

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P.No.03/2017 in O.A.No.830/2015
(Dr. Balaji Manoorkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

(This case is placed before Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 01.02.2018

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate holding for Shri K.G.Salunke learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 02-02-2018 on the ground that the Writ Petition to be filed by the State and it may be filed today itself.

CHAIRMAN

YUK ORAL ORDER 01-02-2018 AHJ F

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD
C.P.NO.15/2017 IN O.A.NO.554/2013
(Dr. Ashok Biradar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

(This case is placed before Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 01.02.2018

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.
2. Learned P.O. is called to make a statement as to what legal impediment exists in conducting applicant's medical examinations forthwith and holding the meeting of the Board tomorrow.
3. Learned P.O. seeks time to take instructions from the respondents. Time is granted till tomorrow.
4. S.O. to 02-02-2018.

CHAIRMAN

YUK ORAL ORDER 01-02-2018 AHJ F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P. NO.18/2017 IN O.A.NO.801/2017
(Smt. Yasmin Hashmi w/o.Vasim Hashmi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

(This case is placed before Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 01.02.2018

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri V.G.Pingle learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.
2. Learned P.O. states that entire dues shall be paid to the applicant in full within 7 days from today.
3. For reporting compliance, S.O. to 12-02-2018.
4. Steno copy is granted to the learned P.O.

CHAIRMAN

YUK ORAL ORDER 01-02-2018 AHJ F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.429/2017
(Krushna Laxman Basar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

(This case is placed before Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 01.02.2018

ORAL ORDER :

1. Shri F.R.Tandale learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20-02-2018.

CHAIRMAN

YUK ORAL ORDER 01-02-2018 AHJ F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 39/2018
(Ravi S. Wankhade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 01.02.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Sandesh R. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant. Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, is present.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that so far as reserve category employees are concerned, the G.R. dtd. 24.8.1976 (Annex. A.5 page 28) provides that such an employee would not lose the seniority, if he passes the required examination within 4 attempts, as against this, the Maharashtra Revenue Qualifying Examination for promotion to the post of Awal Karkun from the cadre of Clerk Typist Rules, 1999 provides that if any employee does not pass the necessary examination within 3 attempts, he would lose his seniority.

3. It appears that, though above referred G.R. at para 2 indicates that the amendment to the relevant rules are required, we do not have any amendment to the above rule, and in fact the Rules are framed in the year 1999 i.e. after the

promulgation of the G.R. The said G.R. therefore would not be of any help to the case of the applicant.

4. The next contention of the learned Advocate for the applicant is that there is discrimination so far as the present region is concerned and Nagpur region is concerned. He submits that from pages 47 onwards a list is produced which according to him is a seniority list from Nagpur region (in fact those papers does not show the same) and the employee at sr. no. 58 Smt. Ujjwala Gajbhiye (Suradkar) has passed the departmental examination within 4 attempts, but did not lose her seniority. The documents from pages 47 onwards does not show that as to what this list is and as to whether the employee at sr. no. 58 viz. Smt. Ujjwala Gajbhiye (Suradkar) has lost her seniority or maintained her seniority.

5. In the circumstances, to find out as to whether the case of discrimination is made out or not, it is necessary that relevant seniority list showing the fact of maintenance of seniority of Smt. Ujjwala Gajbhiye (Surudkar) would be required. The learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to make compliance in this regard. At his request, S.O. to 27.2.2018 for compliance by the applicant.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 636/2015
(Dr. Kukarram Ahmed Khan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 01.02.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ms. Bhavna Panpatil, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Arguable case is made out. Hence Admit.

3. The present matter is removed from the board for time being and it be placed before the Division Bench for final hearing as and when it is available.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 01-02-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 259/2016
(Ravikiram M. Shete V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 01.02.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.T. Veer, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned C.P.O. files on record additional affidavit in reply of res. nos. 1 to 3 in support of application filed by the applicant on 29.9.2017. It is accepted and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. Arguable case is made out. Hence Admit.

4. The present matter is removed from the board for time being and it be placed before the Division Bench for final hearing as and when it is available.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 12/2017
(Bapu G. Kapale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 01.02.2018

ORAL ORDER :

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondent no. 1 and Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 2 & 3 are present.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 7.3.2018 for hearing on admission.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 01-02-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 227/2017
(Ajay B. Pawar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 01.02.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri M.G. Deokate, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22.2.2018 for hearing on admission.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 01-02-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 393/2017
(Taslim Khan Chand Khan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 01.02.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Shri (Dr.) Swapnil Tawshikar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 to 4 and Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for respondent no. 5.

2. Heard all the sides on the point of continuation of interim relief granted by the Tribunal vide order dtd. 23.6.2017.

3. Upon hearing all the sides it is crystal clear that while the res. no. 5 – Jetlal Manohar Rathod – had the requisite qualification on the last date of filing of the application for the post as required by the advertisement i.e. 17.3.2017, the present applicant – Taslim Khan Chand Khan – became qualified later on due to the G.R. issued by the State on 17.3.2017 itself.

4. In that view of the matter, the earlier ad interim relief directing that ‘until further order the res. no. 5 shall not be appointed by the respondents’ would cause unnecessary vacancy

awaiting the final decision in the present matter, which shall have to be decided by the Division Bench.

5. In the circumstances, instead of revocation of entire ad interim relief granted earlier, the same is hereby modified and it is directed that 'appointment of res. no. 5, if any, shall be subject to decision in the present O.A. by the Division Bench'.

6. Arguable case made out. Hence Admit.

7. The present matter is removed from the board for time being and it be placed for hearing before the Division Bench as and when it is available.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 420/2015 IN O.A. NO. 420/2014
(Manik B. Badve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 01.02.2018

ORAL ORDER :

None appears for the applicant. Shri V.R.
Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for
respondents, is present.

2. At the request of learned P.O. S.O. to
5.3.2018 for compliance on the line of order dtd.
21.12.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 01-02-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 716/2017
(Dr. Mohd. Sajid Irfan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 01.02.2018

ORAL ORDER :

None appears for the applicant. Smt.
Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer
for respondents, is present.

2. The learned P.O. on the line of the order
dtd. 11.1.2018 submits that the concerned
respondent is calling the present applicant for
counseling on 6.2.2018. In the circumstances,
S.O. to 22.2.2018 awaiting result of the
counseling.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 01-02-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 856/2017
(Shankar L. Zanke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 01.02.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for
the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned
Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. S.O. to
5.3.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of the
respondents.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 01-02-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 936/2017
(Syed Muzafarrudin Khan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 01.02.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned
Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse,
learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to
12.3.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of the
respondents.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 01-02-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 28/18 IN O.A.NO. 519/17 WITH M.A. 29/18 IN O.A. 520/17
WITH M.A. 30/18 IN O.A. 949/17 WITH M.A. 27/18 IN O.A. 553/17
WITH O.A.NOS. 521, 523, 524, 545, 546, 547, 548, 549, 550, 551,
& 552 ALL OF 2017**

[Shri Jitsingh L. Pardeshi & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 01.02.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.B. Sontakke, learned Advocate holding for Shri Abhishek C. Deshpande & Shri Arvind G. Ambetkar, learned Advocate for himself and holding for Shri Sadanand S. Deve, learned Advocates for the respective applicants in respective cases, S/Shri M.S. Mahajan, S.K. Shirse, N.U. Yadav, D.R. Patil, I.S. Thorat, V.R. Bhumkar and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer and learned Presenting Officers for the respective respondents in respective cases. Shri P.V. Tapse, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5 in O.A. No. 545/2017 **(absent)**. Shri S.B. Munde, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5 in O.A. No. 546/2017 **(absent)**. Shri A.D. Aghav, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 5 & 6 in O.A. No. 548/2017 **(absent)**.

2. Original Application Nos. 521, 523, 524, 545, 546, 547, 548, 549, 550, 551, & 552 all of 2017 are not on today's board. Production allowed.

:: - 2 - ::
M.A. 28/2018 IN
O.A.NO. 519/2017 & Group

3. Upon hearing both the sides, it appears that though the Division Bench vide interim relief has directed that the present applicants shall also participate in the limited departmental examination-2017, now vide the impugned declaration Exhibit 'A-1' annexed to the present M.A., it appears that the State of Maharashtra is restricting further steps of calling further information etc. from the candidates to the exclusion of the applicants and similarly situated persons.

4. In the circumstances, the learned Chief Presenting Officer & Presenting Officers seek time to take instructions as to whether any corrective steps of revoking the said restriction can be taken.

5. Learned Advocates for the applicants submit that today is the last date for submission of the information as per the above referred Exhibit 'A-1'. In the circumstances, with an observation that even the said date can be extended, S.O. to 22nd February, 2018 for seeking instructions, by the learned Chief Presenting Officer.

7. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 01.02.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 949 OF 2017

[Shri Mukund R. Ghodake & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 01.02.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.B. Sontakke, learned Advocate holding for Shri Abhishek C. Deshpande & Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he could not collect the notices and seeks leave to collect the same and serve on the respondents.

3. The applicant shall collect the notices and serve the same on the respondents on or before the next date.

4. S.O. to 22nd February, 2018 for service of notice on the respondents.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 01.02.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**T.A. 02/16 (W.P.12209/15) WITH O.A. 232/16 WITH M.A. 127/16
IN T.A. 03/16 (W.P. 12032/15)**

[Smt. Rucha S. Tandale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 01.02.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1, Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for respondent No. 2 & Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 5 & 6. None appears for respondent Nos. 3 & 4.

2. Learned Advocate Shri V.B. Wagh submits that **VAKALATNAMA** on behalf of the respondent Nos. 5 & 6 has already been filed. Therefore, there is no need to await service of notice on respondent Nos. 5 & 6.

3. Upon hearing both the sides, it appears that the decision at the hands of the Division Bench is required. Hence, remove the present case from the board and it be placed before the Division Bench, as and when it is available.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 5 OF 2018

[Shri Ashok D. Mali Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 01.02.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, with consent.

2. Upon hearing both the sides, the present Original Application is disposed of without any order as to costs with a direction to the respondent No. 1 to take a decision about revocation of the suspension within a period of four weeks' from the date of this order, according to the rules, Government Resolution/s and/or relevant departmental manual.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 01.02.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

M.A.NO. 459/2017 IN O.A.NO. 340/2014

[Shri Gajanan R. Jawale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 01.02.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Ganesh Gadhe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer for the respondents prays for time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 6th March, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply, as well as, for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 01.02.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

M.A.NO. 460/2017 IN O.A.NO. 48/2013

[Shri Saheb R. Vede Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 01.02.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Ganesh Gadhe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer for the respondents prays for time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 6th March, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply, as well as, for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 01.02.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 593 OF 2017

[Shri Giridhar P. Thakur Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 01.02.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer seeks accommodation only for a day. At his request, S.O. to 02.02.2018 for hearing before this Tribunal.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 01.02.2018-HDD