

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.230/2017**

(Dr. Kolhe Nagnath Vinayakrao V/s. The State of Mah. &  
Ors.)

**CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**  
**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench**  
**due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

DATE : 21-04-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 26.4.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.4.2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**MA ST. 447/17 IN CP ST. 448/2017 IN OA 619/2015**

(Shri Anil S. Palekar V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

**CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**  
**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench**  
**due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

DATE : 21-04-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri A.R. Tapse, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time.

Time granted.

3. S.O. to 24.4.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.4.2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 381/2013**

(Shri Uttam R. Kshirsagar V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

**CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**  
**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench**  
**due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

DATE : 21-04-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time.

Time granted.

3. S.O. to 28.4.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.4.2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 227/2014**

(Shri Jijarao D. Khairnar V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

**CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**  
**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

DATE : 21-04-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri N.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri P.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the respondent no. 4.

2. The learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of res. nos. 1 to 3. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 5.5.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.4.2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 510/2016**

(Shri Vijaykumar H. Gade V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

**CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**  
**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

DATE : 21-04-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

None appears for the applicant. Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2 is present. None appears for respondent no. 3.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant and learned Advocate for respondent no. 3, S.O. to 16.6.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.4.2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NOS. 118, 119 & 120 ALL OF 2017**

**CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**  
**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

DATE : 21-04-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these three matters and Shri S.K. Shirse & Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officers for the respective respondents in respective matters.

2. The learned Presenting Officers seek time to file affidavit in replies in all these three matters. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 16.6.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.4.2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**MA 433/2015 IN OA ST. NO. 318/2015**

(Dr. Shivshinkar C. Haralaya V/s. The State of Mah. &  
Ors.)

**CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**  
**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench**  
**due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

DATE : 21-04-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. The learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 15.6.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.4.2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**MA 201/2016 IN CP ST. 765/2016 IN OA 918/2010**  
(Gayabai d/o G. Pokale V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

**CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**  
**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench**  
**due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

DATE : 21-04-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. The learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 15.6.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.4.2017



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**MA 234/2016 IN OA ST. 629/2016**

(Chous Galeb Ahmed Amodi V/s. The State of Mah. &  
Ors.)

**CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**  
**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench**  
**due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

DATE : 21-04-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in the original application itself. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 8.6.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.4.2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**MA 140/2017 IN CP ST. 472/2017 IN OA 63/2015**  
(Shri Saylu P. Nawod V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

**CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**  
**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench**  
**due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

DATE : 21-04-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time.  
Time granted.
3. S.O. to 26.4.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.4.2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NOS. 488/13, 01/15, 626/15, 627/15, 635/15,  
21/16, 618/16, 619/16**

**CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**  
**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

DATE : 21-04-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard S/shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for himself and holding for Milind Patil and R.P. Bhumkar, & M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the respective applicants in respective matters and S/shri I.S. Thorat, V.R. Bhumkar, Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande & Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officers for the respective respondents in respective matters.

2. Shri Thorat, learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of res. nos. 1 to 4 in O.A. no. 627/2015 and the same is taken on record.

3. The respective learned P.Os. seek time to file affidavit in replies on behalf of respective respondents in O.A. Nos. 635/15, 618/16, 619/16. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 22.6.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 695 OF 2016**

**(Shri Jagdish S. Pandalwar Vs. The State of Maharashtra  
and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 21.04. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri A.D. Sugdazre – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Both the sides have submitted that the applicant has preferred an appeal on 15.9.2015 (page 29 to 32 of the O.A.) against the order dated 26.8.2015 passed by the Additional Director General of Police (Traffic), M.S., Mumbai i. e. res. No. 2 before the Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai i. e. res. No. 1 and it is still pending with the said authority. As the res. No. 1 has not decided the appeal preferred by the applicant, he has approached the Tribunal by filing the present original application.

3. The learned Advocate for the applicant and learned Presenting Officer have submitted that they have no objection, if this original application is disposed of with a direction to the res. No. 1 to decide the appeal preferred by the applicant on

15.9.2015 (page 29 to 32 of the O.A.) against the order dated 26.8.2015 issued by the res. No. 2, within a stipulated time.

4. In view of the submission of both the sides, it is just to dispose of the present original application with a direction to the res. No. 1 to decide the appeal preferred by the applicant on 15.9.2015 against the order dated 26.8.2015 issued by the res. No. 2 within a stipulated time.

5. Accordingly the present original application is disposed of with a direction to the res. No. 1 – the Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai – to decide the appeal preferred by the applicant on 15.9.2015 against the order dated 26.8.2015 issued by the res. No. 2 within a period of 2 month from today. There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 529 OF 2015**

**(Shri G.N. Shirsath Vs. The State of Maharashtra and  
Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 21.04. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Shri R.P. Bhumkar – learned Advocate for the applicant **(leave note)**. Shri D.R. Patil – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 28.6.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.4.2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 598 OF 2015**

**(Noorunnisa Begum Vs. The State of Maharashtra and  
Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 21.04. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Dr. Kalpalata Patil Bharaswadkar – learned Advocate for the applicant **(absent)**. Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 28.6.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.4.2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 320 OF 2016**

**(Meena N. NengalVs. The State of Maharashtra and  
Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 21.04. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. None appears for the applicant. Shri D.R. Patil – learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 4 and Shri M.S. Dhapate, learned Advocate for respondent no. 5, are present.
2. At the request of learned Advocate for the res. No. 5, S.O. to 28.6.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.4.2017



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**TA 2/17 WP 4838/16**

**(Yogesh J. Patil Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 21.04. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri Satyajit Bora, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for res. nos. 1 to 3 and Shri N.S. Desale, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4.

2. With consent of both the sides, S.O. to 25.4.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.4.2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 33 OF 2017**

**(Shri Bharat L. Kharat Vs. The State of Maharashtra and  
Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 21.04. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 8.6.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.4.2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 152/2017 IN O.A.ST.NO. 942/2015**

**(Miss Chaya Bhanudas Dhabadge Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 21.04. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri Chetan Jadhav – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicant for condonation of delay of about 83 days caused in filing the accompanying original application.

3. Perused the Miscellaneous Application. Considered the contentions. For the reasons stated in the present miscellaneous application, it appears that, applicant has explained the delay satisfactorily, and also made out the sufficient cause for condonation of delay, caused in filing accompanying original application. Moreover, the said delay also does not appear to be willful, deliberate and intentional.

4. The applicant has filed the O.A. for direction of the Tribunal to the respondents to consider the claim of the applicant on compassionate ground for the post of Junior Clerk (Class-III) as and when such vacancy arises by considering the applicant at Sr. No. 1 in the wait list for compassionate ground.

5. Considering the aforesaid position it would be just and proper to allow the Miscellaneous Application by condoning the delay caused in filing accompanying O.A.

**:: - 2 - ::**  
**M.A.NO. 152/2017 IN**  
**O.A.ST.NO. 942/2015**

4. In the result, the present miscellaneous application is allowed in terms of prayer clause 'A' and 'B' thereof. Delay of 83 days caused in filing accompanying original application stands condoned. Accompanying O. A. be registered and numbered, and present M. A. stands disposed of accordingly. No order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO. 942 OF 2015**

**(Miss Chaya Bhanudas Dhabadge Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 21.04. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri Chetan Jadhav – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 5<sup>th</sup> June, 2017.
3. Tribunal may take the case/s for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

**:: - 2 - ::**

**O.A. ST.NO. 942 OF 2015**

7. S.O. to 5<sup>th</sup> June, 2017. The office of this Tribunal Bench at Aurangabad is directed to place this matter high on board.

8. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 516/2017 IN O.A.NO. 808/2016**

**(Shri Gangadhar Anna Kakade Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 21.04. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh – learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks leave of this Tribunal to add Treasure Officer, Ahmednagar as respondent No. 4 in O.A. No. 808/2016 as well as in M.A. St. No. 516/2017.

3. Leave granted. The applicant shall carry out the necessary amendment in the O.A. as well as in the M.A. forthwith.

4. S.O. to 5<sup>th</sup> May, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 147/2017 IN O.A.ST.NO. 705/2016**

**(Panchfulabai W/o. Rama Shirsath Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 21.04. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri R.L. Chintalwar – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirase – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A. No. 147/2017, returnable on 27<sup>th</sup> June, 2017.
3. Tribunal may take the case/s for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.



**:: - 2 - ::**  
**M.A.NO. 147/2017 IN**  
**O.A.ST.NO. 705/2016**

7. S.O. to 27<sup>th</sup> June, 2017.
8. The applicant is directed to serve the copy of the M.A. on the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
9. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 75/2017 IN O.A.ST.NO. 124/2017**

**(Shri Bajirao Vithoba Khade Vs. The State of Maharashtra  
and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 21.04. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 7<sup>th</sup> June, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 409/2016 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1662/2016**

**(Shri Mohan S/o. Yamaji Sanap Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 21.04. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 7<sup>th</sup> June, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 308/2016 IN O.A.ST.NO. 975/2016**

**(Shri Waman N. Bondar Vs. The State of Maharashtra and  
Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 21.04. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Mrs. M.A. Kulkarni – learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri M.P. Gude – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.
2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted as a last chance.
3. S.O. to 27<sup>th</sup> June, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 181 OF 2017**

**(Shri Harishchandra R. Sonawane Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 21.04. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Ms. Ashwini Kale, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar – learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri A.S. Deshmukh – learned Advocate for respondent No. 4. None appears for respondent No. 5.

2. On instructions, learned Advocate for the applicant seeks permission of this Tribunal to withdraw the present Original Application unconditionally.

3. Permission granted. Withdrawal is allowed. Accordingly, the present Original Application stands disposed of as withdrawn unconditionally. No order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 116 OF 2017**

**(Dr. Dhanraj W. Kendre Vs. The State of Maharashtra and  
Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 21.04. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri S.K. Sawangikar – learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 21<sup>st</sup> June, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 09 OF 2017**

**(Shri Prashant A. Pawar Vs. The State of Maharashtra and  
Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 21.04. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Shri H.P. Jadhav – learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri N.U. Yadav – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 5 and the same is taken on record.

3. Since nobody appeared for the applicant, S.O. to 16<sup>th</sup> June, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 946 OF 2016**

**(Shri Krushna S. Edke Vs. The State of Maharashtra and  
Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 21.04. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri S.A. Deshmukh – learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. The learned Advocate for the applicant has filed affidavit in rejoinder and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.
3. S.O. to 16<sup>th</sup> July, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2017- HDD(DB)



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 926 OF 2016**

**(Shri Bhagwat S/o. Ashok Phulsundar Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 21.04. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri Vilas P. Savant – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The applicant was appointed initially in the cadre of Accountant/ Inspector/ Sirestedar/Court Clerk by an office order dated 31.12.2011, a copy of which is placed on record at Annexure T' page-09 of paper book. Accordingly, he joined on the post of Inspector in Sindhudurg District. He came to be transferred from Sindhudurg to Latur on the post of Accountant by an order dated 30.05.2014. It is the contention of the applicant that he is not Commerce Graduate and in spite of that he was compelled to work as Accountant. He made several representations to the respondents to post him on the vacant post of Inspector at Latur in view of notification dated 17.11.2012, but the representations have not been decided by the respondents. Therefore, he sought directions of this Tribunal to the respondents to decide the representations filed by him.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the applicant was appointed as an Inspector initially in the cadre of Accountant/ Inspector/ Sirestedar/Court Clerk and the posts of Inspector and Accountant are interchangeable as for both the posts educational qualification is one and the same. He has submitted that out of 4 posts of Accountant in the

**O.A. NO. 926 OF 2016**

office of Public Trust Registration Office Latur, 2 posts were vacant. Out of 2 filled posts of Accountant, applicant was given posting on one post. At present, only one post of Accountant is filled in and 3 posts are vacant. Therefore, the applicant was posted as Accountant. He has submitted that there is no illegality in appointing the applicant on the post of Accountant as the post is of the same cadre.

4. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the representations of the applicant are pending with the respondents and the respondents will take appropriate decision on it within a short time.

5. Considering the submissions made by both the parties and considering the fact that several representations made by the applicant are pending with the respondents, it is just and proper to direct the respondents to decide the representations made by the applicant within a period of two months on merit as per the rules.

6. In view thereof, the present Original Application is disposed of with the directions to the respondents to decide the representations dated 23.6.2015, 17.7.2015, 19.8.2015 filed by the applicant within a period of two months from the date of this order and communicate the same to the applicant in writing.

There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 156/2017 in O.A. No. 175/2017**  
[Shri Madhukar M. Khamkar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri D.A. Bide, learned Advocate for the Applicant (**Absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 13<sup>th</sup> June, 2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

//2//

**M.A. 156/2017 in  
O.A. 175 OF 2017**

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> June, 2017.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 185/2017  
[Shri Rama G. Giri Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondent no. 1.

2. Today, Shri L.H. Hawale, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.J. Suryawanshi, appeared on behalf of respondent no. 2.

3. S.O. to 27.06.2017

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 16/2017**  
[Shri Sk Mukhtyar Sk Ali Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri M.D. Godhamgaonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 13.06.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 285/2016**  
[Shri Devidas V. Salgarkar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri A.S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant (**Leave Note**). Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 & 2 and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent no. 3, are present.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 13.06.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 255/2015**  
[Shri Rajaram A. Sande Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Vishnu Y. Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.R. Devakate, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 27.06.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 837/2015**  
[Shri Sham Suresh Fulgaonkar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri B.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that he will file affidavit in reply during the course of the day.

3. S.O. to 13.06.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 827/2016**

[Shri Syed Assifuddin Syed Mohammed Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file certain citations on record. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 24.04.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 819/2016**  
[Shri Vishal Babaji Goje Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for the Applicant  
(**Absent**). Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for  
respondents, present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to  
16.06.2017 for passing necessary orders.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 771/2016**  
[Smt. Usha Ranoji Bahirat Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri R.B. Ade, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri Shrikant Veer, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4.

2. Learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 as well as learned Advocate for respondent no. 4 seek time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 16.06.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 673/2016**  
[Shri Sanjay Bhaskar Patil Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 21.06.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 518/2016**  
[Shri Bharat P. Patil Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri P.P. Kothari, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Bora, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri Girish Nagori, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that there is no need to file affidavit in reply of respondent nos. 1 and 2 as the respondent no. 3 has already filed affidavit in reply.

3. Learned Advocate for respondent no. 4 has also does not want to file affidavit in reply.

4. After hearing the matter for considerable time, it is closed for orders.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 463/2016  
[Shri Ishwar Bhika Pawar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete. The O.A. is admitted and it be kept for final hearing.

3. S.O. to 27.06.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 464/2016**  
[Shri Milind Laxmanroa Kulkarni Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no. 1. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. Pleadings are complete. The O.A. is admitted and it be kept for final hearing.

3. S.O. to 27.06.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 465/2016  
[Shri Vinayak S. Jadhav Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete. The O.A. is admitted and it be kept for final hearing.

3. S.O. to 27.06.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 226/2016  
[Shri Shivram N.Dhapate Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri P.M. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed rejoinder affidavit. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Presenting Officer.

3. S.O. to 07.06.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 52/2016  
[Dr. Waman C. Rathod Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 to 3. Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4 (**Absent**).

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos. 1 to 3. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he will go through the affidavit in reply and will file rejoinder affidavit, if necessary.

3. S.O. to 27.06.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 762/2016  
[Shri Nakul Shankar Mhaske Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submitted that on previous date i.e. on 17.02.2017 this Tribunal has directed the respondent no. 1 i.e. the Principal Secretary, Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development and Fisheries Department, M.S., Mumbai to take a decision according to law in the enquiry within a period of two months from the date of order and the final order thereon be filed before this Tribunal. He has submitted that in spite of specific direction of the Tribunal, the respondent no. 1 i.e. the Principal Secretary, Agriculture, Mumbai has not taken final decision in the enquiry and has not filed report till today. Therefore, learned Advocate for the applicant prayed to take necessary action against the respondent no. 1 i.e. the Principal Secretary, Agriculture, Mumbai.

//2//

3. Today, learned Presenting Officer has placed on record a copy of communication dated 13.04.2017 sent by the Naib Tahsildar, EGS, Divisional Commissioner, Nashik stating that the steno copy of the order dated 17.02.2017 had been forwarded to the Collector, Ahmednagar and the District Superintendent Agriculture Officer, Ahmednagar. He, therefore, sought time to take necessary decision in the matter.

4. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that he has also communicated the order passed by this Tribunal on 17.02.2017 to the Principal Secretary, Agriculture, Mumbai. He has submitted that he did not receive instruction/communication from the respondent no. 1 till today. He sought time to take decision in the matter.

4. On perusal of the earlier order and the submissions made by the learned Advocate for the applicant and learned Presenting Officer it is crystal clear that the respondent no. 1 had not yet taken final decision in the enquiry report in the month of September 2015. He has

//3//

not filed the report as directed by this Tribunal on 17.02.2017. The respondent no. 1 neither sent any communication nor informed this Tribunal as well as Presenting Officer regarding progress in the matter. Said behavior of the respondent no. 1 is not as expected and it amounts flouting of the orders of the Tribunal intentionally, and therefore, it will be just and proper to direct the concerned respondent no. 1 Shri Bijay Kumar, Principal Secretary, Agriculture, M.S., Mumbai to appear before this Tribunal and to show cause as to why action should not be taken against him for flouting the order of this Tribunal dated 17.02.2017, on or before 28.04.2017.

5. Hence, S.O. to 28.04.2017.

6. Steno copy allowed to the learned Presenting Officer at his request.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 381/2016  
[Smt. Nitu M. Chingalwar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.B. Ghute, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned Advocate, on instructions from the applicant, submits that the applicant is ready to work at Washim, if the respondents transfer him there.

3. Learned Presenting Officer submitted that he has personally contacted the Deputy Directed, Health Services, Mumbai and he intends to accommodate the applicant on the vacant post at Washim.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant submitted that if the respondent is ready to accommodate the applicant at Washim he does not want to proceed further with the present O.A. and therefore, he prayed to dispose of the same.

//2//

O.A. No. 381/2016

5. In view of the submissions made by the learned Advocate for the applicant and learned Presenting Officer, the present Original Application stands disposed of, as the respondent no. 1 is ready to accommodate the applicant on the vacant post at Washim. There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 432/2017  
[Shri Rajesh V. Belge Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Perused the documents. The applicant is seeking appointment on compassionate ground. It is his contention that his name is included in the waiting list prepared by the respondents in the year 2005 but the respondents are shifting their liability on each other regarding appointment of the applicant on compassionate ground. Therefore, the applicant has approached this Tribunal by filing present Original Application. On perusal of documents it reveals that the applicant's name is included in the waiting list prepared in the year 2005. But the Collector, Nanded and the Executive Engineer, Nanded are avoiding their responsibility by making correspondence inter-se and they are not taking decision in this matter. Considering the said fact, in my opinion there is no substance in the office objection that the

present O.A. appears to be not within limitation. Hence, the objection is overruled.

3. The Office is directed to scrutinize the present O.A. and registered it.

4. S.O. to 27.06.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**