

O.A.57/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 13.07.2017.

C.A.28/2017 -

Shri R.A. Haque, Id. counsel for the applicant and Smt. S.V. Kolhe, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to carry out the amendment. At his request, **S.O. two weeks.**

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A.687/2016

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 13.07.2017.

C.A.322/2017 -

Heard Shri S.Lachalwar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Smt. S.V. Kolhe, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

With the consent of both the Id. counsel for parties, the matter is heard on merit and therefore the C.A. is allowed.

O.A.687/2016 –

The matter is heard on merit and **closed for orders.**

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A.780/2016

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 13.07.2017.

Heard Shri A.P. Sadavarte, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.A. Barabde, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. files reply on behalf of R-2 and 3. It is taken on record. Copy is served on the applicant.

3. Since the pleadings are complete, the matter is admitted and kept for final hearing. In the meantime, the respondents are directed not to take steps to deduct the annual increment.

4. The learned P.O. waives notice for the respondents.

S.O. in due course.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A.66/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 13.07.2017.

Heard Shri S.M. Khan, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for R-1 to 3. None for R-4.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that he does not want to file rejoinder. Since the pleadings are complete, the matter is being heard on merit.

Closed for order.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A.95/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 13.07.2017.

Mrs. K.N. Saboo, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for R-1&2. None for R-3&4.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. two weeks** for filing reply.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A.99/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 13.07.2017.

None for the applicant. Shri A.M.
Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for the Respts.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O.**
three weeks for filing reply.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A.Nos. 129,130 & 131 of 2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 13.07.2017.

Shri S. Borkute, Id. counsel for the applicants and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O.**
three weeks for filing reply.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A.196/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 13.07.2017.

None for the applicant. Shri S.A. Deo, Id. C.P.O. for the Respts. nos. 1&2. None for R-3.

At the request of Id. C.P.O., **S.O.** **three weeks** for filing reply.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A.327/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 13.07.2017.

Shri S. Borkute, Id. counsel holding
for Shri N.S. Warulkar, Id. counsel for the
applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O.
for R-1. None for R-2 to 4.

At the request of Id. counsel for the
applicant, **S.O. two weeks.**

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A.328/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 13.07.2017.

Shri S. Borkute, Id. counsel holding
for Shri N.S. Warulkar, Id. counsel for the
applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O.
for R-1. None for R-2 to 4.

At the request of Id. counsel for the
applicant, **S.O. two weeks.**

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A.343/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 13.07.2017.

Shri Bharat Kulkarni, Id. counsel
for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjurkar,
Id. P.O. for the Respts.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. two
weeks** for filing reply.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A.406/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 13.07.2017.

Shri A.Z. Jibhkate, Id. counsel for
the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O.
for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. one
week** for filing reply.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 13.07.2017.

Shri D.M. Kakani, Id. counsel for the applicants and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file reply affidavit in all the matters. The respondents shall file affidavit and specifically mentions in the affidavit as to whether the case of the applicants is covered by the Judgment delivered by this Tribunal in O.As. 699 & 718 of 2015 decided on 23/1/2017 and also O.A.Nos. 233/2013, 1000/2013, 1001/2013, 1002/2013 and 1003/2013 as mentioned in para no.9 of the said Judgment.

3. At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. three weeks.**

Put up along with other similar matters.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A.Nos.347 to 351 of 2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 13.07.2017.

Shri D.M. Kakani, Id. counsel for the applicants and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for R-1. Await service of R-2&3.

2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file reply affidavit in all the matters. The respondents shall file affidavit and specifically mentions in the affidavit as to whether the case of the applicants is covered by the Judgment delivered by this Tribunal in O.As. 699 & 718 of 2015 decided on 23/1/2017 and also O.A.Nos. 233/2013, 1000/2013, 1001/2013, 1002/2013 and 1003/2013 as mentioned in para no.9 of the said Judgment.

3. At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. three weeks.**

Put up along with other similar matters.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 482/2017.

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 13th July 2017.

Heard Shri, N.D. Thombre, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, the learned P.O. for respondent No.1.

2. The applicant has been appointed as Assistant Professor in Physiology vide order dated 16.5.2017 on the conditions mentioned therein. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that no circumstance mentioned in condition No.4 is in existence so as to terminate the applicant. However, the applicant has been terminated vide impugned order dated 7.6.2017 on the ground that alternative candidate has been made available from the Government. The learned counsel

for the applicant also submits that as per the decision taken by the competent authority, junior-most Assistant Professor should have been terminated and since one Dr. (Smt.) Nilima Patil is a junior-most to the applicant. She should have been appointed. It is further stated that the person who is posted in place of the applicant as Assistant Professor in the subject of Orthopedic and not of Physiology. It seems that the applicant has already been terminated and whether the said termination is legal or not will have to be considered on merit for which reply of the respondents is necessary.

3. The Ld. P.O. submits that he will file reply affidavit without fail within two weeks.

4. In view thereof issue notice to respondents 2 to 4 returnable after **two weeks.**

5. Shri A.M. Ghogre, the learned P.O. waives notice for respondent No.1. Hamdast granted.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

8. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunals (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post or courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within

three weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. S.O. two weeks.

Vice-
Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No. 466/2002.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).

Dated : 13th July 2017.

M.C.A. No.34/2017 in C.A. 142/2016 in
C.P.(St.)576/2016.

None for the applicant. Shri A.M. Ghogre, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

On the last date, the learned P.O. had placed on record the communication dated 21.12.2016 (Annexure-X) in which it was stated that the amount was received by the applicant and that she was going to withdraw the petition. The Ld. P.O. was directed to file receipt to show that the amount has been paid to the applicant. The Ld. P.O., however, has placed on record today one communication received from Incharge Principal, I.T.I., Chimur dated 13.2.2017 which is marked "X" for identification, from which it seems that the amount has been paid to the applicant. That seems to be the reason as to why the applicant is not appearing before this Tribunal to prosecute the application. In view thereof, the order of this Tribunal seems to have been complied with and hence the MCA stands disposed of.

Vice-
Chairman(J)

Pdg

O.A. No. 105/2017.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,

Vice-Chairman (J).
Dated : 13th July 2017.

Heard Shri V.G. Bhamburkar,
the learned counsel for the
applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, the
learned P.O. for the respondents
1 & 2. None for R.3.

Closed for orders.

Vice-
Chairman(J)

Pdg

O.A. No. 617/2014.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).

Dated : 13th July 2017.

C.A. 285/2016 in C.P.(St.)1136/2016.

Heard Shri S.D. Zoting the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, the learned P.O. for the respondent No. 1. Shri Abhijit Parihar, Adv. for R.2.

Ld. counsel for R.2 i.e. for C.E.O., Z.P., Gondia submits that Shri R.S. Thakre, C.E.O., Z.P., Gondia has proceeded for training at Mussoorie on 1st July 2017 and he is likely to come back from the said training on 16th August 2017. In view thereof, matter be kept on **24th August 2017.**

Ld. counsel for R.2 submits that the reply affidavit of R.2 is ready and he will file it in the meantime.

Vice-
Chairman(J)

O.A. No. 687/2014.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).

Dated : 13th July 2017.

C.A. 151/2016 in C.P.(St.) 615/2016.

Heard Shri R.V. Shiralkar, Adv. holding for Shri A.C. Dharmadhikari, the learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. S.V. Kolhe, the learned P.O. for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Mrs. V. Khadekar, Adv. for R. 4 & 5 and Shri Z. Shekhani, Adv. for R.6.

The Ld. Adv. for R. 4 & 5 submits that on the last date, the respondent Nos. 4 to were directed to file specific reply affidavit to the objection taken by the applicant as regards non compliance of the order. Today, the Ld. Adv. for R. 4 & 5 has filed on record a copy of the notice which she has issued to the C.E.O., Z.P., Buldhana from which it seems that the C.E.O., Z.P., Buldhana is not paying any

heed even to her request. Copy of the said notice alongwith receipt and acknowledgement is taken on record and it marked "X for identification. The Ld. P.O. submits that the order has been complied with and she has filed one statement in this regard which is marked "X-1" for identification. Copy of the said statement be given to the applicant so that the applicant can confirm whether the order is complied with or not. Respondent Nos. 4 to 6 are again directed to file affidavit in reply without fail.

S.O. **two weeks**.

In the meantime, the Ld. Adv. for R. 4 & 5 is directed to supply the name of the C.E.O., Z.P., Buldhana who seems to be the person responsible for non compliance of the order of this Tribunal so that the contempt proceedings can be initiated against the said person in his personal capacity.

Vice-
Chairman(J)

O.A. No. 618/2014.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).

Dated : 13th July 2017.

C.A. 323/2016 in C.P.(St.)
1261/2016.

Heard Shri S.D. Zoting, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, the learned P.O. for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Shri P. Kadu, Adv. for R.4.

In this case, respondent No.4 was directed to comply with the directions of the State Government vide order dated 2.8.2016 and to appear before this Tribunal to state as to why the order could not be complied with. Admittedly, the order has not been complied with and in spite of the fact that respondent No.4 Shri Kiran Kulkarni, C.E.O., Z.P., Amravati is not present today. The Ld. counsel for R.4 submits that the affidavit in reply is ready and he is filing the same as regards non compliance. Even though the affidavit is taken on record, fact remains that Shri Kiran Kulkarni, C.E.O., Z.P., Amravati did not appear before this Tribunal to explain the circumstances for non compliance. The Ld. counsel for R.4 is therefore directed to inform Shri Kiran Kulkarni, C.E.O., Z.P., Amravati to remain personally present before this Tribunal on

next Thursday i.e. on 20th July
2017.

S.O. 20th July 2017.

Vice-
Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No. 481/2017.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).
Dated : 13th July 2017.

Heard Shri P.J. Mehta, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, the learned C.P.O. for the respondent No.1.

2. The applicant is claiming directions to respondent No.2 for quashing the entire recruitment process for the post of Assistant Motor Vehicles Inspector in pursuance of the advertisement Nos. 2/2017 and 48/2017 on the ground that the same has been conducted in an illegal manner. It is pertinent to note that, the applicant himself participated in the said process and has been declared unsuccessful and, therefore, it will have to be seen as to how he application is tenable. However, it will be necessary to obtain the say of the respondents in the O.A.

3. Hence, issue notice to R.2 returnable after **four weeks**.

4. Shri S.A. Deo, the learned C.P.O. waives notice for the respondent No.1. Hamdast granted.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

7. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunals (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post or courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within three weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. **four weeks.**

Vice-
Chairman(J)

O.A. No. 487/2015.

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 13th July 2017.

**C.A. 390/2016 in C.P.(St.)
1535/2016.**

Heard Shri R.V. Shiralkar,
the learned counsel for the
applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, the
learned P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. **two weeks** as a last
chance.

Vice-
Chairman(J)

O.A. No.321 /2009.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).

Dated : 13th July 2017.

C.A.282 /2016 in C.P.(St.)
1116/2016.

Heard Shri R.V. Shiralkar,
Adv. holding for Shri A.C.
Dharmadhikari, the learned
counsel for the applicant and Smt.
S.V. Kolhe, the learned P.O. for
the respondents.

The Ld. P.O. submits that the writ petition filed by the State has been disposed of by the High Court on 14.6.2017. But a detailed order is yet to be received. In view thereof, she seeks two weeks' time.

At the request of the Ld. P.O.,
S.O. **two weeks.**

Vice-
Chairman(J)

Pdg

O.A. No. 378 to 381 of 2016.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).

Dated : 13th July 2017.

C.A. 106/2017 in C.P.(St.)554
/2017.

Heard Shri Bharat Kulkarni,
the learned counsel for the

applicants and Shri H.K. Pande, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

The Ld. P.O. submits that the bill has been submitted to the Treasury Office on 10th July 2017 in respect of two applicants only. However, total applicants are 30 and, therefore, the order is to be complied with in respect of remaining applicants also. Ld. P.O. seeks one month's time to comply with the order. Time is granted as a last chance.

S.O. **four weeks.**

Vice-
Chairman(J)

Pdg

O.A. No. 614 to 616 of 2013.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,

Vice-Chairman (J).
Dated : 13th July 2017.
C.A. 252/2017 in C.P.(St.)
677/2017.

Heard Shri D.S. Wasnik, the learned counsel for the applicants and Shri P.N. Warjekar, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. **three weeks** for filing reply of respondents at the request of Ld. P.O.

Vice-
Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No. 804/2011 and 590/2011.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).

Dated : 13th July 2017.

C.A. 310/2017 in C.P.(St.) 944/2017.

Heard Shri P.R. Pudke, the learned counsel for the applicants and Smt. M.A. Barabde, the learned P.O. for the respondent No.4. None for R. 1 to 3.

2. The C.A. No.310/2017 is filed for issuance of contempt proceedings for non compliance of the orders passed by this Tribunal in O.A. Nos. 804/2011 and 590/2011. The learned counsel for the applicants submits that he will file separate O.A. for initiation of contempt proceedings as regards the order passed in O.A. No. 590/2011 and, therefore, this application shall be treated as concerning the non compliance of the order in O.A. No. 804/2011.

3. In O.A. No. 804/2011, this Tribunal has passed the order on 5.11.2014, whereby the respondents were directed to pay interest at the prevailing rate of GPF in respect of that order for the delayed payment under each head from

the date of retirement i.e. 30.4.2011 till the date of payment is made under each head. The Ld. counsel for the applicants could not state the exact date on which the applicant received payment. It is further stated that the applicant has received the amount prior to 3-4 months. The Ld. counsel for the applicants is, therefore, directed to give details of the amount which he has received, the date on which he has received the same and interest calculated by the applicant that the said amount which is required to be paid by the respondents. In the meantime, the respondents may also calculate the said interest to be paid to the applicants.

4. Issue notice to R. 1 to 3 returnable after **four weeks**.

5. Smt. M.A. Barabde, the learned P.O. waives notice for respondent No.4. Hamdast granted.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly

authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

8. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunals (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post or courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within three weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. S.O. **four weeks.**

11. The applicants are directed to make amendment in the application by deleting the particulars of the O.A. No.590/2011.

Vice-
Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No. 318 /2017.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).

Dated : 13th July 2017.

C.A. 321/2017 in C.P.(St.) 2047/2017.

Heard Shri S.N. Gaikwad, the learned counsel for the applicants and Smt. M.A. Barabde, the learned P.O. for the respondent No.1.

2. Vide order passed in O.A. No. 679/2017 (O.A.No.318/2017), the

Aurangabad Bench of this Tribunal was pleased to pass the order dated 30.5.2017 whereby it was directed that the applicant shall not be relieved from his post at Morshi, District Amravati if not relieved. However, the applicant has been relieved on 31.5.2017 inspite of the said order. There seems to be *prima facie* non compliance of the order dated 30.5.2017.

3. Hence issue notice to R.2 and 3 returnable after **three weeks**.

4. Smt. M.A. Barabde, the learned P.O. waives notice for respondent No.1. Hamdast granted.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

7. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunals (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as

limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post or courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within three weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. **three weeks.**

Vice-
Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No.261/2016.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).
Dated : 13th July 2017.

Heard Shri P.J. Mehta, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for respondent Nos.1 to 3. Shri Chanakya Das Gupta, Adv. holding for Shri B.D. Pandit, learned counsel for R.4.

Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 have already filed affidavit in reply. The Ld. Adv. for R.4 has therefore, requested time to file reply affidavit on behalf of R.4. Sufficient time has already been granted to R.4 for filing reply. However, as a last chance, time is granted of one week.

S.O. one week.

Vice-
Chairman(J)

Pdg

O.A. No.325/2016.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).

Dated : 13th July 2017.

C.A. 255/2017 in C.P.(St.)
863/2017.

Heard Shri P.J. Mehta, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, the learned P.O. for respondents.

The order dated 8.2.2017 in O.A. 325/2016 has been complied with as stated by the Ld. P.O. He has also placed on record the communication to that effect which is marked "X" for identification. Only direction given in the O.A. was that the representation filed by the applicant shall be decided within a period of six weeks. Since the representation has already been decided, the order is complied with. Hence, the application for initiation of contempt proceedings stands

disposed of. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that since his representation is rejected, he be allowed to file a fresh O.A. However, no permission is required for filing such O.A., as it will be always open to the applicant to seek appropriate remedy provided it is legally admissible.

No order as to costs.

Vice-
Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No.305/2015.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).

Dated : 13th July 2017.

C.A. 311/2017 in C.P.(St.) 1037/2017.

Heard Shri M.R. Patil, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, the learned P.O. for respondent No.1.

2. The applicant is claiming non compliance of the order of this Tribunal in O.A. No.305/2015 dated 31.3.2017. This Tribunal was pleased to direct the respondents to consider the applicant's claim for Class-III post in view of his representation dated 11.7.2014 that the appointment order shall be issued within two months from the date of the order. It

is stated that till today, no steps have been taken by the respondents.

3. Hence issue notice to R.2 and 3 returnable after **three weeks**.

4. Shri A.M. Ghogre, the learned P.O. waives notice for respondent No.1. Hamdast granted.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

7. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunals (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post or courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within three weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. three weeks.

Vice-

Chairman(J)

pdg

