

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 213/2017  
(Shri Kaniram M. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 16.08.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 17-07-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 236/2017  
(Smt. Yamuna L. Bhosale & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23.08.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 17-07-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 698/2017  
(Shri Jalamsing D. Valvi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23.08.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 17-07-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 287/2018  
(Dr. Ritabhushan A. Garhwal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23.08.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 17-07-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 710/2017  
(Shri Sharad R. Kulkarni & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 21.08.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 17-07-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 262/2018  
(Dr. Sanjiv K. Munde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Devkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 21.08.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 17-07-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 266/2018  
(Dr. Sandeep J. Kala V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 21.08.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 17-07-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 04/2017  
(Shri Ramlal B. Brahmane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23.08.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 17-07-2018



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 279/2017  
(Smt. Rekha A. Bonalwar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Shri A.D. Aghav, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 & 5 (**Absent**).

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 09.08.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 17-07-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 405/2018  
(Smt. Nilima S. Adsul V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.G. Kanade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 09.08.2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 17-07-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 351/2018  
(Shri Vishnu J. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Joslyn A. Menezes, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Devkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 31.07.2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 17-07-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 368/2018  
(Smt. Mokshada M. Garje V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Joslyn A. Menezes, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Amruta Paranjape-Menezes, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 and 3. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 31.07.2018

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 17-07-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 369/2018  
(Smt. Shaila P. Tele V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Joslyn A. Menezes, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Amruta Paranjape-Menezes, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 and 3. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 31.07.2018

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 17-07-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 502/2018  
(Shri Bhansilal C. Jaiswal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.D. Raut, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 31.07.2018

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 17-07-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 507/2018  
(Dr. Shweta V. Katkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 14.08.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. Reply be filed on or before 14-08-2018.

9. S.O. 14-08-2018.

10. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

**MEMBER (J)**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 236/2018 in O.A. St. No. 124/2018  
(Shri Narayan R. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.R. Bangar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. On instructions, the learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant does not want to proceed with the M.A., as well as O.A. and therefore, he seeks leave of this Tribunal to withdraw the same.

3. Since, the applicant does not want to proceed with the M.A. as well as O.A., leave as prayed for by the applicant is granted. Accordingly, the M.A. and O.A. are disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 17-07-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 275/2017  
(Shri Md. Asfioddin Md. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Shri S.E. Mudne, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4, absent.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 31.07.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 17-07-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 501/2017  
(Shri Dhudku B. Mahale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Prafulla Bodade, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Shri V.S. Choudhary, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4, absent.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file sur-rejoinder to the rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 26.07.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 17-07-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 741/2017  
(Shri Anil G. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.B. Narwde Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete. The present O.A. is admitted and it be kept for final hearing on 14.08.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 17-07-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 17/2018  
(Shri Raghunath V. Jagtap V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 14.08.2018 for filing rejoinder affidavit.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 17-07-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 265/2017  
(Dr. Suresh M. Karamunge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Devkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that nobody approached him on behalf of respondent No. 3 for making compliance of the order dated 27.02.2018 passed by this Tribunal. He has submitted that the respondent No. 3 had not instructed him as to whether the compliance is made by the respondent No. 3 in view of above said order. He informed the respondent No. 3 to comply with the order passed by this Tribunal by communication dated 02.04.2018.

3. On going through the record, it reveals that the respondents failed to file affidavit in reply in time and therefore, this Tribunal has imposed

the costs of Rs. 5,000/- on the respondents. On 27.02.2018 this Tribunal directed the respondent No. 3 to fix the responsibility of negligence in attending the case and to recover the amount of costs of Rs. 5,000/- from erring officer and also make a remark in his Annual Confidential Reports in that regard. But the respondent No. 3 has not complied the said order dated 27.02.2018 till today. It seems that the respondent No. 3 himself is negligent and therefore, it would be just and proper to call the respondent No. 3 to show cause as to why he should not be held responsible to recover the costs amount from him and to take entry in his service record in that regard.

4. Hence, issue notice to the respondent No. 3 i.e. Shri H.R. Borse, Deputy Director, Public Health Department, Latur, to show cause as to why the amount of Rs. 5,000/- should not be recovered from him and entry to that effect should not be made in his service book in that regard.

5. S.O. to 03.08.2018.
6. Steno copy allowed to the learned P.O.

KPB ORAL ORDER 17-07-2018 **MEMBER (J)**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 112/2018  
(Shri Pandurang A. Ambhore V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that he has no objection to disposed of the present Original Application in view of the communication dated 24.04.2018 tendered by the respondents, as the purpose of filing of the same has been served. Therefore, he prayed to pass necessary order.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has prayed to dispose of the present O.A. in view of the said communication.

4. In view of the submissions made by the learned Advocate for the applicant and the learned Presenting Officer, the applicant has received entire amount as claimed in the O.A. The purpose of filing of the O.A. has been served. Nothing remains in the O.A. Hence, the same stands dispose of with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 113/2018  
(Shri Vijay R. Mohrir V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that he has no objection to disposed of the present Original Application in view of the communication dated 24.04.2018 tendered by the respondents, as the purpose of filing of the same has been served. Therefore, he prayed to pass necessary order.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has prayed to dispose of the present O.A. in view of the said communication.

4. In view of the submissions made by the learned Advocate for the applicant and the learned Presenting Officer, the applicant has received entire amount as claimed in the O.A. The purpose of filing of the O.A. has been served. Nothing remains in the O.A. Hence, the same stands dispose of with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 114/2018  
(Shri Dnyanoba D. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Devkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that he has no objection to disposed of the present Original Application in view of the communication dated 24.04.2018 tendered by the respondents, as the purpose of filing of the same has been served. Therefore, he prayed to pass necessary order.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has prayed to dispose of the present O.A. in view of the said communication.

4. In view of the submissions made by the learned Advocate for the applicant and the learned Presenting Officer, the applicant has received entire amount as claimed in the O.A. The purpose of filing of the O.A. has been served. Nothing remains in the O.A. Hence, the same stands dispose of with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 948/2017  
(Smt. Neha A. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. By filing the present Original Application, the applicant has prayed to direct the respondents to consider her application for appointment on compassionate ground in accordance with the rules.

3. It is contention of the applicant is that her father viz. Abhimanyu Pawar was serving as a Muster Clerk with the respondent No. 5. He died on 30.06.2004 while in service leaving behind the applicant and other his family members as his legal heirs. It is her contention that at the time of death of her father, she was minor and therefore, she was not eligible to get appointment on compassionate ground. She attained the age of majority and therefore, she moved an application dated 11.02.2017 with the respondents for appointing her on compassionate ground. Thereafter, she moved another application dated 25.05.2017 along with

necessary documents, but the respondents had not taken decision on her applications as per rules. Therefore, she approached this Tribunal by filing the present Original Application and prayed to direct the respondents to decide her applications as per rules.

4. The respondent Nos. 1 to 4 failed to file their affidavit in reply. However, the respondent No. 5 has filed affidavit in reply and contended that deceased Shri Abhimanyu Pawar was working as Muster Clerk under Employment Guarantee Scheme. He died on 30.06.2004 and that time, he was not in permanent service with the respondent No. 5 and therefore, the applicant is not entitled to get employment. It is his contention that the brother of the applicant has moved an application on 27.07.2016, but he has not submitted single document along with application and therefore, his application was not considered. It is his contention that the date of birth of the applicant is 06.12.1997. She attained the age of majority on 06.12.2015. She ought to have filed the application for getting appointment on compassionate ground within one year on attaining the age of majority. But she moved an application on 11.02.2017, which is beyond the stipulated period and therefore, she is not entitled to get appointment on compassionate ground. Therefore, he prayed to reject the present Original Application.

5. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant has moved an application after attaining the age of majority, as she was minor, when her father died. He has submitted that the applicant moved the applications dated 11.02.2017 and 25.05.2017 with the respondent Nos. 4 & 5, but they have not taken decision on it till today and therefore, he prayed to direct the respondents to take appropriate decision on the applications dated 11.02.2017 and 25.05.2017 filed by the applicant as per the rules.

6. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the applications of the applicant were not filed in time and it were beyond the stipulated period in view of the G.Rs. issued by the Government from time to time and therefore, it cannot be considered. Therefore, she prayed to reject the present Original Application.

7. On perusal of the record, it reveals that Shri Abhimanyu Pawar, who was father of the applicant, died on 30.06.2004, when he was serving as a Muster Clerk with the respondent No. 5. The applicant was minor at the time of death of her father. The date of birth of the applicant is 06.12.1997. She has attained the age of majority on 06.12.2015 and therefore, she ought to have moved an application for appointment on compassionate ground within

one year after attaining the age of majority. She moved the applications on 11.02.2017 and 25.05.2017 with the respondent Nos. 4 & 5 and prayed to appoint her on compassionate ground. The applications of the applicant are still pending with the respondent Nos. 4 & 5 for taking decision thereon as per the rules. This shows the inaction on the part of the respondents and therefore, it is just and proper to direct the respondents to take appropriate decision on the applications dated 11.02.2017 and 25.05.2017 filed by the applicant for getting appointment on compassionate ground on merit as per the rules. Consequently, the O.A. deserves to be allowed.

8. In view of this, the O.A. is allowed with a direction to the respondent Nos. 4 & 5 to take appropriate decision on the applications dated 11.02.2017 and 25.05.2017 filed by the applicant for getting appointment on compassionate ground as per the rules on merit within a period of three months from the date of this order and to communicate the decision therein to the applicant in writing. There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.322/2018  
(Anil Sonar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. 26-07-2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 17-07-2018 F



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.249/2018  
(Shabuddin Shaikh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. states that he will file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents during the course of the day. He also undertakes to serve copy of the reply on the other side.

3. S.O. 14-08-2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 17-07-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.314/2018  
(Govind Surewad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.S.Taur learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B.Dhage learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays to issue fresh notice to respondent no.3.

3. Issue fresh notice to the respondent no.3, returnable on 16-08-2018.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. If notice is not collected within 7 days or service proof is not produced before 3 days of the next date, O.A. shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

10. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondent nos.1, 2 and 4. Time is granted.

11. S.O.to 16-08-2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.367/2018  
(Kunal Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.S.Dixit learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 20-08-2018 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 17-07-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.476/2017 IN O.A.St.No.2024/2016  
(Devidas Ahire V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Pramod S. Gaikwad learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. Neither applicant nor his Advocate is present today. On previous dates also they remained absent. It seems that the applicant is not interested in prosecuting the matter. Hence, the case be fixed for passing appropriate order on the next date.

3. S.O. 31-07-2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 17-07-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.64/2018 IN O.A.St.No.240/2018  
(Ramdas Palve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V. R. Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.

3. S.O. 09-08-2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 17-07-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.65/2018 IN O.A.NO.ST.883/2016  
(Dwarkabai Ramteke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Sudhir Patil learned Advocate holding for Dr. Kalpalata Patil-Bharaswadkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file service affidavit regarding service of notice on the respondents. Time is granted.

3. S.O. 26-07-2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 17-07-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.104/2018 IN O.A.No.625/2017  
(Jitendra Jaiswal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.K.Chavan learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**.

Heard Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents and Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav learned Advocate for respondent no.7.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for time for filing affidavit in reply. Time is granted.

3. S.O. 14-08-2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 17-07-2018 F



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.134/2018 IN O.A.St.No.495/2018  
(Kashinath Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ku. Preeti Wankhade learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for issuance of fresh notice to the respondent no.4.

3. Issue fresh notice to the respondent no.4 in the M.A., returnable on 20-08-2018.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. If notice is not collected within 7 days or service proof is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

10. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondent nos.1, 2 and 4. Time is granted.

11. S.O.to 20-08-2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.237/2018 IN O.A.No.915/2017  
(Adikrao Mane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondent in the M.A., returnable on 28-08-2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of

compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or service proof is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

9. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondent nos.1, 2 and 4. Time is granted.

10. S.O.to 28-08-2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 17-07-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.284/2016  
(Dr. Usha Bholane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.A.Ingle learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

Shri B.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for respondent no.5 is **absent**.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 21-08-2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 17-07-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.499/2017  
(Gokulsing Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri C.T.Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. None is present for the applicant. It seems that the applicant has not complied with the order passed by the Tribunal in M.A.No.85/2018 dated 27-03-2018 granting leave to the applicant to amend the O.A.

3. In spite of sufficient opportunities given to the applicant, applicant is not diligent in conducting the matter. Hence, O.A. stands dismissed in default. There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 17-07-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.89/2018  
(Sayeda Khalida w/o. Md. Naeem V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed service affidavit. It may be taken on record.

3. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondent nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

4. S.O. 21-08-2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 17-07-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 724 OF 2017**

[Shri Guruprasad P. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3.
2. None appears for respondent No. 4 though duly served of notice of final disposal of the O.A.
3. The present Original Application involves a short issue as to how the seniority list should be determined between the present applicant and respondent No. 4. His placing in the seniority list ultimately would entail the promotion, which is granted to the respondent No. 4 by the respondents vide Office Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> May, 2017, Annexure 'A-2', page-14.
4. It is not disputed that as per the service rules the employee is required to pass the departmental examination within three chances. The employee however, gets exemption from passing of the departmental examination once he crossed the age of 45 years.
5. The seniority list at Annexure 'A-1', page-11, would show that respondent No. 4 viz. Shri S.R. Chavan, who is at Sr. No. 26 was born on



**O.A. NO. 724 OF 2017**

20.07.1970, he could not pass the departmental examination. In the circumstances, he would have been eligible for exemption from passing departmental examination after crossing the age of 45 years i.e. on 19.7.2015. However, the seniority list would show that the present applicant, who is at Sr. No. 31, had in fact passed the departmental examination in the year 2013. Therefore, he should have been placed senior to the respondent No. 4 in the seniority list. However, not only the respondents continued to hold respondent No. 4 senior to the applicant, but even promoted the respondent No. 4 on 31<sup>st</sup> May, 2017 (Annexure 'A-2', page-14) before attaining 45 years of age.

6. Learned Advocate for the applicant has placed reliance on the ratio laid down by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court Bench at Aurangabad in the case of **Shri P.R. Shinde & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors. decided on 1<sup>st</sup> July, 2010**, Annexure 'A-6', page-19 and on the ratio laid down in the case of **SANDESH GAJMAL SHINDE VS. NASHIK MUNICIPAL CORPORATION AND OTHERS [2017(4) Mh.L.J.220]**. The same are applicable in the present case.

7. In view of the above undisputed fact, the following order: -

**ORDER**

(i) The present Original Application is hereby allowed without any order as to costs. The order of promotion to respondent No. 4 is hereby quashed.

**O.A. NO. 724 OF 2017**

(ii) The concerned respondents are directed to correct seniority list on the line of the above order. Thereupon they shall determine the issue of promotion as Senior Clerk on the basis of the fresh seniority list. The said exercise shall be carried out within a period of three months from the date of this order.

**MEMBER (A)**

ORAL ORDERS17.07.2018-HDD

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 622 OF 2017**

[Shri Sagar Chandrakant Nemane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Upon hearing both the sides, it appears that Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant is not satisfied with the oral arguments advanced so far. He prayed to file written notes of arguments only to the extent of the contradictions between the statement made by the applicant before the Tribunal on 9.4.2018 and made in the short affidavit filed on the line of the directions issued on that date and more particularly paragraph No. 2.
3. The said written notes of arguments may be filed by tomorrow i.e. 18.07.2018 and the order would be passed on 19.07.2018. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**  
ORAL ORDERS17.07.2018-HDD

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 939 OF 2017**

[Shri Dattatraya S. Bargaje Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
  
2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 23<sup>rd</sup> August, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (A)**  
ORAL ORDERS17.07.2018-HDD

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 911 OF 2017**

[Shri Dadabhau N. Salunke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Devkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.
3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 21<sup>st</sup> August, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS17.07.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 824 OF 2017**

[Shri Jeevan B. Sutar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Devkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23<sup>rd</sup> August, 2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS17.07.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 736 OF 2017**

[Shri Sanjay U. Kale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 19<sup>th</sup> July, 2018. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS17.07.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 620 OF 2017**

[Shri Akshaykumar U. Jadhao Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2. Shri H.I. Pathan, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 3, 4, 8 & 9 (**absent**).

2. Learned Advocate Shri S.S. Randive appeared today and submits that he has instructions from respondent No. 6 to appear on his behalf and he has filed VAKALATNAMA on his behalf and the same is taken on record.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the other respondents are served and service affidavit would be filed in the office.

4. In the circumstances, S.O. to 9<sup>th</sup> August, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply and for appearance of respondent Nos. 5 & 7.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS17.07.2018-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 493 OF 2017**

[Dr. Sanjay K. Khachane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2. Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 to 6 (**absent**).

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant files on record true copy of the decision in W.P. No. 6450/2017 [Sanjay Premdas Gaigawali and Ors. Vs. State of Mah. And Anr.], a reference on which was made in the earlier order dated 11.6.2018. The copy of the same is served on the learned Presenting Officer.

3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to take instructions in this regard and to make statement regarding the corrective steps, if any those can be taken on the basis of the decision rendered in the W.P. (supra). At his request, S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> August, 2018.

4. Learned Presenting Officer to act on steno copy.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 438 OF 2017**

[Dr. Archana U. Tiwari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that during the course of the day he will file affidavit as directed earlier by order dated 12.6.2018. The copy of the same be supplied to the learned Advocate for the applicant.
3. S.O. to 9<sup>th</sup> August, 2018 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS17.07.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 397 OF 2017**

[Shri Janardhan V. Ghasing Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 21<sup>st</sup> August, 2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS17.07.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P. NO. 20/2018 IN O.A.NO. 753/2016**

[Dr. Mangesh M. Ghodke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that the cheque regarding the costs as directed earlier is ready. It be filed in the office. He also filed on record a copy of the communication dated 16.07.2018 received to him. The same is accepted and taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification. It would show that the concerned respondents have decided the representation of the applicant and, therefore, the order passed in O.A. is complied with.

3. In view of the above fact, nothing survives in the present contempt petition. Therefore, the same is disposed of without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P.NO. 12/2018 IN O.A.NO. 128/2017**

[Shri Gopinath B. Nalwade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri Girish S. Shukla, learned Special Counsel for the respondent.
2. Learned Special Counsel for the respondent seeks time to file additional affidavit. It is to be noted that the order in O.A. is to the effect that the departmental enquiry shall be completed in all respect within a period of two months' from the date of passing of the said order i.e. from 01.08.2017.
3. Learned Special Counsel submits that due to the non-cooperation of the present applicant, the departmental enquiry could not be completed. Learned Advocate Shri V.B. Wagh for the applicant countered the said statement. In order to have definite statement of fact in this regard, time to file additional affidavit is hereby granted as a last chance.
4. S.O. to 7<sup>th</sup> August, 2018 for filing additional affidavit.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P.NO. 11/2018 IN O.A.NO. 913/2012**

[Shri Bapusaheb D. Mane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Shri L.M. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.
2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer, on oral instructions, submits that the order in O.A. is complied with.
3. It appears from the proceedings that on the last date also nobody appeared on behalf of the applicant.
4. In the view of the above, accepting the contentions made by the learned Presenting Officer on behalf of the respondents, the present contempt petition is disposed of without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS17.07.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P.NO. 03/2018 IN O.A.NO. 114/2015**

[Shri Kamlakar D. Rajhans Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer submits that he has oral instructions from the respondents that the applicant in present contempt petition is reinstated.

3. In view of the aforesaid statement made on behalf of the respondents, learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the present contempt petition may be disposed of.

4. In view of aforesaid submissions made on behalf of both the sides, the present contempt petition is disposed of without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS17.07.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P.NO. 04/2018 IN O.A.NO. 115/2015**

[Smt. Usha S. Tiwane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer submits that he has oral instructions from the respondents that the applicant in present contempt petition is reinstated.
3. In view of the aforesaid statement made on behalf of the respondents, learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the present contempt petition may be disposed of.
4. In view of aforesaid submissions made on behalf of both the sides, the present contempt petition is disposed of without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS17.07.2018-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P.NO. 15/2014 IN O.A.NO. 1128/1999**

[Shri Revannath R. Landge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks liberty to file counter-rejoinder. Liberty as prayed for is granted. The applicant shall file counter-rejoinder within a period of one week.
3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 24<sup>th</sup> July, 2018 for filing counter-affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS17.07.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P.ST.NO. 874/2018 IN O.A.NO. 291/2014**

[Shri Rajendra S. More Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri P.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to remove the office objections raised by the office. At his request, S.O. to 30<sup>th</sup> July, 2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS17.07.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 514 OF 2018**

[Shri Sahebrao G. Avhad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Mahesh S. Taur, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 27<sup>th</sup> August, 2018.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in

the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to the record.

8. S.O. to 27<sup>th</sup> August, 2018.

9. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 506 OF 2018**

[Dr. Shobha P. Chandak Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Devkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 29<sup>th</sup> August, 2018.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in

the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to the record.

8. S.O. to 29<sup>th</sup> August, 2018.

9. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 513 OF 2018**

[Ashwini U. Dalvi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri M.P. Tripathi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 20<sup>th</sup> August, 2018.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in

**O.A. NO. 506 OF 2018**

the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to the record.

**8. Without filing any detailed affidavit in reply, the respondent No. 2 is initially directed to file affidavit explaining only the reasons for withholding the result of the present applicant.**

**9. The issue of grant of interim relief is hereby kept open.**

10. S.O. to 20<sup>th</sup> August, 2018.

11. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 931 OF 2016**

[Shri Chudaman D. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Shrikant Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 23<sup>rd</sup> August, 2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS17.07.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 930 OF 2016**

[Shri Chudaman D. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Shrikant Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 23<sup>rd</sup> August, 2018 .

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS17.07.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P.NO. 21/2018 IN O.A.NO. 154/2016**

[Shri Abhijeet T. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed a copy of communication dated 11<sup>th</sup> July, 2018 received to him from the Secretary and the same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purposes of identification.
3. For the reasons mentioned in the aforesaid communication, S.O. to 8<sup>th</sup> August, 2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS17.07.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 480 OF 2017**

[Shri Dnyaneshwar B. Sonawane & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri G.K. Kshirsagar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 27<sup>th</sup> August, 2018.
3. The present case be placed along with M.A.No. 28/2018 IN O.A.No. 519/2017 & Group.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS17.07.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 702 OF 2017**

[Shri Sachin A. Bachkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri D.A. Bide, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
  
2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time for satisfying the query raised by this Tribunal vide order dated 7.6.2018. At his request, S.O. to 27<sup>th</sup> August, 2018 for satisfying the query.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS17.07.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A.NO. 750/2015 WITH O.A.NO. 763/2015**

[Shri Dnyaneshwar L. Kedare & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Smt. Sayali Machale, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicants in both these cases and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar & Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officers for the respective respondents in respective cases.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 10<sup>th</sup> August, 2018, as a last chance.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS17.07.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 659 OF 2014**

[Shri Sachin R. Kasar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri D.A. Bide, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 27<sup>th</sup> August, 2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS17.07.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 300 OF 2015**

[Shri Santosh P. Namdas Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri V.A. Tanwade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23<sup>rd</sup> August, 2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS17.07.2018-HDD



**M.A.28/18 IN O.A. 519/17 WITH M.A.29/18 IN O.A. 520/17 WITH M.A.  
30/18 IN O.A. 949/17 WITH O.A. 521/17**

[Shri Jitsing L. Pardeshi & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Abhishek C. Deshpande, learned Advocate for himself and holding for Shri S.S. Deve, learned Advocate for the applicants in O.A. No. 521/2017 and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these cases.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 27<sup>th</sup> August, 2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 17.07.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 28/2018  
(Shashank B. Kamble V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.7.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri G.J. Kore, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 ^2 and Shri Mahendra Kochar, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 3 to 5.

2. With consent of both the sides, S.O. to 27.8.2018 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 17-7-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 178/2018 WITH O.A. NO. 30/2018  
(Manjusha E. Kute (Khade) V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.7.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant in the present M.A., Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 2 to 5 and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for present respondent no. 1 / applicant in O.A.

2. Shri Wagh, learned Advocate for res. no. 1 / applicant in O.A. files reply to the present M.A. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side. S.O. to 9.8.2018 for hearing of M.A. for intervention.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 31/2018  
(Dattatraya N. Trimukhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.7.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has filed affidavit in reply of res. nos. 1 to 4. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the other side. In the circumstances, S.O. to 8.8.2018 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 17-7-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 128/2018  
(Hausaji L. Markwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.7.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note. Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 4 and Shri S.S. Shinde, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Bhavthankar, learned Advocate for respondent no. 5, are present.

2. At the request of learned P.O. S.O. to 28.8.2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 17-7-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 141/2018  
(Nanda P. Bankar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.7.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. S.O. to 9.8.2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 17-7-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 154/2018  
(Priyanka P. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.7.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Swati B. Nakhate, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent no. 1 and Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for respondent no. 2.

2. Shri Kolpe, learned Advocate submits that, in fact, on 11.4.2018 itself a statement at bar was made by him on behalf of res. no. 2 that the selection process is complete and, therefore, the present applicant could not be called to participate in the further selection process as directed by the Tribunal vide order dtd. 15.3.2018. He also files on record affidavit in reply of res. no. 2. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the other side.

3. In view of above statement made at bar by learned Advocate for res. no. 2, S.O. to 20.8.2018 for filing affidavit in reply by the res. no. 1.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 171/2018  
(Dr. Prakash R. Kanade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.7.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files on record a copy of communication received to her from the concerned respondent, which is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification. Learned P.O. submits that the objections are now removed and bill is already submitted to the Treasury Office. In that view of the matter, S.O. to 20.8.2018 for reporting further compliance.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 17-7-2018



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 175/2018  
(Vitthal s. Lokhande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.7.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files on record a copy of communication received to him from the concerned respondent, which would show that para-wise remarks are sought from the concerned respondent. In fact, by the order dtd. 28.3.2018 this Tribunal has directed the respondents not to file affidavit in reply, but directed to take a decision on the report of the Enquiry Officer according to law and file report on or before the next date. Therefore, the communication placed on record today does not hold water. However, in view of the request of learned P.O. to take instructions solely on above ground S.O. to 9.8.2018 with forewarning that in case report on the line of order dtd. 28.3.2018 is not filed on the next date the Tribunal would take coercive action against the concerned respondents including imposing heavy costs on the respondents.

3. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 17-7-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 362/2018  
(Ganesh S. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.7.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 9.8.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 17-7-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 259/2018  
(Naseem Banu Nazir Patel V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.7.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.S. Dixit, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.N. Nagargoje, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files on record affidavit in reply of the respondent of res. no. 1. It is taken on record. She seeks time to file affidavit in reply of res. no. 2. At her request, S.O. to 8.8.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of res. no. 2.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 17-7-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**MA 318/2015 IN MA ST. 267/15 IN MA 10/2011 IN OA 785/1998  
(Bhatu H. Sonar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.7.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Sayyed Zahed Ali, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Golegaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time. It is to be noted that already more than reasonable opportunities were granted to the learned Advocate for the applicant for hearing of the present Matter. The O.A. is of the year 1998. In the circumstances, at his request, as a last chance, S.O. to 10.8.2018 for hearing with a forewarning that in case present M.A. is not argued on the next date, the same shall be dismissed automatically.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 414/2017 IN O.A. ST. 1443/2017  
(Rajendrakumar P. Barhate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.7.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. For the reasons stated in the present M.A. it is allowed and disposed of without any order as to costs and the applicant is permitted to amend the O.A. as per draft amendment within a period of one week.

3. Learned Advocate submits that, in fact, in the present affidavit in reply to the proposed amendment filed in the present M.A., the respondents have replied the proposed amendment also and, therefore, affidavit in reply to the amended O.A. is not required.

4. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply to the amended O.A. Considering the urgency in the present matter, S.O. to 9.8.2018 either for filing affidavit in reply to the amended O.A. or for further hearing of the O.A.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 106/2018 IN O.A. 321/2017  
(Manisha P. Jagtap V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.7.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.A. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., as a last chance, S.O. to 20.8.2018 for filing affidavit in reply to the present M.A.

3. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 17-7-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 496/2018  
(A.E. Dahifale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.7.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. In view of absence of applicant and his learned Advocate, S.O. to 27.8.2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 17-7-2018



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P. 24/2018 IN O.A. 494/2016  
(Laxmikant G. Ranaparkhi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.7.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.B. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed rejoinder. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant. S.O. to 21.8.2018 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 17-7-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P. 25/2018 IN O.A. 541/2017  
(Dr. Manik P. Sangale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.7.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to comply with the order of the Tribunal dtd. 12.6.2018. It is to be noted that vide the order dtd. 12.6.2018, it was noted that the writ petition filed by the State Government was already dismissed by the Hon'ble High Court still no compliance is made of the order in question or no instructions are given to the learned P.O. in that regard.

3. In the circumstances, at the request of learned P.O. to comply with the order dtd. 12.6.2018, S.O. to 8.8.2018 with a forewarning that in case the order in O.A. is not complied with, heavy costs would be imposed on the concerned respondents.

4. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 533/2013  
(Shashikant N. Tidke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.7.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 4 to 10 are present.

2. In view of absence of applicant and his learned Advocate, S.O. to 23.8.2018 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 17-7-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 688/2014  
(Shrikant N. Mahajan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.7.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicant. Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. In view of absence of applicant and his learned Advocate, as a last chance, S.O. to 11.8.2018 for hearing or for passing necessary orders.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 17-7-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 49/2015  
(D.G. Zade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.7.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate  
for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned  
Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O., S.O. to  
8.8.2018 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 17-7-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 583/2014  
(Bhausahab S. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.7.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 4. None appears for respondent nos. 5 & 6.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time. It is to be noted that the present O.A. is very old. However, at the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 9.8.2018 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 17-7-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 191, 192 & 196/2018  
(Vilas N. Salunke & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.7.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicants in all these matters. S/shri M.S. Mahajan, B.S. Deokar, learned Chief Presenting Officer & Presenting Officer for the respondents in respective matters.

2. Learned C.P.O. / P.O. have filed separate replies of res. nos. 3 & 4 and 5 in respective matters and seek time to file replies of rest of the respondents in respective matters. The said replies are taken on record. In the circumstances, S.O. to 27.8.2018 for filing replies of rest of the respondents.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 17-7-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 509/17 IN O.A. ST. 1671/2017**

**WITH**

**M.A. 510/2017 IN O.A. ST. 1672/2017**

**WITH**

**M.A. 511/2017 IN O.A. ST. 1673/2017**

**(Sambhaji S. Patil & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**AND**

**ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.7.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicants. Shri M.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 27.8.2018 for filing reply in all these M.As.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 17-7-2018



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 118, 119 & 120/2017  
(Manasi K. Kulkarni & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.7.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these matters and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submits that, she would clarify the situation by submitting a chart and if necessary she would also file additional affidavit. Learned Advocate submits that, at Exh. 10 in O.A. no. 120/2017, a copy of communication dtd. 5.7.2016 issued by the State Government to the Commissioner of Social Welfare is placed. It appears from para 5 of the said communication (page 59) that, out of 76 posts to be abolished the post of Dy. Superintendent of Police and P.I. would be abolished by reverting Officers to their original cadre, so far as other posts are concerned, the Officers holding the same posts shall be retained on the same post or by absorbing them on equivalent posts. In the circumstances, the concerned respondents are directed to explain about para 5 and take necessary corrective steps in that regard.

**::-2-::**  
**O.A NOS. 118, 119 & 120/2017**

Additional reply also include the exercise in regard para 5 as referred above. S.O. to 20.8.2018 for above compliance / for additional reply / for hearing.

3. Steno copy allowed to the learned P.O.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 17-7-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 555/2014  
(Sudhir A. Sathe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.7.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. With consent of both the sides, S.O. to 23.8.2018 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 17-7-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P. ST. 882/2018 IN O.A. 210/2013  
(Madhukar R. Mapari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.7.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate submits that, in fact, by R.P.A.D. a notice has been sent to res. no. 2 and receipt in that regard is also filed on record, however, acknowledgement of res. no. 2 is not finding on the website. Therefore, objection regarding acknowledgement of res. no. 2 need not be required. He further submits that the res. no. 4 is a formal party and, therefore, notice to res. no. 4 is not required.

3. In the circumstances issue notice to res. nos. 1 to 3 in the present C.P., returnable on 20.8.2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 191/2018 IN O.A. 703/2017  
(Vasant M. Gosavi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.7.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23.8.2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 17-7-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 159/2010  
(Dr. Prakash A. Sawant & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.7.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of directions issued by the Tribunal vide order dtd. 7.6.2018 the Office has issued notice to all the 7 applicants. The office has filed acknowledgement of 6 applicants, while notice sent by R.P.A.D. to applicant no. 7 – Dr. Manohar T. Jadhav – has been returned un-served as said Dr. Jadhav is transferred from the said post. In the circumstances service of notice in gratis is good.

3. Learned Advocate submits that they had no instructions in the matter. In the circumstances, S.O. to 21.8.2018 either for hearing or for passing necessary order in default.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 592/2017  
(Association of Pharmacy Teachers of India through its Members V/s. State of  
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.7.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.N. Kakade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Both the sides submit that certain further arguments are required. They also want to file written notes of arguments in the matter. In the circumstances, as the present D.B. is not available for next five weeks, S.O. to 4.9.2018. To be treated as a part heard.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 17-7-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P. 19/2017 IN O.A. 522/2000  
C.P. 20/2017 IN O.A. 718/1998  
C.P. 21/2017 IN O.A. 1203/1999  
C.P. 22/2017 IN O.A. 717/1998  
C.P. 23/2017 IN O.A. 492/2002  
C.P. 24/2017 IN O.A. 493/2002  
C.P. 25/2017 IN O.A. 525/2002  
C.P. 26/2017 IN O.A. 705/1996  
C.P. 27/2017 IN O.A. 682/1996  
C.P. 28/2017 IN O.A. 718/1996**

**(Shri Valmik N. Patil & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 17.07.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard S/shri M.H. Patil & A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocates for the applicants in respective matters and S/shri M.S. Mahajan, N.U. Yadav, M.P. Gude, B.S. Deokar, V.R. Bhumkar, Smt. M.S. Patni, Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Chief Presenting Officer & Presenting Officers for the respective respondents in respective matters.

2. Learned C.P.O. / P.O. files on record copy of communication received to them and submits that the order is complied with in C.P. 26/2017 IN O.A. 705/1996, C.P. 27/2017 IN O.A. 682/1996 and C.P. 28/2017 IN O.A. 718/1996. The said communication is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the other side. Respective learned Advocates submits that the respective applicants are required to verify the



above fact. In the circumstances, at their request, S.O. to 23.8.2018 for further orders.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 17-7-2018