

**O.A. 306/2018 (S.B.)**

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Vice-Chairman.**

**Dated : 08/09/2021.**

**MCA Nos. 10/2020 & 11/2020 -**

None for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The learned counsel has filed MCA No.10/2020 for condonation of delay and in the prayer clause it appears there is 92 days delay. In view of this, the MCA No.10/2020 for condonation of delay is allowed and as also MCA No.11/2020 for restoration is allowed. The O.A. is restored to file.

**O.A. 306/2018 -**

Heard Shri T.J. Patil, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

**S.O. four weeks.**

**Vice-Chairman**

dnk.

**O.A. 307/2018 (S.B.)**

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Vice-Chairman.**

**Dated : 08/09/2021.**

**MCA Nos. 12/2020 & 13/2020 -**

None for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The learned counsel has filed MCA No.12/2020 for condonation of delay and in the prayer clause it appears there is 92 days delay. In view of this, the MCA No.12/2020 for condonation of delay is allowed and as also MCA No.13/2020 for restoration is allowed. The O.A. is restored to file.

**O.A. 307/2018 -**

Heard Shri T.J. Patil, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

**S.O. four weeks.**

**Vice-Chairman**

dnk.

**O.A. 876/2019 (S.B.)**

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Vice-Chairman.**

**Dated : 08/09/2021.**

**C.A. No. 243/2021 -**

Heard Shri D. Karnik, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

For the reasons stated in the application, C.A. for early hearing is allowed.

**O.A. 876/2019 -**

**S.O. four weeks.**

**Vice-Chairman**

dnk.

**O.A. 208/2020 (S.B.)**

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Vice-Chairman.**

**Dated : 08/09/2021.**

Heard Shri V.B. Bhise, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The Id. P.O. files reply of R-2. It is taken on record. Copy is served on the applicant.

The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.

The Id. P.O. waives notice for the respondents.

**S.O. 27/9/2021.**

**Vice-Chairman**

dnk.

O.A. 911/2020 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Vice-Chairman.**

**Dated : 08/09/2021.**

Shri S.N. Gaikwad, Id. counsel for the applicant, Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for R-1&2 and Shri B.N. Jaipurkar, Id. counsel for R-3.

The Id. P.O. files reply of R-3. It is taken on record. Copy is served on the applicant.

The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.

The Id. P.O. waives notice for R-1&2,

**S.O. 24/9/2021.**

**Vice-Chairman**

dnk.

O.A. 658/2020 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Vice-Chairman.**

**Dated : 08/09/2021.**

Shri H.P. Longayat, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. 20/9/2021** for filing reply.

**Vice-Chairman**

dnk.

**O.A. 381/2021 (S.B.)**

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Vice-Chairman.**

**Dated : 08/09/2021.**

Shri N.R. Saboo, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

**S.O. three weeks.**

Interim relief to continue.

**Vice-Chairman**

dnk.

O.A. 406/2021 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Vice-Chairman.**

**Dated : 08/09/2021.**

Shri R.M. Fating, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjurkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. 29/9/2021** for filing reply.

**Vice-Chairman**

dnk.



O.A. 556/2021 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Vice-Chairman.**

**Dated : 08/09/2021.**

None for the applicant. Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. three weeks** for filing reply.

**Vice-Chairman**

dnk.

**O.A. 662/2021 (S.B.)**

( D.S. Waware Vs. State of Mah. )

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Vice-Chairman.**

**Dated : 08/09/2021.**

**C.A. No. 270/2021 -**

Heard Shri G.K. Bhusari, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The respondents have filed their reply of R-2&3 and in para-2 of the reply the applicant was appointed as Forest Guard on 13/3/2004 and again on 15/3/2004 to July,2007 he was posted at Bor Wildlife Sanctuary so it cannot be denied that the applicant has not worked in wildlife area. Subsequent to that as per reply only the applicant was transferred at Kuhi, Tq. Umred, Dist. Nagpur in the office of RFO. So he was working in the office of RFO not in the field. Subsequent to that again the applicant was transferred and posted in the office of RFO South Umred on July,2011 till date and this post is in the office and not field post. So it cannot be said that for last 13 years the applicant is working in the field posting.

3. In the para-2 of the reply it is mentioned that from the last 13 years the applicant is working in territorial wing of the Forest Department.. This appears to be incorrect

submission since last subsequent two posts as mentioned in the reply he has been working in the office of RFO which cannot be categorised as field posting. The applicant has given choices on page no.53 and at Sr.No.1 he has given choice of Social Forestry Range, Umred and at Sr.No.9 he has given Umred Karandala wildlife Beat Thana. The applicant has been working from last 13 years in territorial wing which needs to be re-examined by the respondents themselves.

4. In view of above paras, the respondents are directed to consider the applicant's choice at page no.53 at any vacant post as per choice given by him on page no.53 within 15 days from the date of receipt of this order considering their administrative requirement and as per policy of department by G.R. dated 22/5/2017 (A-6,P-54).

5. With this direction, the C.A. stands disposed off. No order as to costs.

**O.A. 662/2021 –**

Heard Shri G.K. Bhusari, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The Id. P.O. files reply of R-2&3. It is taken on record and copy is given to the other side.

**S.O. 24/9/2021 (PH).**

**Steno copy is granted..**

**Vice-Chairman**

dnk.

**O.A. 675/2021 (S.B.)**

( Santosh M. Tayade Vs. State of Mah. )

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Vice-Chairman.**

**Dated : 08/09/2021.**

**C.A. No. 268/2021 -**

Heard Shri A.P. Sadavarte, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The Id. counsel has filed C.A. for direction. The learned counsel submitted that vide transfer order dated 9/8/2021 (A-6,P-60) the applicant has been transferred from Motala to Wani, Dist. Yavatmal. However, the applicant has not been relieved till now for joining at transferred post. In order dated 17/8/2021 in para-5 which runs as follows –

*“5. However, it is pointed out that order itself was illegal as per Transfer Act. Since, the respondents have not followed the procedure laid down in the Transfer Act, 2005 by going through C.S.B. meeting which is not on record and applicant’s choices which have been given 10 choices as per Government Policy. The order dated 09.08.2021 (A-A-6, Pg. NO. 60) is illegal and it is stayed till filing of the reply. Meanwhile, respondents are at liberty to consider the applicant’s representation dated 30.07.2021 (A-A-2, Pg. Nos. 27 & 28)”.*

3. As the impugned order 9/8/2021 (A-6,P-60) is illegal, the respondents are directed to join the applicant at Motala as per Tribunal's order dated 17/08/2021.

4. With this direction, the C.A. stands allowed and disposed off. No order as to costs.

**O.A. 675/2021 –**

**S.O. 27/9/2021.**

**Steno copy is granted...**

**Vice-Chairman**

dnk.

**O.A. 676/2021 (S.B.)**

( Mangesh P. Choudhari Vs State of Mah.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Vice-Chairman.**

**Dated : 08/09/2021.**

**C.A. No. 269/2021 -**

Heard Shri A.P. Sadavarte, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. As per order dated 9/8/2021 (A-6,P-60) the applicant was transferred from Lonar to Darwa, Dist. Yavatmal on administrative ground. Aggrieved with this order, the applicant has approached to this Tribunal. The said matter was heard on 17/8/2021 by this Tribunal and it was mentioned that the applicant's representation dated 31/7/2021 (A-3,P-27&28) was not considered and till consideration of the applicant's representation, the order dated 9/8/2021 was stayed and the applicant should continue at Lonar. The respondents are directed to continue the applicant at Lonar till decision on the representation or filing of reply.

3. With this direction, the C.A. stands disposed off. No order as to costs.

**O.A. 676/2021 –**

**S.O. 27/9/2021**

**Steno copy is granted...**

**Vice-Chairman**

dnk.

**O.A. 699/2021 (S.B.)**

( Dr. Subhash G. Titre Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Vice-Chairman.**

**Dated : 08/09/2021.**

**C.A. No. 272/2021 -**

Heard Shri G.G. Bade, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Potnis, Id. P.O. for R-1. Await service of R-2&3.

2. The learned counsel has filed C.A. for direction and along with he has filed representation dated 23/8/2021. As per prayer clause in the C.A., the respondent nos.1&2 are directed to decide the applicant's representation dated 23/8/2021 within three weeks from the date of receipt of this order. In view of this, the C.A. is allowed and disposed off.

**O.A. 699/2021 -**

**S.O. after three weeks.**

Steno copy is granted...

**Vice-Chairman**

dnk.

O.A. 700/2021 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Vice-Chairman.**

**Dated : 08/09/2021.**

Heard Shri S.G. Jagtap, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjurkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

With the consent of Id. counsel for parties, **S.O. three weeks.**

Interim relief to continue.

**Vice-Chairman**

dnk.



O.A. 221/2019 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Vice-Chairman.**

**Dated : 08/09/2021.**

Heard Shri B. Kulkarni, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. two weeks.**

**Vice-Chairman**

dnk.

**O.A. 790/2021 (S.B.)**

( Natthu V. Gharat Vs. State of Mah. )

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Vice-Chairman.**

**Dated : 08/09/2021.**

Heard Shri S.P. Palshikar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. C.P.O. for the State.

2. As submitted by the learned counsel and in the statement in para-VII of the O.A., the respondent no.3 joined at Yavatmal sometime in August/ September,2020 he has hardly completed one year at Yavatmal. In the transfer order dated 21/5/2015 (A-1,P-19&20) the applicant's name appears at Sr.No.10 and he has been transferred from Amravati to Washim. He has been working at Washim for six years, therefore, he has made request for transfer vide representation dated 8/2/2021 (A-2,P-21 to 23) and on page no.23 in column no.9 he has mentioned two reasons (i) death of his wife and (ii) for higher education of children and their marriage. He has also filed death certificate of his wife and as per death certificate the applicant's wife expired on 13/11/2020 (A-5, P-38). Contention of the learned counsel is that vide transfer order dated 30/8/2021 (A-4,P-28 to 37) the respondent no.3 has been transferred from Yavatmal to Amravati and his name appears at Sr.No.11. It is submitted that transfer order dated 30/8/2021 (A-4,P-28 to 37) has been issued the officers of Group-C who have not completed normal tenure, but it is done on transfer. In para-IX on page no.11 of the O.A. in the last three lines it is mentioned that the respondent no.3 is yet not relieved from Yavatmal to join his transfer place at Amravati. The applicant's application is also for Amravati due to reasons stated in his application on page no.23. Since the respondent no.3 is not relieved, therefore, **the transfer dated 30/8/2021 (A-4,P-28 to 37) to the extent of respondent no.3, i.e.,Shri Mangesh Maldhure is stayed till filing of reply.** Meanwhile, the respondents are directed to decide the representation of applicant dated 14/2/2020 (A-3,P-26).

3. Issue notice to the respondents returnable **after three weeks**. Learned C.P.O. waives notice for State. Hamdast allowed.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

**S.O. after three weeks**

Steno copy is granted...

**Vice-Chairman**

dnk.

**O.A. 792/2021 (S.B.)**

( Shri Pradip R. Rathod Vs. State of Mah. )

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Vice-Chairman.**

**Dated : 08/09/2021.**

Heard Shri G.G. Bade, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. C.P.O. for the State.

2. The learned CPO submits that the applicant has filed application on 20/7/2021 which is immature. However, in the interest of justice the matter is heard and as submitted by the learned counsel, the applicant's application dated 20/7/2021 (A-1,P-9 to 12) is placed on record and as admitted by the applicant himself he is working in Narnala Range of wildlife wing, which is considered to be difficult area. He has also submitted previous request application which has not been considered and for last 8 years he has been working in the same. Place. He has submitted 10 choices at page no.12, out of that at Sr.No.5 is Social Forestry and at Sr.No.7 is Wildlife area and rest of the choices are at various places in Akola District and these choices are very wide and the respondents can easily consider the request application of applicant.

3. The learned counsel has relied on Govt. Revenue and Forest Department G.R. dated

22/5/2017 (A-5,P-17 to 24) in which and particularly in para-2 detailed guidelines for transfer of employees of the level of applicant has been explained. While transferring the applicant, the respondents to follow the said guidelines as per G.R. 22/5/2017 (A-5,P-17 to 24).

4. In view of this situation, the respondents are directed to consider the representation of the applicant dated 20/7/2021 (A-1,P-9) within three months from the date of receipt of this order but in any case before the next general transfer session of 2022.

5. With this direction, the O.A. stands disposed off. No order as to costs.

Steno copy is granted...

**Vice-Chairman**

dnk.

**O.A. 791/2021 (S.B.)**

( Devendra N. Gadge Vs. State of Mah. )

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Vice-Chairman.**

**Dated : 08/09/2021.**

Heard Shri N.R. Saboo, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. C.P.O. for the State.

2. The applicant was placed under suspension vide order dated 14/2/2018 (A-1, P-13). The applicant has approached to the Hon'ble High Court in Criminal Application (APL) No.645 of 2018 and order was pronounced on 21/12/2020 (A-4,P-17). The operative part of order (P-20) is reproduced as below –

*“ (1) The Criminal application is allowed.*

*(2) The First Information Report No.46 of 2017, dated 23/02/2017, against the applicant for the offence punishable under Section 354 (A) (D) of the Indian Penal Code and the charge sheet, are hereby quashed and set aside. The Regular Criminal Case No.586/2017 registered on the basis of said FIR and charge sheet is dropped.*

*(3) The application is dispose of, no order as to costs.”*

3. In view of this Judgment, the applicant has been acquitted from the FIR No.46/2017, dated 23/2/2017. There is typographical mistake in prayer clause (10) the learned counsel is directed to correct it.

4. However, meanwhile the respondents are directed to decide the applicant's representations dated 20/2/2021 (A-7,P-30), 1/7/2021 (A-8,P-32) and 1/6/2019 (A-9,P-34).

5. Issue notice to the respondents returnable **after two weeks**. Learned C.P.O. waives notice for State. Hamdast allowed.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
8. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
10. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

**S.O. after two weeks**

Steno copy is granted...

**Vice-Chairman**

dnk.

O.A. 444/2015 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Vice-Chairman.**

**Dated : 08/09/2021.**

Heard Shri I.A. Fidvi, Id .counsel holding for Shri M.M. Sudame, Id .counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The learned counsel desires more time to get documents as directed in order 30/08/2021.
3. In view of this, **S.O .two weeks.**

**Vice-Chairman**

dnk.



O.A. 85/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Vice-Chairman.**

**Dated : 08/09/2021.**

Heard Shri G.N. Khanzode, Id .counsel  
for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, Id. P.O.  
for the respondents.

With the consent of both the Id .counsel  
for parties, **S.O. 15/9/2021.**

**Vice-Chairman**

dnk.

**\*O.A. 581/2021 (S.B.)**

**( Ganesh M. Ahire Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors. )**

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Vice-Chairman.**

**Dated : 08/09/2021.**

Heard Shri G.G. Bade, Id .counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The applicant was suspended on 28/11/2020 (A-1,P-12&13). The matter was heard on 4/8/2021 and it was observed that the respondent no.2 was directed to decide the applicant's representations dated 12/4/2021 and 22/4/2021 within 45 days from the date of receipt of the order in the background of Hon'ble Apex Court Judgment, Hon'ble High Court Judgment and also Government G.R. dated 9/7/2019. The learned counsel has filed additional reply of notice by respondent no.3 (P-15 to 20) and in para-3&5 he has elaborated various provisions of department orders. However, in the light of Hon'ble Apex Court Judgment, Hon'ble High Court Judgment and also Govt. G.R. 9/7/2019, the suspension order 28/11/2020 (A-1,P-12&13) does not sustain in the legal eyes.

3. After hearing pleadings of both the sides, various Judgments of Hon'ble Apex Court and Hon'ble High Court and Government of Maharashtra G.Rs. were also considered. In view of this following Judgments of Hon'ble Apex Court and G.Rs. are reproduced below –

(i) The Apex Court in Civil Appeal No. 1912 of 2015 (arising out of SLP No.31761 of 2013) in the case of Ajay Kumar Chaudhary Vs. Union of India through its Secretary and another in its Judgment dated 16/02/2015 in para no. 14, it has observed that :-

*14 We, therefore, direct that the currency of a Suspension Order should not extend beyond three months if within this period the Memorandum of Charges/Chargesheet is not served on the delinquent officer/employee; if the Memorandum of Charges/Chargesheet is served a reasoned order must be passed for the extension of the suspension. As in the case in hand, the Government is free to transfer the concerned person to any Department in any of its offices within or outside the State so as to sever any local or personal contact that he may have and which he may misuse for obstructing the investigation against him. The Government may also prohibit him from contacting any person, or handling records and documents till the stage of his having to prepare his defence. We think this will adequately safeguard the universally recognized principle of human dignity and the right to a speedy trial and shall also preserve the interest of the Government in the prosecution. We recognize that previous Constitution Benches have been reluctant to quash proceedings on the grounds of delay, and to set time limits to their duration. However, the imposition of a limit on the period of suspension has not been discussed in prior case law, and would not be contrary to the interests of justice. Furthermore, the direction of the Central Vigilance Commission that pending a*

*criminal investigation departmental proceedings are to be held in abeyance stands superseded in view of the stand adopted by us.*

(ii) The Hon'ble Apex Court in its Judgment in Civil Appeal No. 8427-8428 of 2018 (Arising out of S.L.P. (Civil) No. 12112-12113 of 2017) in the case of **State of Tamil Nadu Vs. Pramod Kumar IPS and Anr. delivered on 21/08/2018** in its para no. 24 had observed as follows:-

*24. This Court in **Ajay Kumar Choudhary v. Union of India, (2015) 7 SCC 291** has frowned upon the practice of protracted suspension and held that suspension must necessarily be for a short duration. On the basis of the material on record, we are convinced that no useful purpose would be served by continuing the first Respondent under suspension any longer and that his reinstatement would not be a threat to a fair trial. We reiterate the observation of the High Court that the Appellant State has the liberty to appoint the first Respondent in a non sensitive post.*

(iii) The Principal Bench of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal Mumbai Bench in O.A. No. 35/2018 Judgment delivered on 11/09/2018 has also rejected continuation of suspension beyond 90 days.

(iv) The Government of Maharashtra has issued G.R. dated 09/07/2019. The Id. Counsel for the applicant has relied on para no. (ii) of the said G.R. on Pg. No. 35.

(v) The Hon'ble High Court of Bombay, Bench at Nagpur in W.P. No. 7506/2018, Judgment delivered on 17.07.2019 was also on same principle. It has observed in para no. 2 that **facts of this case are squarely covered by Government Resolution G.A.D. dated 09/07/2019.**

**1 (ii) fuyfcr 'kk dh; l oclh; kT; k i dj.lh 3 efgU; lpk dkylo/lr foHkxh; plcl'lh l q d: u nskjki i = ctko.; kr vkysulgh] v'k i dj.lh ek l oclp U; k; ky; lpsvlnsk i lgrk] fuyau l eMr dj.; f'kok; vU; i; k; j lgr ulgh R; keGsfuyfcr 'kk dh; l oclh; kT; k i dj.lh 3 efgU; lpk dkylo/lr foHkxh; plcl'lh l q d: u nskjki i = ctko.; kph dk; bk; hfuy; cuki kl u 90 fnol h; k vkr dKvclj i .ksdsh t kbjy ; kph n{krk@ [kcj nkjh ?ks; kr ; koh-**

4. This O.A. is squarely covered by Government of Maharashtra G.A.D. 'kk u fu.kz dz 118@i zdz11@11v] fnukd 09-07-2019. Since charge sheet was served after 90 days period i.e. on 26/3/2021, whereas 90 days period expired on 28<sup>th</sup> February,2021 only.

5. The respondents have not followed settled legal citations, as discussed above and G.R. 'kk u fu.kz dz 118@i zdz11@11v] fnukd 09-07-2019.

6. As submitted by the learned counsel, the charge sheet has been served on 26/3/2021 (P-67). As per Hon'ble Apex Court Judgment, the charge sheet was to be served within 90 days from the date of suspension. Since the applicant was suspended on 28/11/2020, so it was imperative on the part of respondents to serve charge sheet before 28/2/2021, however charge sheet has been served on 26/3/2021(P-67) so technically they have violated Hon'ble Supreme Court order and also Govt. G.R.

9/7/2019 in which in para-1(ii) it is clearly mentioned that if within 90 days charge sheet is not served, only option left is to revoke the suspension order by the department. In view of above discussion, the following order –

**ORDER**

- (i) The suspension order dated 28/11/2020 (A-1,P-12&13) is revoked within Thirty days from the date of receipt of this order.
- (ii) The respondents are directed to issue necessary orders along with suitable posting order as per observations made in para-24 above by the Hon'ble Apex Court in case of **State of Tamil Nadu Vs. Pramod Kumar IPS and Anr. delivered on 21/08/2018** within Thirty days from the date of this order.
- (iii) The O.A. stands disposed off.
- (iv) No order as to costs.

**Vice-Chairman**

dnk.

O.A. 308/2017 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Vice-Chairman.**

**Dated : 08/09/2021.**

Heard Shri R.V. Shiralkar, Id .counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

With the consent of both the Id .counsel for parties, **S.O. 17/9/2021**. The matter be kept on high on board.

**Vice-Chairman**

dnk.

O.A. 977/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Vice-Chairman.**

**Dated : 08/09/2021.**

Heard Shri G.G. Bade, Id .counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

With the consent of both the Id .counsel for parties, **S.O. 27/9/2021 (PH).**

**Vice-Chairman**

dnk.

(S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Vice-Chairman.**

**Dated : 08/09/2021.**

**O.A. Nos. 545,546,547,548,549,550,551,578 &  
579 of 2020 -**

Heard Shri S.N. Gaikwad, Id .counsel  
for the applicants and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O.  
& other Id. P.Os. for the respondents.

With the consent of both the Id .counsel  
for parties, **S.O. 15/9/2021.**

**Vice-Chairman**

dnk.

**O.A. 800/2021 (S.B.)**

**( Ku. Rani d/o G. Garud Vs. State of Mah.)**

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Vice-Chairman.**

**Dated : 08/09/2021.**

Heard Shri N.R. Saboo, Id .counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for the State.

2. The learned counsel submits that the applicant has been working as Forest Guard in Palidum Beat, Bairagud Circle, Dharni Range since 9/3/2017, i.e., more than 4 years which is wild life Wing and tribal area. The applicant has submitted choice application dated 21/4/2021 (A-3,P-24&25) and on page no.25 she has given 10 choices. After perusing the choices, it appears that the applicant has requested four choices in Social Forestry Wing and six choices in Wild Life Wing. However, vide order dated 30/8/2021 (A-1,P-14 to 16) the applicant has been transferred from Palidum Beat, Bairagud Circle, Dharni Range to Narnala Wild Life Division, Akot and her name appears at Sr.No.6. Though the applicant has given 10 choices at page no.25 and after serving more than four years in wild life and tribal area also, the applicant has been again transferred in wild life area, but not as per choice given by the applicant on page no.25. Since the applicant has served in wild life area more than four years, the applicant's case is covered under the Govt. GAD G.R. dated 6/8/2002 for tribal areas.

3. The learned counsel has also relied on Govt. G.R. of Revenue and Forest Department dated 22/5/2017 in which guidelines have been given about transfer of Forest Guard in the Department. Copy of the said G.R. is taken on record and marked Exh-X.

4. After perusing the transfer order dated 30/8/2021 (A-1,P-14 to 16), it appears that the provisions of G.R. dated 6/8/2002 and also provisions of G.R. dated 22/5/2017 have not been followed.

5. The learned counsel submits that the applicant has not been relieved till now and nobody has been posted against the applicant.



6. In this situation, **the transfer order dated 30/8/2021 (A-1,P-14 to 16) to the extent of applicant is stayed till filing of reply.**

7. Issue notice to the respondents returnable **after four weeks**. Learned P.O. waives notice for State. Hamdast allowed.

8. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

**S.O. after four weeks**

Steno copy is granted...

**Vice-Chairman**

dnk.

**O.A. 802/2021 (S.B.)**

(Shri Vivekanand D. Singh & ors. vs. State of Mah.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Vice-Chairman.**

**Dated : 08/09/2021.**

**C.A. No. 277/2021 -**

Heard Shri S.P. Palshikar, Id .counsel for the applicants and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. CPO for the State.

2. The learned counsel submits that he wants to remove certain pages which are not readable properly and to that effect he has already given undertaking in the office.
3. For the reasons stated in the application, the C.A. for permission to file joint O.A. is allowed.

**O.A. 802/2021 -**

Heard Shri S.P. Palshikar, Id .counsel for the applicants and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. CPO for the State.

2. The impugned order is dated 3/8/2021 (A-3,P-32) where 8 employees have been transferred. However only following four employees are applicants in the O.A. which are as under –

v-d-	ggnk	fc-d-	ulø	i qhphdāuh	cnyhdj.; kr vklyhdāuh
4-	Lkgki kni fu	1094	foodkum n; kum fl g	eki fo@i z kkl u	bZdi auh
6-	Lkgki kni fu	484	vfuy jfryky l kujh	eki fo@i z kkl u	, Q di auh
7-	Lkgki kni fu	471	l jškf' kogjh /kklMl :	eki fo@i z kkl u	, Q di auh
8-	Lkgki kni fu	618	foukn ukenøjko nškedk	eki fo@i z kkl u	bZdi auh

3. Others four employees have not approached before this Tribunal. The main argument canvassed by the learned counsel is that in the reference no.1 the order of Director General of Police dated 16/7/2018 is mentioned which is not applicable to the present applicants who are

working as Driver on permanent post. However, the Id. CPO submits that they are also covered by the order dated 16/7/2018, it will be cleared only after filing of reply by the respondents.

4. In view of this situation, **the transfer order dated 3/8/2021 (A-3,P-32) to the extent of applicants are stayed till filing of reply.**

5. The learned CPO has opposed to this, but considering the implication on the part of applicants, interim relief is granted till filing of reply.

6. Issue notice to the respondents returnable **after four weeks**. Learned C.P.O. waives notice for State. Hamdast allowed.

7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

**S.O. after four weeks**

Steno copy is granted...

**Vice-Chairman**

dnk.

**O.A. 801/2021 (S.B.)**

(Shri Ashok J. Tiwari & 6 ors. vs. State of Mah.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,  
Vice-Chairman.**

**Dated : 08/09/2021.**

**C.A. No. 276/2021 -**

Heard Shri S.P. Palshikar, Id .counsel for the applicants and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. CPO for the State.

2. The learned counsel submits that he wants to remove certain pages which are not readable properly and to that effect he has already given undertaking in the office.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, the C.A. for permission to file joint O.A. is allowed and disposed off.

**O.A. 801/2021 -**

Heard Shri S.P. Palshikar, Id .counsel for the applicants and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. CPO for the State.

2. All the applicants have been transferred vide order dated 3/9/2021 (A-3,P-37) which are as under-

v-d-	ggnk o fc- d-	i ukzulo	l /;k dk; jr d i a h	cnyhdj.;kr vkyshdãuh
1	Lkgki kni fu@430	v'kkcl teknlj frokjh	eki fo	Mh
2	Lkgki kni fu@148	Elxsk jxukfk i okj	eki fo	Mh
3	Lkgki kni fu@402	jesk fprke.k x.kfoj	eki fo	b.
4	Lkgki kni fu@364	txfn'k x.ki r dkoG	eki fo	,
5	Lkgki kni fu@204	i fni nfonkl eMkoh	eki fo	b.
6	Lkgki kni fu@193	ukjk; .k yndsk frokjh	eki fo	Mh
7	Lkgki kni fu@256	efu"kJesk djM	eki fo	ch

3. The main argument canvassed by the learned counsel is that in the reference the order of Director General of Police dated 16/7/2018 is mentioned which is not applicable to the present applicants who are working as Driver on permanent post. However, the Id. CPO submits that they are also covered by the order dated 16/7/2018, it will be cleared only after filing of reply by the respondents.

4. In view of this situation, **the transfer order dated 3/9/2021 (A-3,P-37) is stayed till filing of reply.**

5. The learned CPO has opposed to this, but considering the implication on the part of applicants, interim relief is granted till filing of reply.

6. Issue notice to the respondents returnable **after four weeks**. Learned C.P.O. waives notice for State. Hamdast allowed.

7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

**S.O. after four weeks**

Steno copy is granted...

**Vice-Chairman**

O.A.No.450/2017 (D.B.)

**Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman**  
**Dated : 08/09/ 2021.**

**C.A.Nos.308/2017&203/2021:-**

Heard Shri I.G.Meshram, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K.Pande, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. As pointed out by Id. P.O., the applicant was first appointed on contract basis as per order dated 01.11.2013 (A-4, Pg. No. 20) as per reference in letter dated 30.09.2014 (A-5, Pg. No. 23). At the end of the contract applicant was terminated while order dated 30.09.2014 (A-5, Pg. No. 23) by Principal Government College, Amravati. Though subsequent appointment letters are not placed on record but as per document dated 31.12.2014 (A-6, Pg. No. 24) it appears that applicant was again appointed on contract basis, however applicant prefer to resign on his own by letter dated 31.12.2014 (A-6, Pg. No. 24).

3. Now applicant is agitating to get continuity in service as per G.R. dated 13.03.2015 (A-7, Pg. No. 25). Since applicant himself resigned on 31.12.2014 (A-6, Pg. No. 24); it means that applicant was not in service when G.R. was issued on 13.03.2015 (A-7, Pg. No. 25). However, as per the Judgment of **Hon'ble High Court Bombay, Bench at Nagpur in W.P. No. 2046/2010 in case of Sachin Ambadas Dawale & Ors vs. Principal Secretary, Higher and Technical Education and Another decided on 19 October,**

**2013** and in para no. 22 they have clearly mentioned that respondents shall absorb the petitioner within a period of six weeks who are in continuous employment till 15.10.2013. Applicant in present O.A. was not in service when G.R. was issued on 13.03.2015 (A-7, Pg. No. 25).

4. In view of this situation, he cannot claim continuity in service. Hence, **O.A. No. 450/2017 as well as C.A. Nos. 308/2017 & 203/2021 stands dismissed with no order as to costs.**

**Vice Chairman**

**Date:-08/09/2021.**  
aps.

O.A.No.980/2019 (D.B.)

**Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman**  
**Dated : 08/09/ 2021.**

Heard Shri S.C.Deshmukh, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.Ghogre, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The Id. P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the respondent no. 2. It is taken on record. Copy is served to the other side.

3. Hence, O.A. is **admitted** and kept for final hearing.

4. The Id. P.O. waives notices for the respondents.

5. **S.O. four weeks.**

6. *Meanwhile, the Id. counsel for the applicant is at liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.*

**Vice Chairman**

**Date:-08/09/2021.**

aps.



**O.A.No.94/2020 (D.B.)**

**Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman**  
**Dated : 08/09/ 2021.**

Heard Shri N.B.Rathod, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.Khadatkar, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The Id. P.O. has pointed out order dated 02.03.2021 in which it was mentioned that opinion will be sought from the Head of the Department (English) Mumbai University, Mumbai on answer of Question No. 68, I.D. No. 87178.

3. The Id. P.O. submits that he desires four weeks time to file reply from Ratnagiri. At his request, **S.O. 22.09.2021.**

**Vice Chairman**

**Date:-08/09/2021.**  
aps.

O.A.No.291/2021 (D.B.)

**Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman**  
**Dated : 08/09/ 2021.**

Heard Shri G.I.Dipwani, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A.Sainis, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. four weeks to file reply.**

3. *The Id. counsel for the applicant is directed to remove office objections immediately.*

**Vice Chairman**

**Date:-08/09/2021.**

aps.

O.A.No.352/2021 (D.B.)

**Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman**  
**Dated : 08/09/ 2021.**

Heard Shri S.N.Gaikwad, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A.Kulkarni, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. 25.10.2021 to file reply.**

**Vice Chairman**

**Date:-08/09/2021.**  
aps.

O.A.No.497/2021 (D.B.)

**Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman**  
**Dated : 08/09/ 2021.**

Heard Shri V.B.Bhise holding for Shri B.J.Lonare, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A.Kulkarni, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. four weeks to file reply.**

3. *The Id. counsel for the applicant is directed to remove the office objection.*

**Vice Chairman**

**Date:-08/09/2021.**

aps.

O.A.No.510/2021 (D.B.)

**Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman**  
**Dated : 08/09/ 2021.**

Heard Shri G.Mate, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A.Sainis, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. two weeks to file reply.**

**Vice Chairman**

**Date:-08/09/2021.**

aps.

O.A.No.512/2021 (D.B.)

**Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman**  
**Dated : 08/09/ 2021.**

Heard Shri M.R.Patil, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A.Kulkarni, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. two weeks to file reply.**

**Vice Chairman**

**Date:-08/09/2021.**  
aps.

**O.A.No.627/2021 (D.B.)**

**Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman**  
**Dated : 08/09/ 2021.**

Heard Shri S.P.Palshikar, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N.Warjekar, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. In order dated 11.08.2021 all the points have been covered and the same should be filed by respondents at the time of filing reply. As pointed out by Id. P.O., according to applicant's letter dated 05.03.2018 (A-8, Pg. No. 44); he has given resignation from service on 03.11.2015 and now he is willing to continue in service on the other hand department is also proceedings with the enquiry. It means that relationship between employer and employee is not ended till now.

3. The Id. P.O. desires three weeks time to file reply, he is directed to file all the details which have been raised in order dated 11.08.2021.

**4. S.O. three weeks.**

**5. Steno copy is granted.**

**Vice Chairman**

**Date:-08/09/2021.**

aps.

**O.A.No.622/2021 (D.B.)**

**Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman**  
**Dated : 08/09/ 2021.**

Heard Shri R.V.Shiralkar holding for Shri A.C.Dharmadhikari, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A.Sainis, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The matter was previously heard on 06.08.2021 and in para no. 8 (A) Interim relief has been granted. Today, the Id. P.O. desires time to file reply, **S.O. four weeks to file reply.**

**Date:-08/09/2021.**  
aps.

**Vice Chairman**



**O.A.St.No.29/2021 (D.B.)**

**Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman**  
**Dated : 08/09/ 2021.**

**C.A.No.11/2021:-**

Heard Shri V.B.Bhise, the Id. Counsel for the applicant, Shri V.A.Kulkarni, the Id. P.O. for the State and Shri R.V.Shiralkar, the Id. counsel for the respondent nos. 2 & 3.

2. The Id. counsel for the respondent nos. 2 & 3 submits that he requires time to file reply; he is directed to file reply and supply the same in advance to the other sides.

3. **S.O. 27.09.2021 to file reply.**

**Vice Chairman**

**Date:-08/09/2021.**  
aps.

**O.A.No.761/2021 (D.B.)**

**Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman  
Dated : 08/09/ 2021.**

**C.A.No. 271/2021:-**

Heard Shri B.Kulkarni, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I.Khan, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The Id. counsel for the applicant has filed C.A. No. 271/2021 for Jt. O.A. and submits that all the applicants are in the same department and their grievances are also same i.e. for granting 3<sup>rd</sup> A.C.P. as per G.R. dated 02.03.2019 after 7<sup>th</sup> Pay Commission. Hence, **C.A.No.271/2021 for Jt. O.A. is allowed.**

3. Issue notice to Respondents, returnable on after six weeks. Learned P.O. waives notice for R-1. Hamdast allowed.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal

(Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

9. **S.O. after six weeks.**

**Vice Chairman**

**Date:-08/09/2021.**

aps.

**C.P.No.33/2021inO.A.No.253/2021 (D.B.)**

**Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman  
Dated : 08/09/ 2021.**

Heard Shri R.V.Shiralkar, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I.Khan, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. 24.09.2021 to file reply.**

**Vice Chairman**

**Date:-08/09/2021.**  
aps.

**O.A.No.349/2021 (D.B.)**

**Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman**  
**Dated : 08/09/ 2021.**

Heard Shri S.G.Joshi, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I.Khan, the Id. P.O. for the State.

2. The Id. counsel for the applicant is directed to remove office objections.

3. It is contention of the applicant that he is in service since long and thereafter G.R. dated 21.12.2019 is issued by the Government and in pursuance of the G.R., the respondent no. 2 has passed the order dated 11.01.2021 giving appointment to the applicant only for a period of 11 months. The said order has been passed without giving an opportunity of hearing to the applicant. It is submitted that in similar matters interim relief is granted to the applicants. In view of the facts on the ground of parity **the interim stay is granted to the order dated 11.01.2021 till filing of the reply.**

4. Issue notice to Respondents, returnable on four weeks. Learned P.O. waives notice for R-1. Hamdast allowed.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with

complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

10. **S.O. four weeks.**

**Vice Chairman**

**Date:-08/09/2021.**

aps.

**C.P.No.39/2021inO.A.No.122/2010 (D.B.)**

**Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman**  
**Dated : 08/09/ 2021.**

Heard Shri B.Kulkarni, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N.Warjekar, the Id. P.O. for the State.

2. The Tribunal's order was passed in O.A. No. 122/2010 on 28.07.2015 and the same was challenged before Hon'ble High Court Bombay, Bench at Nagpur in W.P. No. 6874/2016. The Writ Petition was filed by State i.e. Respondents and it was dismissed on 26.02.2020 and the operative order is in para no. 9 which is below:-

*"In view of aforesaid, we do not find any error committed by the learned Member of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, hence writ petition being devoid of merit stands dismissed. No order as to costs."*

3. Hence, Issue Notice to the respondents returnable in **four weeks** under Rule 8 of the MAT (Contempt of Courts) Rules, 1996 as to why they should not be proceeded for committing contempt of this Tribunal's order and as to why they shall not be punished under the Contempt of Court Act.

4. Shri P.N.Warjekar, the learned P.O. waives notice for respondent No. 1. Hamdast granted.

5. S.O. four weeks.

Date:-08/09/2021.  
aps.

Vice Chairman



**C.P.No.40/2021inO.A.No.122/2021 (D.B.)**

**Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman  
Dated : 08/09/ 2021.**

**M.C.A.NO.19/2021:-**

Heard Shri R.V.Shiralkar, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.Ghogre, the Id. P.O. for the State.

2. The Id. P.O. has submitted that he had already filed M.C.A. No. 19/2021 for extension of time in office in the same matter on 20.07.2021; but due to oversight they club M.C.A. No. 19/2021 today only.

3. Hence, **S.O. 15.09.2021.**

**Vice Chairman**

**Date:-08/09/2021.**

aps.