

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.811/2015**  
(S.S.Bangar V/s. State of Mah. & Ors)

CORAM: Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Hon'ble Member (J)

DATE : 25-08-2016.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submits that she apologizes for non-appearance of Secretary in person as per order of the Tribunal and she submits that affidavit of the Secretary will be filed on Monday i.e. 29-08-2016.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant states that he has no objection if reply is filed on 29-08-2016.

4. Steno copy be provided to the learned P.O.

5. S.O.29-08-2016.

ORAL ORDER 25-08-2016

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.185/2015**  
(S.M.Kulkarni V/s. State of Mah. & Ors)

CORAM: Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Hon'ble Member (J)

DATE : 25-08-2016.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri D.S.Ladda learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submits that he will take instruction as to within how many days amount will be paid to the applicant and for that purpose, he prays for time till tomorrow.

S.O.26-08-2016.

ORAL ORDER 25-08-2016

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.335/2016 IN O.A.St.No.1555/2016**

(Dr. Santosh Hindole & Ors. V/s. State of Mah. & Ors)

CORAM: Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Hon'ble Member (J)  
**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

DATE : 25-08-2016.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Applicants are challenging various policy decisions as mentioned in paragraph 15-B) and 15-C) of the O.A. All these policy decisions are of the year 2016. Cause for challenge and the relief claimed are same. It, prima facie, seems that all the applicants have common interest to prosecute the O.A. Hence, M.A. to sue jointly is allowed in the interest of justice. M.A. stands disposed of accordingly.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDER 25-08-2016

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**O.A.St.No.1555/2016**

(Dr. Santosh Hindole & Ors. V/s. State of Mah. & Ors)

CORAM: Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Hon'ble Member (J)  
**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

DATE : 25-08-2016.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate insists that interim relief as per prayer in clause (D) be granted in favour of the applicants. At this juncture, this relief cannot be considered till say of the respondents is obtained.

3. Hence, issue notices to the respondents in the O.A., returnable on 29-09-2016.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

=2=

O.A.St.No.1555/2016

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

9. S.O.29-09-2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDER 25-08-2016

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.336/2016 IN O.A.No.1567/2016**  
(B.P.Gosavi V/s. State of Mah. & Ors)

CORAM: Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Hon'ble Member (J)

DATE : 25-08-2016.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents in the M.A., returnable on 29-09-2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

=2=

M.A.No.336/16 IN O.A.No.1567/16

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

8. S.O.29-09-2016.

ORAL ORDER 25-08-2016

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.663/2016**  
(S.N.Latpate V/s. State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Hon'ble Member (J)

DATE : 25-08-2016.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Applicant has challenged communication dated 19-07-2016 issued by respondent no.2 Maharashtra Public Service Commission (MPSC for short) whereby his representation for appointment to the post of Translator was rejected with following remarks:

“जाहिरातीत नमूद आरक्षणाच्या अनुषंगाने प्रस्तुत पदासाठी घेण्यात आलेल्या चाळणी परिक्षा + मुलाखत यामध्ये आपणास एकत्रित (९७) गुण प्राप्त झाले व श्री. पवार हयांना (८७) गुण प्राप्त झाले असले तरीही शासनाच्या दिनांक १३ ऑगस्ट २०१४ च्या परिपत्रकात नमूद समांतर आरक्षणाच्या धोरणा नुसार अमागास खेळाडू या प्रवर्गासाठी राखीव असलेल्या वर्गवारीकरीत केवळ अमागास वर्गवारीतीलच उपलब्ध उमेदवारांमधून (अमागास) खेळाडू चे पद योग्यरित्या भरण्यात आलेले आहे.”

3. From the record it seems that the advertisement for the post of Translator was published on 17-03-2015 as per Annexure A-1. Applicant had applied for the post from Open Sports category though he belongs to NT-D category. He has also deposited the fees worth Rs.365/- as required for Open



candidates. Fee of Rs. 265 is prescribed for reserved candidates.

4. According to the applicant he has secured 97 marks whereas the respondent no.3 has secured 87 marks, still in the final select list, respondent no.3 has been shown as selected candidate. Learned Advocate for the applicant has invited my attention to application form of the respondent no.3. From the said form, it seems that respondent no.3 belongs to Economically Backward Class but he has not applied from Sports category and still he has been shown to be selected.

5. It is an admitted fact that the applicant has obtained 97 marks as against 87 of the respondent no.3. Government Circular dated 13<sup>th</sup> August, 2014 has been referred. Whether the said Circular is binding and whether the same has been followed in real sense or not will be considered on merits.

6. Learned Advocate for the applicant makes a statement that appointment order has not been issued to the respondent no.3 till date.

7. In view of the above statement of learned Advocate for the applicant, respondents are directed not to issue appointment order to respondent no.3 till next date.

8. Issue notices to the respondents in the O.A., returnable on 16-09-2016.

9. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

12. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

13. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

14. S.O.16-09-2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.629/2016**  
(S.S.Waghmare V/s. State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Hon'ble Member (J)

DATE : 25-08-2016.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri A.D.Sugdare learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. prays for time till tomorrow for filing reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

S.O.29-08-2016.

ORAL ORDER 25-08-2016

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.432/2015 IN O.A.No.218/2015**  
(K.M.Sharma V/s. State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Hon'ble Member (J)

DATE : 25-08-2016.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri M.M.Parghane learned Advocate holding for Shri Gajanan Kadam learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. states that applicant has not provided copy of the case. Learned Advocate for the applicant is directed to supply copy of the case to the learned P.O.

3. Learned P.O. prays for time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

S.O.27-09-2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDER 25-08-2016

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.223/2016**  
(K.G.Kachawar V/s. State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Hon'ble Member (J)

DATE : 25-08-2016.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri Sanjaykumar Chavan learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos.1 to 3 and Shri D.Y.Nandedkar learned Advocate for respondent no.4.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.2 and 3. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been taken on record.

3. Learned Advocate for respondent no.4 prays for time for filing reply. Time granted.

S.O.14-09-2016.

ORAL ORDER 25-08-2016

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.766/2016**  
**(V.S. Kolhe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 25.08.2016.**

**ORAL ORDER**

Shri Nilkanth Pawade, learned Advocate for the applicant (Absent). Shri VR Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Perusal of the record shows that nobody is appearing for the applicant since so many dates. It seems that the applicant may not be interested in prosecuting the O.A. The matter was kept for passing dismissal order today. Today also nobody appears for the applicant. Hence the O.A. stands dismissed in default.

**MEMBER (J)**

25.08.2016-ATP

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.13/2016**  
**(L.R. Kulkarni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 25.08.2016.**

**ORAL ORDER**

Shri V.P. Golewar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri IS Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time for filing reply affidavit.

Time granted.

3. S.O. to 27.9.2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

25.08.2016-ATP

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.60/2016**  
**(K.S. Bharte Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 25.08.2016.**

**ORAL ORDER**

None present for the applicant. Shri IS Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On last date i.e. on 18.7.2016 the learned Advocate Shri Mangesh G. Patil for the applicant withdrew his Vakalatnama, who has also issued a notice to the applicant. However, nobody appears on behalf of applicant today also. Therefore, it seems that the applicant may not be interested in prosecuting the cause. Hence, O.A. is dismissed in default.

**MEMBER (J)**

25.08.2016-ATP



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.163/2016**  
**(R.T. Dodi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 25.08.2016.**

**ORAL ORDER**

Miss Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri MP Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant seeks permission to withdraw the O.A. She has also filed written pursis to that effect. It is markedat Exh.X for the purpose of identification. In view thereof the O.A. stands disposed of, as withdrawn, with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

25.08.2016-ATP

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.172/2016**  
**(B. N. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 25.08.2016.**

**ORAL ORDER**

None present for the applicant. Shri SK Shirse,  
learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents no.1 to 7.  
None present for the Respondent no.8.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time for filing reply affidavit.

Time granted.

3. S.O. to 28.9.2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

25.08.2016-ATP

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.235/2016**  
**(B.D. Ghodke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 25.08.2016.**

**ORAL ORDER**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt PR Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply affidavit.

Time granted.

3. S.O. to 28.9.2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

25.08.2016-ATP

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.283/2016**  
**( D.R. Salve Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 25.08.2016.**

**ORAL ORDER**

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri MS Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. files reply affidavit on behalf of Respondent no.2. The same is taken on record. Its copy is served on the applicant.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the matter be kept for final hearing and if necessary he will file the rejoinder in advance and its copy will be served on the learned P.O.

4. S.O. to 28.9.2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

25.08.2016-ATP

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.301/2016**  
**(NG Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 25.08.2016.**

**ORAL ORDER**

None present for the applicant. Shri SK Shirse,  
learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files reply affidavit on behalf of  
Respondents no.1 to 3. The same is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 29.9.2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

25.08.2016-ATP

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.515/2016**  
**( A.B. Pande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 25.08.2016.**

**ORAL ORDER**

None present for the applicant. Shri MS Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply affidavit.

Time granted.

3. S.O. to 29.9.2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

25.08.2016-ATP

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.533/2016**  
**( M.T. Nikam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 25.08.2016.**

**ORAL ORDER**

None present for the applicant. Shri DR Patil,  
learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files reply affidavit on behalf of  
Respondent no.2. The same is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 29.9.2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

25.08.2016-ATP

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.358/2016**  
**( K.N. Choudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 25.08.2016.**

**ORAL ORDER**

Shri K.B. Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri SK Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents no.1 & 2 and Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent no.3.

2. Learned P.O. as well as learned Advocate for the Respondent no.3 seeks time to file reply affidavit. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 12.9.2016. Interim relief to continue till further order.

**MEMBER (J)**

25.08.2016-ATP



**MA NO.27/2016 IN OA ST.NO.80/2016.**  
**( M.S. Bangale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 25.08.2016.**

**ORAL ORDER**

Heard Shri S.K. Mathpati learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri VR Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he may be permitted to add Principal Secretary, Revenue & Forest Department as party-Respondent no.5 being necessary party. He is permitted to add him as party. The party be added today itself.

3. Issue notice to the newly added respondent no.5, returnable on 23.9.2016.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. 23.9.2016.

8. Steno Copy and Hamdust allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

25.08.2016-ATP

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.48/2014.**  
**( L.N. Lahane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 25.08.2016.**

**ORAL ORDER**

None present for the applicant. Shri DR Patil,  
learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to  
23.9.2016 as last chance for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

25.08.2016-ATP

**OA ST.NO.300/2015 WITH M.A.NO. 186/2015.**  
**(BL Kharat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 25.08.2016.**

**ORAL ORDER**

Heard Shri VB Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri MP Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In the O.A. the applicant is claiming deemed date of promotion to the post of Awal Karkun from 8.9.2006. According to him the Respondents no.6 & 7, who are junior to him were promoted from that date.

3. In the reply affidavit the respondents have relied on one communication i.e. Exh.R-1 dated 28.5.2003 and stated that the applicant's claim was already rejected. However, it is clear that this letter is dated 28.5.2003 and thereafter the applicant has been promoted w.e.f. 27.6.2008. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the seniority list was changed in view of the various pronouncements of the Tribunal and from that seniority list he came to know that he should have been promoted from 2006 instead of 2008. He has also filed representation to that effect as per Annexure A-5 (paper book page 23 in the OA), as well as at Annexure P-3 (paper book page 13 in the MA). It is stated that the said representation is not yet decided by the Respondents.

-2- **OA ST.NO.300/2015 WITH M.A.NO. 186/2015.**

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the O.A. as well as MA can be disposed of, if the direction is given to the respondents to take appropriate decision on his representation dated 17.11.2014 (Annexure A-5 in the OA, and at paper book page no.13 in the M.A. also.

5. The learned P.O. also admitted that no representation is decided. In view thereof the following order.

ORDER.

i) The MA No.186/2015 as well as the OA St.No.300/2015 are disposed of with direction to the respondents to take decision on the representation filed by the applicant dated 17.11.2004 and intimate the same to the applicant. The decision shall be taken within two months from the date of this order.

ii) No order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

25.08.2016-ATP

**MA NO.27/2016 WITH OA ST.NO.80/2016.**  
**( M.S. Bangale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 25.08.2016.**

**ORAL ORDER**

Heard Shri S.K. Mathpati learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri VR Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicants submits that he may be permitted to add Principal Secretary, Revenue & Forest Department as party-Respondent no.5 being necessary party. He is permitted to add him as party. The party be added today itself.

3. Issue notice to the newly added respondent no.5 in MA as well as OA, returnable on 23.9.2016.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant are authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. 23.9.2016.

8. Steno Copy and Hamdust allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

25.08.2016-ATP

**T.A. NO. 4/2016 (W.P. NO. 3181/2015)**

{Shri Sandip M. Sherkar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Shri J. D. Kulkarni, Hon'ble Member (J)**  
**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

**DATE :- 25.8.2016**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri P.G. Tambade, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. As per the order of this Tribunal dated 28.7.2016, the learned P.O. has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of res. No. 2. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.
3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. 16.9.2016 for filing rejoinder, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ 25.8.2016



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 763 OF 2015**

{Smt. Laxmichaya A. Jahagirdar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Shri J. D. Kulkarni, Hon'ble Member (J)**  
**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

**DATE :- 25.8.2016**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Miss. Bhavna Panpatil, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of res. No. 3. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 26.9.2016 for filing rejoinder, if any, by the applicant.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ 25.8.2016

**MA 179/2016 IN OA 835/2015 WITH  
MA 180/2016 IN OA 032/2016 WITH  
MA 181/2016 IN OA 067/2016 WITH  
MA 274/2016 IN OA 393/2016 WITH  
MA 273/2016 IN OA 397/2016 WITH  
MA 275/2016 IN OA 398/2016 WITH  
MA 302/2016 WITH MA 207/16 WITH MA 281/16 IN OA  
370/2016 WITH  
MA 303/2016 WITH MA 208/16 WITH MA 280/16 IN OA  
371/2016 WITH  
O.A. NO. 400/16 AND 490/16**

**CORAM :- Shri J. D. Kulkarni, Hon'ble Member (J)  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due  
to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

**DATE :- 25.8.2016**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Dr. Smt. Kalpalata Patil Bharaswadkar and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocates for the applicants in respective matters and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer & S/shri V.R. Bhumkar, N.U. Yadav, I.S. Thorat, Smt. Deepali Deshpande, Smt. Resha Deshmukh and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officers for the respondents in respective matters.
2. The learned C.P.O. / P.Os. have filed affidavits in replies on behalf of res. Nos. 1 to 3 / 1 to 4 in respective matters. The same are taken on record and copies thereof are supplied to the respective learned Advocates for the applicants in respective matters.
3. At the request of learned Advocates for the applicants, S.O. to 1.9.2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

**T.A. 2/12 (W.P. 9902/11)**

{Smt. Radha N. Choure Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**WITH O.A. 122/2012**

{Shri J.K. Rathod Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**WITH O.A. 123/2012**

{Shri D.K. Darade Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Shri J. D. Kulkarni, Hon'ble Member (J)**  
**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

**DATE :- 25.8.2016**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri P.G. Tambade, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the matters and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. The Division Bench is not available.
3. Both these matters be placed for final hearing whenever the Division Bench is available.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ 25.8.2016

**O.A. NO. 28 OF 2012**

{Shri B.S. Navthar Ors Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**WITH**

**O.A. NO. 29 OF 2012**

{Shri B.B. Navthar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Shri J. D. Kulkarni, Hon'ble Member (J)**  
**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

**DATE :- 25.8.2016**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the matters and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the matters.
2. The Division Bench is not available.
3. Both these matters be placed for final hearing whenever the Division Bench is available.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ 25.8.2016