

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1026/2018  
(Shri Rajiv A. Talekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 29.06.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant has been transferred by the impugned order dated 20.06.2018 from Majalgaon to Beed. He has submitted that the said transfer is mid-term transfer. He has submitted that the applicant has been transferred to Majalgaon from Beed on 07.08.2017 and he has not completed his normal tenure of posting at Majalgaon and therefore, the impugned order of transfer is in contraventions of the provisions of Section 22N of the Maharashtra Police Act. He has submitted that the respondent No. 5 has joined the posting at Majalgaon on 23.06.2018, though the applicant has not been relieved from the present posting. He has submitted that the applicant is on sick leave from 24.06.2018 and therefore, he prayed to grant stay to the operation and execution of the impugned order of transfer.

3. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has submitted that the applicant has been posted at Majalgaon temporarily in view of the order dated 07.08.2017 and by order dated 20.06.2018 he has been transferred to Beed by the Police Establishment Board at District Level on administrative ground in view of the provisions of Section 22N(2) of Maharashtra Police Act. He has submitted that the respondent No. 5 has joined his posting at Majalgaon and therefore, no question for granting interim as prayed for by the applicant. Therefore, he prayed to reject the interim relief as prayed for by the applicant.

4. On perusal of the record, it reveals that the applicant has been transferred to Majalgaon temporarily in the year 2017 and by the impugned order, he has been transferred to Beed on administrative ground & to maintain law and order situation in view the provisions of Section 22N (2) of the Maharashtra Police Act. The competent authority i.e. the Police Establishment Board at District Level decided to transfer the applicant in view of the said provisions and accordingly, the impugned order has been issued on 20.06.2018. The respondent No. 5 has joined his new posting at Majalgaon on 23.06.2018 and thereafter, on 24.06.2018 the applicant went on sick leave. All these facts show that prima-facie there is no illegality in the impugned order of transfer and therefore, in my opinion, it is not a

fit case to grant interim relief as prayed for by the applicant. Hence, the interim relief as claimed by the applicant is rejected.

5. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 20.07.2018.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

//4//

O.A. St. 1026/2018

10. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

11. Reply be filed on or before 20-07-2018.

12. S.O. 20-07-2018.

13. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-06-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 737/2016  
(Shri Latif P. Pathan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 29.06.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Learned Advocate Shri V.G. Pingle, appeared and he has filed **VAKILPATRA** on behalf of the applicant with no objection of Shri A.D. Gadekar, earlier learned Advocate for the applicant. The same is accepted and taken on record. Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for respondent No. 3, S.O. to 10.08.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-06-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 329/2017 in O.A. St. No. 994/2017  
(Shri Narayan D. Shete V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 29.06.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 13.08.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-06-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**O.A. No. 407/2017 with O.A. No. 313/2017  
(Shri Nilesh J. Wakode & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 29.06.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the O.As. and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents in both the O.As.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 16.07.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-06-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 387/2016  
(Dr. Nomani M. Tahair V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 29.06.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vinaya Muley-Dharurkar,  
learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.  
Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for  
respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the  
applicant, S.O. to 10.08.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-06-2018



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 258/2017  
(Dr. Deepashri G. Choudhary V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 29.06.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that he is going to take instructions from the respondents as to whether they are going to take corrective steps for granting maternity leave to the applicant in view of decisions rendered by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 67/2010 in case of Dr. Poornima A. Sonkamble Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. decided on 13.10.2010 and O.A. No. 40/2015 in case of Dr. Sonali B. Sayamber Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. decided on 11.03.2015 and therefore, she seeks time. Time granted.

3. The respondents are directed to file short affidavit of responsible officer, if they are not taking corrective steps and the reasons of it and if they are taking corrective steps, the orders in that regard be filed on the next date.

4. S.O. to 26.07.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 706/2017  
(Shri Sadashiv Y. Naik V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 29.06.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri B.M. Dhanure, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the Collector, Jalgaon has taken decision on the representation of the applicant as directed by this Tribunal on 25.04.2018, but copy of the said order is not available to her and therefore, she seeks time to produce on record copy of the said order. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 06.07.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-06-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 809/2017  
(Shri Ganesh T. Sable V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 29.06.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Amruta Paranjape-Menezes, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 as per the directions given by this Tribunal on 12.06.2018. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. After hearing the matter for considerable time, it is closed for orders.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-06-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 843/2017  
(Shri Deepesh R. Nagzire V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 29.06.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Amruta Paranjape-Menezes, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 as per the directions given by this Tribunal on 12.06.2018. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. After hearing the matter for considerable time, it is closed for orders.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-06-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 844/2017  
(Shri Sunil R. Kharat V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 29.06.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Amruta Paranjape-Menezes,  
learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.  
Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed  
affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1  
to 3 as per the directions given by this Tribunal  
on 12.06.2018. Same is taken on record and the  
copy thereof has been served on the learned  
Advocate for the applicant.

3. After hearing the matter for considerable  
time, it is closed for orders.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-06-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 883/2017  
(Shri Datta R. Munde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 29.06.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Amruta Paranjape-Menezes, learned Advocate holding for Shri Joslyn A. Menezes, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 as per the directions given by this Tribunal on 12.06.2018. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. After hearing the matter for considerable time, it is closed for orders.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-06-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 906/2017  
(Dr. Kauna G. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 29.06.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 26.07.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-06-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 235/2018  
(Shri Hanumant N. Dhanave & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 29.06.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. S.K. Doke, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.R. Doke, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Today, the learned Advocate for the applicants has placed on record a copy the order dated 20.06.2018 issued by the respondent No. 2 cancelling the impugned order dated 16.10.2017 and reposting the applicants at their earlier post along with a copy of the relieving order dated 21.06.2018. Same are taken on record and marked as document 'X' and 'X-1' for the purposes of identification. He has submitted that since the impugned order has been cancelled by the respondent No.2, the applicants do not want to proceed with present O.A. Therefore, he seeks leave of this Tribunal to withdraw the present Original Application.



3. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has submitted that necessary order may be passed.

4. Since, the applicants do not want to proceed with the present O.A. and want to withdraw the same, leave as prayed for by the applicants is granted. Hence, the O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-06-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1645/2017  
(Smt. Lalita A. Supekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 29.06.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant has amended the O.A. and challenged the Clause No. 5.4 of the G.R. dated 30.10.2009. He has submitted that as the subject matter is within the jurisdiction of Division Bench, the present matter be placed before the Division Bench.

3. On perusal of the record, it reveals that the subject matter is listed in the jurisdiction of Division Bench. Hence, the Registry of this Tribunal is directed to place the present matter before the Division Bench along with office objection in due course of time.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 514/2015  
(Dr. Balaji S. Barure V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 29.06.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that Shri Pradeep Vyas, Principal Secretary, Public Health Department, Mumbai and Dr. Sanjeev Kamble, Director, Health Services, Mumbai have filed their affidavit in replies as per the order passed by this Tribunal on 19.04.2018. In their affidavit in replies they have stated that the technical breaks of not more than 6 days during the ad-hoc service will be condoned and increment as admissible will be given to the applicant in consultation with the Finance Department at the earliest. They have produced the proposal regarding the condonation of technical break submitted by the D.H.O., Zilla Parishad, Latur along with their affidavit at paper book page no. 111.

3. On perusal of the said proposal, it reveals that the applicant remained absent from duty un-authorizely during the period from 19.11.2000 to 21.07.2001 for 245 days for marriage purpose and on 01.08.2007 to 08.02.2009 for 557 days for post-graduation education. The applicant has suppressed the said material facts in the O.A. and therefore, the respondents, as well as, the applicant are directed to explain as to whether huge breaks can be condoned under the head of technical break and whether the applicant is entitled for condonation of technical break.

4. At the request of both the parties, S.O. to 26.07.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-06-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P.No.55/2018 IN O.A.No.635/2013**  
**(Dr. Ashok Dhaktode V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN**  
(DB MATTER)

**DATE : 29.06.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned P.O. states as follows:  

“Writ Petition challenging judgment delivered by this Tribunal in O.A.No.635/2013 came up for hearing on 18-06-2018 and it has been adjourned without any date.”
3. This can happen only when the State Government is not showing interest in pursuing the Writ Petition.
4. Hence, respondent nos.1, 2 and 3 are called upon to show cause as to why cognizance of contempt should not be taken.
5. Issue notice in contempt petition to respondent nos.1, 2 and 3, returnable on 09-07-2018.
6. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the respondents.
7. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both parties.
8. S.O. to 09-07-2018.

**CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-06-2018 AHJ F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P.No.56/2018 IN O.A.No.535/2017  
(Dr. Shrinivas Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN  
(DB MATTER)**

**DATE : 29.06.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned P.O. is called upon to make a statement as to whether notice dated 17<sup>th</sup> May, 2018 received by the respondent nos.2 and 3 is replied.
3. Statement as query raised by this Tribunal be made on Tuesday i.e. 03-07-2018.
4. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the respondents for securing information.
5. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both parties.
6. S.O. to 03-07-2018.

**CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-06-2018 AHJ F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**  
**C.P.St.No.680/2018 in O.A.No.59/2014**  
**(Mangala Lasurkar (Died) through L.R. Shri Purushottam Joshi**  
**V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN**  
(DB MATTER)

**DATE : 29.06.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri D.K.Dagadkhair learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. It is seen that personal notice is not served on the respondents/contemnors.
3. Learned Advocate for the applicant states that he wants to withdraw the application for contempt with liberty to serve personal notice to respondent nos.4 and 5 and then to file fresh application for contempt.
4. In view of the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant contempt application is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty as sought. There shall be no order as to costs.

**CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-06-2018 AHJ F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.455/2018**  
**(Vidya Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN**  
(DB MATTER)

**DATE : 29.06.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Smt. Amruta Paranjape-Menezes learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to Monday i.e. on 02-07-2018.

**CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-06-2018 AHJ F



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.460/2018  
(Indurao Sarode V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 29.06.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Smt. Supriya Bhilegaonkar learned Advocate holding for Shri M.B.Bharaswadkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
  
2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant as well as the learned CPO, S.O. to Monday i.e. on 02-07-2018.

**CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-06-2018 AHJ F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 555 OF 2014**

[Shri Sudhir A. Sathe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 29.06.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 3<sup>rd</sup> July, 2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 29.06.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 575 OF 2014**

[Shri Deepak B. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 29.06.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
  
2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to take instructions from the applicant. At his request, S.O. to 30<sup>th</sup> July, 2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 29.06.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 551 OF 2014**

[Shri Santosh N. Dhongade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 29.06.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
  
2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to take instructions from the applicant to find as to whether during the pendency of the present O.A., the applicant is promoted etc. At his request, S.O. to 26<sup>th</sup> July, 2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 29.06.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.455/17 WITH M.A.426/16 IN O.A.ST.1824/16**

[Shri Krishna B. Joshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 29.06.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 18<sup>th</sup> July, 2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 29.06.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 197/2017 IN O.A.ST. 526/2017**

[Shri Chetan Y. Thakur Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 29.06.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri R.C. Bora, learned Advocate holding for Shri N.L. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time. It is to be noted that the present M.A. is very old. In the circumstances, as a last chance, S.O. to 30<sup>th</sup> July, 2018 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 29.06.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 414 OF 2018**

[Smt. Vranda P. Sadgure Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 29.06.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Rahul Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 7<sup>th</sup> August, 2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to the record.

**8. Learned Advocate for respondent No. 4, on instructions, submits that the respondent No. 4 in fact is already appointed. As such, by way of interim relief it is hereby declared that the appointment shall be subject to the decision of the present Original Application.**

9. S.O. to 7<sup>th</sup> August, 2018.

10. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**REV. 5/2017 IN O.A.NO. 754/2012**

[Dr. Ansari Majid & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 29.06.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Dhananjay Mane, learned Advocate holding for Shri Milind Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time. Considering the fact that the interim relief is already granted, S.O. to 5<sup>th</sup> July, 2018, as a last chance. Interim relief to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 29.06.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 614 OF 2017**

[Shri Jeevan N. Wader Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 29.06.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri H.V. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
  
2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer seeks time for compliance with the order dated 18.4.2018. It is to be noted that by the said order this Tribunal has simplicitor directed to comply with the order delivered by the Hon'ble Division Bench of High Court in W.P. No. 4257/2013. In the circumstances, at his request, S.O. to 23<sup>rd</sup> July, 2018, as a last chance.
  
3. In case, no compliance is reported, since affidavit in reply is already filed, the O.A. would be taken for final hearing on the next date.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 29.06.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 267 OF 2018**

[Dr. Shrikant C. Pathak Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 29.06.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 16<sup>th</sup> July, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 29.06.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 291 OF 2018**

[Shri Sahebrao G. Tawade & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 29.06.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Y.P. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2.
2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that on 25.6.2018 service affidavit is filed in the office of this Tribunal.
3. It appears from the office note dated 25.6.2018 that the respondent Nos. 4 to 9 are not served properly. However, learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he will again serve the respondent Nos. 4 to 9. Hence, issue fresh notices to respondent Nos. 4 to 9, returnable on 24<sup>th</sup> July, 2018.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to the record.

**9. It is hereby clarified that the pendency of the present O.A. shall not be an obstruction in any way, in considering the case of the present applicant for departmental promotion during the pendency of the present proceedings according to rules.**

9. S.O. to 24<sup>th</sup> July, 2018.

10. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 550 OF 2014**

[Shri Jagannath K. Kagle & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 29.06.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Y.P. Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to take steps to bring legal heirs on record of the applicant No. 20 viz. Baburao Govindrao Kulkarni. At his request, S.O. to 25<sup>th</sup> July, 2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 29.06.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A.NOS. 131, 157 & 218 ALL OF 2016**

[Shri Narayan M. Jadhav & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----  
**CORAM : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.06.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Avishkar S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these cases and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these cases.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> August, 2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 29.06.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 766 OF 2016**

[Shri Rambhau T. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.06.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note. Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> August, 2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 29.06.2018-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 260 OF 2017**

[Shri Bhagwat D. Bedke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.06.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
  
2. S.O. to 24<sup>th</sup> July, 2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 29.06.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 376 OF 2017**

[Shri Punjaji M. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.06.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Shri Rahul O. Awasarmal, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> August, 2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 29.06.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 208 OF 2017**

[Marathwada Sarva Shramik Sanghatana & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.06.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Avishkar S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
  
2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> August, 2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 29.06.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 209 OF 2017**

[Marathwada Sarva Shramik Sanghatana & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.06.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Avishkar S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
  
2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> August, 2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 29.06.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 364 OF 2017**

[Shri Hari P. Panchal & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.06.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Avishkar S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
  
2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> August, 2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 29.06.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 556/2014  
(Sanjay R. Patange V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 29.6.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file on record copies of seniority lists pertaining to Hingoli & Aurangabad, if available, along with affidavit in rejoinder, if necessary. At his request, S.O. to 26.7.2018, for above compliance.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-6-2018

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 559/2014  
(Dr. Ajit R. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 29.6.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicant. Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. As none appears for the applicant, S.O. to 24.7.2018 either for appearance of learned Advocate for the applicant or for passing necessary orders.

**MEMBER (A)**  
ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-6-2018

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 750 & 763/2015  
(Dnyaneshwar S/o Laxman Kedare & Laxmichaya D/o Arun Jahagirdar V/s.  
State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 29.6.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Pradnya Talekar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the matters and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the matters.

2. Though O.A. no. 763/2015 is not on today's board, at the request of learned Advocate it is taken on board.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicants seeks accommodation. At her request, S.O. to 17.7.2018. Office to place on board both these matters together.

**MEMBER (A)**  
ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-6-2018

**VICE CHAIRMAN**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 266/2017 IN O.A. ST. 517/2017  
(Ratanrao S. Shejwal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.6.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply in the M.A. Learned Advocate for the applicant also wants to take instructions from the applicant. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 31.7.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-6-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 349/2017 IN O.A. ST. 1166/2017  
(Udalsingh A. Bohara V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.6.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.L. Kakade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O., S.O. to 31.7.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-6-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 350/2017 IN O.A. ST. 1168/2017  
(Janardhan P. Muley V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.6.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.L. Kakade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 31.7.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-6-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 16/2018 IN O.A. 172/2017  
(Taheer Ali Shah Mohammad Ali Shah V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.6.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 1.8.2018 for filing affidavit in reply in the present M.A.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-6-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 24/2018 IN O.A. ST. 73/2018  
(Vishwajeet V. Dondhale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.6.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.P. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. undertakes to deposit costs of Rs. 2,000/- and file affidavit in reply of respondents in the M.A. as per order dated 12.6.2018, during the course of the day. Affidavit in reply be accepted and copy thereof be served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 26.7.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-6-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 32/2018 IN O.A. 49/2018  
(Ravindra V. Sapkal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.6.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Harshal Randhir, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By filing present M.A. the applicant seeks leave of the Tribunal to amend the O.A. by adding some pleadings based on the facts.

3. On perusal of documents it reveals that the proposed amendment is necessary for adjudication and does not change the merit of the O.A. Hence, the proposed amendment in the O.A. is allowed. The applicant is directed to amend the O.A. within one week.

4. Accordingly, the present M.A. stands disposed of without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. 49/2018  
(Ravindra V. Sapkal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.6.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Harshal Randhir, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. On amendment, issue notices to the respondents in the present O.A., returnable on 1.8.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 1.8.2018.

9. Steno copy / humdast allowed for both the sides.

**MEMBER (J)**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.33/2018 IN O.A. ST. 1844/2017  
(Akshay S. Jogdand V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.6.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.M. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, issue fresh notices to the respondents in the present M.A., returnable on 1.8.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 1.8.2018.

9. Steno copy / humdast allowed for both the sides.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 199/2018 IN O.A. 40/2017  
(Mohd. Rizwan Khan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.6.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents in the present M.A., returnable on 26.7.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 26.7.2018.

9. Steno copy / humdast allowed for both the sides.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.207/2018 IN M.A. 145/2018 IN O.A. ST. 310/2018  
(Vajjnath M. Karadkhele V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.6.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. M.A. no. 145/2018 filed by the applicant for condonation of delay caused in filing O.A. st. 310/2018 came to be dismissed as service affidavit was not filed within time before the due date. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that he has received the service report, but he was unable to file service affidavit within time due to some personal reasons. He, therefore, prayed to restore the M.A. no. 145/2018.

3. For the reasons stated in the M.A. it is allowed and disposed of and M.A. no. 145/2018 is restored to its file. There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 145/2018 IN O.A. ST. 310/2018  
(Vajjnath M. Karadkhele V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.6.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents in the present M.A., returnable on 2.8.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

**::-2-::**  
**M.A. 145/2018 IN**  
**O.A. ST. NO. 310/2018**

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 2.8.2018.

9. Steno copy / humdast allowed for both the sides.

**MEMBER (J)**