

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 12/2018 WITH M.A. 162/2016 IN O.A. 152/2007  
(Vijaykumar B. Rathi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 19.01.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Tembhornikar, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.M. Bhokarikar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.2.2018 for advancing submissions.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 19-01-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 443/2014 WITH O.A. NO. 178/2015  
(Ashok L. Shelke & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

-----  
**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 19.01.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.C. Ghode, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the matters and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, Presenting Officer for respondents in both the matters.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 20.2.2018 for taking instructions from the applicants regarding further prosecution of the present matters as per order dtd. 12.12.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 19-01-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 485/2017 IN O.A. 130/2016  
(Vinayak B. Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 19.01.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.C. Ghode, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 5.2.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 19-01-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 204/2017  
(Shri Balasaheb L. Deshmukh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 19.01.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. After hearing the matter for considerable time, it is closed for orders.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 19-01-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 215/2017  
(Shri Kalim F. Tamboli V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 19.01.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri S.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3, are present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 15.02.2018 for passing dismissal order.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 19-01-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 475/2017  
(Shri Chandrakant S. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 19.01.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.P. More, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that he is going to take instructions from the respondents as to whether the respondents are taking corrective measures in the matter and therefore, he prayed short time. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 08.02.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 19-01-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 484/2017  
(Shri Baban K. Jorwar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 19.01.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondent No. 1 and Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

2. On instructions, the learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant does not want to proceed with the present Original Application in view of the decision taken by the Government on 03.10.2017. He has submitted that the reliefs claimed by the applicant have been satisfied and therefore, he prayed to dispose of the present Original Application.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the necessary orders may be passed.

//2//

O.A. NO. 484/2017

4. Since the relief claimed by the applicant has been satisfied, the applicant does not want to proceed with the present O.A. Hence, the O.A. stands disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 19-01-2018



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 506/2017  
(Shri Bhimrao U. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 19.01.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 05.02.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 19-01-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 528/2017**

**(Shri Prakash T. Vaichale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 19.01.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to produce on record the original record regarding transfer of the applicant. Time granted.

3. Pleadings are complete. The O.A. is admitted and it be kept for final hearing on 06.03.2018. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 19-01-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 600/2017  
(Smt. Lata B. Darade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 19.01.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 and 3. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 26.02.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 19-01-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 748 OF 2017**

[Shri Parasram N. Sonawane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 19.01.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 01.03.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 19.01.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 896 OF 2016**

[Shri Sheshrao T. Anchule Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 19.01.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 28.02.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 19.01.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 747 OF 2017**

[Dr. Sachin G. Makne Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 19.01.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Gajanan S. Shembole, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. On instructions, learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not want to proceed with the present Original Application and wants to withdraw the same.

3. In view of the aforesaid submissions made on behalf of the applicant, permission to withdraw the present O.A. is granted. Accordingly, the present O.A. is disposed of as withdrawn without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 19.01.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 236 OF 2017**

[Smt. Yamuna L. Bhosale & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 19.01.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 06.03.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 19.01.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 322 OF 2016**

[Smt. Swati N. Joshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 19.01.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri B.S. Kudale, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to 08.02.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 19.01.2018-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 142 OF 2017**

[Shri Bajirao V. Chaudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 19.01.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Upon hearing both the sides, it appears that the arguable case is made out. Hence, **Admit.** Learned Presenting Officer for the respondents waives notices for admission.

3. S.O. to 06.03.2018 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 19.01.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 387 OF 2016**

[Dr. Nomani M. Mufti Tahair Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 19.01.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Vinaya Muley, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer for the respondents submit that he will deposit the amount of costs of Rs. 25,000/- (Rs. Twenty five thousand only), during the course of the day, in the registry of this Tribunal.

3. In view of this position, the reply filed by learned Presenting Officer, today, on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2 be accepted and taken on record after depositing the amount of costs.

4. S.O. to 01.03.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 19.01.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 924 OF 2017**

[Shri Sudhakar R. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 19.01.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to 05.02.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 19.01.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 721 OF 2017**

[Shri Subhash K. Paralikar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 19.01.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Mrs. Suchita Amit Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 06.03.2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 19.01.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.188/2017 IN O.A.St.No.495/2017  
(Anjali Khedkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 19.01.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.B.Kakde learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. Today Shri S.B.Mene learned Advocate has filed Vakalatnama on behalf of respondent nos.3, 4 and 5. It is taken on record. He appears on behalf of respondent nos.3, 4 and 5 and states that notices are served on these respondents.

3. Learned P.O. states that service affidavit as regards respondent nos.1 and 2 is not filed on record.

4. In view of above, applicant to take steps and file service affidavit on the next date.

5. S.O. to 07-03-2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 19-01-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.St.No.968/2017 IN M.A.St.969/2017 IN O.A.St.No.970/2017  
(Kailas Jadhav & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 19.01.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avishkar Shelke learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 15-02-2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 19-01-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.278/2016  
(Mohan Devbone V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 19.01.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.M.Nagarkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for leave to amend the O.A. and add Director General of Police, Mumbai as party respondent no.5 as the applicant has preferred appeals challenging order dated 24-12-2009 and 25-11-2009. Leave as prayed for is granted. Amendment to be carried out forthwith.

3. After amendment is carried out, issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 05-03-2018.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O.to 05-03-2018.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 19-01-2018 F



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.789/2016  
(Rahul Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 19.01.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. states that the responsible officer has some personal difficulty to remain present before the Tribunal. He states that the responsible officer will remain present on the next date.

3. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 30-01-2018

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 19-01-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.484/2017 WITH M.A.No.454/2017 IN M.A.No.476/2016 IN  
O.A.St.No.2024/2016  
(Devidas Ahire V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 19.01.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri T.R.Daware learned Advocate holding for Shri P.S.Gaikwad learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Applicant has filed present M.A.No.484/2017 for condonation of delay of 88 days caused for filing M.A.No.454/2017 for restoration of M.A.No.476/2016 which was filed for condonation of 698 days' delay caused in filing the O.A. M.A.No.476/2016 for condonation of 698 days' delay caused for filing O.A. has been dismissed by this Tribunal on 06-07-2017 as the applicant has not taken steps for service of notice.

3. It is submitted on behalf of the applicant that Advocate of the applicant was absent on that day, and therefore, the said order of dismissal of

M.A.No.476/2016 came to be passed. He has submitted that the application for restoration of earlier M.A.476/16 has not been filed within stipulated time by the applicant and there is delay of 88 days caused for filing M.A. for restoration of earlier M.A.No.476/2016. Because of the said reason the applicant could be able to file M.A. in time. Therefore, he prayed to condone the delay caused in filing M.A. for restoration of earlier M.A.No.476/2016.

4. Learned P.O. submits that earlier M.A.No.476/2016 has been dismissed by this Tribunal on 06-07-2017 as the applicant has not taken efforts to take steps for service of notice on the respondents for a period of 6 months. He has submitted that the applicant was negligent throughout in conducting the proceedings, and therefore, he prayed to reject the M.A.Nos.484/2017 and 454/2017.

5. On perusal of record, it reveals that the applicant has filed O.A. challenging the order of

recovery of amount paid to him due to wrong pay fixation. But there was delay of 698 days, therefore, he filed M.A.No.476/2016 for condonation of delay caused in filing the O.A. In that matter, applicant had not taken steps to serve notice upon the respondents for 6 months. Therefore, M.A.No.476/2016 had been dismissed by this Tribunal on 06-07-2017. Being aggrieved by the said order, the applicant has filed M.A.No.454/2017 for restoration of it alongwith application bearing M.A.No.484/2017 for condonation of delay of 88 days caused in filing the M.A. for restoration.

6. I have gone through the documents placed on record by the parties. M.A.No.476/2016 for condonation of delay caused in filing the O.A. had already been dismissed by the order of this Tribunal as applicant was not diligent in conducting that proceeding. Grounds mentioned in the application are not sufficient, just and satisfactory for considering the delay.

=4=

M.A.484/17 WITH M.A.454/17 IN M.A.476/16 IN  
O.A.St.2024/16

No plausible explanation has been given by the applicant for condonation of delay caused for filing M.A. for restoration of earlier M.A. Therefore, in my opinion, there is no just ground to condone the delay. Therefore, both M.A.No.484/2017 & M.A.No.454/2017 are dismissed.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 19-01-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.419/2017 IN O.A.No.663/2016  
(Satish Biradar (misc. applicant), Sadanand Latpate (orig. applicant)  
V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

(This case is placed before Single  
Bench due to non-availability of  
Division Bench)

**DATE : 19.01.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.G.Rodge learned Advocate for  
misc. applicant (res. no.4) Shri A.S.Deshmukh  
learned Advocate for the original applicant and  
Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for  
respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the misc. applicant  
(respondent no.4) has not pressed the M.A. and  
prayed to dispose of the O.A., since the O.A. has  
been withdrawn by the applicant and it has  
already been disposed of. In view of the  
submission on behalf of the applicant,  
M.A.No.419/2017 stands disposed of as not  
pressed.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 19-01-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.663/2016  
(Satish Biradar (misc. applicant), Sadanand Latpate (orig. applicant)  
V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

(This case is placed before Single  
Bench due to non-availability of  
Division Bench)

**DATE : 19.01.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.G.Rodge learned Advocate for  
misc. applicant (res. no.4) Shri A.S.Deshmukh  
learned Advocate for the original applicant and  
Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for  
respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the original applicant  
on instructions states that the applicant does not  
wish to proceed with the O.A. and he wants to  
withdraw the O.A. unconditionally. He prayed to  
dispose of the O.A. accordingly.

3. Learned P.O. as well as the learned  
Advocate for the respondent no.4 have no  
objection for withdrawal of O.A.

4. Since the applicant does not wish to  
proceed with the O.A., the O.A. stands disposed  
of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

5. Interim relief granted earlier in the O.A.  
stands vacated.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 19-01-2018 F