

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.57/2017 IN O.A.No.193/2017**  
(Shri P.S.Gangarde&Anr.V/s.The State of Mah.&Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri NasimShaikh learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. This M.A.No.57/2071 has been filed by the applicants for sue jointly.

3. Perused the application. Applicants have challenged the impugned order of their transfer dated 27-01-2017. Cause of action and the relief claimed by the applicants are similar and the same impugned order has been challenged.

4. For the reasons stated in the miscellaneous application, it is allowed. Applicants are permitted to sue jointly.

5. M.A. stands disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.193/2017**

(Shri P.S.Gangarde&Anr.V/s.The State of Mah.&Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri NasimShaikh learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 8<sup>th</sup> March, 2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O.to 8<sup>th</sup> March, 2017.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO.3049/2016**  
(Shri U.R.Patil V/s.The State of Mah.&Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri MohitDeshmukh learned Advocate holding for Shri S.G.Chapalgaonkar learned Advocate fortheapplicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for time to produce documents to show that the applicant approached the concerned authority seeking remedy on the basis of decisions of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal and Hon'ble Bombay High Court.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submitted that he may satisfy the Tribunal on the point of office objections and produce relevant documents in support of his claim.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant also prayed for leave to amend prayer clause "B" in the O.A. Time granted.

5. S.O.27-02-2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.258/2016**

(Shri A.P.Kapse V/s.The State of Mah.&Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri Y.P.Deshmukh learned Advocate holding for Shri H.U.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that similar matters are on today's board at Sr.No.25 onwards. He prayed for tagging this matter with that group of matters i.e. O.A.No.205/2015 and others.

3. In view of above submission tag this case with O.A.No.205/2015 and others.

4. S.O.10-04-2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.328/2016**

(Shri K.N.Jadhav V/s.The State of Mah.&Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri G.J.Kore learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. prays for time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondent no.3. Time granted.

3. S.O.8<sup>th</sup> March, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.431/2016**

(Shri A.D.Lathkar V/s.The State of Mah.&Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Shri A.M.Nagarkar learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note** on record. Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. 15<sup>th</sup> March, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.457/2016**  
(Shri G.S.Dighule V/s.The State of Mah.&Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard ShriK.D.Jadhav learned Advocate fortheapplicant, Smt. DeepaliDeshpandelearned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos.1 and 2, and Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav learned Advocate for respondent no.3.

2. Matter is partly heard. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for time for arguing the matter finally till next date. Time granted.

3. S.O.30<sup>th</sup> March, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.473/2016**  
(Dr. G.K.Reddy V/s.The State of Mah.&Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri Sham Patil learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos.1 to 3 and Shri S.S.Manale learned Advocate for respondent nos.4 and 5.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant may file rejoinder, if necessary on the next date.

4. S.O.27-02-2017.

5. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.636/2016**

(Shri B.V.Lone&Ors.V/s.The State of Mah.&Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri D.G.Kamble learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Today learned Advocate for the applicants has moved the matter by taking circulation. This matter has already been fixed on 30-03-2017.

3. It shall come up on board on 30-03-2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.702/2016**

(Shri S.G.Gaikwad V/s.The State of Mah.&Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri D.G.Kamble learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Today learned Advocate for the applicants has moved the matter by taking circulation. This matter has already been fixed on 30-03-2017.

3. It shall come up on board on 30-03-2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.652/2016**

(Shri B.R.Thorat V/s.The State of Mah.&Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri H.U.Dhage learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant requested for adjournment till 16<sup>th</sup> March, 2017. Adjournment granted.

3. S.O.16<sup>th</sup> March, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.657/2016**

(Smt. ShaikShabana Ali V/s.The State of Mah.&Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri M.P.Tripathi learned Advocate fortheapplicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. prays for time for taking instruction in the matter. Time granted.

3. S.O.03-03-2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.682/2015**

(Shri B.Y.Sole&Ors.V/s.The State of Mah.&Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri M.P.Tripathi learned Advocate holding for Smt. Vinaya Mule learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has relied on the G.R. dated 09-12-2016 by which benefits are extended to the similarly situated employees, who were retired in between 01-10-2006 to 31-03-2010. He states that applicants are entitled to extension of benefits of G.R. dated 09-12-2016.

3. Respondents shall scrutinize the cases of the applicants and if they found to be eligible for extension of benefits, such benefits be granted to them.

4. Above direction will suffice. Purpose of filing of petition is served. Accordingly, it stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.775/2016**

(Shri D.D.Jagtap&Ors.V/s.The State of Mah.&Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Applicants have prayed for direction of the Tribunal for implementation of G.R. dated 29-09-2003 consequential benefits with retrospective effect.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the Tribunal has already decided certain cases involving similar issue.

5. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that since the pleadings are complete, matter may be admitted and it may be kept for final hearing.

6. Learned P.O. submits that this O.A. is not maintainable since it is not filed within limitation and applicant has to explain the delay caused in filing the O.A.

=2=

O.A.No.775/16

7. Since pleadings are complete, this O.A. is admitted by keeping the point of limitation open.

8. Learned Advocate for the applicant may file rejoinder, if necessary.

9. S.O.29<sup>th</sup> March, 2017 for filing rejoinder and final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.828/2016**

(Shri S.M.Pande V/s.The State of Mah.&Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri PrashantDeshmukh learned Advocate fortheapplicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submits that respondents have posted Smt. SanjivaniBhausahabMupde at Collector Office, Nanded by order dated 27-12-2016 in place of Smt. K.D.Deshpande, who had retired on 31-10-2016.

3. Learned P.O. to take instruction from the concerned as to whether suitable post at Nanded is vacant and whether applicant can be accommodated on that post after considering his request.

4. S.O. 3<sup>rd</sup> March, 2017.

5. Steno copy may be granted to the learned P.O. on his request.

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.907/2016**

(Shri S.B.SathePatil&Ors.V/s.The State of Mah.&Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri R.N.Bharaswadkar learned Advocate fortheapplicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned CPO prays for time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O.09-03-2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.944/2016**

(Shri S.V.Jagtap V/s.The State of Mah.&Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri P.B.Patil learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned CPO seeks time to file reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O.14<sup>th</sup> March, 2017

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO.1004/2016**

(Shri J.R.Shaikh V/s.The State of Mah.&Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri H.U.Dhage learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant states that he will produce on record certain documents on the next date and prayed for adjournment. Adjournment granted.

3. He shall supply copy of the documents in advance to the learned P.O.

4. S.O.16<sup>th</sup> March, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.332/2016 WITH  
CAVEAT NO.38/2016**

(Shri M.B.Borse V/s.The State of Mah.&Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri H.U.Dhage learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for the respondent no.2.

Shri S.R.Dheple learned Advocate for the respondent no.3 has filed **leave note** on record. Shri S.A.Ambilwade learned Advocate for respondent no.4 is **absent**.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O.14-02-2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.51/2016 IN O.A.St.No.183/2016**

(Shri V.A.Salve V/s.The State of Mah.&Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Shri V.S.Borkar learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O.17<sup>th</sup> March, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.06/2017 IN O.A.St.No.2069/2016**

(Shri M.G.Paliwad V/s.The State of Mah.&Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Smt. S.A.Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. On written instruction of the applicant, learned Advocate for the applicant prays for leave to withdraw the O.A.

3. Leave as prayed for is granted.

4. O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

5. Since the O.A. is withdrawn, M.A.No.06/2017 also stands disposed of.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.404/2016 IN O.A.St.No.1783/2016**

(Shri D.B.Deshpande V/s.The State of Mah.&Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Smt. S.A.Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. For the reasons stated in the M.A., it is allowed in the interest of justice and equity. Delay caused in filing the O.A. is condoned.

3. Accordingly, O.A. be registered and numbered.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.405/2016 IN O.A.St.No.1784/2016**

(Shri V.R.Kulkarni V/s.The State of Mah.&Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Smt. S.A.Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. For the reasons stated in the M.A., it is allowed in the interest of justice and equity. Delay caused in filing the O.A. is condoned.

3. Accordingly, O.A. be registered and numbered.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.406/2016 IN O.A.St.No.1786/2016**

(Shri P.P.Deshpande&Ors.V/s.The State of Mah.&Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Smt. S.A.Dhongde learned Advocate fortheapplicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has filed affidavit in reply in the M.A. on behalf of the respondent nos.1 and 3. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. For the reasons stated in the M.A., it is allowed in the interest of justice and equity. Delay caused in filing the O.A. is condoned.

4. Accordingly, O.A. be registered and numbered.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.07/2017 IN O.A.St.No.2071/2016**

(Shri Y.H.Jawale&Ors.V/s.The State of Mah.&Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Smt. S.A.Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. PriyaBharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. For the reasons stated in the M.A., it is allowed in the interest of justice and equity. Delay caused in filing the O.A. is condoned.

3. Accordingly, O.A. be registered and numbered.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.05/2017 IN O.A.St.No.2073/2017**

(Shri M.K.Patil V/s.The State of Mah.&Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Smt. S.A.Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. For the reasons stated in the M.A., it is allowed in the interest of justice and equity. Delay caused in filing the O.A. is condoned.

3. Accordingly, O.A. be registered and numbered.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.09/2017 IN O.A.St.No.2067/2017**

(Shri M.S.Magare&Ors.V/s.The State of Mah.&Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Smt. S.A.Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. For the reasons stated in the M.A., it is allowed in the interest of justice and equity. Delay caused in filing the O.A. is condoned.

3. Accordingly, O.A. be registered and numbered.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.91/2017**

(Shri P.P.Deshpande&Ors.V/s.The State of Mah.&Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Smt. S.A.Dhongde learned Advocate fortheapplicants and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 and 3. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. It is submitted in paragraph 18 of the affidavit in reply filed by the respondents that Government has issued corrigendum (G.R.) dated 09-12-2016 and extended benefits of the G.R. dated 01-04-2010 to the employees who have retired during the period 01-10-2006 to 31-03-2010.

4. Learned P.O. frankly admits that the applicants can be given relief in view of the G.R. dated 09-12-2016.

5. Respondents shall scrutinize the cases of the applicants and if they found to be eligible for extension of benefits, such benefits be granted to them. Accordingly, O.A. stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.89/2017**

(Shri D.B.Deshpande V/s.The State of Mah.&Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Smt. S.A.Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Respondent nos.1 and 3 have filed affidavit in reply in O.A.No.91/2017. It is submitted in paragraph 18 of the affidavit in reply that Government has issued corrigendum (G.R.) dated 09-12-2016 and extended benefits of the G.R. dated 01-04-2010 to the employees who have retired during the period 01-10-2006 to 31-03-2010.

3. Learned P.O. frankly admits that the applicant can be given relief in view of the G.R. dated 09-12-2016.

4. Respondents shall scrutinize the case of the applicant and if he is found to be eligible for extension of benefits, such benefits be granted to him. Accordingly, O.A. stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.90/2017**

(Shri V.R.Kulkarni V/s.The State of Mah.&Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Smt. S.A.Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Respondent nos.1 and 3 have filed affidavit in reply in O.A.No.91/2017. It is submitted in paragraph 18 of the affidavit in reply that Government has issued corrigendum (G.R.) dated 09-12-2016 and extended benefits of the G.R. dated 01-04-2010 to the employees who have retired during the period 01-10-2006 to 31-03-2010.

3. Learned P.O. frankly admits that the applicant can be given relief in view of the G.R. dated 09-12-2016.

4. Respondents shall scrutinize the case of the applicant and if he is found to be eligible for extension of benefits, such benefits be granted to him. Accordingly, O.A. stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.92/2017**

(Shri M.S.Magare&Ors.V/s.The State of Mah.&Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Smt. S.A.Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Respondent nos.1 and 3 have filed affidavit in reply in O.A.No.91/2017. It is submitted in paragraph 18 of the affidavit in reply that Government has issued corrigendum (G.R.) dated 09-12-2016 and extended benefits of the G.R. dated 01-04-2010 to the employees who have retired during the period 01-10-2006 to 31-03-2010.

3. Learned P.O. frankly admits that the applicants can be given relief in view of the G.R. dated 09-12-2016.

4. Respondents shall scrutinize the cases of the applicants and if they found to be eligible for extension of benefits, such benefits be granted to them. Accordingly, O.A. stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.93/2017**  
(Shri Y.H.Jawale V/s.The State of Mah.&Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Smt. S.A.Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Respondent nos.1 and 3 have filed affidavit in reply in O.A.No.91/2017. It is submitted in paragraph 18 of the affidavit in reply that Government has issued corrigendum (G.R.) dated 09-12-2016 and extended benefits of the G.R. dated 01-04-2010 to the employees who have retired during the period 01-10-2006 to 31-03-2010.

3. Learned P.O. frankly admits that the applicant can be given relief in view of the G.R. dated 09-12-2016.

4. Respondents shall scrutinize the case of the applicant and if he is found to be eligible for extension of benefits, such benefits be granted to him. Accordingly, O.A. stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.94/2017**

(Shri M.K.Patil V/s.The State of Mah.&Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Smt. S.A.Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Respondent nos.1 and 3 have filed affidavit in reply in O.A.No.91/2017. It is submitted in paragraph 18 of the affidavit in reply that Government has issued corrigendum (G.R.) dated 09-12-2016 and extended benefits of the G.R. dated 01-04-2010 to the employees who have retired during the period 01-10-2006 to 31-03-2010.

3. Learned C.P.O. frankly admits that the applicant can be given relief in view of the G.R. dated 09-12-2016.

4. Respondents shall scrutinize the case of the applicant and if he is found to be eligible for extension of benefits, such benefits be granted to him. Accordingly, O.A. stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**O.A.NOS.205, 396, 410, 416, 442, 453, 479, 484, 542,  
590, 613, 621, 643, 686, 463, 571, 611, 731, 594 ALL  
2015 AND  
O.A.NOS.2, 5, 15, 41, 55, 171, 282, 311, 441, 519 ALL  
OF 2016**

(Shri S.L.Todkar&Ors.V/s.The State of Mah.&Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 09-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri J.B.Choudhary for himself and holding for Shri A.M.Kulkarni, Smt. SuchitaDhongde, S/Shri S.B.Sant&Y.P.Deshmukh holding for Shri H.A.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicants in all these applications and S/Shri M.S.Mahajan (CPO), I.S.Thorat, S.K.Shirse, D.R.Patil, M.P.Gude, N.U.Yadav, V.R.Bhumkar, Smt. PriyaBharaswadkar, Smt. SanjivaniGhate and Smt. DeepaliDeshpande learned Chief Presenting Officer and Presenting Officers for the respondents.

2. S.O.10-04-2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 09-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

----

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.74/2017.**

(Shri V. K. Wagh&Ors. Vs. State of Mah.&Ors.)

----

**CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J).**

(This matter is placed before Single Bench due  
to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE** : 09.02.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri D. J. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M. S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant prayed for leave to correct the name of the Respondent no.3. Permission granted.
3. Learned C.P.O. is directed to take instructions as to whether the impugned order can be reviewed and the defence assistance which was already appointed by the applicants can be continued in order to avoid delay in proceeding in the Departmental Enquiry.
4. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 28.2.2017.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on all respondents notice of O.A. authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. stating that this Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal not be issued.

6. Authorization for service of notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service of notice may be done by the applicant by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the due date.

8. Affidavit of service be filed one week before due date.

9. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order.

10. Affidavit in reply be filed before due date.

11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

12. S.O. to 28.2.2017.

**MEMBER (J).**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

----

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION St.No.105/2017.**

(Shri B. J. Gaikwad Vs. State of Mah.&Ors.)

----

**CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J).**

(This matter is placed before Single Bench due  
to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE** : 09.02.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri S. D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M. S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 23.3.2017.
3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on all respondents notice of O.A. authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. stating that this Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal not be issued.
4. Authorization for service of notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
5. The service of notice may be done by the applicant by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the due date.

6. Affidavit of service be filed one week before due date.
7. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order.
8. Affidavit in reply be filed before due date.
9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.
10. S.O. to 23.3.2017.

**MEMBER (J).**

ORAL ORDERS 8-2-2017-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

----

**C. P. NO.2/2016 IN OA NO.344/2014.**

(Shri B. J. GaikwadVs. State of Mah. &Ors.)

----

**CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J).**

(This matter is placed before Single Bench due  
to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE** : 09.02.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri V. B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant, ShriVivekDhage, learned Special Counsel for the Respondent no.1 and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the remaining Respondents.

2. The record shows that, despite notices personally to the Respondents, the Presenting Officer has not received any instructions. In the circumstances, as a last chance S.O. to 23.3.2017 with a caveat that in case the Respondents fails to take positive action in the meantime necessary notice directing the respondents to remain present on each and every date as contemplated by the Rules may be issued.

3. Learned P.O. is directed to act on the Steno copy.

4. S.O. to 23.3.2017.

**MEMBER (J).**

ORAL ORDERS 9-2-2017-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

----

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.580/2016.**  
(Shri M. R. Lohar Vs. State of Mah. &Ors.)

----

**CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J).**  
(This matter is placed before Single Bench due  
to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE** : 09.02.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri S. D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. D. S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondent no.2. The same is taken on record. Its copy is served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. It appears that, though in the advertisement a time limit for submission of the Non-Creamy Layer certificate was granted up to the date of having oral interview, the present applicant could not produce the same on the due date and sought time, as can be seen from Annexure A-1, condition No.2 onwards (Page no.21).

4. Learned Advocate Shri S. D. Dhongde prays for time to file rejoinder. Time granted.

5. S.O. to 8.3.2017.

**MEMBER (J).**

ORAL ORDERS 9-2-2017-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

----

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.798/2016.**

(Shri H. S. Kusmude&Ors.Vs. State of Mah.&Ors.)

----

**CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J).**

(This matter is placed before Single Bench due  
to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE** : 09.02.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri H. U. Dhage, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N. U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant files on record the true copy of the order dated 18.3.2016 passed by the Tribunal in OA No.708/2011. Learned P.O. prays for time.

3. The Respondents are directed to go through the copy of the earlier order passed by this Tribunal in OA No.708/2011 and may take the corrective measures and report about the same on the next date. In case no reply is filed or no steps are taken on or before the next date the Tribunal may be constrained to call the responsible Officer before the Tribunal.

4. The record shows that, from time to time the time was granted to file affidavit in reply. In the circumstances, as a last chance, S.O. to 17.3.2017 for filing reply.

5. Learned P.O. is directed to act on the Steno copy.

**MEMBER (J).**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

----

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.749/2016.**

(Shri S. A. PalkheVs. State of Mah. &Ors.)

----

**CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J).**

(This matter is placed before Single Bench due  
to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE** : 09.02.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Miss BhavnaPanpatil, learned Advocate holding for  
Shri S. B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri I.  
S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of  
Respondents no.1 & 2 and also on behalf of Respondent no.3  
separately. The same are taken on record. Its copy is served on  
the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 20.3.2017.

**MEMBER (J).**

ORAL ORDERS 9-2-2017-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

----

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.454/2016.**

(Shri D. R. Mote Vs. State of Mah. &Ors.)

----

**CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J).**

(This matter is placed before Single Bench due  
to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE** : 09.02.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant  
and Shri M. S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the  
Respondents.

2. Production of the proceeding allowed. Liberty to file  
rejoinder is hereby granted.

**MEMBER (J).**

ORAL ORDERS 9-2-2017-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

----

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.835/2016.**

(Shri H. G. ShindeVs. State of Mah. &Ors.)

----

**CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J).**

(This matter is placed before Single Bench due  
to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE** : 09.02.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Smt. VidyaTaksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri  
A. S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.  
S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. prays for time to file affidavit in reply. Time  
granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 5.4.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**Lateron:-**

4. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of  
Respondents no.1 & 2. The same is taken on record.

5. S.O. to 5.4.2017.

**MEMBER (J).**

ORAL ORDERS 9-2-2017-ATP

**M.A. 330/2015 IN CP ST. 1146/2015 IN O.A. NO. 511/2013**

[Dr. Ramesh J. DhapteVs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Justice ShriM.T. Joshi, Member (J)**

**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

**DATE :- 09.02.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. None appears for the applicant. Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. It is pointed out by the learned Chief Presenting Officer that stay to the execution of the impugned order is already granted by Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Bench at Aurangabad in the writ petition. Since, none appears for the applicant, S.O. to 6.6.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ARJ ORAL ORDERS 9.2.2017**

**M.A. 331/2015 IN CP ST. 1148/2015 IN O.A. NO. 510/2013**

[Dr. Satish D. LondheVs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Justice ShriM.T. Joshi, Member (J)**

**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

**DATE :- 09.02.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. None appears for the applicant. Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. It is pointed out by the learned Presenting Officer that stay to the execution of the impugned order is already granted by Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Bench at Aurangabad in the writ petition. Since, none appears for the applicant, S.O. to 6.6.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ARJ ORAL ORDERS 9.2.2017**

**M.A. 332/2015 IN CP ST. 1144/2015 IN O.A. NO. 516/2013**

[Dr. Appasaheb S. DhusVs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Justice ShriM.T. Joshi, Member (J)**

**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

**DATE :- 09.02.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. None appears for the applicant. Smt. SanjivaniDeshmukhGhate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. It is pointed out by the learned Presenting Officer that stay to the execution of the impugned order is already granted by Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Bench at Aurangabad in the writ petition. Since, none appears for the applicant, S.O. to 6.6.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ARJ ORAL ORDERS 9.2.2017**

**M.A. 338/2016 IN CP ST. 1572/2016 IN O.A. NO. 690/2015**

[Smt. Rajshree B. DabhadeVs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Justice ShriM.T. Joshi, Member (J)**

**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

**DATE :- 09.02.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri Sanjay N. Pagare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Presenting Officer files on record affidavit in reply on behalf of res. no. 1, which would show that the order passed by this Tribunal on 15.1.2016 in original application no. 690/2015 is complied with. The said affidavit in reply is taken on record and copy of the same has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that, he would take instructions from the applicant.

4. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 17.2.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ARJ ORAL ORDERS 9.2.2017**

**M.A. 384/2016 IN CP ST. 1763/2016 IN O.A. NO. 573/2016**

[Dr. Gopal B. Kuril Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Justice ShriM.T. Joshi, Member (J)**

**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

**DATE :- 09.02.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri Sanjay N. Pagare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Presenting Officer files on record true copy of the order dated 5.12.2016 issued by the Desk Officer, Public Health Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai, which would show that the representation of the present applicant is considered. It is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification.

3. The learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to take instructions from the applicant in this regard. At his request, S.O. to 14.2.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ARJ ORAL ORDERS 9.2.2017**

**M.A. 52/2017 WITH M.A. 370/2015 IN CP ST. 1129/2015 IN O.A.  
NO. 199/2014**

[ShriUttam N. VendaitVs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Justice ShriM.T. Joshi, Member (J)**

**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due  
to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

**DATE :- 09.02.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri P.M. Shinde, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent no. 1 and ShriVivekBhavthankar, learned Special Counsel for respondent nos. 2 & 3.

2. ShriBhavthankar, learned Special Counsel for res. nos. 2 & 3 seeks time of 2 weeks on the ground that Special Leave Petition is filed in the Hon'ble Supreme Court. He filed on record the true copy of the communication dated 2.2.2017 received to him regarding filing of the S.L.P.

3. At the request of learned special Counsel for respondent nos. 2 & 3, S.O. to 22.2.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ARJ ORAL ORDERS 9.2.2017**

**M.A. ST. 3016/2016 IN CP ST. 3017/2016 IN O.A. NO. 44/2016**

[Harishchandra R. SonawaneVs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Justice ShriM.T. Joshi, Member (J)**

**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

**DATE :- 09.02.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Miss. BhavanaPanpatil, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Chief Presenting Officer files on record affidavit in reply on behalf of res. no. 2. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 10.2.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ARJ ORAL ORDERS 9.2.2017**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 42/2014 in O.A. No. 695/2001  
[ShriDurgeshBhaskar Dixit Vs.The State of Mah.& Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 09.02.2017**

**ORALORDER :**

Heard ShriC.V. Bhandane, learned Advocate for the applicant and ShriD.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 10.02.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDER 09.02.2017 - **KPB(SB)JDK**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 443/2015  
[ShriBabanRamchandraGunwantVs.The State of Mah.& Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 09.02.2017**

**ORALORDER :**

Heard ShriH.U. Dhage, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 16.03.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDER 09.02.2017 – **KPB(SB)JDK**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 444/2015  
[ShriIsmil s/o Ibrahim TadvVs.The State of Mah.& Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 09.02.2017**

**ORALORDER :**

Heard ShriH.U. Dhage, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 16.03.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDER 09.02.2017 - **KPB(SB)JDK**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 59/2017 in Review St. 208/2017 in O.A. 169/2015  
[Smt. Radhabai w/o RanujiMuley Vs.The State of Mah.& Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 09.02.2017**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The delay caused in filing Review Petition is of 39 days.

3. For the reason stated in the application as well as in view of the admitted facts that the Review is being claimed on the basis of judgment delivered by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 250/2016 which was delivered on 30.01.2017, the M.A. for condonation of delay in filing Review Petition is allowed in the interest of justice and equity and with a consent it has been decided to hear the Review Petition, on merits. The M.A. No. 59/2017, stands disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD  
Review St. 208/2017 in O.A. 169/2015  
[Smt. Radhabai w/o RanujiMuley Vs.The State of Mah.& Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 09.02.2017**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Presenting Officer seeks time to take instructions. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 15.02.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDER 09.02.2017 – **KPB(SB)JDK**