

O.A. No. 596/2015.

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).
Dated : 31st July 2017.**

Ku.L.K. Agrawal, Adv. holding for Shri S.D. Malke, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjekar, the learned P.O. for respondent Nos. 1 to 4. None for R.5.

At the request of the learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. **1st August 2017.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

Pdg

O.A. No. 494/2015.

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).
Dated : 31st July 2017.**

Shri A. Deshpande, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for respondent Nos. 1 and 2. Shri Bharat Kulkarni, Adv. holding for Shri R.V. Shiralkar, Adv. for R.3.

At the request of the learned counsel for R3, S.O. **one week.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No. 109/2015.

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).
Dated : 31st July 2017.**

Shri S.Y. Deopujari, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for respondent Nos. 1 to 3. None for R.4.

At the request of the learned P.O.,
S.O. **one week.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No. 128/2017.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).
Dated : 31st July 2017.

Heard Shri P.A. Abhyankar, the learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. S.V. Kolhe, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

2. In this O.A., the impugned order dated 1.3.2017 vide which the applicant has been promoted from the post of Havaldar to the post of Subhedar is under dispute the point of posting. The applicant has been posted from Central Prison, Nagpur to District prison, Akola. It was the say of the applicant that, there were two vacancies available at Nagpur and preference given by the applicant was not considered. Another ground is that, the applicant is due for retirement with two years. His daughters are marriageable and also on the medical ground, the

applicant seeks transfer / retention at Nagpur.

3. Since it was stated that the vacancies were available at Nagpur, the learned P.O. was directed to take instructions. The Ld. P.O. accordingly submitted that the applicant cannot be accommodated on the post at Nagpur, since some senior persons are to be considered who have filed representations for the posting at Nagpur.

4. The Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that one Shri Jaikumar Moon is also going to retire at the end of August 2017 and, therefore, his claim may be considered. The applicant has joined at his transferred place on 27.7.2017. The Ld. counsel for the applicant seeks one week's time to file representation for reconsidering the transfer of the applicant at Nagpur. The applicant will be at liberty to file such representation on whatever grounds available within one week. The respondent Nos. 2 and 3 may consider such representation in the light of the grounds made out in the said representation without being influenced by

any of the observations made by this Tribunal in this O.A. while passing various orders. Decision on the representation shall be taken within one month for the date of receipt of representation and shall be communicated to the applicant in writing. In view of this, O.A. stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

Steno copy be supplied to the Ld. P.O.

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No. 558/2017.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).
Dated : 31st July 2017.

Heard Shri D.M. Kakani, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for the respondent No.1.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that he will remove office objection tomorrow i.e. on 1.8.2017.

3. Vide impugned order dated 31.5.2017 (Annexure A-5), the applicant was transferred from the post of Deputy Engineer, Road Project Sub-Division No.1, Amravati to the office of District Rural Development Agency (DRDA), Yavatmal. He was, however, not allowed to join at Yavatmal. Subsequently vide order dated 19.7.2017, the applicant has been shown to have been transferred from DRDA, Yavatmal to Z.P. Works Sub-Division, Dharni. According to the learned counsel for the applicant the applicant never joined at Yavatmal and in fact he was ready to join at Yavatmal as per order dated 31.5.2017, but was not allowed to join and now he has been transferred to Dharni showing as if he has served at Yavatmal. In fact, the applicant

is still working in the office of Road Project Sub-Division No.1, Amravati. He is not yet relieved from the said post. In view of this, respondent Nos. 2 and 3 are directed not to relieve the applicant from his post at Amravati i.e. from the office of Road Project Sub-Division No.1, Amravati till further orders.

4. In the meantime, issue notice to respondent Nos. 2 & 3 returnable within **two weeks.**

5. Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for waives notice for respondent No.1. Hamdast granted.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunals (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post or courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry

within three weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. **two weeks.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No. 413/2017.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).
Dated : 31st July 2017.

Heard Shri Bharat Kulkarni, the learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. S.V. Kolhe, the learned P.O. for respondent Nos. 1 to 3. None for R.4.

2. Perused the application, affidavit and communication dated 13th July 2017 received by P.O. from the office of District Rural Development Agency (DRDA), Nagpur. The applicant has been transferred vide order dated 31st May 2017 issued by respondent No.1 from Gondia to Chandrapur as an Electrician. The said order has been challenged in this O.A. During the hearing, it has been brought to the notice of this Tribunal that earlier the applicant has given option for his transfer at various places which includes Nagbhid, District Chandrapur. Vide communication dated 13th July 2017,

the DRDA, Nagpur 9R.2) has intimated to the learned P.O. that the applicant's proposal for transfer to Nagbhid has been considered. But since the matter was pending before the Tribunal, order of transfer was not issued and if the Tribunal approves, the applicant will be transferred at Nagbhid, District Chandrapur. On the last date, the applicant submitted that he was not ready to go to Nagbhid. But today, the applicant is present before this Tribunal and submitted that he is ready to accept the posting at Nagbhid. He is directed to file a pursis to that effect and the Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that, he will file a pursis during the course of the day. In view thereof, O.A. stands disposed of with directions to the respondent No.2 to issue transfer order of the applicant at Nagbhid instead at Chandrapur. Ld. P.O. submits that necessary order will be issued within two days.

3. The Ld. P.O. submits that the applicant was relieved from his post at Gondia on 1.6.2017, but did not join at Chandrapur. The learned counsel for the

applicant submits that this Tribunal vide order dated 28.6.2017 was pleased to direct the respondents not to force the applicant to join at Chandrapur. In view thereof, respondent No.2 may take a decision to treat this intervening period as compulsory waiting period as per rules. O.A., therefore, stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No.67 /2016.

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 31st July 2017.

R.A. No.01/2017.

Heard Smt. K.N. Saboo, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

The Ld. P.O. has filed reply affidavit on behalf of R.3 & 4. The same is taken on record and a copy thereof is supplied to the learned counsel for the applicant.

Closed for orders.

Vice-Chairman(J)

Pdg

O.A. No.560/2016.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).
Dated : 31st July 2017.

Heard Shri P.V. Thakre, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, the learned P.O. for the respondents 1 to 3. None for R.4.

2. Vide order dated 31.5.2016 (Annexure P.25), the applicant has been transferred from P.W. Sub-Division No.1, Dharni to P.W. Sub-Division No.2, Amravati. The said transfer was on his request on the ground of education and health problem of his children. Accordingly, the applicant had joined at Amravati and has not completed his normal tenure. However, vide order dated 19.7.2017, respondent No.4 Shri L.M. Ahuja has been shown to have been transferred from Washim to P.W. Sub-Division No.1, Amravati. There is no transfer order in respect of the applicant.

3. The Superintending Engineer, Amravati has written a letter on 21st July 2017 to the Secretary, P.W.D., Mantralaya, Mumbai and requested that the applicant has completed just one year and there is no transfer order in his favour and, therefore, the applicant cannot be relieved. He has sought correction of the order.

4. The applicant has also filed representation in this regard on 24.7.2017 and requested not to relieve him. It is further stated that respondent No.4 has appeared in the office at Amravati and requested that he may be allowed to join. The applicant, therefore, apprehends that he may be relieved.

5. From the documents on record, it is clear that the applicant has not been transferred from his post at Amravati to anywhere and, therefore, there is no question of the applicant being relieved. However, apprehension of the applicant seems to be genuine, since respondent No.4 has been shown as transferred in his place. In view thereof, it is necessary to obtain the say of the respondents as to

why there is an ambiguity in the order of transfer dated 19.7.2017.

6. Hence, issue notice to the respondent Nos. 2 to 4 returnable after **four weeks.**

7. Shri A.M. Ghogre, the learned P.O. waives notice for the respondent No.1. Hamdast granted.

8. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

10. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunals (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post or courier and acknowledgement be obtained and

produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within three weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. In the meantime, respondent Nos. 2 and 3 are directed not to relieve the applicant from P.W.D. Sub-Division No.2, Amravati.

13. S.O. **four weeks.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No.241/2016.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).
Dated : 31st July 2017.

Heard Shri S.N. Gaikwad, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for the respondents 1 and 2. None for R.3.

The learned counsel for the applicant submits that he will file service affidavit within a day or two.

Hence O.A. is admitted and kept for final hearing after two weeks.

Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for waives notice for respondents 1 and 2.

S.O. two weeks.

Vice-Chairman(J)

Pdg

O.A. No.294/2017.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).

Dated : 31st July 2017.

C.A. 365/2017.

Heard Shri S.N. Gaikwad, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, the learned P.O. for the respondents 1 and 2. Shri A.P. Tathod, Adv. for R.3.

The Ld. P.O. seeks time to file reply affidavit in the O.A. as well as in the C.A.

S.O. **10th August 2017** as a last chance.

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No.322/2017.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).
Dated : 31st July 2017.

Heard Shri Abhishek Shukla, Adv. holding for Shri R.L. Khapre, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjekar, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

The learned counsel for the applicant submits that respondent Nos. 3 and 4 have been served and he will file an acknowledgement and service affidavit.

So far as respondent No.2 is concerned, it is stated that respondent No.2 is not served. He seeks time to file an acknowledgement of R.2.

S.O. two weeks.

Vice-Chairman(J)

Pdg

O.A. No.537/2017.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).

Dated : 31st July 2017.

Heard Shri D.M. Kakani, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, the learned C.P.O. for the respondents 1 to 4. None for R.5.

The applicant has filed this O.A. for directions to respondent Nos. 2 and 3 to relieve him immediately from his present post as directed by respondent No.1 vide order dated 31.5.2017, allowing him to join at the place of his transfer i.e. at P.H.C., Madani, Distt. Yavatmal. During the pendency of the O.A., necessary directions are issued by the respondents and it is an admitted fact that now the applicant has joined the post of his transfer at Madani. In view thereof, nothing remains in this O.A. Hence, the O.A. stands disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs.

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No.82/2017.

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).
Dated : 31st July 2017.**

Heard Smt. K.N. Saboo, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjekar, the learned P.O. for the respondents 1 & 2. Shri T.M. Jaheer, Adv. for R.3 & 4.

The Ld. P.O.as well as Ld. Adv. for R.3 & 4 seek time to file reply affidavit.

S.O. **two weeks** as a last chance.

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No.96/2017.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).

Dated : 31st July 2017.

Heard Smt. K.N. Saboo, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, the learned P.O. for the respondents 1 & 2. Shri S.D. Uke Adv. holding for Shri A.M. Kukde, Ld. counsel for R. 3 & 4.

The Ld. P.O.as well as Ld. Adv. for R.3 & 4 seek time to file reply affidavit.

S.O. **two weeks** as a last chance.

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No.207 & 208 of 2017.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).

Dated : 31st July 2017.

Heard Bharat Kulkarni, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Ld. P.O., S.O. **two weeks** for filing reply affidavit on behalf of the respondents.

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No.209 of 2017.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).
Dated : 31st July 2017.

Heard Bharat Kulkarni, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

Ld. P.O. has filed reply affidavit on behalf of the respondents, it is taken on record and a copy thereof is supplied to the learned counsel for the applicant.

S.O. two weeks.

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. Nos. 14,15,16,17,18,19, 20 & 21 of 2017.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).

Dated : 31st July 2017.

None for the applicant. Shri A.M. Ghogre,
the learned P.O. for the respondents.

The Ld. P.O. has filed reply affidavit on
behalf of the respondent No.1 to 4 in all these
O.As. It is taken on record and a copy thereof is
supplied to the learned counsel for the applicant.

S.O. four weeks.

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No. 241/2013.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).

Dated : 31st July 2017.

None for the applicant. Shri A.M. Ghogre,
the learned P.O. for the respondents.

This is a matter of 2013. On last occasion
also, nobody appeared for the applicant. Hence
keep this matter after two weeks for dismissal.

S.O. **two weeks** for dismissal.

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No. 316/2014.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).

Dated : 31st July 2017.

None for the applicant. Shri A.M. Ghogre, the learned P.O. for the respondents 1 to 3. None for R.4.

Matter was kept on 10th April 2017 when the Ld. counsel for the applicant made a statement that the applicant has expired during the pendency of the O.A. and sought time to take instructions to bring L.Rs on record and at his request O.A. has been kept today. Today also, none appeared for the applicant. In view of the said statement made by Ld. counsel for the applicant on 10.4.2017, O.A. stands dismissed as abated, since the L.Rs are not brought on record. No order as to costs.

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No.71/2017.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).

Dated : 31st July 2017.

C.A.41/2017.

Shri S.P. Palshikar, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

The Ld. P.O. has filed reply affidavit on behalf of R.2 in C.A. as well as in the O.A. The same is taken on record and a copy thereof is supplied to the learned counsel for the applicant.

At the request of the Ld. counsel for the applicant, S.O. **two weeks.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No.499/2016.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).
Dated : 31st July 2017.

Heard Shri S.K. Verma, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

2. During the course of argument putforth by the respective parties, it seems that the applicant's claim has been rejected vide impugned order dated 27th May 2016 for compassionate appointment to the post of Sweeper (Safaigar) on the ground that she has crossed the age of 45 years on 2.11.2014.

3. The respondents have placed on record one chart at Annexure R-3 as regards vacancy of the posts in 2013 from which it seems that number of posts were available

4. The Ld. counsel for the applicant also invited my attention to one proposal whereby the

Government was requested to condone the age limit of the applicant and to issue appointment in her favour. Copy of the said proposal is at paper book page Nos. 61 and 62 (Annexure A-9 dated 29.6.2015). There is no reference of this proposal in the impugned order dated 27th May 2016.

5. The respondents are, therefore, directed to make a statement as to how many posts were available in SC category till 2013-2014?, how many persons were appointed on compassionate ground in which year ?, what was the waiting list number of the applicant ? and whether any person junior to the applicant was appointed in the year 2013-2014 ? Information to this effect shall be supplied and a short affidavit be filed within two weeks.

S.O. **two weeks.**

To be treated as part heard.

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No.01/2015.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).
Dated : 31st July 2017.

Heard Shri M.K. Kulkarni, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for the respondents 1 to 4. None for R.5.

At the request of the Ld. counsel for the applicant, S.O. **one week.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No. 557/2017.

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 31st July 2017.

Heard Shri D.M. Kakani, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, the learned P.O. for the respondent No.1.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that he will remove office objection and in the meantime notice may be issued to the respondents.
3. In view thereof, issue notice to respondent Nos. 2 to 4 returnable within **two weeks.**
4. Shri A.M. Ghogre, the learned P.O. for waives notice for respondent No.1. Hamdast granted.
5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing

duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

7. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunals (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post or courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within three weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. **two weeks.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. No.560/2016.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).
Dated : 31st July 2017.

Heard Shri P.V. Thakre, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, the learned P.O. for the respondents 1 to 3. None for R.4.

At the request of the learned counsel for the applicant, leave to correct the title clause is granted.

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg

O.A. 319/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 31.07.2017.

Shri E.S. Sahatrabudhe, Id. counsel holding for Shri S.B. Tiwari, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. two weeks.**

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 450/2015

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 31.07.2017.

Shri C.A. Babrekar, Id. counsel holding for Shri Amol Deshpande, Id. counsel for the applicant and Smt. S.V. Kolhe, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. 1/8/2017.**

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 155/2012 (D.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 31.07.2017.

C.A.341/2017

Shri J.B. Gandhi, Id. counsel for the applicant, Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for R-1 to 4, Shri R.M. Daruwala, Id. counsel for R-5 and J.J. Alkari, Id. counsel for Intervener.

The learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to file reply to the C.A. 341/2017 which is application for Intervention. At his request, S.O. two weeks.

O.A. 155/2012 -

Shri J.B. Gandhi, Id. counsel for the applicant, Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for R-1 to 4, Shri R.M. Daruwala, Id. counsel for R-5 and J.J. Alkari, Id. counsel for Intervener.

It seems that during the pendency of this O.A., the respondent no. 5 has been appointed on the post and therefore he was added.

The learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to move an application for amendment of the O.A. since he was to challenge the appointment order of respondent no.5.

S.O. two weeks.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 288/2017 (D.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 31.07.2017.

C.A.363/2017 -

Shri S.D. Ghodeswar, Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

Ld. P.O. submits that he will file reply-affidavit during the course of day. At his request, **S.O. 1/8/2017.**

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 436/2017 (D.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 31.07.2017.

Ms. S. Pahade, Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. CPO for R-1. Await service of R-2 to 5.

Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that she has not received acknowledgment in respect of service of R-2 to 5 for that purpose she seeks further time. At her request, **S.O. two weeks.**

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 597/2016 (D.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 31.07.2017.

None for the applicant. Shri A.P. Potnis,
Id. P.O. for the Respts.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. three weeks** for filing reply.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 31/2017 (D.B.)

Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).

Dated : **31.07.2017.**

Shri S.R. Chakravarti, Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for the Respts.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. two weeks**
for filing reply.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 50/2017 (D.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 31.07.2017.

Shri P.S. Khubalkar, Id. Counsel for the
applicant, Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for R-1&2
and none for R-3.

The learned counsel for the applicant
submits that he has filed service affidavit and the

respondents have been served. The learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of R-1&2. At his request, **S.O. one week.**

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 91/2017 (D.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 31.07.2017.

Shri C.U. Deopujari, Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Potnis, Id. P.O. for the Respts.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. two weeks** for filing reply of R-4.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.As. 545/2017 & 546/ 2017 (D.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 31.07.2017.

1. Heard Shri M.B. Agasti, Id. Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. C.P.O. for R-1.
2. Issue notice to R-2 and 3, returnable in **four weeks**. Learned C.P.O. waives notice for R-1. Hamdast allowed.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. **S.O. four weeks.**

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 731/2014 (D.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 31.07.2017.

None for the applicant. Shri P.N.
Warjurkar, Id. P.O. for R-1&2. None for R-3.

S.O. four weeks.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 678/16 with C.As. 560/16 & 44/17 (D.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 31.07.2017.

Shri P.P. Khaparde, Id. Counsel for the applicants and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for R-1 to 4. None for R-5 to 10.

Ld. Counsel for the applicant seeks further time.

S.O. whenever regular D.B. is available.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 695/2016 (D.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 31.07.2017.

Shri G.K. Bhusari, Id. Counsel holding for Shri S.U. Amgagade, Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The learned P.O. files affidavit-in-reply along with original record. The same are taken on record.

S.O. 2/8/2017.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. 504/2015

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 31.07.2017.

Shri S.P. Palshikar, Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the Respts.

At the request of Id. Counsel for the applicant, **S.O. 2/8/2017.**

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.