

O.A. No. 702/2015 **(SB)**

**Coram : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 24.01.2019**

Heard Shri S.N. Gaikwad, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, learned P.O. for the respondents.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant files Pursis mentioning therein that since the applicant's grievance has been redressed he wants to withdraw the O.A. Copy of the same is taken on record and marked Exh-X for the purposes of identification. The permission is granted as prayed for.

3. In view thereof, the O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn.

**Vice-Chairman (J).**

dnk.

O.A. No. 444/2018 (SB)

**Coram : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 24.01.2019**

Shri Manoj Jaiswal, learned counsel holding for Shri N.R. Saboo, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjurkar, learned P.O. for R-1 to 3. None for R-4.

At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, **S.O. two weeks.**

**Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dt. 24/01/2019.

dnk.

O.A. No. 478/2018 **(SB)**

**Coram : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 24.01.2019**

Shri M.R. Khan, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjurkar, learned P.O. for the respondents.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that he will take instructions from his client as to whether the matter can be disposed of by directing the respondent authorities to take decision on the representation filed by the applicant and for that purpose he seeks further time. At his request, **S.O. 28/01/2019**.

**Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dt. 24/01/2019.

dnk.

O.A. No. 683/2018 **(SB)**

**Coram : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 24.01.2019**

Shri G.G. Bade, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Potnis, learned P.O. for the respondents.

The learned P.O. files affidavit-in-reply on behalf of respondent no.5. It is taken on record. Copy is served on the applicant.

The learned P.O. seeks time to file reply-affidavit on behalf of other respondents. At his request, **S.O. four weeks.**

**Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dt. 24/01/2019.

dnk.

O.A. No. 829/2018 **(SB)**

**Coram : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 24.01.2019**

Heard Shri G.G. Bade, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, learned P.O. for respondent nos. 1 to 4. None for R-5.

The learned P.O. files reply affidavit on behalf of R-2 to 4. It is taken on record. Copy is served on the applicant.

**Heard. Admit.**

The learned P.O. waives notice for respondent nos. 1 to 4.

**S.O. two weeks.**

**Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dt. 24/01/2019.

dnk.

O.A. No. 925/2018 **(SB)**

**Coram : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 24.01.2019**

None for the applicant. Shri A.M. Khadatkar, learned P.O. for R-1&2 and Shri V.B. Mane, learned counsel for R-3.

At the request of learned P.O. as well as learned counsel for R-3, **S.O. four weeks** for filing reply.

**Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dt. 24/01/2019.

dnk.

O.A. No. 542/2017 **(SB)**

**Coram : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 24.01.2019**

Shri Bharat Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri P.N. Warjurkar, learned P.O. for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. seeks time for filing reply to the rejoinder filed by the applicant. Time is granted as prayed for. The learned P.O. to supply copy of the reply to the applicant in advance.

**S.O. two weeks.**

The matter be treated as **P.H.**

**Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dt. 24/01/2019.

dnk.

O.A. Nos. 595,596,597,598 & 599 of  
2018 **(SB)**

**Coram : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 24.01.2019**

Heard Shri D.M. Kakani, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri A.P. Potnis, learned P.O. for the respondents.

2. The applicants in all these O.As. are Junior Clerks and were due for transfer since they have completed their tenure at their respective posts.

3. As per the G.R. dated 9/4/2018 the options of the employees who are due for transfer have been called and accordingly the respective applicants have given their options for 10 places. It seems that the Civil Service Board has considered the cases of the applicants and have recommended their transfers to the Competent Authority i.e. the Settlement Commissioner and Director of Land



Records, M.S., Pune (R/2). None of the applicants however has been transferred and all of them have been retained at their respective places.

4. The applicants have also filed representations and requested that they may be considered for transfer. Copies of such representations are placed on record in the respective applications.

5. The learned counsel for the applicants submits that the respondent no.2 has issued transfer order in respect of 33 persons as per Annex-A-7 in O.A.No.595/2018 and request transfer of 33 persons were accepted by the respondent no.2 and these persons also includes the persons who were not due for transfer. For whatever the reasons it seems that though the applicants names were recommended by the Civil Service Board, the respondent no.2 did not consider the said request and simply retained the applicants.

6. The learned counsel for the applicants submits that the applications can be disposed of if directions are issued to respondent no.2 to consider the representations of the respective applicants.

7. The respondent no.3 has filed reply-affidavit and tried to justify the action stating that it is on the administrative ground. Without going into the merits, all these cases can be disposed of if necessary directions are issued to respondent no.2. Hence, the following order :-

**ORDER**

- (i) The O.As. are partly allowed.
- (ii) The respondent no.2 is directed to consider the respective representations of the respective applicants in view of their options and also in view of the recommendations made by the Civil Service Board.

Since next annual general transfers will be issued in April/May,2019, the respondent no.2 is directed to pass necessary orders accordingly at the time of annual general transfers of 2019. No order as to costs.

**Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dt. 24/01/2019.

dnk.

O.A. No. 533/2018 (SB)

**Coram : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 24.01.2019**

Heard Ms. A. Shah, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjurkar, learned P.O. for the respondents.

2. The applicant in this O.A. has claimed following reliefs :-

*"8 (i) quash and set aside the impugned suspension order i k v k u l @ 1 0 % c % & 2 @ y k - i z d k - @ u k i k f ' k f o u k ; d @ f u y u c u @ 2 0 1 5 & 1 1 5 3 5 , d a t e d 0 5 / 0 9 / 2 0 1 5 ( A n n e x - A - 1 ) p a s s e d b y t h e r e s p o n d e n t n o . 3 i n v i e w o f t h e l a w l a i d d o w n b y t h e A p e x C o u r t i n t h e m a t t e r o f **Ajay Kumar Choudhary vs. Union of India, report at (2015) 7 SCC 291** and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Mumbai High Court in the matter of **Madanlal Vs. State of Maharashtra, reported at 2004 (1) Mh.L.J.,581.***

*(ii) Direct the respondent no.3 to revoke the suspension of the applicant and also direct to reinstate the applicant in police sepoy service at Kotwali Police Station, Nagpur.*

*(iii) Direct the respondent to pay subsistence allowance from 50% to 75% w.e.f. 06/12/2015 and 75% to 100% w.e.f. 06/03/2016 and resulting arrears of salaries with all consequential benefits, including due promotion thereof".*

3. The reply is filed on behalf of respondent no.3 and the respondent no.3 justified the action against the applicant. The applicant is claiming subsistence allowance from 50% to 75% w.e.f. 06/12/2015 and thereafter from 75% to 100% w.e.f. 06/03/2016 as mentioned in the prayer clause. Since the applicant belongs to Police Department, the applicant was asked to mention as to under what provision he is claiming subsistence allowance at 100%. The learned counsel for the applicant frankly admits that the

applicant is entitled to maximum of 75% subsistence allowance and the said has been rightly paid to the applicant. Thus, the prayer clause (iii) has become infructuous since the subsistence allowance as per rules is being received by the applicant.

4. The applicant, a Police Sepoy has been kept under suspension vide impugned order dated 05/09/2015 by the respondent no.3 and still is under suspension. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that in view of the Judgment delivered by the Hon'ble Apex Court in case of **Ajay Kumar Choudhary vs. Union of India, (2015) 7 SCC, 291** the respondent authorities must have revoked the suspension. From the reply-affidavit it seems that the case of the applicant was taken for re-consideration of

suspension from time to time and lastly on 25/06/2018 and in the said Meeting it was observed that the departmental inquiry against the applicant has come to an end and the Disciplinary Authority has decided to dismiss the applicant from the service since the charges in departmental inquiry have been proved and show cause notice has been issued on the applicant. From the said Minutes, it seems that the Competent Authorities have already applied their mind and have decided not to revoke the suspension of the applicant. In such circumstances the case of **Ajay Kumar Choudhary vs. Union of India** (cited supra) is not applicable to the present set of facts.

5. The learned P.O. submits that since the criminal case is also pending against the applicant, no final action has been taken in the departmental inquiry. However, this cannot be a ground for not taking action in the departmental inquiry and if the departmental inquiry is already

completed and has reached to the final conclusion, there is no reason as to why the respondents shall wait for decision in the criminal case. The learned counsel for the applicant also placed Judgment in O.A.No.35/2018 delivered by this Tribunal, Bench at Mumbai in case of **Dilip J. Ambilwade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.** The learned counsel for the applicant submits that in the said case it was held that continuation of suspension period beyond 90 days is disregarded and was directed that the applicant shall be deemed to have been reinstated after completion of 90 days of actual suspension. I have also gone through the said Judgment. In the present case though the suspension has already been held long back in 2015, full pledged departmental inquiry has



been made against the applicant and the applicant is found guilty in the said inquiry and the applicant has been recommended for dismissal. Considering these aspects, the Judgment in O.A. No. 35/2018 is also not applicable. Hence, the following order :-

**ORDER**

The O.A. stands dismissed with no order as to costs.

**Vice-Chairman (J).**

dnk.

O.A.Nos. 273, 457 & 458/2017.  
(D.B.)

**Coram:** Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Member (A) and  
Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J)  
**Dated:** 24<sup>th</sup> January 2019.

None for the applicant. Shri  
H.K.Pande, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. next  
week.**

Member(J)  
Member(A)  
Date:-24/01/2019.  
aps.

O.A.No. 255/2012. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Member (A) and  
Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J)  
Dated : 24<sup>th</sup> January 2019.**

Smt. P.T.Joshi, holding for Shri G.N.Khazode, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K.Pande, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. two weeks.**

**Member(J)  
Member(A)  
Date:-24/01/2019.  
aps.**

**O.A.No. 806/2018. (D.B.)**

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Member (A) and  
Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J)  
Dated : 24<sup>th</sup> January 2019.**

**C.P.No.60/2018:-**

Shri G.G.Bade, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A.Kulkarni, the Id. P.O. for the respondent nos. 1 to 3. Await service of respondent no. 4.

2. The Id. P.O. filed the documents. It is taken on record. Copy of the same is supplied to the other side.

3. The suspension order was passed by Commissioner Transport vide order no. ~~vkn's A~~ ~~da~~ 113@i vldk@fuy@cu@dk-8v@ckjRui kj [A@pni j@u-dz52@2018@tk-dz6650] ~~fnukd~~ 25-04-2018-

4. However, as per the documents filed by the Id. P.O. at P.B., Pg. No. 3 bearing no. ~~dzi vldk@dk-8v@ckjRui kj~~ [A@u-dz52@2019@tkdz 976] ~~fnukd~~ 22-01-2019] Transport, Deputy Commissioner (Administration) has submitted to review committee under Divisional Commissioner on P.B., Pg. No. 7,

it is mentioned that "Jh jRui kj [Ah ; kauk I srs  
i p%LFAfir dj.; kph f' AQkj I vkgs".

5. The Id. P.O. has filed the proposal sent to Divisional Commissioner, Nagpur vide letter no. dzi v k d k @ d k - 8 v @ u L r h d z 5 2 @ c k j R u i k j [ A h @ 2 0 1 8 @ t k d z 7 1 3 ] f n u k d 1 6 - 0 1 - 2 0 1 9 vide Deputy Commissioner, Transport (Administration), it is also mentioned:-

"mi j k Dr fo" A; o l m H A k z j k s / A k u s J h - c l e c k m j d q k j R u i k j [ A h ] o k g u p k y d ] m i i k n s ' A d i f j o g u d k ; k y ; ] p n i g 1 / 4 f u y f i c r 1 / 2 ; k a u k ' A k l d h ; I s r i p % L F A f i r d j . A c k c r v k o ' ; d r h f u y a u v k < k 0 ; k p h f o f g r u e t ; k r h y e k f g r h I k c r I k n j d j . ; k r ; s v k g s J h j R u i k j [ A h ; k p ; k x k i u h ; v g o k y k p ; k N k a k f d r i r h I k c r t k M Y ; k v k g s -"

6. In view of the above facts, The Divisional Commissioner, Nagpur is directed to take the decision as Chairman of the Committee **within four weeks** from the date of this order. **S.O. five weeks.**

**Member(J)**

**Member(A)**

**Date:-24/01/2019.**

aps.

**O.A.No. 524/2013. (D.B.)**

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Member (A) and  
Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J)  
Dated : 24<sup>th</sup> January 2019.**

**C.P.No.18/2018:-**

None for the applicant. Shri S.A.Sainis, the Id. P.O. for the respondent no. 5 and Shri H.D.Marathe, the Id. Counsel for the respondent nos. 1 to 4.

The Id. Counsel for the respondent nos. 1 to 4 submits the reply on behalf of the respondent nos. 1 to 4, at P.B., Pg. No. 36 of the reply, it is mentioned that:-

*"That on the contrary, as soon as the respondents became aware of the order dated 10.04.2017 passed by this Tribunal, they immediately and prudently have complied with the order and acted within the permissible scope as granted by this Hon'ble Tribunal for completing the departmental inquiry."*

It seems that as per the order of Tribunal, enquiry has been completed and order has been complied.

In view of this, **C.P. stands  
disposed of.**

**Member(J)**  
**Member(A)**  
**Date:-24/01/2019.**  
aps.

O.A.No. 573/2007. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Member (A) and  
Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J)  
Dated : 24<sup>th</sup> January 2019.**

**C.P.No.54/2018:-**

Heard Shri R.V.Shiralkar, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K.Pande, the Id. P.O. for the State. Await service of respondent nos. 2 & 3.

The respondent no. 3 is personally present and submitted that the amount towards Provisional Pension and D.C.R.G. will be paid **within ten days**. Regarding Service Book, they are finding the way to prepare it and get the above soon **within Two Months**.

The Id. P.O. is directed to file all the facts on record during the course of the day and supply the same to the Id. Counsel for the applicant too.

**S.O. 07/03/2019.**



**Member(J)**  
**Member(A)**  
**Date:-24/01/2019.**  
aps.

O.A.No. 614/2017. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,**  
**Member (A) and**  
**Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J)**  
**Dated : 24<sup>th</sup> January 2019.**

Heard Shri R.V.Shiralkar, the Id. Counsel for the applicant, Shri V.A.Kulkarni, the Id. P.O. for the Respondent nos. 1 & 2 and Shri N.B.Rathod, the Id. Counsel for the respondent no. 3.

On P.B., Pg. No. 28 vide letter no. dt 01/11/2017@i t d t 348@l d k&5] f n u k d 28-11-2018] it is submitted that the file was sent to the department by G.A.D. with endorsement.

Public Health Department is directed to take decision of the same **within two weeks** from the date of this order and file the same to the Tribunal through Id. P.O..

**S.O. 14/02/2019.**

**Steno copy is granted.**

**Member(J)**  
**Member(A)**

**Date:-24/01/2019.**  
aps.

**O.A.No. 499/2018. (D.B.)**

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Member (A) and  
Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J)**  
**Dated : 24<sup>th</sup> January 2019.**

None for the applicant. Shri V.A.Kulkarni, the Id. P.O. for the Respondents.

2. The Id. P.O. filed the letter no. [redacted] dated 10-12-2018] by which the applicant has been reinstated. The Id. P.O. has also filed letter from Principal, Government Sec. Ashram School, Chikhali, by which it appears that back wages has been paid and the receipt has been attached. So it seems that, grievances of the applicant has been redressed.

3. Considering the sensitiveness of Tribal Area and Constitutional provisions regarding such area. The Commissioner, Tribal Development, Nashik and Government Tribal Development Department should make enquiry and find out that under what circumstances such

illegal order was passed against the "Korku Tribal Person". The same is awaited.

4. Commissioner, Tribal Development, Nashik is directed to enquire the matter and place the report before the Tribunal through the Id. P.O. and the same should be brought to the notice of the Government too.

**S.O. four weeks.**

**Member(J)**

**Member(A)**

**Date:-24/01/2019.**

aps.

O.A.No. 673/2013. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Member (A) and  
Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J)**  
**Dated : 24<sup>th</sup> January 2019.**

**C.P.17/2018 :-**

None for the applicant. Shri H.K.Pande, the Id. P.O. for the Respondents.

2. The Id. P.O. has placed on record the letter no. d:ell;ki&2018@i:d:01@b&11] fmuld 22@01@2019] by Tahsildar, Gatanji who was personally present on 03/01/2019 and had submitted that it will be paid **within 15 days**. However, the same is yet to be complied as per the letter dated 31/01/2019. Bill has been submitted to the Treasury, after getting confirmation from the Treasury, the payment will be made to the applicant.

3. It is directed that after payment, receipt of applicant should be submitted before the Tribunal.

4. **S.O. two weeks.**

**Member(J)**  
**Member(A)**

**Date:-24/01/2019.**  
aps.

**O.A.No. 616/2016. (D.B.)**

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Member (A) and  
Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J)  
Dated : 24<sup>th</sup> January 2019.**

**C.P.34/2018 :-**

Heard Shri M.R.Rajugre, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.Khadatkar, the Id. P.O. for the Respondents.

2. According to the order dated 21/06/2017, it has been complied. The Id. Counsel for the applicant is pleaded for the interest. He is at liberty to file separate O.A. for the same.

3. **Hence, C.P. is disposed of.**

**Member(J)  
Member(A)  
Date:-24/01/2019.  
aps.**



O.A.No. 703/2016. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Member (A) and  
Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J)**  
**Dated : 24<sup>th</sup> January 2019.**

**C.P.57/2018:-**

Heard Shri S.V.Sohoni, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A.Sainis, the Id. P.O. for the State.

2. It appears that the order passed by this Tribunal on 17/11/2018 is as follows:-

*“(i) The application is partly allowed.*

*(ii) The respondent authorities are directed to re-consider the case of the applicant on the point as to whether the applicant’s case can be considered for relaxation of the norms given under the Rules of 2014 coupled with directions issued in the office memorandum dated 29/12/2005. The decision on such aspect shall be taken within three months from the date of this order and shall be*

*communicated to the applicant in writing.*

*No order as to costs."*

3. As per that order, applicant has not been communicated that how the decision has been taken and who took the decision.

4. In view of this, the Respondent no. 1 is directed to appear before the Tribunal and explain the decision making process and name of the authority who is taking decision. Accordingly, issue notice to the respondents.

5. Issue Notice to the respondent Nos. 1, 3 & 4 returnable in **21/02/2019** under Rule 8 of the MAT (Contempt of Courts) Rules, 1996 as to why they should not be proceeded for committing contempt of this Tribunal's order and as to why they shall not be punished under the Contempt of Court Act.

6. Shri S.A.Sainis, the learned P.O. waives notice for respondent No. 2. Hamdast granted.

7. **S.O. 21/02/2019.**

8. **Steno copy is granted.**

**Member(J)**  
**Member(A)**  
**Date:-24/01/2019.**  
aps.

**O.A.No. 326/2017. (D.B.)**

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Member (A) and  
Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J)**  
**Dated : 24<sup>th</sup> January 2019.**

**C.P.02/2019:-**

Heard Shri G.G.Bade, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I.Khan, the Id. P.O. for the State.

2. The Id. Counsel for the applicant has placed on record the letter no. d:dk1%1%c@vklFk@, I Vhi Vhy@2019@340] fnukd 14-01-2019 , by Joint Director, Technical Education and Training, Regional Office, Nagpur. It is marked Exh. "X" for the purpose of identification. In this letter, post mentioned is Basic Cosmetology. However, the Id. P.O. has placed on record letter no. d:dk1%1%c@vklFk@I yHkr i Vhy@2018@2258] fnukd 04-04-2018 by Assistant Director, Technical Education and Training, Nagpur in which the post mentioned is "Shilpnideshak (Hair & Skin Care)"

3. In both the correspondence it is not clear that, the applicant was considered for which posts and it is also not clear that

whether order dated 13/03/2018 has been considered during 2018 transfer season or not.

4. The Id. P.O. is directed to take necessary instructions on which post the applicant should be considered in 2019 transfer season and how this post should be filled. The Id. Counsel for the applicant is also directed to take details of the posts for which applicant was entitled.

5. Issue Notice to the respondent Nos. 2 to 5 returnable in **three weeks** under Rule 8 of the MAT (Contempt of Courts) Rules, 1996 as to why they should not be proceeded for committing contempt of this Tribunal's order and as to why they shall not be punished under the Contempt of Court Act.

6. Shri M.I.Khan, the learned P.O. waives notice for respondent No. 1. Hamdast granted.

7. **S.O. three weeks.**

**Member(J)**

**Member(A)**

**Date:-24/01/2019.**

aps.

**O.A.Nos. 660 & 661/2015. (D.B.)**

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Member (A) and  
Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J)  
Dated : 24<sup>th</sup> January 2019.**

**C.A.139/2016:-**

Heard Shri M.M.Sudame, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I.Khan, the Id. P.O. for the Respondents.

2. The Id. Counsel for the applicant has a list of O.As., which are similar to this O.A. i.e. O.A.No.660/2015. He also submits that all these O.As. covered the same point of dispute. It was observed that certain Judgment given by Hon'ble Principal Bench of this Tribunal at Mumbai and Hon'ble High Court. It is directed to place on record the copies of the same on record. All the O.As. are as follow:-

"O.A. No. 660/2015, O.A.No.661/2015, O.A.No.87/2017, O.A.No.752/2015, O.A.No.837/2015, O.A.No. 717/2015 & O.A.No.539/2017."

3. All the above O.As. are put up along with the same O.A. i.e. O.A. No.660/2015 on 07/02/2019.

4. Hence the **C.A. No. 139/2016 is disposed of** keeping all the points open at the time of final hearing. The Id. P.O. is at liberty to file any reply, if he so desires before the next date.

5. **S.O. 07/02/2019.**

**Member(J)**

**Member(A)**

**Date:-24/01/2019.**

aps.

O.A.No. 249/2016. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Member (A) and  
Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J)  
Dated : 24<sup>th</sup> January 2019.**

Heard Shri S.P.Palshikar, the Id.  
Counsel for the applicant and Shri  
H.K.Pande, the Id. P.O. for the Respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O.**  
**31/01/2019.**

**Member(J)**  
**Member(A)**  
**Date:-24/01/2019.**  
aps.



O.A.No. 555/2010. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Member (A) and  
Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J)  
Dated : 24<sup>th</sup> January 2019.**

Heard Shri S.B.Gupta, the applicant  
in person and Shri H.K.Pande, the Id. P.O.  
for the Respondents.

At the request of applicant in  
person, **S.O. next week as a last chance.**

**Member(J)  
Member(A)  
Date:-24/01/2019.  
aps.**

O.A.No. 588/2012. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Member (A) and  
Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J)  
Dated : 24<sup>th</sup> January 2019.**

None for the applicant. Shri H.K.Pande, the Id. P.O. for the Respondents.

2. On 16/01/2019, none appeared for the applicant as well as on 23.01.2019 also none appeared for the applicant.

3. It appears that the applicant as well as counsel for the applicant is not interested in prosecuting the O.A.. **Hence, dismissed in default.**

**Member(J)  
Member(A)  
Date:-24/01/2019.  
aps.**

O.A.No. 140/2012. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Member (A) and  
Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J)  
Dated : 24<sup>th</sup> January 2019.**

None for the applicant. Shri H.K.Pande, the Id. P.O. for the Respondents.

2. On 07/05/2015, 09/01/2019, 11/01/2019, none appeared for the applicant as well as on 23.01.2019 also none appeared for the applicant.

3. It appears that the applicant as well as counsel for the applicant is not interested in prosecuting the O.A.. **Hence, dismissed in default.**

**Member(J)  
Member(A)  
Date:-24/01/2019.  
aps.**

O.A.No. 752/2012. (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Member (A) and  
Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J)  
Dated : 24<sup>th</sup> January 2019.**

None for the applicant. Shri H.K.Pande, the Id. P.O. for the State. None for the respondent nos. 2 to 4.

2. On 07/05/2013, 16/01/2019, none appeared for the applicant as well as on 23.01.2019 also none appeared for the applicant.

3. It appears that the applicant as well as counsel for the applicant is not interested in prosecuting the O.A.. **Hence, dismissed in default.**

**Member(J)  
Member(A)  
Date:-24/01/2019.  
aps.**