

O.A. No. 872/2018 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 05.11.2018

Heard Shri S.M .Bhagde, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the State.

2. It is submitted that the applicant was suspended w.e.f. 8-5-2018 vide order dated 13-5-2016. The respondents have not paid subsistence allowance to the applicant and have not considered his representations dated 15-11-2016, 31-5-2017 and 7-9-2017. It is submitted that after expiry of 90 days after suspension, the suspension is deemed to have been revoked. The learned counsel has invited my attention to judgment delivered by MAT Mumbai Bench in case of **Dilip Jagannath Ambilwade v/s The State of Maharashtra** O.A. No.35/2018. On the basis of the above facts it is submitted that the applicant be reinstated immediately.

3. It is submission of learned P.O. that there is no urgency in this matter for dispensing with notice to the respondents, which is normal rule. It is submitted that reinstatement of the suspended Government servant is serious issue, therefore, opportunity of hearing be given to the respondents. In view of the above facts, in my opinion, no prejudice will cause to the applicant if the prayer for interim is considered after hearing the respondents.

4. Hence, issue notice to R-2 and 3, returnable on 20/11/2018. Learned P.O. waives notice for R-1. Hamdast allowed.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra

Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

10. **S.O. 20/11/2018.**

Member (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 873/2018 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 05.11.2018

Heard Shri V.D. Raut, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for the State.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the order impugned, Anx. A 2 is passed by the respondent No.4, C.E.O. Z.P. Chandrapur on 25-10-2018. It is contended that the order is bad in law, because the respondent No.4 has no authority to suspend the applicant. The second contention is that the order is vague, after reading the same it is not possible to hold that there was a case for immediate suspension. According to the applicant only to please the M.L.A. the respondent has passed the order, therefore, the order be stayed till appearance of the respondents.

3. The learned P.O. submitted that in MCS (Discipline and Appeal) Rules

appeal is provided under Rule 17, therefore, bar under section 20 of the Administrative Tribunals Act 1985 operates, as that remedy is not exhausted. In reply it is submitted by the learned counsel for the applicant that as the order is passed without jurisdiction, therefore, there is no question to prefer departmental appeal and bar u/s 20 does not apply.

4. After hearing the submissions on behalf of both sides, in my view it cannot be assumed at this stage that the respondent No.4 had no authority to pass the impugned order. It seems that the suspension order is passed on 25-10-2018, the applicant has replied the show cause notice dt /22-10-2018 on 26-10-2018. The present proceeding is filed by the applicant on 1-11-2018. In view of these facts, in my view it is suitable to hear the respondents before granting any relief.

5. Hence, issue notice to R-2 to 5, returnable on 20/11/2018. Learned P.O. waives notice for R-1. Hamdast allowed.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one

week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

11. **S.O. 20/11/2018.**

Member (J)

dnk.

O.A. Nos. 772 to 779 of 2018 **(SB)**

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 05.11.2018

Heard Shri R.K. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri P.N. Warjurkar, Id. P.O. and other Id. P.Os. in other O.As.

2. The learned P.O. submitted that the order of suspension is revoked by the Superintendent of Police, Gondia vide order dated 01/11/2018.

3. In view of the above fact, the O.A. is infructuous, it is disposed of with no order as to costs.

Member (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 567/2017 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 05.11.2018

C.A.No.285/2018 -

Heard Shri P.A. Jibhkate, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjurkar, learned P.O. for the respondents.

2. This is an application for amendment of O.A.. It is submitted on behalf of the applicant that for fair and proper adjudication of the matter, it is necessary to insert paras F-6 and F-7 in the main application and Clause (v) and (vi) in the prayer clause. It is submitted that the applicant initially challenged the transfer order of respondent no.5 to Sadak Arjuni. Subsequently during pendency of the application, the respondent no.4 directed the applicant to join at Pandhari and this order was passed by the respondent no.4 without jurisdiction and therefore it is necessary to incorporate paras F-6 and F-7 in the O.A. and the prayer clause (v).

3. On perusal of the O.A., it is clear that the applicant has already amended the O.A. as per the order dated 27/09/2017 and by that amended paragraphs F-1 to F-5 are inserted in the application, so also the prayer clause (iv). After reading the earlier effected amendment and contents of the paragraphs F-6 and F-7 of the proposed amendment, it is crystal clear that the facts mentioned in F-6 and F-7 are identical and repetition of the earlier facts. Secondly, the prayer clause (iv) which is amended as per the order dated 27/09/2017 is the same prayer as prayer no. (v) in the proposed amendment. Therefore I do not see any substance in the contention of the applicant that it is a fit case for granting permission to effect amendment by inserting paragraphs F-6 and F-7 and prayer clause (v).

4. So far as the prayer clause (vi) in the proposed amendment is concerned, now the applicant is intending to claim his outstanding salary for the period from July to August, 2018 and release of unpaid amount towards entitlement. In my opinion, permission should be granted to the applicant to incorporate this prayer

clause. Hence, I pass the following order:-

ORDER

The application for amendment is partly allowed. The applicant is permitted to amend the prayer clause of the O.A. by adding prayer clause (vi).

(ii) As there is a time limit to decide the O.A., therefore, the applicant is directed to amend the O.A. prayer clause forthwith and matter be fixed for hearing on 19/11/2018.

C.A. No. 286/2018 -

This application is moved by the applicant for issuing direction to respondent no.4 to change Taluka place of his posting from Sadak Arjuni to any other Taluka, and for direction to the respondent no.1 to consider request of the applicant for his transfer to Ayurvedic Hospital, Dokesarandi, District Bhandara. In paragraph no.1 of the application it is

contended that vide order dated 31/05/2017 the respondent no.1 was transferred as Taluka Medical Officer, Sadak Arjuni and at that time that post was not vacant as the applicant was working on that post. On perusal of the documents it appears that as per Annex-A-1 with O.A. the respondent no.5 was transferred to Sadak Arjuni, District Gondia and as per the Annex-6 dated 1/7/2015 the Director of Health Services, Mumbai modified the earlier transfer order of the applicant. Earlier the applicant was transferred to Ayurvedic Hospital, Sioni, District Gondia and by the modification in the order, the applicant came to be transferred to Ayurvedic Hospital, Soni, District Bhandara.

2. I have perused the order passed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No.5778/2018 on 6th Sept.,2018. In the said Writ Petition interlocutory orders were challenged by the applicant, and while deciding the W.P. the Hon'ble High Court directed to decide the matter within a period of two months from the date of the order. It is also observed by the Hon'ble High Court that all the observations earlier made while deciding

the interlocutory applications were of prima facie nature and would not come in way while adjudicating the matter after final hearing and for this reason the Hon'ble High Court refused to interfere the interlocutory orders which were under challenge. In my opinion as the matter is ready for the final hearing the applicant can straight way advance the argument on the O.A. Instead of seeking interim relief as claimed in the C.A.286/2018 if liberty is given to the applicant to advance the argument on the reliefs claimed in C.A.286/2018, there would be no question of causing any prejudice to the applicant. In the result, I pass the following order :-

ORDER

The Tribunal will consider the submissions of the applicant as per the prayer clause in C.A.286/2018 while hearing the O.A. The applicant is at liberty to advance the argument

accordingly. The application stands disposed of.

C.A.No. 09/2018 in O.A. 567/2017 –

S.O. 19/11/2018.

Member (J)

dnk.

O.A. Nos. 246, 247 & 248 of 2017 **(SB)**

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 05.11.2018

None for the applicants.

Shri V.A.Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for
the respondents.

S.O. four weeks.

Member (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 375 of 2017 **(SB)**

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 05.11.2018

Heard Shri S.P. Palshikar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjurkar, Id. P.O. for R-1. Await service of R-2.

2. At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, issue fresh notice to R-2 returnable in **two weeks**. Hamdast allowed.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra

Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. **S.O. two weeks.**

Member (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 255/2018 **(SB)**

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 05.11.2018

Shri Gulhane, Id. counsel holding for Shri G.R. Sadar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for R-1&5. None for R-2 to 4.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O.**
three weeks for filing reply.

Member (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 289/2018 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 05.11.2018

None for the applicant. Shri V.A. Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O.**
three weeks for filing reply.

Member (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 478/2018 **(SB)**

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 05.11.2018

None for the applicant. Shri P.N.
Warjurkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O.**
three weeks for filing reply.

Member (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 650/2018 **(SB)**

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 05.11.2018

Shri Gulhane, Id. counsel holding
for Shri V.A. Kothale, Id .counsel for the
applicant and Shri P.N. Warjurkar, Id.
P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O.**
three weeks for filing reply.

Member (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 762/2018 **(SB)**

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 05.11.2018

None for the applicant. Shri P.N.
Warjurkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O.**
three weeks for filing reply.

Member (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 766/2018 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 05.11.2018

None for the applicant. Shri P.N. Warjurkar, Id. P.O. for R-1. None for R-3. Await service of R-2.

S.O. four weeks.

Member (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 781/2018 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 05.11.2018

Shri V.K. Gulhane, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Potnis, Id. P.O. for R-1. Await service of R-2 to 5.

The learned counsel for the applicant submits that he will file service affidavit of R-2 to 5 before the next date and for that purpose he seeks time.

At his request, **S.O. four weeks.**

I. R. to continue till then.

Member (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 782/2018 **(SB)**

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 05.11.2018

Shri V.K. Gulhane, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Potnis, Id. P.O. for R-1. Await service of R-2 to 4.

The learned counsel for the applicant submits that he will file service affidavit of R-2 to 4 before the next date and for that purpose he seeks time.

At his request, **S.O. four weeks.**

Member (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 783/2018 **(SB)**

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 05.11.2018

Shri A.P. Sadavarte, Id. counsel holding for Shri P.V. Thakre, Id. counsel for the applicants and Shri A.P. Potnis, Id. P.O. for R-1. Await service of R-2 to 7.

At the request of Id .counsel for the applicant, **S.O. three weeks.**

Member (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 789/2018 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 05.11.2018

C.A. 332/2018

Shri A.P. Sadavarte, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for the respondents. Shri R.A. Haque, Id. counsel for intervener.

At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. three weeks.**

Member (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 790/2018 **(SB)**

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 05.11.2018

C.A. 333/2018

Shri A.P. Sadavarte, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for the respondents. Shri R.A. Haque, Id. counsel for intervener.

At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. three weeks.**

Member (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 862/2018 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 05.11.2018

Shri S.P. Palshikar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for R-1. Await service of R-2. None for caveator (R/3).

At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. three weeks** for filing service affidavit of R-2.

Member (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 635/2015 **(SB)**

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 05.11.2018

Shri A.M. Haque, Id. counsel for
the applicant and Shri P.N. warjurkar, Id.
P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. four weeks.

Member (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 653/2015 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 05.11.2018

None for the applicant. Shri P.N.
warjurkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. four weeks.

Member (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 680/2015 **(SB)**

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 05.11.2018

None for the applicnat Shri M.I.
Khan, Id. P.O. for R-1 to 3. None for R-4.

S.O. four weeks.

Member (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 371/2016 **(SB)**

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 05.11.2018

None for the applicnat. Shri A.M.
Ghogre, Id. P.O. for R-1 to 3. None for
R-4.

S.O. five weeks.

Member (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 539/2016 **(SB)**

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 05.11.2018

Shri A.P. Sadavarte, Id. counsel holding for Shri P.V. Thakre, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. three weeks.**

Member (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 732/2016 **(SB)**

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 05.11.2018

None for the applicant. Shri P.N. Warjurkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The Id. P.O. files reply-affidavit of R-2. It is taken on record.

S.O. five weeks.

Member (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 805/2016 **(SB)**

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 05.11.2018

Shri A. Deshpande, Id. counsel for
the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id.
P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. five weeks.

Member (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 812/2016 **(SB)**

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 05.11.2018

None for the applicant. Shri M.I.
Khan, Id. P.O. for R-1 to 3. None for R-4.

S.O. five weeks.

Member (J)

dnk.

O.A. Nos. 14,15,16,17,18,19,20 & 21 of
2017 **(SB)**

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 05.11.2018

None for the applicants.

Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for the
respondents.

S.O. five weeks.

Member (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 455/2017 **(SB)**

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 05.11.2018

None for the applicant. Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for R-1, Shri Marathe, Id. counsel for R-4 and none for R-2 to 5.

At the request of learned counsel for R-4, **S.O. four weeks.**

Member (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 439/2018 (SB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 05.11.2018

None for the applicant.

Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for the
respondents.

S.O. four weeks.

Member (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 410/2017

(D.B.)

(Suresh Shyamlal Katre V/s State & 4)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J) and
Shree Bhagwan, Member(A)**

Dated : 5th November 2018.

Oral order

Heard Shri V.R. Borkar,
the Ld. counsel for the applicant

and Shri H.K. Pande, the learned P.O. for the respondents 1 to 3. None for R 4 & 5.

2. In view of order dated 23.10.2018, the respondent No.2 i.e. Additional Director General of Police (Wireless), Pune has filed an affidavit alongwith documents on record. The respondent No.2 was directed to explain the criteria for fixing the bench marks of 40 for calling the persons for physical test and for submitting documents and if there are any rules regarding providing for such bench marks. The respondent No.3 also directed to make it clear as to how many candidates from OBC (Sports)

category participated in the process and how much marks they obtained in the examination.

3. From affidavit in reply filed by respondent No.2, it seems that total 9 candidates have secured less marks than the prescribed bench marks of 40. In the said list, the applicant stood at Sr. No.1 having secured 39 marks out of 100, whereas all other candidates have secured less than 39 marks. In fact, only one candidate namely Pravin Dinkar More secured 38 marks and other candidates got less marks.

4. The learned counsel for the applicant invited our attention

to a chart showing the cut off marks for different categories. It is material to note that, in the said list, no specific cut off marks are mentioned for OBC (Sports) category candidates and, therefore, it is not known as to how cut off marks of 40 was applied to all categories including the OBC (Sports) category candidates.

5. The Ld. P.O. has also placed on record alongwith an affidavit of respondent No.2 the Recruitment Rules at page Nos. 65 to 68 (both inclusive). If those rules are considered, it would be clear that the persons to be called for personal interview or for

verification of documents, must obtain 50% marks and it seems that barring 1 or 2, no candidate has obtained more than 50% marks. Ld. P.O. has invited our attention to the letter issued by the Additional Director General of Police (Wireless), Pune dated 13.4.2016, a copy of which is at P Annexure R-2, Page 69, from which it seems that the bench marks for calling the candidates for verification of documents was kept as 40 for all categories. But it is not clear under what provision such bench marks have been fixed. We are not inclined to go into the merits of the entire process of selection, since the applicant has not challenged

the procedure, but is claiming appointment from OBC (Sports) category for which, only one post is reserved and admittedly, that post is still lying vacant and no candidate has obtained marks which are obtained by the present applicant.

6. The learned counsel for the applicant invited our attention to one G.R. dated 30.4.2005 a copy of which is filed today and marked "X" for identification. Reason for issuing such a G.R. is to give some concession in marks obtained on merits to the candidates who were sports persons. Admittedly, the applicant has applied from OBC

(Sports) category and his case can be considered, if this G.R.is applied. We, therefore, direct the respondent authorities to go through the G.R. dated 30.4.2005 and to file an affidavit, stating as to whether the applicant can be accommodated for the post of Police Constable (Wireless). As per G.R. dated 30.4.2005, the respondents shall file an affidavit accordingly within three months.

7/ S.O. **28.11.2018.**

Member(A)

Vice-Chairman(J)

Dt.5.11..2018.
pdg.

**O.A. No. 619/2015
(D.B.)**

**Coram:Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J) and
Shree Bhagwan,
Member(A)
Dated : 5th November 2018.**

Heard Shri N.R. Saboo, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, the learned P.O. for the respondents 1 to 3. Shri Uday Dastane, Adv. for R.4.

The Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that he has filed an affidavit on Saturday and a copy of the said affidavit has been received by Ld. counsel for R.4 today itself. Ld. counsel for R.4 seeks time to file counter-affidavit.

S.O. 27.11.2018.

Member(A)
Chairman(J)

Vice-

Dt.5.11..2018.

pdg.

**O.A. No. 278/2012
(D.B.)**

**Coram:Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J) and
Shree Bhagwan,
Member(A)
Dated : 5th November 2018.**

Heard Shri G.G. Bade, the
Ld. counsel for the applicant and
Shri H.K. Pande, the learned P.O.
for the respondents.

At the request of the Ld.
counsel for the applicant, S.O.
27.11.2018 for submitting citations.

Member(A)
Chairman(J)

Vice-

Dt.5.11..2018.

pdg.

**O.A. No. 746/2011
(D.B.)**

**Coram:Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J) and
Shree Bhagwan,
Member(A)
Dated : 5th November 2018.**

Heard Shri A.M. Kukday, the
Ld. counsel for the applicant and

Shri A.M. Khadatkar, the learned
P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. **28.11.2018.**

Member(A)
Chairman(J)

Vice-

Dt.5.11..2018.

pdg.

**O.A. No. 390/2018
(D.B.)**

Coram:Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J) and
Shree Bhagwan,
Member(A)
Dated : 5th November 2018.

Shri S.M. Khan, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. 28.11.2018.

Member(A)
Chairman(J)

Vice-

Dt.5.11..2018.

pdg.