

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 239/2017 IN O.A. 906/2016  
(Dr. Atul R. Ladwanjari & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 26.03.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicants. Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, is present.

2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply of the respondents in the present M.A. At his request S.O. to 19.4.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of respondents in the M.A.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 26-03-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NOS. 659, 695, 674, 683, 700, 670, 671, 672, 675, 679, 680,  
681 & 682 ALL OF 2017**

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 26.3.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard S/shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate holding for S.S. Thombre, M.L. Muthal, learned Advocate for himself and holding for Shri A.R. Tapse, learned Advocate for the applicants in respective matters and S/shri M.S. Mahajan, S.K. Shirse, N.U. Yadav, V.R. Bhumkar, M.P. Gude, D.R. Patil, I.S. Thorat, S.K. Shirse, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Chief Presenting Officer and learned Presenting Officers for the respondents in respective matters.

2. The learned Advocates for the applicants submit that the applicants are not ready to give their willingness for hearing of the present O.As. by the Single Judge. In the circumstances, S.O. to 3.4.2018 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 26-03-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 358/2014 IN O.A. ST. NO. 1184/2014  
(Dr. Gangadhar D. Chate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 26.03.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Arun Rakh, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.V. Munde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 to 3. None appears for respondent no. 4.

2. The learned C.P.O. submits that time may be granted to take instructions from the res. no. 2 regarding the decision, if any, taken by the respondents on the line of order dated 7.2.2018 and file affidavit in this regard. At his request, S.O. to 5.6.2018 for filing affidavit of the respondents on the line of order dtd. 7.2.2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 26-03-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 325/2017 IN O.A. ST. NO. 941/2017  
(Baika K. Chudhari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 26.03.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicant. Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, is present.

2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Considering the fact that already many changes are granted to the respondents to file affidavit in reply, as a last chance S.O. to 24.4.2018 for filing affidavit in reply as well as for hearing of M.A.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 26-03-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 351/2017 IN O.A. 816/2016  
(Dr. Deshmukh Md. Shahir Wahed & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 26.03.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Arun Rakh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The present M.A. has been filed by the applicants for permission to amend the O.A. as per draft amendment as contained in para 4 of M.A.

3. Perused the application. Considered the contentions.

4. For the reasons stated in the M.A., it is allowed and disposed of without any order as to costs and the applicants are permitted to amend the O.A. as per the draft amendment as contained in para 4 of M.A. The said amendment be carried out in the O.A. within a period of one week from today.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 816/2016**

**(Dr. Deshmukh Md. Shahir Wahed & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 26.03.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Arun Rakh, learned Advocate  
for the applicants and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh,  
learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to  
12.4.2018 for hearing on admission.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 26-03-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 23/2018 WITH M.A. ST. 99/2018 IN O.A. 192/2016  
(Maroti S. Koli V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 26.03.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Zahed Ali, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Golegaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of Shri Zahed Ali, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Golegaonkar, learned Advocate applicant S.O. to 24.4.2018 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 26-03-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 48/2016  
(Digambar B. Deshmukh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 26.03.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicant. Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, is present.

2. The applicant is absent, though he was served with a notice dtd. 14.12.2017 and thereafter in pursuance of the said notice appeared in person on 15.1.2018. In the circumstances, S.O. to 18.4.2018 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 26-03-2018



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P. ST. 1961/2017 IN O.A. 57/2016  
(Ravindia D. Thakur V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 26.03.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicant. Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, is present.

2. The record would show that since the filing of the present Contempt Petition none is appearing for the applicant. In the circumstances, the present C.P. is dismissed in default without any order as to costs.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 26-03-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 489 & 492 BOTH OF 2017  
(Madhu R. Munmane & one another V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 26.03.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicants in both the matters. Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents in both the matters, is present.

2. The learned P.O. submits that today itself he will take instructions from the concerned respondents regarding declaration of result, if any, by the respondents and if the result is declared, he will also file copy thereof on record in view of order dtd. 20.12.2017. In the circumstances, at his request, S.O. to 28.3.2018 for above compliance by the learned P.O.

3. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O. for the respondents.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 26-03-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 255/2015  
(Rajaram A. Sande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 26.03.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.P. Telgote, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.R. Devkate, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. submits that payment of interest on delayed payment of pensionary benefits is calculated as per the G.Rs., Circulars and directions issued by the Government in this regard from time to time and he has supplied copies thereof to the learned Advocate for the applicant. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 27.4.2018 for making submissions on the above facts.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 26-03-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 709, 710, 711/2015, 364, 768, 895,  
904, 908/2016, 155/2017**

**(Bhagwantraya C. Hangargekar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 26.03.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these matters and S/shri M.S. Mahajan, I.S. Thorat, N.U. Yadav, M.P. Gude, S.K. Shirse, V.R. Bhumkar and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Chief Presenting Officer & Presenting Officers for respondent authorities in respective matters, Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4 in O.A. no. 709/2015 & O.A. no. 904/2016 and for respondent nos. 2 & 5 in O.A. no. 768/2016, Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 2 to 6 in O.A. no. 364/2016, Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 2 & 3 in O.A. no. 908/2016 and Shri S.B. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent no. 3 in O.A. no. 155/2017.

2. The learned C.P.O. submits that no further affidavit in replies in all these O.As. are required and the replies of some of respondents already filed on record in some of the matters be considered in all these matters. The said submission is accepted. In that view of the matter, S.O. to 23.4.2018 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. NO. 27/2016 IN O.A. 575/2017  
(Mahadu S. Bangale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 26.03.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicant. Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. In view of absence of applicant and his learned Advocate, S.O. to 6.6.2018 for hearing of M.A.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 26-03-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 88/2018 in O.A. St. No. 150/2018  
(Shri Shaikh Mustafa Meersaheb V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 24.04.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 26-03-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 89/2018 in O.A. St. No. 154/2018  
(Shri Abdul Saleem Razak V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 24.04.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 26-03-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 96/2018 in O.A. St. No. 152/2018  
(Shri Shaikh Md. Hussain V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 24.04.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 26-03-2018



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 102/2018 in O.A. St. No. 228/2018  
(Shri Shivdas V. Bembde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 24.04.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 26-03-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 435/2017**

**(Smt. Anita A. Ingale @ A.K. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed rejoinder affidavit. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Presenting Officer.

3. S.O. to 10.04.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 26-03-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 696/2017  
(Shri Anand F. Kasbekar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri C.R. Thorat, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 04.04.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 26-03-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 707/2017  
(Shri Yusuf U. Sukede V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 04.04.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 26-03-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 91/2018  
(Shri Bhaskar J. Chauke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Dr. Swapnil Tawshikar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant on instruction states that the applicant does not want to proceed with the present O.A. and prayed to grant leave of this Tribunal to withdraw the same.

3. Leave as prayed for by the applicant is granted. Accordingly, the O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 26-03-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 88/2018  
(Shri Amit A. Chaudhari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.A. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant on instruction states that the applicant does not want to proceed with the present O.A. and wants to withdraw the same with liberty to approach this Tribunal as and when cause of action arises. He has prayed to grant leave of this Tribunal to withdraw the O.A.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has prayed to pass necessary order.

4. Leave as prayed for by the applicant is granted. The O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to approach this Tribunal as and when cause of action arose. There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 26-03-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 771/2016  
(Smt. Usha R. Bahirat V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard A.G. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri S.T. Veer, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant on instruction of the applicant, who is present before this Tribunal states that the applicant does not want to proceed with the present O.A. and she wants to file application before the S.D.O. challenging the appointment of respondent No. 4. He has prayed to grant leave of this Tribunal to withdraw the O.A.

3. Learned P.O. has prayed to pass necessary orders.

4. Since the applicant does not want to proceed with the O.A. and wants to withdraw the same, leave is granted. Accordingly, the O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 745/2017  
(Dr. Anil M. Populwar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 4, Shri S.P. Dhoble, learned Advocate holding for Shri Santosh Phulkuntwar, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5 and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for respondent No. 6.

2. Learned Advocate for respondent No. 6 has filed affidavit in reply. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

4. On perusal of the record, it reveals that the ample opportunities were given to the respondent



Nos. 1 to 3 for filing their affidavit in reply, but they failed to file affidavit in reply in time. A most last chance was granted to the respondents on 05.03.2018, but they failed to file affidavit in reply on that day. Again time was granted to the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 on 19.03.2018 for filing affidavit in reply and today the matter is fixed for filing affidavit in reply of the respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

5. On perusal of the documents, it reveals that in spite of the ample opportunities given to the respondent Nos. 1 to 3, they failed to file affidavit in reply. There is no just ground to grant further time for filing affidavit in reply to the respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Hence, request to grant time to file affidavit in reply of the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 is rejected.

6. The matter be proceeded without affidavit in reply of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and it be kept for final hearing on 09.04.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 746/2017  
(Dr. Suresh R. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 4, Shri S.P. Dhoble, learned Advocate holding for Shri Santosh Phulkuntwar, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5 & Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for respondent No. 6.

2. Learned Advocate for respondent No. 6 has filed affidavit in reply. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

4. On perusal of the record, it reveals that the ample opportunities were given to the respondent

Nos. 1 to 3 for filing their affidavit in reply, but they failed to file affidavit in reply in time. A most last chance was granted to the respondents on 05.03.2018, but they failed to file affidavit in reply on that day. Again time was granted to the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 on 19.03.2018 for filing affidavit in reply and today the matter is fixed for filing affidavit in reply of the respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

5. On perusal of the documents, it reveals that in spite of the ample opportunities given to the respondent Nos. 1 to 3, they failed to file affidavit in reply. There is no just ground to grant further time for filing affidavit in reply to the respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Hence, request to grant time to file affidavit in reply of the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 is rejected.

6. The matter be proceeded without affidavit in reply of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and it be kept for final hearing on 09.04.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 102/2016  
(Shri Shankar Antaram Tamke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.P. Dhoble, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.V. Patil (Indrale), learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Today, the learned Presenting Officer has placed on record a copy of communication dated 27.02.2018 along with other documents received to him from the Sub Divisional Officer, Ahmedpur. Same are taken on record and marked as document 'X' collectively for the purposes of identification.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that initially the S.D.O., Ahmedpur sent proposal to the Collector regarding cancellation of recruitment process on the post of Police Patil of village Bhatsangvi, Tq. Chakur, in view of the notification dated 23.12.2015 and the proposal

was pending with the Collector, Latur. He has submitted that thereafter, recruitment process for appointment on the post of Police Patil of village Bhatsangvi had been started by the S.D.O. Udgir and written examination has been conducted by the S.D.O. Udgir. Thereafter, new Sub Divisional Office at Ahmedpur has been established and Chakur Taluka is attached to the said Sub-Division. Thereafter, S.D.O. Ahmedpur conducted oral interview, but because of the impugned order, he has not proceeded with the further recruitment process. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that thereafter, during pendency of the O.A., the S.D.O. Ahmedpur completed the recruitment process, which has been stayed and he has declared selected candidate and appointed one Shri Suryakant Vitthalrao Tamke as Police Patil of village Bhatsangvi, Tq. Chakur, Dist. Latur by order dated 17.02.2018. He has submitted that the very purpose of filing of the O.A. has been satisfied and therefore, he prayed to dispose of the present O.A.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant has also submitted that the purpose of filing of the O.A. has been served, as the recruitment process which was stayed has been proceeded further. Thereafter, result of the recruitment has been declared and the eligible candidate has been appointed. Therefore, he prayed to dispose of the O.A. accordingly.

5. Since, the purpose of filing of the O.A. is served and nothing remains in the O.A., the same stands disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 808, 811, 819, 825, 836 ALL OF  
2017 WITH 13 OF 2018**

**(Shri Pandharinath V. Kadam & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these O.As and Shri N.U. Yadav and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officers for respondents in all these O.As.

2. Today, the learned Presenting Officer has placed on record a copy of order dated 20.03.2018 issued by the Collector, Aurangabad by which the difference of D.A. to the applicants and other similarly situated persons have been released on the basis of G.R. dated 16.03.2018. Same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purposes of identification. He has submitted that as per the order dated 20.03.2018 issued by the Collector, Aurangabad the amount of bill has been transferred to the respondent No. 5 i.e. the Executive Engineer,

P.W.D., Aurangabad. The respondent No.5 i.e. the Executive Engineer, P.W.D., Aurangabad is going to take necessary steps in that regard and the bills will be submitted to the Treasury Office before 31.03.2018 and accordingly, amount will be disbursed to the applicants. He has submitted that the purpose of filing of the O.As. has been served in view of the order dated 20.03.2018 passed by the Collector, Aurangabad and G.R. dated 16.03.2018 and therefore, he prayed to dispose of the O.As.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the Government had decided to disburse the amount to the applicants and other similar situated persons and accordingly, the Collector, Aurangabad has transferred the funds to the Executive Engineer, P.W.D., Aurangabad. Therefore, in view of the statement made by the learned P.O. on behalf of respondent No. 5, the applicants do not want to proceed with the O.As. and therefore, he prayed to dispose of the O.As. accordingly.



//3//

O.A. Nos. 808/2017  
& ors.

4. In view of the submissions made by the learned Advocate for the applicants and learned Presenting Officer, the very purpose of filing of the O.As. has been served, as the Government decided to pay the difference of D.A. to the applicants and others. In view of this, nothing remains in the O.As. Therefore, O.As. are disposed of with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 26-03-2018

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD.**

**O.A. Nos.666, 667 & 668 of 2015.**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V. G. Pingle learned Advocate for the applicants in all the matters and Shri V. R. Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents in all the matters.

2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file additional reply. At his request, S.O. to 17.4.2018 for compliance of the earlier order dated 10.1.2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

atp ORAL ORDER 26-03-2018

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD.**

**O.A. No. 174/2018.**

**(Shri D. R. Deshmukh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V. G. Pingle learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M. S. Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 24.4.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 24.4.2018.

8. Steno copy / hamdast allowed to the both the sides.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

atp ORAL ORDER 26-03-2018

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD.**

**O.A. No. 171/2018.**

**(Dr. P. R. Kanade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

-----  
**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M. S. Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 05.06.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

**7. Heard the learned Advocate for the applicant. She relies on the ratio of the decision rendered by this Tribunal in OA No.635/2013 dated 13.12.2016. The same is accepted and marked as "X" for the purpose of identification. Copy also supplied to the other side along with the notice and other documents. In view of the above decision, without making any comment on merit, presently interim relief in terms of prayer**

**clause “X(a)” is hereby granted until further orders, which runs as under :-**

**“(a) Pending hearing and final disposal of this Original Application, effect, operation and execution of office order dated 24.1.2018 may be stayed with a direction to the respondents to release entire amount of Rs.7 lacks towards payment of Gratuity due and payable to the applicant.”**

8. S.O. to 05.06.2018.

9. Steno copy / hamdast allowed to the both the sides.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

atp ORAL ORDER 26-03-2018

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD.**

**M. A. No.105/2018 in OA St.386/2018.**  
**(Dr. U. S. Khaire V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

-----  
**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V. B. Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N. U. Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 05.06.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.



5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 05.06.2018.

8. Steno copy / hamdast allowed to the both the sides.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

atp ORAL ORDER 26-03-2018

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD.**

**M. A. St.No.388/2018 in OA St.389/2018.  
(Karuna N. Bhujang & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V. B. Wagh learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri V. R. Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. For the reasons stated in the application and since the cause of action of all the applicants is same the application for sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid, and accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, and present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

atp ORAL ORDER 26-03-2018

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD.**

**OA St.389/2018.**

**(Karuna N. Bhujang & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V. B. Wagh learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri V. R. Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Subject to the objection of limitation, issue notices to the respondents returnable on 06.06.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 06.06.2018.

8. Steno copy / hamdast allowed to the both the sides.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

atp ORAL ORDER 26-03-2018

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD.**

**O.A. No.439/2010.**

**(Shri D. S. Karle V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None present for the applicant. Heard Shri M. S. Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned C.P.O. seeks time to take instructions regarding the further steps as per order of this Tribunal dated 1.11.2017. At his request, S.O. to 26.4.2018 for reporting the further steps as per the above order.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

atp ORAL ORDER 26-03-2018

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD.**

**O.A. No. 757/2016.**

**(Shri D. R. Sirame V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

-----  
**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S. B. Mene learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri D. R. Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents no.1 to 3 and Shri R. D. Sanap learned Advocate for the Respondents no.4 & 5.

2. The learned P.O. files on record the communication received to him from the concerned Respondent. The same is accepted and marked as document "X" along with documents collectively. The learned Advocate for the Applicant also submits that, the grievance of the applicant is redressed and therefore, nothing survives in the present application. Accordingly the O.A. is disposed of without any order as to costs.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

atp ORAL ORDER 26-03-2018

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD.**

**O.A. No.843/2016.**

**(Shri S. G. Wagatkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S. D. Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V. R. Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned P.O. submits that, Assembly session is going on, and there are no instructions from respondent no.1 regarding the steps taken.

3. It is to be noted that, the directions were issued on 28.9.2017 for taking steps. In the interest of justice, S.O. to 26.4.2018 for taking steps on the line of the order dated 28.9.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

atp ORAL ORDER 26-03-2018

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD.**

**O.A. No.511/2017.**

**(Shri S. S. Bhalerao V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None present for the applicant. Heard Shri I. S. Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Despite the order dated 26.2.2018 of this Tribunal the office has recently placed the present O.A. before this Tribunal. It should be placed before the learned Member (J) Shri B. P. Patil, as per earlier order. Office to note accordingly and matter be placed before the same Hon'ble Judge on 28.3.2018.

3. S.O. to 28.3.2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

atp ORAL ORDER 26-03-2018



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD.**

**O.A. No. 70/2017.**

**(Shri R. S. Shendge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal learned Advocate holding for Shri A. S. Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V. R. Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. In view of the statement of the applicant during the pendency of the present application the only issue now remains regarding imposing of minor punishment. Therefore, the present application be placed before the Single Bench on 25.4.2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

atp ORAL ORDER 26-03-2018

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD.**

**O.A. No. 718/2017.**

**(Shri Mohamad Arifoddin V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Poonam V. Bodke Patil learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt S. K. Ghate Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Smt. Poonam Bodke Patil learned Advocate for the applicant submits that, she has heard that, the applicant has been convicted in some case. She seeks time for hearing on admission. At her request, S.O. to 24.4.2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

atp ORAL ORDER 26-03-2018

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD.**

**O.A. No.713/2017.**

**(Shri V. D. Mundhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

It is to be reported that Shri K. G. Salunke learned Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note. Shri M. S. Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply. Time to file reply is hereby granted. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 05.06.2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

atp ORAL ORDER 26-03-2018

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD.**

**O.A. No.57/2018.**

**(Shri U. T. Dabhade & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S. D. Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M. S. Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned C.P.O. seeks time to get report regarding the corrective steps as per order dated 29.1.2018, and to file reply. At his request, S.O. to 5.6.2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

atp ORAL ORDER 26-03-2018

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD.**

**O.A. No. 383/2017.**

**(Shri U. S. Mundhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S. B. Mene learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt R. S. Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The reply is already filed on record. The learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder. At his request, S.O. to 3.5.2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

atp ORAL ORDER 26-03-2018

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD.**

**O.A. No.480/2017.**

**(Shri D. B. Sonawane & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None present for the applicant. Shri M. S. Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to 5.6.2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

atp ORAL ORDER 26-03-2018

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD.**

**O.A. No.911/2017.**

**(Shri D. N. Salunke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V. G. Pingle learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S. K. Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply. At his request, S.O. to 5.6.2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

atp ORAL ORDER 26-03-2018

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD.**

**O.A. Nos. 603, 604, 605, 606, 607, 608 & 609 of 2017.**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal learned Advocate holding for Shri A. S. Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicants in all the matters and Shri M. S. Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. The learned C.P.O. files affidavit in reply of respondents no.1, 3, 4 & 5. The same is accepted. Copy served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 11.4.2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

atp ORAL ORDER 26-03-2018



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD.**

**O.A. No. 780/2017.**

**(Shri R. N. Kamble V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Miss Preeti Wankhade learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M. S. Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned C.P.O. files affidavit in reply of respondents no.1,3, 4 & 5. The same is accepted. Copy served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 11.4.2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

atp ORAL ORDER 26-03-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 843 OF 2017**

[Shri Deepesh Ramesh Nagzire Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Amruta Paranjape, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that she will file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 during the course of the day. She undertook to serve the copy thereof on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. In view thereof, S.O. to 10<sup>th</sup> April, 2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 26.03.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 844 OF 2017**

[Shri Sunil Ramdas Kharat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Amruta Paranjape, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that he will file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 during the course of the day. He undertook to serve the copy thereof on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. In view thereof, S.O. to 10<sup>th</sup> April, 2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 26.03.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 914 OF 2017**

[Shri Dhiraj Dharmraj Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Amruta Paranjape, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that he will file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 during the course of the day. He undertook to serve the copy thereof on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. In view thereof, S.O. to 10<sup>th</sup> April, 2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 26.03.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 926 OF 2017**

[Shri Manaji V. Surose Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondent No. 1 and Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent No. 2.

2. Learned Advocate for respondent No. 2 has filed affidavit in reply and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1. Time granted as a last chance.

4. S.O. to 18<sup>th</sup> April, 2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 26.03.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 932 OF 2017**

[Shri Walmik L. Kande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 9<sup>th</sup> April, 2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 26.03.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 77 OF 2018**

[Shri Manohar A. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 24<sup>th</sup> April, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 26.03.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 95 OF 2018**

[Shri Damodar N. Shirkhande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Girish N. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 24<sup>th</sup> April, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 26.03.2018-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 106 OF 2018**

[Mrs. Manisha D. Kurekar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 9<sup>th</sup> April, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 26.03.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 349/2017 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1166/2017**  
[Shri Udalsing A. Bohara Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.L. Kanade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the respondent No. 5 has filed affidavit in reply in the present M.A. No. 349/2017, but copy of the same has not been served on him.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that she will serve the copy of affidavit in reply of respondent No. 5 on him immediately and accordingly the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

4. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 9<sup>th</sup> April, 2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 350/2017 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1168/2017**

[Shri Janardhan P. Muley Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.L. Kanade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the respondent No. 5 has filed affidavit in reply in the present M.A. No. 350/2017, but copy of the same has not been served on him.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that she will serve the copy of affidavit in reply of respondent No. 5 on him immediately and accordingly the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

4. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 9<sup>th</sup> April, 2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 217 OF 2016**

[Smt. Tanuja R. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ms. Ujjwal Agarwal, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri A.I. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4, were present.

2. Heard learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

3. On perusal of the record and proceedings, it appears that on many dates the applicant and her Advocate remained absent. Therefore, the present matter was adjourned. On the last two occasions i.e. on 3.1.2018 & 20.2.2018 also they remained absent. Not only this, but prior to these dates, they were absent. On the last date i.e. on 1.3.2018, the Advocate of the applicant was present, but she had sought time to argue the matter. On her request, the present case was adjourned to today's date i.e. on 26.03.2018. The present matter is pretty old.

4. The record shows that the applicant and her Advocate are not diligent and interested in

conducting the matter. Hence, the present O.A. is dismissed in default. There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**LATER ON**

5. Smt. Ujjwal Agarwal, learned Advocate for the applicant appeared along with learned Presenting Officer, Shri D.R. Patil for respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

6. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that she could not able to attend this Tribunal when the matter was called for final hearing, as she had gone to hospital for medical test. Therefore, she prayed to recall the order of dismissal and to restore the matter to its original number.

7. Learned Presenting Officer has no objection to restore the O.A. on the ground that the applicant's advocate had personal difficulty.

8. In view of the submissions made by the learned Advocate for the applicant and the reason stated by her, it is just and proper to recall the order of dismissal and to restore the O.A. to its original number. Hence, the order of dismissal passed by this Tribunal today in the matter is set aside and the O.A. is restored to its original

number. The matter be fixed for final hearing on 5.4.2018.

9. The applicant shall take note that no further adjournments will be granted on the next date, on failure to argue the matter on that date, the necessary order regarding dismissal of the O.A. will be passed.

10. S.O. to 5<sup>th</sup> April, 2018 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 373 OF 2016**

[Shri Anant K. Rankahmbe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri G.G. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3, is present. Shri V.H. Solunke, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4 (**absent**).

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time till 6<sup>th</sup> April, 2018 to produce the entire original record of the recruitment process of Village Police Patil of village Thadiukkadgaon, Tq. Sonpeth, Dist. Parbhani. He undertakes to produce the original record on the next date. Time granted as prayed for.

3. S.O. to 6<sup>th</sup> April, 2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 26.03.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 727 OF 2016**

[Shri Sadashiv M. Sawai Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. None appears for the applicant. The applicant and his Advocate remained absent on previous date also. Therefore, on the previous date i.e. on 26.2.2018 the matter was adjourned by passing the following order by the Hon'ble Vice Chairman.

***“Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant (Absent). Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.***

***2. It is to be noted that since long the applicant or his counsel remained absent or sought adjournment.***

***3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 26.03.2018 either for hearing or for passing necessary orders.”***

3. In spite of the specific directions given to the applicant, the applicant remained absent today. It seems that the applicant and his Advocate are not diligent and interested in conducting the matter. Hence, the present



Original Application is dismissed in default. No order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**LATER-ON**

4. Shri A.D. Gadekar for the applicant appeared along with learned Presenting Officer, Shri I.S. Thorat.

5. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that he could not attend the Tribunal in time as he was on the way and stuck-up in the traffic. Therefore, he prayed to set aside the order of dismissal passed today and restore the O.A. to its original number.

6. Learned Presenting Officer for the respondents has submitted that the necessary order may be passed.

7. In view of the submissions and just reasons tendered by the applicant, the order of dismissal in default passed today is set aside and the O.A. is restored to its original number.

8. The present O.A. be fixed for hearing on 6<sup>th</sup> April, 2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 561 OF 2016**

[Shri Sukhdev S. Chitte Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The applicant has challenged the order passed by the disciplinary authority i.e. the Collector, Dhule and departmental appeal before the Divisional Commissioner, Aurangabad. The Divisional Commissioner rejected his appeal and confirmed the order of the disciplinary authority, but the applicant has not produced the copy of the order dated 16.12.2015 passed by the Collector, Dhule i.e. disciplinary authority imposing punishment on him, which is material for deciding the present O.A. Therefore, the applicant is directed to produce the copy of the same on record.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks two weeks' time to produce the copy of the order. Time granted as prayed for.

4. S.O. to 9<sup>th</sup> April, 2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 52 OF 2018**

[Sayyd Mujahed Ali Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The applicant has approached this Tribunal and prayed to quash and set aside the order dated 9.1.2017 imposing punishment and issue direction to recover the amount of Rs. 1,34,658.60 from his retiral benefits. It is contention of the applicant that he challenged the said order before the Appellate Authority i.e. respondent No. 2 viz. the Divisional Commissioner, Aurangabad Division, Aurangabad, by preferring an appeal on 12/15.6.2017, but the same has not been decided within the reasonable period and, therefore, he approached this Tribunal.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant is pressing for the prayer clause 'C' at present, which runs as under: -

***“C) To direct the respondent No. 2 to decide the departmental appeal dated 12/15.06.2017 filed by the applicant forthwith.”***

**O.A. NO. 52 OF 2018**

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that by directing the respondent No. 2 to decide his departmental appeal within stipulated time, the matter may be disposed of.

5. Learned Presenting Officer on instructions received from Mr. Mete, Awwal Karkun, office of the Commissioner, Aurangabad, that the appeal preferred by the applicant was heard by the Commissioner and it has been fixed for passing the order. Learned Presenting Officer seeks two weeks' time and assured that the Commissioner will pass the order within a period of two weeks and disposed of the departmental appeal filed by the applicant.

6. In view of the submissions made by both the parties, and the fact that the disciplinary appeal has been finally heard by the Appellate Authority i.e. Divisional Commissioner, Aurangabad and the matter is fixed for passing order, it is just and proper to direct the respondent No. 2 to decide the departmental appeal filed by the applicant on 12/15.06.2017 within a period of 15 days from today and dispose of the O.A. accordingly.

7. Consequently, the present Original Application is disposed of with the direction to the respondent No. 2 to decide the departmental appeal filed by the applicant on 12/15.6.2017 within a period of 15 days from today and

**:: - 3 - ::**

**O.A. NO. 52 OF 2018**

communicate the decision thereon to the applicant in writing. There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 26.03.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 483/2017 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1249/2017**

[Shri Samadhan P. Bari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Monoj Shinde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant is going to file fresh application with the respondent No. 2 for getting appointment on compassionate ground and also explaining the delay caused in filing application after attaining the age of majority. He has submitted that earlier applications of the applicant are also pending with the respondent No. 2 and, therefore, he does not want to proceed with the M.A. and O.A. and, therefore, he prayed to dispose of the same accordingly.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the necessary orders may be passed.

4. Since the applicant does not want to proceed with the present M.A. and O.A. and intends to file fresh application with the respondent No. 2 for appointing him on compassionate ground explaining all the necessary facts, the M.A. No. 483/2017 and O.A.

**:: - 2 - ::**

**M.A.NO. 483/2017 IN  
O.A.ST.NO. 1249/2017**

St. No. 1249/2017 both are disposed of accordingly. No order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 26.03.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 502 OF 2017**

[Public Prosecutors' Association Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidhya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2, who is the Secretary, Law & Judicial Department, M.S., Mumbai, as a last chance.

3. The record shows that as many as 7-8 adjournments were granted to respondent No. 2 to file affidavit in reply. In spite of the several opportunities given to the respondent No. 2 to file the affidavit in reply, he failed to file the same. There is no just ground to grant further adjournments. However, in the interest of justice last chance is granted to the respondent No. 2 to file affidavit in reply.

4. It is made clear that in case, respondent No. 2 fails to file reply on the next date, the present matter will be proceeded further without affidavit in reply of respondent No. 2.

5. S.O. to 9<sup>th</sup> April, 2018.

**MEMBER (J)**