

14.01.2020

O.A 373/2017

M.M Joshi ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. None for the applicant and heard Shri A.P. Potnis, learned P.O for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O has filed reply on behalf of Respondent no. 3 and requested for time to file reply on behalf of Respondents no 1 & 2.
3. S.O three weeks.

(A.D Karanjkar)
Member (J)

Akn

14.01.2020

O.A 871/2018

S.B Ingole ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.N Gaikwad, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri S.A Sainis, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant is permitted to collect notice.

3. S.O two weeks.

(A.D Karanjkar)
Member (J)

Akn

14.01.2020

O.A 1012/2018

M.A Kurekar ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri P.P Khaparde, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri S.A Sainis, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Respondents no 3 & 4 have filed their reply. Respondent no. 5 has also filed separate reply. Learned P.O requested for time to file reply on behalf of Respondents no 1 & 2.

3. S.O three weeks.

(A.D Karanjkar)
Member (J)

Akn

14.01.2020

O.A 751/2019

Dr E.S Naphade ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.Z Jibkhate, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri S.A Sainis, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Re-issue notice to Respondents no 2 & 3.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O three weeks.

(A.D Karanjkar)
Member (J)

Akn

14.01.2020

O.A 793/2019

D.P Gaikwad ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.N Gaikwad holding for Shri R.Tajne, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri S.A Sainis, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. S.O three weeks.

(A.D Karanjkar)
Member (J)

Akn

14.01.2020

O.A 1009/2019

W.P Kawale ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri P.D Meghe, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri S.A Sainis, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. S.O three weeks.

(A.D Karanjkar)
Member (J)

Akn

14.01.2020

O.A 1001/2019

Shri B.S Garkal ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.N Gaikwad, learned for the applicant and Shri M.I Khan, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant requested one weeks' time to file service report.

3. Learned P.O requested for time of four weeks to file reply on behalf of the Respondents.

4. S.O four weeks.

(A.D Karanjkar)
Member (J)

Akn

14.01.2020

O.A 692/2018

Shri R.T Bhiogade ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri P.M Tembhornikar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A Sainis, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. It is the contention of the applicant that considering his pay of the last 10 months before his retirement, his pension was fixed and thereafter Circular is issued on 17.12.2013 to revise the pension which is wrongly fixed and to recover the amount. It is contention of the applicant that the Respondents have no right to recover the pension already paid. Similarly, the Respondents have no right to revise the pension and reduce it.

3. Annexure A-5 is filed by the applicant. It is order passed by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Nagpur Bench in W.P 4212/2015. In this Writ Petition, the recovery from pension and the Circular issued by the Government to revise the pension were challenged. In para 7, the Hon'ble Bombay High Court has observed that the recovery for amount already paid to the Petitioner as part of his pension is not legally open, but it was open to the Respondents to scale down his pension after revising his last pay by deleting the allowances paid to him and thereafter re-fix monthly pension and pay it in future.

4. In view of this specific verdict, I am of the firm view that as the matter is already examined by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court in W.P 4212/2015, and decided on merits, therefore, the present O.A is not tenable.

5. Hence, O.A stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

(A.D Karanjkar)
Member (J)

Akn

14.01.2020

O.A 693/2018

Shri S.A Bagde ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri P.M Tembhnkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A Sainis, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. It is the contention of the applicant that considering his pay of the last 10 months before his retirement, his pension was fixed and thereafter Circular is issued on 17.12.2013 to revise the pension which is wrongly fixed and to recover the amount. It is contention of the applicant that the Respondents have no right to recover the pension already paid. Similarly, the Respondents have no right to revise the pension and reduce it.

3. Annexure A-5 is filed by the applicant. It is order passed by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Nagpur Bench in W.P 4207/2015. In this Writ Petition, the recovery from pension and the Circular issued by the Government to revise the pension were challenged. In para 7, the Hon'ble Bombay High Court has observed that the recovery for amount already paid to the Petitioner as part of his pension is not legally open, but it was open to the Respondents to scale down his pension after revising his last pay by deleting the allowances paid to him and thereafter re-fix monthly pension and pay it in future.

4. In view of this specific verdict, I am of the firm view that as the matter is already examined by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court in W.P 4207/2015, and decided on merits, therefore, the present O.A is not tenable.

5. Hence, O.A stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

(A.D Karanjkar)
Member (J)

Akn

14.01.2020

O.A 410/2019

A.J Raut ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri D.M Kakani, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.P Potnis, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. S.O one week.

(A.D Karanjkar)
Member (J)

Akn

14.01.2020

O.A 583/2018

M.S Tripiathi ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri H.D Dangre, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.P Potnis, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. S.O two weeks.

(A.D Karanjkar)
Member (J)

Akn

14.01.2020

O.A 408/2019

D.P Savale ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Shri S.M Bhagde, learned advocate for the applicant has filed his leave note. Heard Shri H.K Pandey, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. S.O 24.1.2020.

(A.D Karanjkar)
Member (J)

Akn

14.01.2020

O.A 695/2019

U.M Patil ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.N Gaikwad, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri M.I Khan, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. S.O to 21.01.2020.

(A.D Karanjkar)
Member (J)

Akn

14.01.2020

O.A 373/18(C)

Ku. A.B Waghmare ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri P.J Mehta, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri H.K Pande, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. S.O to 27.01.2020.

(A.D Karanjkar)
Member (J)

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE
TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 377 OF 2019**

DISTRICT : CHANDRAPUR

Smt Ushatai Wd/o Namdeo Chaudhary)
Occ : Service, R/at Post Sonapur)
Deshpande, Tah-Gondpipri,)
Dist-Chandrapur)..Applicant)

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra & Others)
Through its Secretary,)
State Excise Department, Mantralaya)
Mumbai 400 032.)
2. The Commissioner,)
State Excise Department,)
Maharashtra State, Mumba.)
2nd floor, Old Custom House, Fort,)
Horniman Circle, Mumbai.)
3. The Divisional Commissioner,)
State Excise Department Office,)
Nagpur Division, Nagpur.)
4. The Superintendent,)
State Excise Department Office,)
Gadchiroli.)
)..Respondents)

Shri P.J Mehta, learned Advocate for the Applicant
Shri H.K Pande, learned Presenting Officer for the
Respondents

CORAM : Shri A.D.
Karanjkar, Member (J)
DATE : 14th January,
2020

ORDER

1. Heard Shri P.J Mehta, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K Pande, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant is challenging the transfer order, Annexure A-3 dated 28.8.2018. It is submitted that Shri B.J Maskolhe, was working as Peon in the office of the applicant when she was serving in the office of Superintendent, State Excise, Gadchiroli. The applicant lodged complaint that on 4.8.2017 that Shri Maskolhe attempted to outrage modesty and on 5.8.2017 in the morning she was beaten by Shri Maskolhe.

3. I have perused the report of the learned Enquiry Officer holding that so far as complaint that Shri Maskolhe attempted to outrage modesty of the applicant on 4.8.2017 was concerned, it was baseless allegation, as there was no stretch of evidence in support. The Enquiry Officer observed that there were disputes between applicant and Shri Maskolhe relating to performance of office work as it was tendency of Shri Maskolhe to avoid the work, but the Enquiry Officer has recorded finding that the applicant with the help of the relatives had beaten Shri Maskolhe, and this fact was visible in the video recording. The Enquiry Officer also observed that the applicant was arrogant and she used to quarrel with the Superintendent and other members of the staff.

4. Learned Counsel for the applicant submitted that in the absence of any complaint of substantial nature, there was no propriety to transfer the applicant as she is Group-D employee. Here, I would like to point that as it is surfaced in the enquiry that false complaint was made by the applicant against the co-employee that he attempted to outrage her modesty and applicant with the help of her relatives attacked the physically handicapped person, this material was a good for the transfer.

5. In view of this, the decision of the transferring authority to transfer the applicant from Gadchiroli to Nagpur is well founded. It seems that for the smooth running of the office, the decision was taken by the transferring authority.

6. In view of this facts, I do not see any merit in the Original Application. Original Application stands disposed of with no order as to costs. The applicant is permitted to make representation to post her in Gadchiroli District in other places.

(A.D. Karanjkar)

Member (J)

Dictation taken by: A.K Nair.

O.A. 601/2019 (D.B.)

Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)

Dated : 14/01/2020.

None for the applicant. Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id.
P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. three weeks.

Member (J)
dnk.

Vice-Chairman

O.A. 6462019 (D.B.)

Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)

Dated : 14/01/2020.

Shri C. Deopujari, Id .counsel for the applicant and
Shri S.A. Deo, Id. CPO for the respondents.

The Id. CPO submitted that reply-affidavit is ready,
but the person who has to swear affidavit is not present
and therefore further time may be granted to file reply. At
his request, **17/1/2020.**

Member (J)
dnk.

Vice-Chairman

O.A. 810/2019 (D.B.)

Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)

Dated : 14/01/2020.

Shri M.A. Sable, Id .counsel for the applicant and
Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The learned P.O. seeks more time to file reply on
behalf of R-2, i.e., MPSC. At his request last chance is
given to file reply.

S.O. two weeks.

Member (J)
dnk.

Vice-Chairman

O.A. 888/2019 (D.B.)

Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)

Dated : 14/01/2020.

Heard Shri A.S. Dhore, Id .counsel for the applicant
and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id .P.O. for R-1 to 3. None for
R-4.

The learned P.O. files reply on behalf of R-2&3. It
is taken on record.

The matter is admitted and it be kept for final
hearing.

The Id. P.O. waives notice for R-1 to 3.

S.O. in due course.

Member (J)
dnk.

Vice-Chairman

O.A. 993/2019 (D.B.)

Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)

Dated : 14/01/2020.

None for the applicant. Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id.
P.O. for the respondents.

The learned P.O. seeks time to file reply.

It appears that earlier order dated 13/12/2019 is
not complied.

S.O. three weeks.

Member (J)
dnk.

Vice-Chairman

O.A. 994/2019 (D.B.)

Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)

Dated : 14/01/2020.

Shri N.R. Saboo, Id .counsel for the applicant and
Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for R-1. Await service of R-2 to 4.

The learned counsel for the applicant submitted that he would file service affidavit till the next date. At his request, **S.O. one week.**

Member (J)
dnk.

Vice-Chairman

O.A. 255/2012 (D.B.)

Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)

Dated : 14/01/2020.

MCA No. 16/2019 -

None for the applicant. Heard Shri A.P. Potnis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

As submitted by the learned P.O. and for the reasons stated in the application, the MCA No.16/2019 is allowed and the O.A. be restored to its original file.

O.A. 255/2012 -

S.O. in due course.

Member (J)
dnk.

Vice-Chairman

O.A. 7572019 (D.B.)

Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,

Member(J)

Dated : 14/01/2020.

The applicant in person present. Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The Id. P.O. files reply on behalf of R-1 to 3. It is taken on record.

Heard. Admit.

The learned P.O. waives notice for the respondents.

S.O. in due course.

Member (J)
dnk.

Vice-Chairman

O.A. 995/2019 (D.B.)

**Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)**

Dated : 14/01/2020.

Shri G.K. Bhusari, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. CPO for R-1. Shri H.D. Marathe, Id .counsel appears on behalf of R-2 and submitted that he will file vakalatnama to that effect.

At the request of Id. CPO as well as Id. counsel for R-2, **S.O. four weeks** for filing reply.

Member (J)
dnk.

Vice-Chairman

O.A. 996/2019 (D.B.)

**Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)**

Dated : 14/01/2020.

Shri G.K. Bhusari, Id. counsel for the applicant and
Shri S.A. Deo, Id. CPO for the respondents.

At the request of Id. CPO, **S.O. four weeks** for
filing reply.

Member (J)
dnk.

Vice-Chairman

O.A. 709/2018 (D.B.)

**Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)**

Dated : 14/01/2020.

Shri H.D. Marathe, Id. counsel holding for Shri S.P.
Palshikar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan,
Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. 17/1/2020.**

Member (J)
dnk.

Vice-Chairman

O.A. 07/2017 (D.B.)

**Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)**

Dated : 14/01/2020.

Heard Shri N.R. Saboo, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id .P.O. for R-1 to 3. None for R-4.

It is submitted that the applicant has given instructions to withdraw the O.A. Hence, the O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn. No order as to costs.

Member (J)
dnk.

Vice-Chairman

O.A. 08/2017 (D.B.)

**Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)**

Dated : 14/01/2020.

Heard Shri N.R. Saboo, Id .counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The matter is admitted and it be kept for final hearing.

The Id. P.O. waives notice for the respondents.

S.O. in 2nd week of February, 2020.

Put up along with O.A. 09/2017

Member (J)
dnk.

Vice-Chairman

O.A. 09/2017 (D.B.)

**Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)**

Dated : 14/01/2020.

Heard Shri R.V. Shiralkar, Id .counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for R-1 to 3. None for R-4.

The matter is admitted and it be kept for final hearing.

The Id. P.O. waives notice for R-1 to 3.

S.O. in 2nd week of February, 2020.

Put up along with O.A. 08/2017

Member (J)
dnk.

Vice-Chairman

O.A. 14/2020 (D.B.)

**Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)**

Dated : 14/01/2020.

Heard Shri C.A. Babrekar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. CPO for the State.

2. Issue notice to R-2 to 5, returnable **after one week**. Learned C.P.O. waives notice for R-1. Hamdast allowed.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

S.O. after one week.

Member (J)
dnk.

Vice-Chairman

O.A. 688/2017 (D.B.)

**Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)**

Dated : 14/01/2020.

Heard Mrs. A.P. Mule, Id. counsel holding for Shri S.A. Marathe, Id. counsel for the applicants and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The proposal is already forwarded on 4th September, 2019 to the Government for regularization of the applicant in service, but till today the decision is not taken by the Government on this proposal.

S.O. four weeks.

Member (J)
dnk.

Vice-Chairman

O.A. Nos. 537,538, 539 & 540 of 2015 (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)**

Dated : 14/01/2020.

Heard Shri S.A. Kalbande, Id. counsel for the applicants and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

Closed for orders.

Member (J)
dnk.

Vice-Chairman

O.A. 40/2019 (D.B.)

**Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)**

Dated : 14/01/2020.

Mrs. A.P. Mule, Id. counsel holding for Shri S.A. Marathe, Id. counsel for the applicant, Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id .P.O. for R-1&2 and Shri Sunil Pande, Id. counsel holding for Shri N.D. Thombre, Id. counsel for R-3.

Shri Sunil Pande, Id. counsel submitted that Shri N.D. Thombre, Id. counsel for R-3 is not feeling well, therefore, further time may be granted. At his request, **S.O. 23/01/2020.**

Member (J)
dnk.

Vice-Chairman

O.A. 953/2019 (D.B.)

**Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)**

Dated : 14/01/2020.

Heard Mrs. P. Mahajan, Id .counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The matter is partly heard. The learned P.O. is directed to file copy of the status-quo order passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in SLA No.30621/2011.

3. The learned counsel for the applicant is also directed to produce the copy of Special Leave Petition (SLP).

S.O. 21/01/2020 (PH).

Member (J)
dnk.

Vice-Chairman

O.A. 466/2019 (D.B.)

**Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)**

Dated : 14/01/2020.

Shri R.V. Shiralkar, Id. counsel for the applicant
and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. 22/1/2020.**

Member (J)
dnk.

Vice-Chairman

O.A. 830/2011 (D.B.)

**Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)**

Dated : 14/01/2020.

Shri R.V. Shiralkar, Id. counsel holding for Shri
N.R. Saboo, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.
Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

Shri Shiralkar, Id. counsel submitted that Shri N.R.
Saboo, Id. counsel for the applicant is busy in the Hon'ble
High Court, therefore, further time may be granted.

S.O. 10/02/2020.

Member (J)
dnk.

Vice-Chairman

O.A.No.591/2015 (S.B.)

Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated :14/01/ 2020.

Heard Shri D.B.Walthare, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.Ghogre, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. two weeks.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-14/01/2020.
aps.

O.A.No.233/2019 (S.B.)

Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated :14/01/ 2020.

Heard Shri R.V.Shiralkar holding for Shri S.D.Tatke, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.Khadatkar, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. As pointed out by Id. P.O., applicant has challenged in relief clause 25F as prayer clause challenging in year 1996 of order dated 13/10/1996. So, the Id. counsel for the applicant is asked to file condonation of delay application which is not yet filed. The Id. P.O. further submits that as per the title clause applicant age is 46 years and for compassionate ground appointment, last age limit is 45 years.

3. However, as requested by Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. one week.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-14/01/2020.
aps.

O.A.No.449/2019 (S.B.)

Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated :14/01/ 2020.

Heard Shri R.V.Shiralkar, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.Ghogre, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The Id. P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the respondent nos. 2 to 4. It is taken on record. Copy is supplied to the other side.

3. Hence, matter is **admitted** and kept for final hearing.

4. The Id. P.O. waives notices for the respondents.

5. **S.O. 3rd week of February, 2020.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-14/01/2020.
aps.

O.A.No.552/2019 (S.B.)

Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated :14/01/ 2020.

Heard Shri R.V.Shiralkar, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.Ghogre, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The Id. P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the respondent nos. 2 to 4. It is taken on record. Copy is supplied to the other side.

3. Hence, matter is **admitted** and kept for final hearing.

4. The Id. P.O. waives notices for the respondents.

5. **S.O. 3rd week of February, 2020.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-14/01/2020.
aps.

O.A.No.669/2019 (S.B.)

Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated :14/01/ 2020.

C.A.No.327/2017:-

Heard Shri S.N.Gaikwad, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P.Potnis, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. As submitted by Id. counsel for the applicant, the applicant has filed earlier O.A. No. 203/2019 and it was jointly decided. In view of this, **C.A.No.327/2017 for Jt. O.A. is allowed.**

3. The Id. P.O. desires three weeks time to file reply.
S.O. three weeks.

Vice Chairman

Date:-14/01/2020.
aps.

O.A.No.832/2019 (S.B.)

Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated :14/01/ 2020.

Heard Shri S.Pandey holding for Shri N.D.Thombre, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P.Potnis, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. four weeks.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-14/01/2020.
aps.

O.A.No.584/2019 (S.B.)

Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated :14/01/ 2020.

Heard Shri S.S.Dhengale, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P.Potnis, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. three weeks.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-14/01/2020.
aps.

O.A.No.866/2018 (S.B.)

Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated :14/01/ 2020.

Heard Shri K.J.Khanorkar, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P.Potnis, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The Id. P.O. has filed letter by Under Secretary, Government of Maharashtra, Public Health Department dated 02/01/2020, by which Government has made query on 10 points needs to be fulfilled. While submitting reply on 10 points, if Id. P.O. will need help of applicant, it is directed to the applicant to co-operate while fulfilling these ten points. The Id. P.O. seeks four weeks time to file reply.

3. In view of query made by the Government, the applicant has been paid for his dues, the only part remain is interest and for that reply and various information are required.

4. The Id. P.O. desires four weeks time. **S.O. four weeks.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-14/01/2020.
aps.

O.A.No.657/2015 (S.B.)

Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated :14/01/ 2020.

None for the applicant. Shri A.M.Ghogre, the Id.
P.O. for the respondents.

2. **S.O. three weeks.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-14/01/2020.
aps.

O.A.No.129/2016 (S.B.)

Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated :14/01/ 2020.

Heard Shri S.N.Gaikwad, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.Ghogre, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. two weeks.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-14/01/2020.
aps.

Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated :14/01/ 2020.

Heard Shri S.M.Khan, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P.Potnis, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The Id. counsel for the applicant has filed pursis and along with pursis he has filed Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgments:-

1. **Union of India & Ors. Vs. Rajesh Kumar Gond, (2014) 13 S.C.C. 588.**

2. **Prime Impex Limited & Ors. Vs. Pec Limited & Another, (2014) 13 S.C.C. 591.**

and Hon'ble High Court Judgment:-

Kiran Shivaji Patil & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors., 2018 (2) ALL MR 217.

All the Judgments are taken on record. Copies are supplied to the other side.

3. The Id. P.O. was directed to file in a tabular form the concerned posts, duties, responsibilities and there pay-scales of Tribal Department and Social Welfare Department. They are yet to file the same for that he has given one week time. If after one week time, it is not filed matter will be heard on merit.

4. **S.O. 1st Week of February, 2020.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-14/01/2020.
aps.

O.A.No.231/2016 (S.B.)

Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated :14/01/ 2020.

None for the applicant. Shri A.P.Potnis, the Id. P.O.
for the respondents.

2. **S.O. three weeks.**

Date:-14/01/2020.
aps.

Vice Chairman

O.A.No.266/2016 (S.B.)

Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated :14/01/ 2020.

Heard Shri Tanveer Iqbal holding for Shri M.R.Khan, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.Ghogre, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. four weeks.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-14/01/2020.
aps.