

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 614/2017
(Jeevan Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri H.V.Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.P. Uttarwar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 14.11.2017 for reporting further compliance from the side of the respondents on the line of order dtd. 4.10.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD
MA 433/2017 IN CP ST. 1502/17 IN O.A. NO. 53/2017
(Dr. Govind Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri H.K. Mundhe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents in the present O.A., returnable on 9.11.2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Without filing any detailed affidavit in reply, the concerned respondents to comply with the order passed by the Tribunal in the O.A., in case they are not prevented by any provision of law and file compliance report in this Tribunal positively by the next date, failing which permission to file C.P. would be granted.

8. S.O. to 9.11.2017.

9. Steno copy & hamdast allowed to both the sides.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 708/2017
(Vishalkumar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Rahul Raghuwanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.D. Bachate, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to satisfy this Tribunal on the point of limitation. At his request, S.O. to 13.11.2017 for hearing on the point of limitation.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 226/2017
(Baliram Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri D.P. Sathe, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file reply of res. nos. 4 & 5. At her request S.O. to 15.11.2017 for filing reply of res. nos. 4 & 5.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 739/2017
(Nandkumar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.S. Karad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Upon hearing learned Advocate for the applicant, it appears that the present O.A. is in the nature of P.I.L. The learned Advocate for the applicant, therefore, seeks time to make further submissions on the issue and / or to take instructions from the applicant regarding withdrawal of the present O.A. At his request, S.O. to 14.11.2017 for taking instructions or making submissions.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 327/2017
(Dnyandeo Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Ganesh Ghongade, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.G. Chapalgaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Shri Ghongade, learned Advocate, on instructions from Shri Chapalgaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant submits that no instructions are received from the applicant, though many times communications were sent to him and, therefore, he is unable to argue the matter.

3. In view of above facts, the present O.A. is dismissed for want of prosecution from the applicant. There shall be no order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

O.A. NOS. 47, 84, 281 ALL OF 2017

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant in O.A. no. 47/2017 and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these three matters. Shri V.B. Dhage, learned Advocate for the applicants in O.A. nos. 84 & 281/2017 is absent. .

2. Shri Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant in O.A. no. 47/2017 submits that now enquiry is started by the res. no. 2 and, therefore, the applicant may be permitted to withdraw the O.A. no. 47/2017 However, directions be issued for conclusion of the D.E. within a definite period and result thereof be directed to be communicated to the applicant.

::-2-::
O.A. NOS. 47, 84, 281 ALL OF 2017

3. In fact, in view of absence of applicants & their learned Advocate in O.A. nos. 84 & 281/2017, the same deserve to be dismissed in default, however, considering the fact the applicants in the said O.As. are also required to go through the enquiry as initiated against the applicant in O.A. no. 47/2017, it would be in the interest of justice to pass a common order.

4. In the circumstances, O.A. nos. 47, 84 & 281/2017 are disposed of with a direction to res. no. 2 – The Collector-cum-Chairman, Dist. Selection Committee, Parbhani – to conclude the D.E. within a period of 4 months from the date of this order and communicate the decision thereof to each of the applicants in writing on the addresses given in the respective O.As. by R.P.A.D. The respective applicants are at liberty to move the Tribunal in case any exigency arises. There shall be no order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 339/2017
(Ravsaheb & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants, Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2, Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for respondent no. 3 and Shri S.G. Chapalgaonkar, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 4 to 38.

2. The learned P.O. submits that, she will make attempt to file reply or to make statement before this Tribunal as was said to have been made before the principal seat of this Tribunal at Mumbai in the similar matter. At her request S.O. to 12.10.2017.

3. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 383/2017
(Uttam Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 16.11.2017 for filing affidavit in reply.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 436/2017
(Shreya Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ms. Ashlesha Raut, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. submits that till this date no instructions are received from the concerned respondent regarding declaration of the result as referred in the order dtd. 11.7.2017. She seeks time to take instructions from the concerned respondents in this regard. At her request S.O. to 23.11.2017 for taking instructions from the concerned respondents.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**MA 258/2013 IN OA 124/2003 WITH O.A. 622/2003
(Rajaram Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

None appears for the applicant. Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. None appeared for the applicant on the last date. In the circumstances, S.O. to 16.11.2017 either for hearing or for passing necessary order in the present M.A.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**MA 111/2017 IN O.A. 641/2015
(Bhagatsingh Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Upon hearing both the sides, it appears that the issue as to whether the S.D.O. also should be joined as one of the delinquent along with the applicant in the D.E. cannot a subject matter of the present O.A. the learned Advocate for the applicant, however, wants time to elaborate on this issue.

3. The learned Advocate further submits that in view of the order of the Tribunal dtd. 11.7.2017 in M.A. no. 238/2017 the applicant has submitted representation to res. no. 2 – the Dist. Collector, Aurangabad - on 11.7.2017, however, it is not decided by the said authority

till this date. In the circumstances, it is hereby directed that the said representation be decided by the res. no. 2 at the earliest and in any case within a period of one month from the date of this order.

4. S.O. to 14.11.2017.

5. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**MA 214/2017 IN O.A. 150/2017
(Balasaheb Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.S. Dambe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3. None appears for respondent no. 4.

2. At the request of both the sides, S.O. to 16.11.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**MA 430/2017 IN O.A. 668/2016
(Seema Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Upon hearing both the sides, the concerned res. no. 2 is hereby directed to consider the decision of the Division Bench of Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Bench at Aurangabad dtd. 22.11.2011 passed in W.P. no. 6916/2011 and more particularly para 5 thereof. Upon considering the said decision, if the res. no. 2 comes to the conclusion that corrective steps can be taken in the matter, then it be taken and report thereof be filed before the Tribunal by the next date. In case the res. no. 2 comes to the conclusion that decision of Hon'ble High Court cannot be implemented, then a short affidavit

explaining the reasons for not following the said decision be submitted by the next date.

3. S.O. to 20.11.2017 for corrective steps or for filing short affidavit by the res. no. 2.

4. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

O.A. NOS. 178/2015 AND 443/2014

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

None appears for the applicants in both the matters. Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present in both the matters.

2. At the request of learned P.O. S.O. to 9.11.2017 for elaboration of issues involved in the matters.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 509/2013
(Mohan Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3. Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4 has filed leave note.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 17.11.1017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 743/2016
(Rakesh Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri D.K. Rajput, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Admit.

3. The O.A. is removed from the board and it be placed before the Division Bench as and when it is available.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 730/2017
(Swapnil Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Prashant Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. submits that on 1.9.2017 a proposal is sent by the res. no. 3 to res. no. 2 regarding the case of present applicant and some decision is awaited. At his request, S.O. to 16.11.2017 awaiting the decision on the proposal sent by the res. no. 3. It is made clear that, copy of the decision, if any, be filed on record by the next date.

3. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 738/2017
(Rajesh Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri (Dr.) Swapnil Tawshikar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents in the present O.A., returnable on 16.11.2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 16.11.2017.

8. Steno copy allowed for the use of both the sides.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

MA 269/2017 IN O.A. ST. 950/2017

(Dr. Prasad Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

None appears for the applicant. Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4, are present.

2. The learned Advocate for res. no. 4 submits that, in fact, earlier query was raised by the Tribunal and the learned Advocate for the Applicant was required to satisfy the same. On the last date, none appeared for the present applicant. In the circumstances, S.O. to 7.11.2017 for hearing or for passing necessary order of dismissal in default of the O.A.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**MA 396/2016 IN O.A. 691/2016
(Pravin Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

None appears for the applicant in the present M.A.. Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 2 & 3 and Shri Ganesh Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the res. no. 1 in the M.A. / applicants in O.A., are present.

2. None appeared for the applicant in the M.A. on last 2 dates. The learned Advocate for the applicant in M.A. was required to make submissions on the stand of the respondents that, no meritorious candidate was found and, therefore, merit list was not prepared.

3. In view of absence of applicant and his learned Advocate the M.A. no. 396/2016 stands dismissed in default without any order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. 691/2016
(Dipak & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Ganesh Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Shelke, learned Advocate for applicants and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to throw light on the issue involved in the matter. At his request S.O. to 9.11.2017 for making submissions.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**MA 64/2016 WITH MA 426/2017 IN O.A. ST. 1991/2015
(Appa Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri D.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for applicants and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Upon hearing, the learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file copy of final order, if any, in W.P. no. 10106/2012. At his request S.O. to 17.11.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NOS. 347/2015 AND O.A. NO. 802/2015
(Dipak & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri G.R. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Shelke, learned Advocate for applicants in both the matters and S/hri S.K. Shirse & V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officers for the respondents in respective matters.

2. The learned P.Os. submit that the present matters are not similar to the cases, which are referred in the order dtd. 23.2.2017 and, therefore, there is no question of taking any decision by the respondents in the present matters. In the circumstances, S.O. to 14.11.2017 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. 670, 671, 672, 675, 679, 680, 681, 682, 659, 695, 674, 683 &
700 ALL OF 2017**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard S/shri A.R. Tapse, R.D. Khadap holding for S.S. Thombre & M.L. Muthal, learned Advocates for the applicants in respective matters and S/hri M.S. Mahajan, I.S. Thorat, D.R. Patil, S.K. Shirse, Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Chief Presenting Officer & Presenting Officers for the respective respondents in respective matters.

2. Both the sides submit that the authorities, if any, would be circulated between the learned C.P.O. and the learned Advocate for the applicants. In the circumstances at the request of both the sides S.O. to 7.11.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.841/2016
(Shri Sambhaji Waghmare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23-11-2017.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 11-10-2017 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.421/2017
(Dr. Shamrao Sawant V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 23-11-2017.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 11-10-2017 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.422/2017
(Dr. Sheshrao Narwade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 23-11-2017.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 11-10-2017 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.423/2017
(Dr. Pandurang Pawde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned
Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse
learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on
behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 23-11-2017.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 11-10-2017 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.473/2017
(Dr. Manoj Ghadsing V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned
Advocate for the applicant and
Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting
Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on
behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 23-11-2017.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 11-10-2017 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.775/2016
(Shri Dnyanoba Jagtap & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for
the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat learned
Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O.,
S.O. to 23-11-2017.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 11-10-2017 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.943/2016
(Shri Uttam Bahirwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.S.Dambe learned Advocate holding for Shri G.N.Kulkarni learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23-11-2017.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 11-10-2017 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.536/2017
(Shri Harichandra Sapkal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.N.Lute learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Applicant seeks leave to amend the prayer clause/s as the applicant does not wish to proceed with the prayer clause "D" and wants to delete the same. Leave as prayed for is granted. Amendment be carried out forthwith deleting prayer clause "D".

3. After amendment as above, issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 21-11-2017.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O.to 21-11-2017.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 11-10-2017 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.715/2017
(Dr. Arun Ingle V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant has been appointed as Live Stock Development Officer w.e.f. 01-12-2007 and he was posted at Veterinary Hospital, Khondamali, Tq. and Dist. Nandurbar. Thereafter, he has been transferred at Borala, Tq. & Dist. Nandurbar and since then he is serving there. It is submitted on behalf of the applicant that by G.R. dated 06-08-2002, the Government has resolved to give choice posting to the Government Officers of Group "A" and Group "B", if they work in the tribal area for 2 years. He has submitted that on the basis of said G.R. the applicant has filed application dated 11-04-2017 and requested the respondents to transfer him

on the vacant post of Live Stock Development Officer in Veterinary Hospital, Kholapur, Tq. Bhatukli, Dist. Amravati mentioning his family problems but the request of the applicant has not been considered and he has been transferred to Veterinary Hospital, Savda, Dist. Jalgaon. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that thereafter again the applicant made representation dated 14-06-2017 and requested the respondents to modify the transfer order ad to post him at Tivsa, Tq. Tivsa, Dist. Amravati. He has submitted that representation of the applicant has not been yet decided and the applicant has not been relieved till today. He has further submitted that transfer of the applicant is against the Government Resolution dated 06-08-2017, and therefore, he prayed to stay the execution of the impugned order.

3. Learned CPO has submitted that the applicant has been transferred in general transfer vide impugned order dated 31-05-2017. He has submitted that thereafter the respondent

no.3 has relieved all the officers who were under transfer by issuing order dated 27-09-2017. He has submitted that since the applicant has been relieved, no question of granting stay to the impugned order arises, and accordingly, he prayed not to grant interim relief in favour of the applicant. He has also submitted that respondents will file detailed reply in a short time.

4. On perusal of the record, it reveals that the applicant has served in tribal area for about 10 years continuously. He has requested to give him posting in Amravati District due to his family problems but his request is not considered. Applicant has submitted that his transfer is against the provisions of G.R. dated 06-08-2002. Prima facie, there is substance in the contentions of the applicant. Therefore, it is just and proper to direct the respondents to maintain status quo as on today, if the applicant is not relieved from his present post. Respondents are directed to maintain status quo so far as the present posting

of the applicant, in case, he is not relieved, till next date.

5. In the meanwhile issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 21-11-2017.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be

obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. S.O.to 21-11-2017.

11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 11-10-2017 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.434/2017 IN O.A.St.No.1543/2017
(Shri Mohammad Abdul Hai & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.B.Choudhary learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The applicants had filed O.A.No.745/2013 and it was decided alongwith O.A.No.147/2014 by this Tribunal on 21-09-2016 with direction to the respondent no.1 to decide the proposal sent by Superintending Engineer within stipulated time. In that O.A., permission was granted to the applicants to sue jointly. In view of the said directions respondents decided proposal sent by the Superintending Engineer on 23-03-2017 and the applicants are challenging the said order.

3. Since the proposal regarding deemed date of promotion of the applicants has been decided

by the State by common order, it is just and proper to allow the applicants to sue jointly. Accordingly permission to sue jointly is granted. M.A. is disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs.

4. O.A. be registered and numbered after removal of office objections, if any.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 11-10-2017 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

O.A.St.No.1543/2017

(Shri Mohammad Abdul Hai & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.B.Choudhary learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 24-11-2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O.to 24-11-2017.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 11-10-2017 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.427/2017 IN O.A.St.No.1525/2017
(Rama Bhutkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Vivek Pingle learned Advocate holding for Shri A.D.Gadekar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 24-11-2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O.to 24-11-2017.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.435/2017 IN O.A.St.No.1550/2017
(Rama Bhutkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Vivek Pingle learned Advocate holding for Shri A.D.Gadekar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 24-11-2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O.to 24-11-2017.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.544/2016

(Dr. Seema Kulkarni & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.545/2016

(Dr. Satish Runwal & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.817/2016

(Dr. Sanjay Joshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.820/2016

(Shri Surendra Todewale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.821/2016

(Smt. Basanti Mundhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

AND

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.822/2016

(Dr. Subhash Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicants in all the O.As., S/Shri I.S.Thorat, N.U.Yadav, V.R.Bhumkar, S.K.Shirse and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officers and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents in respective O.As., and Shri S.R.Dheple learned Advocate for respondent nos.4 and 5 in O.A.No.820/16.

Shri A.D.Aghav learned Advocate for respondent nos.4 and 5 in O.A.Nos.817/16, 821/16 and 822/16, Shri Vivek Bhavthankar learned Advocate for respondent no.5 in O.A.No.545/16 and Shri K.U.More learned Advocate for respondent nos.5 and 6 in O.A.No.544/16 are **absent**.

=2=

O.A.No.544/16 & Ors.

2. At the request of learned Advocate Shri S.R.Dheple for Zilla Parishad, Aurangabad (respondent nos.4 and 5), last chance is granted to submit compliance report as per order dated 07-09-2017.

3. S.O. to 30-10-2017.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 11-10-2017 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.155/2016
(Dr. Jaisinh Veer V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents. Shri S.N.Rodge learned Advocate for respondent nos.4 and 5 is **absent**.

2. Last chance is granted to the Zilla Parishad to submit compliance report as per order dated 07-09-2017.

3. S.O. to 30-10-2017.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 11-10-2017 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.16/2017
(Shri Shaikh Mukhtyar Shaikh Noor Ali V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.G.Magre learned Advocate holding for Shri M.D.Godhamgaonkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 13-11-2017.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 11-10-2017 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.138/2017
(Shri Babu Phule V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.G.Magre learned Advocate holding for Shri M.D.Godhamgaonkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondent nos.1 and 3. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 13-11-2017.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 11-10-2017 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.144/2017
(Shri Sharad Pathak V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.B.Mene learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. states that he will file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents during the course of the day.

3. S.O. to 09-11-2017.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 11-10-2017 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.342/2017
(Shri Jitendra Kundile V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Sachin G. Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Today, learned P.O. files certain documents on record. Those are taken on record. He undertakes to serve copies of the documents on the other side.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time to produce copies of minutes of the meeting of the Civil Services Board No.2 which recommended transfer of the applicant. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 09-11-2017.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 11-10-2017 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A.No.539/2017 WITH CAVEAT NO.27/2017 & 39/2017
(Dr. Sarita Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.540/2017
(Dr. Sakahari Sonawane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**O.A.No.541/2017 WITH Caveat No.29/2017
(Dr. Manik Sangle V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**O.A.No.542/17 WITH Caveat No.26/17, 28/17 & 43/17
(Dr. Sunil Bhamre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**O.A.NO.559/2017 WITH Caveat No.38/2017
(Dr. Rajkumar Jarhad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**O.A.No.564/2017 WITH Caveat No.48/2017
(Dr. Yashwant Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**O.A.No.571/2017 WITH Caveat No.49/2017
(Dr. Shrinivas Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicants in O.A.No.539/17, 540/17, 541/17, 542/17 and 559/17, Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant in O.A.No.564/17 and Shri Shamsundar B. Patil learned Advocate for the applicant in O.A.No.571/17. Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents in all the O.As. Shri N.P.Dube learned Advocate for respondent no.4 in O.A.No.539/17. Shri Shamsundar B. Patil learned Advocate for respondent no.4 in O.A.No.542/17 and Shri Mandar Dabholkar learned Advocate for the respondent no.5 in O.A.No.571/17.

=2=

O.A.No.539/2017 & Ors.

2. Learned CPO seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 08-11-2017 for filing reply and for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 11-10-2017 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 214 OF 2017
(Shri Chetan V. Kangarkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri M.D. Gite, learned Advocate holding for Shri N.P. Bangar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that fresh notices be issued to the respondents. Hence, issue fresh notices to the respondents, returnable on 24th November, 2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the

O.A. NO. 214 OF 2017

questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 24th November, 2017.

8. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 356 OF 2017
(Dr. Shrikrishna R. Wani V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ms. Ashwini P. Sahastrabudhe, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer prays for time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 24th November, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 419 OF 2017
(Shri Bhaskar V. Waghmare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent No. 1 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 to 5.

2. Learned Advocate has filed separate rejoinder affidavit on behalf of respondent No. 1 and respondent Nos. 2 to 5 and they are taken on record and copies thereof have been served on the other side.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 3rd November, 2017. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 508 OF 2017
(Syed Azam Syed Lal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. A.N. Ansari, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer prays for time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 22nd November, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 528 OF 2017
(Shri Prakash T. Vaichal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ms. Ashwini P. Sahastrabudhe, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer prays for time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 16th November, 2017. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 584 OF 2017
(Mohammad Abdul Sami V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate
for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned
Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer prays for time
for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 17th November, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 594 OF 2017
(Smt. Ranjana Rajendra Pagare & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.B. Temak, learned Advocate for the applicants and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Respondent No. 2 has already appeared in this case and has filed affidavit in reply. Hence, notice to respondent No. 2 dispensed with.

3. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 20th November, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 612 OF 2017
(Smt. Nirmala Popat Walke & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.B. Temak, learned Advocate
for the applicants and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned
Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the
parties, S.O. to 20th November, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 647 OF 2017
(Dr. Sonali B Sayamber V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the respondent Nos. 2 & 4 have not filed their specific affidavit in reply stating under which provisions they have issued orders relieving the applicant from the present post though this Tribunal has directed them to explain the said facts by the order dated 11.09.2017.

3. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has submitted that respondent Nos. 2 & 4 have filed their affidavit in reply stating that they have relieved the applicant as there were several complaints against the applicant about his behavior and working. He has submitted that respondent No. 4, Dr. G.M. Gaikwad, Civil Surgeon, Civil Hospital, Aurangabad, is present today.

4. I have heard Dr. G.M. Gaikwad, Civil Surgeon, Civil Hospital, Aurangabad. He has

submitted that he has instructed respondent No. 5, the Medical Superintendent, Sub-District Hospital, Vaijapur, Dist. Aurangabad, to relieve the applicant immediately, on the basis of instructions and order received from respondent No. 2, the Director of Health Services, Mumbai, on telephone. He has submitted that he requires sometime to state the provisions under which the said orders have been issued and, therefore, he sought 2 days' time to file affidavit in that regard.

5. Respondent No. 2, Dr. Satish Pawar has filed his affidavit, but he has not mentioned the provisions under which he informed the respondent No. 4 on telephone to relieve the applicant immediately. Not only this, but no written order has been issued by respondent No. 2 to respondent No. 4 till today and this fact has been admitted by Dr. G.M. Gaikwad, Civil Surgeon, Civil Hospital, Aurangabad, today. Respondent No. 2 has intentionally avoided to explain the provisions under which he directed the respondent No. 4 to relieve the applicant and to join the office of Director of Health Services, Mumbai.

6. In the circumstances, it is just to direct the respondent No. 2 Dr. Satish Pawar, Director of Health Services, Mumbai, to explain the procedure adopted by him while relieving the applicant from the present post and the

provisions under which he issued the said telephonic direction to the respondent Nos. 4 & 5, by appearing before this Tribunal.

7. Short time is granted to the respondent No. 4 to explain the provisions under which he issued the orders.

8. S.O. to 1st November, 2017.

9. Learned Chief Presenting Officer to act upon the Steno Copy.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 322 OF 2017
(Shri Rajesh P. Deshmukh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer prays for time for filing additional affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 9th November, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 328/2017 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1054/2017
(Mohammad Abdul Sami V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant does not want to press the prayer clause 'B' in the Original Application and he wants to delete the same by way of amendment. Therefore, he sought leave of this Tribunal to amend the O.A.

3. Leave to amend the O.A. is granted. The applicant shall amend the O.A. forthwith.

4. Learned Advocate or the applicant has submitted that he does not want to proceed with the present M.A. since he has deleted the prayer clause 'B' in the O.A.

5. In view of the submission made by the learned Advocate for the applicant, the present M.A. stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 338/2017 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1036/2017
(Shri Anil G. Tornekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant does not want to press the prayer clauses 'B' & 'C' in the Original Application and he wants to delete the same by way of amendment. Therefore, he sought leave of this Tribunal to amend the O.A.

3. Leave to amend the O.A. is granted. The applicant shall amend the O.A. forthwith.

4. Learned Advocate or the applicant has submitted that he does not want to proceed with the present M.A. since he has deleted the prayer clauses 'B' & 'C' in the O.A.

5. In view of the submission made by the learned Advocate for the applicant, the present M.A. stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 339/2017 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1055/2017
(Shri Sunil M. Bandawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant does not want to press the prayer clause 'B' in the Original Application and he wants to delete the same by way of amendment. Therefore, he sought leave of this Tribunal to amend the O.A.

3. Leave to amend the O.A. is granted. The applicant shall amend the O.A. forthwith.

4. Learned Advocate or the applicant has submitted that he does not want to proceed with the present M.A. since he has deleted the prayer clause 'B' in the O.A.

5. In view of the submission made by the learned Advocate for the applicant, the present M.A. stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 340/2017 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1210/2017
(Haseeb Ur Rehman Khan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant does not want to press the prayer clauses 'B' & 'C' in the Original Application and he wants to delete the same by way of amendment. Therefore, he sought leave of this Tribunal to amend the O.A.

3. Leave to amend the O.A. is granted. The applicant shall amend the O.A. forthwith.

4. Learned Advocate or the applicant has submitted that he does not want to proceed with the present M.A. since he has deleted the prayer clauses 'B' & 'C' in the O.A.

5. In view of the submission made by the learned Advocate for the applicant, the present M.A. stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO. 1054 OF 2017
(Mohammad Abdul Sami V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Since the applicant has deleted the prayer clause 'B' in the present Original Application in view of order passed by this Tribunal today itself in M.A. No. 328/2017, issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 24th November, 2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the

O.A. ST.NO. 1054 OF 2017

questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 24th November, 2017.

8. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO. 1036 OF 2017
(Shri Anil G. Tornekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Since the applicant has deleted the prayer clauses 'B' & 'C' in the present Original Application in view of order passed by this Tribunal today itself in M.A. No. 338/2017, issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 24th November, 2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the

O.A. ST.NO. 1036 OF 2017

questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 24th November, 2017.

8. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO. 1055 OF 2017
(Shri Sunil M. Bandawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Since the applicant has deleted the prayer clause 'B' in the present Original Application in view of order passed by this Tribunal today itself in M.A. No. 339/2017, issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 24th November, 2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the

O.A. ST.NO. 1055 OF 2017

questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 24th November, 2017.

8. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO. 1210 OF 2017
(Haseeb Ur. Rehman Khan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Since the applicant has deleted the prayer clauses 'B' & 'C' in the present Original Application in view of order passed by this Tribunal today itself in M.A. No. 340/2017, issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 24th November, 2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the

O.A. ST.NO. 1210 OF 2017

questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 24th November, 2017.

8. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2017-HDD