals that on 13.03.2019 time was granted to the respondents for filing affidavit in reply subject to payment of costs of Rs. 2000/- (Rs. two Thousand Only). But no affidavit in reply is filed by the respondents till today. There is no just ground to grant further time for filing affidavit in reply. In the interest of justice, time is granted to the respondents for filing affidavit in reply subject to payment of costs of Rs. 3000/- (Rs. Three Thousand Only) in addition to the earlier costs of Rs. 2000/- (Rs. Two Thousand Only). The amount of Costs shall be deposited in the Registry of this Tribunal.

4. S.O. to 03.06.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.835/2018  
(Sunil K. Pawara V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 04.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri C.V. Bhadane, leaned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has prayed to grant time to produce original record regarding recruitment of Police Patil.

3. Time as prayed for is granted.

4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant also seeks time to argue the matter.

5. At the request of the learned P.O. and learned Advocate, S.O. to 13.06.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 04-04-2019 bpp



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.900/2018  
(Bhika M. Pathare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 04.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Jayant S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed a leave note.

Heard Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In view of the leave note of the advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 20.06.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 04-04-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.907/2018  
(Prabhakar A. Satdive V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 04.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Jayant S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed a leave note.

Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In view of the leave note of the advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 20.06.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 04-04-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.912/2018**

**(Rabiyabee w/o Late Babamiyan Khajamiyan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 04.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Dr. K.P. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant is **absent**.

Heard Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.
3. Time as prayed for is granted as a last chance.
4. S.O. to 17.06.2019 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 04-04-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.913/2018**  
**(Mariyabee w/o late sayed V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 04.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Dr. K.P. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant is **absent**.

Heard Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.
3. Time as prayed for is granted as a last chance.
4. S.O. to 17.06.2019 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 04-04-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.292/2018  
(Bhavana H. Thakare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 04.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant is claiming relief as per prayer clause 9(b) by filing the Original Application. The Applicant has challenged the clause 6 of the G.R. dated 21.9.2017. Therefore, the matter requires to be placed before the Division Board. Hence, registry is directed to place the matter before Division Bench.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 04-04-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.665/2017  
(Rambhau L. Kuskar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 04.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri B. S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has produced on record the copies of medical prescriptions and other certificates. The same is taken on record and marked as 'X' for the purpose of identification.

3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 24.06.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 04-04-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.384/2018  
(Panchphulabai R. Shirsath V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 04.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Anant D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 30.04.2019 for producing G.R. dated 16.10.2012.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 04-04-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.867/2018  
(Yogesh K. Sonawane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 04.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri B. S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 to 3 and Shri A.S. Sawant, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has produced a copy of communication dated 26.03.2019 received from the Sub Divisional Officer/Magistrate, Dhule Division, Dhule requesting for time. The same is taken on record and marked as 'X' for the purpose of the identification.

3. Time as prayed for is granted.

4. S.O. to 02.05.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 04-04-2019 bpp



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.639/2018  
(Maroti J. Sonkamble V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 04.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Shri Gajanan S. Shembole, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to produce record as per the directions given by this Tribunal on 15.03.2019.

3. S.O. to 29.04.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 04-04-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.263/2019  
(Sangeeta B. Sanap V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 04.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.
3. Time as prayed for is granted.
4. At the request of the learned P.O. and learned Advocate, S.O. to 30.04.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 04-04-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 92 OF 2019  
(Shri Amol Sahebrao Salve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 04.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.A. Bide, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, the present Original Application has been taken up for final disposal.

3. Learned Presenting Officer for the respondents has filed a copy of communication dated 27.03.2019 received to him from the respondent No. 5 viz. Superintendent of Police, Ahmednagar. It is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification.

4. In view of the aforesaid communication, the learned Presenting Officer submits that the necessary documents submitted by the applicant yesterday are under consideration pursuant to the order of this Tribunal dated 5.2.2019 directing the respondent No. 5 to consider the representation of the applicant made on 14.1.2019 (page-135 of the paper book of O.A.) and shall take corrective steps and file report in that regard before the Tribunal by the next date.

**O.A. NO. 92 OF 2019**

5. Since the representation of the applicant is under consideration, subject to character verification and medical examination, it would be appropriate to dispose of the present Original Application by giving direction to the respondent No. 5 to decide the representation of the applicant within a stipulated timeframe.

6. Accordingly, the present Original Application is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No. 5 to decide the representation filed by the applicant on 14.01.2019 (page-135 of the paper book of O.A.) within a period of one month from the date of this order.

There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 829 OF 2018  
(Shri Kiran P. Prabhakar Kolte V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 04.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Rebekah Daniel, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talkear, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 23.04.2019 for filing affidavit in reply, as a last chance. Interim relief, if any granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

ORAL ORDERS 04.04.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 230 OF 2018  
(Shri Sushilkumar D. Kulkarni & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**WITH**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 248 OF 2018  
(Shri Shripad C. Vaidya & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**WITH**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 251 OF 2018  
(Shri Sanjay G. Sarpate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 04.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard S/Shri Avinash S. Deshmuh, Abhay G. Deshmukh for himself and holding for Pavan P. Uttarwar, learned Advocates for the applicants in respective cases and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these cases.

2. This Tribunal issued an interim order dated 13.04.2018 in O.A. St. No. 528/2018 (O.A.NO. 230/2018). Thereafter this Tribunal has passed order on 3.8.2018 with a direction that the order dated 13.4.2018 may be brought to the notice of Chief Secretary of Government of Maharashtra.

3. However, learned Chief Presenting Officer seeks time for filing necessary affidavit.

4. Learned Advocate Shri Avinash Deshmukh for the applicant in O.A. No. 230/2018 also submits that the departmental examinations were held in the month of April, 2018. However, the results are awaited.

**O.A.NOS. 230, 248 & 251 ALL OF 2018**

5. This Tribunal grants last opportunity to explain the reasons for withholding the examinations despite clear directions given by this Tribunal.

6. S.O. to 18.04.2019 with a direction that the concerned officer may be asked to appear before this Tribunal to explain the issue involved in these OAs.

7. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the paraties.

**MEMBER (A)**

ORAL ORDERS 04.04.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**T.A.NO. 8/2018 (W.P. No. 11576/2014)  
(Ms. Kiran Chhaganlal Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 04.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present case was transferred to this Tribunal from the Hon'ble Bombay High Court Bench at Aurangabad pursuant to the order dated 18.09.2018 in Writ Petition No. 11576/2014. The copy of the said order is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification. Thereafter the said W.P. No. 11576/2014 was registered and number as T.A. No. 8/2018.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has no objection to place this case before the Division Bench for hearing.

4. In view of this, S.O. to 12.06.2019.

**MEMBER (A)**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 813 OF 2017  
(Shri Nagesh M. Phad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 04.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.G. Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri Vivek Bhavthankar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri Shrikant Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply to the amended O.A. on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time. Learned Advocate for respondent No. 4 also seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 23.04.2019 for filing affidavit in reply by respondent No. 4.

**MEMBER (A)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 303 OF 2019  
(Shri Sukracharya Baban Tekale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 04.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 27.06.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

**:: - 2 - ::**  
**O.A. NO. 303 OF 2019**

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 27.06.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

ORAL ORDERS 04.04.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.ST.NO. 8/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO. 9/2019  
(Shri Kisan E. Vibhute & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 04.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Anant D. Kaware, learned Advocate for the applicant (absent). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. The present case to be heard on merit regarding objection raised by the Registry of this Tribunal regarding limitation as per the prayer clause 'B', which reads as under: -

*“(B) The respondents may kindly be directed to reckon the services of the applicant rendered on contract basis from the year 1994 for the purpose of seniority and other consequential benefits and to extend all resultant benefits flowing there from to the applicants by condemning their technical break between contract basis service and regular service around 3 to 8 months.”*

3. In view of the above prayer clause 'B', S.O. to 10.06.2019 with liberty to circulate the present case as and when exigency arises.

**MEMBER (A)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A.NOS. 825 & 864 TO 867 ALL OF 2016  
(Shri Prakash A. Gaikwad & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 04.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vijay B. Jogdand Patil, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these cases and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these cases. Shri Satyajit Bora, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5 in O.A. Nos. 865 to 867 all of 2016 (**absent**).

2. Learned Advocate for the applicants has filed copy of amendment application including names of the respondents pursuant to the order dated 10.12.2018.

3. Amendment application is allowed. The learned Advocate for the applicants undertakes to amend the O.A. within a period of one week.

4. S.O. to 14.06.2019.

**MEMBER (A)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 290/2019  
(Rajesh L. Tangade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 4.4.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent no.1.

2. Shri D.A. Aghav, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed his V.P. for res. no. 2 and also filed affidavit in reply of res. no. 2. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. Present Original Application is filed by the applicant against the impugned show cause notice dtd. 25.3.2019 directing him to explain as to why he may not be dismissed in case his reply to the said show cause is not satisfactory. The case of the applicant is that vide G.R. dtd. 11.10.2018 Agriculture Officers, Group -C (Technical) under Zilla Parishad were granted Gazetted status (page 18). The Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development & Fisheries Department issued detailed G.R. dtd. 9.1.2019 mentioning that the Officers / employees falling under said new cadre of Agriculture Officers (Zilla Parishad)

Group – B (Junior) (Gazetted) would be under the administrative control of the Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development & Fisheries Department. Para 3, 5 & 8 of the said G.R. is reproduced herein below :-.

३. कृषि अधिकारी (जिल्हा परिषद) गट-ब (कनिष्ठ) (राजपत्रित) हे राज्य शासकीय कर्मचारी असतील. त्यांची आस्थापना या विभागाच्या अखत्यारित राहिल. या अधिका-यांच्या सर्व आस्थापनाविषयक बाबी ह्या या विभागाकडून हाताळण्यात येतील. (उदा. सेवा प्रवेश नियम, रिक्त पदांची माहिती महाराष्ट्र लोकसेवा आयोगाकडे सादर करणे, बदल्या, पदोन्नत्या इत्यादी व इतर अनुषांगिक सेवाविषयक सर्व बाबी) या अधिका-यांची नियुक्ती जिल्हा परिषदेच्या कृषि विभागात करण्यात येईल. तसेच, या अधिका-यांची बदली जिल्हा परिषदेच्या कृषि विभागाव्यतिरीक्त अन्यत्र होणार नाही.

५. सध्या कार्यरत असणा-या जिल्हा परिषदेतील कृषि अधिका-यांना (तांत्रिक क वर्ग) त्यांच्या मुळ संवर्गात म्हणजेच सहाय्यक गट विकास अधिकारी (गट-ब) म्हणून राहण्यासाठी अथवा नवीन संवर्गामध्ये म्हणजेच कृषि अधिकारी (जिल्हा परिषद) गट-ब (कनिष्ठ) (राजपत्रित) या संवर्गामध्ये त्यांचा समावेश करण्यासाठी विकल्प घेवून त्याप्रमाणे ग्राम विकास विभागाकडून त्यांची पदनिश्चिती करण्यात येईल.

८. कृषि अधिकारी (जिल्हा परिषद) गट-ब (कनिष्ठ) (राजपत्रित) या संवर्गामधील बदलीपात्र अधिका-यांची यादी, रिक्त पदांचा त्रैमासिक अहवाल, बिंदूनामावली व सेवा विषयक अन्य सर्व बाबीबाबतचे प्रस्ताव संबंधित जिल्हा परिषदांचे मुख्य कार्यकारी अधिकारी यांचेमाफत आयुक्त (कृषि) यांचेकडे सादर करण्यात येतील”

4. The contents of the G.R. show that there is no power with the res. no. 2 the Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Jalna except for forwarding a proposal to the Commissioner of Agriculture.

5. The learned Advocate for res. no. 2 has placed reliance on page 50 & 51 of the reply and submits that till today the applicant is not absorbed under the res.

no. 1 and till his date of absorption the res. no. 2 is competent authority.

6. The mere clarification issued by the State does not take away the express provisions laid down in the G.R., which is issued in the name of Governor of Maharashtra, however, the State is yet to file reply and learned C.P.O. submits that necessary reply or short reply will be filed by the State clarifying the position on the next date positively.

7. Learned Advocate for res. no. 2, on instructions, submits that no final action would be taken on the show cause till the State files necessary reply / short reply. The said undertaking is taken on record.

8. In the circumstances, S.O. to 12.4.2019. However, in the meantime applicant shall submit his reply to the show cause notice to res. no. 2 without fail by Monday.

9. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned C. P.O.

**MEMBER (A)**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 162/2017 WITH M.A. 139/2017 IN O.A. 136/2017  
(Mrs. Madhuri B. Banait V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 4.4.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent no.1.

2. This Tribunal vide order dtd. 4.7.2017 in M.A. no. 210/2017 granted interim relief that the applicants / co-applicants shall not be discontinued until further orders as State has yet to finalize the recruitment rules. Thereafter matter has been adjourned from time to time in view of steps taken by the respondents for finalization of the recruitment rules. As on today also the State is yet to finalize the recruitment rules. This Tribunal vide order dtd. 18.3.2019 it was stated that query is raised by the M.P.S.C. In view of this, interim relief granted earlier vide order dtd. 4.7.2017 to continue till further orders.

3. S.O. to 12.6.2019 for reporting further progress regarding finalization of recruitment rules with a forewarning that heavy costs would be imposed, if recruitment rules are not finalized till next date.

4. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned C.P.O.

**MEMBER (A)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 415/2018 IN O.A. 83/2017  
(Smt. Sayyed Jarinbi Raisoddin & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 4.4.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent no.1.

2. Present M.A. has been filed by the applicants for restoration of O.A. no. 83/2017 to its original position by condoning delay of 250 days caused in filing the same. Learned Advocate for the applicants submits that due to non appearance of earlier Advocate for the applicants, O.A. no. 73/2017 was dismissed in default by the Tribunal vide order dtd. 8.1.2018.

3. Learned P.O. submits that there is considerable delay in filing this M.A. and that should not be condoned and O.A. shall not be restored.

4. For the reasons stated in the M.A. it is allowed and disposed of by condoning 250 days caused in filing the same and O.A. no. 83/2017 is hereby restored to its original position. There shall be no order as to costs.

5. Accordingly O.A. no. 83/2017 be posted for hearing on 8.7.2019.

**MEMBER (A)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. 785/1998  
(Shri Bhatu H. Sonar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 4.4.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Sd. Zahid Ali, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Golegaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23.4.2019 for filing rejoinder.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 4-4-2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NOS. 930 AND 931/2016  
(Shri Chudaman Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 4.4.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shrikant Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant in both the cases and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the cases.

2. Learned P.O. states that earlier O.A. no. 364/2014 was allowed by the Tribunal vide order dtd. 30.1.2015 as well as O.A. no. 340/2015 vide order dtd. 7.10.2015. Against above decisions apparently W.P. no. 5184/2018 was filed in the Hon'ble High Court and the same was decided by the Hon'ble High Court vide order dtd. 23.8.2018, copy whereof has been filed today by the learned P.O., which is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification.

3. Learned P.O. submits that State is thinking about to file review of order in W.P. no. 5184/2018 before the Hon'ble High Court.

4. In the circumstances, S.O. to 22.7.2019 for reporting further progress on the above line.

**MEMBER (A)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 440 OF 2018**

**(Shri Shivaji S/o Dagdu Sirsat V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 04.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Registry has reported on 23.01.2019 that respondent Nos. 3 & 4 are not duly served.

3. The present Original Application is admitted on 19.3.2019.

4. Hence, issue fresh notices to the respondent Nos. 3 & 4, returnable on 10.06.2019.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

**O.A. NO. 440 OF 2018**

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

10. S.O. to 10.06.2019.

11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**CHAIRMAN**