

O.A. No.687 /2014.

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 23rd March 2017.

C.A. No.151/2016 in C.(St.) 615/2016.

Heard Shri A.C. Dharmadhikari, the learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. S.V. Kolhe, the learned P.O. for respondent Nos.1 to 3. Miss Dhanashree Gurunule, Adv. holding for Shri V. Khedkar, Ld. Adv. for R. 4 & 5. Shri Z. Shekhani, Adv. for R.6.

The applicant has filed an affidavit on 21.3.2017 making a grievance of non receipt of pensionery and other benefits. The Ld. P.O. submits that the order is yet to be complied with by respondent Nos. 4 to 6, whose learned special counsel are appointed.

Miss Dhanashree Gurunule, Adv. holding for Shri V. Khedkar, Ld. Adv. for R. 4 & 5 submits that she may be given time to answer the affidavit. The Ld.

counsel for R.6 also submits that he did not go through the affidavit and will make submission. Since the matter to be complied with, is of the year 2014 and the impugned order was passed in O.A. on 25th June 2015, which was to be complied with within three months. Matter be kept on **30th March 2017**.

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg..

O.A. No. 810 /2016.

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 23rd March 2017.

Shri N.D. Thombre, the learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. S.V. Kolhe, the learned P.O. for respondent Nos.1 to 3. Shri R.S. Kalangiwale, Adv. for R..4

S.O. 6th April 2017 as a last chance.

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg..

O.A. No. 150 /2017.

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 23rd March 2017.

Heard Miss Soniya Gajbhiye, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, the learned C.P.O. for the respondents.

The learned counsel for the applicant seeks direction to the respondents to take decision on the representations filed by the applicant on 12.12.2014 and 28.11.2016 pending before respondent Nos. 1 and 2 respectively. The Ld. CPO submits that he will take instructions as to what is the status a of those representations and within how many days necessary orders shall be passed on such representations. In view thereof, he seeks two weeksqtime.

S.O. 6th April 2017.

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg..

O.A. No. 812 /2016.

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 23rd March 2017.

C.A. No.289/2016.

Miss R.V. Ramteke, Adv. holding for Shri S.D. Chande, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A.Kulkarni, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Ld. P.O., S.O.
6th April 2017.

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg..

O.A. No. 98 /2016.

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 23rd March 2017.

C.A. No.31/2017 in C.P.(St.)193/2017.

Shri S.R. Charpe, the learned counsel for the applicants and Shri A.P. Potnis, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Ld. counsel for the applicants, S.O. **30th March 2017.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg..

O.A. No. 450/2010.

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 23rd March 2017.

C.A. No.482/2016 in C.P.(St.)1938/2016.

Heard Shri G.K. Bhusari, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, the learned P.O. for the respondent No.1. None for R.2 to 4.

The order has been passed in O.A. No.450/2010 on 14.3.2013. The same has not yet been complied with till today. It seems from the correspondence on record that time and again, the superior authorities have directed the Civil Surgeon, General Hospital, Gondia (R.4) to comply with the order and not only that the Civil Surgeon, General Hospital, Gondia was cautioned about the action of contempt in case the order is not complied with. However, the Civil Surgeon, General Hospital, Gondia seems to have not taken any heed to the caution and the order passed by this

Tribunal. I am satisfied that prima facie this is a case of contempt for which the respondent No.4 i.e. Civil Surgeon, General Hospital, Gondia is responsible. Dr. Motghare, Office Superintendent in the office of Civil Surgeon, General Hospital, Gondia is present for R.4. He submits that the order be complied with within one month. However, considering the fact that the order is of the year 2013, respondent No.4 is directed to comply it within two weeks as a most last chance, failing which the Civil Surgeon, General Hospital, Gondia (R.4) shall appear personally before this Tribunal on the next date.

S.O. 6th April 2017.

Steno copy be provided to the learned P.O.

Vice-Chairman (J)

pdg..

O.A. No. 614/2006.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).

Dated : 23rd March 2017.

C.A. No.467/2016 in C.P.(St.)1875/2016.

Heard Shri S.P. Palshikar, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, the learned P.O. for the respondent.

The Ld. P.O. submits that the order of this Tribunal has been complied with. The learned counsel for the applicant also submits that the order has been complied with and, therefore, C.A. No. 467/2016 in C.P.(St.)1875/2016 stands disposed of accordingly.

Vice-Chairman (J)

pdg..

O.A. No. 402/2014.

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 23rd March 2017.

C.A. No.394/2015 in C.P.(St.)1576/2015.

Heard Shri S.P. Palshikar, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, the learned P.O. for the respondent No.1. None for R.2.

At the request of the learned counsel for the applicant, matter be kept alongwith R.A. No. 03/2016 on **11th April 2017.**

Vice-Chairman (J)

pdg..

O.A. No. 116/2015.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).

Dated : 23rd March 2017.

C.A. No.493/2016 in C.(St.) 1982/2016.

Heard Shri M.R. Rajgure, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, the learned P.O. for respondent No.1. Shri A.M. Kukday, Ld. Adv. for R.2.

2. The Ld. P.O. has placed on record the order dated 29th April 2016 which is marked %%+ for the purpose of identification and submits that the order in O.A. No.116/2015 has been complied with. The learned counsel for the applicant however, strongly objected for the same and submitted that the order in clause-C has not yet been complied with. As per Clause-C, the respondents were directed as under:-

%The applicants pay on promotion to the cadre of Executive Engineer will be only notional and it will count towards granting pensionery and other retiral benefits as he stood retired before he could be promoted.+

3. Perusal of the order dated 29th April 2016 shows that the deemed date of promotion has been given to the applicant w.e.f. 30.4.2012, the date on which his junior Shri

Vishwas Mane was promoted. However, part-C of the order has not been complied with as stated by the learned counsel for the applicant. I am agree with the same. The Ld. counsel for R.2 submits that necessary direction will be given to the concerned officer to comply with the order Clause-C as above. In view of the said submission, respondent No.2 is directed to comply para-C of the operative order in O.A No.116/2015 on or before the next Thursday.

S.O **30th March 2017.**

Steno copies be supplied to the learned counsel for R.2 and learned P.O.

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg.

O.A. No. 117/2016.

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 23rd March 2017.

C.A. No.439/2016 in C.(St.) 1753/2016.

Heard Shri M.P. Gulhane, Adv. holding for Shri V.A. Kothale, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

The learned counsel for the applicant has filed a pursis in view of the order passed on 16.3.2017 which is marked % for the purpose of identification. The learned counsel for the applicant seeks one week time to go through it. Time granted.

S.O 30th March 2017.

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg.

O.A. No. 833/2011

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).

Dated : 23rd March 2017.

C.A. No.20/2017 in C.(St.) 137/2017.

Heard Miss Bharti Kale, Advocate holding for Shri A.V. Kale, the learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. S.V. Kolhe, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

The learned counsel for the applicant filed affidavit of the applicant, mentioning that the applicant has not yet received pay scale as per the order passed by this Tribunal. The Ld. P.O. may file reply to it.

S.O 6th April 2017.

Vice-Chairman (J)

pdg.

O.A. No. 06/2016.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).

Dated : 23rd March 2017.

C.A. No.517/2016 in C.(St.) 2112/2016.

Heard Shri M.R. Rajgure, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

2. The Ld. P.O. submits that the department has preferred an appeal vide Writ Petition against the impugned order and in the said matter, the Hon^{ble} High Court has stayed the impugned order. He has also placed on record a copy of order passed by the Hon^{ble} High Court which is marked % for identification.

3. Perusal of the said order shows that the Hon^{ble} High Court has directed the respondents to pass appropriate orders in the enquiry conducted against the applicant within four months. The

learned counsel for the applicant submits that as per the impugned order respondents were to release D.C.R.G. and encashment of leave to the applicant within three months from the receipt of order and the said part is not complied with. In view of the fact that the matter is ceased by the Hon^{ble} High Court, there is no point in proceeding with this C.A.

The learned counsel for the applicant, therefore, seeks permission to withdraw the C.A. Hence, the C.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn.

Vice-Chairman (J)

pdg.

O.A. No. 244/2015.

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).

Dated : 23rd March 2017.

C.A. No.534/2016 in C.(St.) 2201/2016.

Heard Shri D.C. Chahande, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, the learned P.O. for the respondent No.2. None for R.1.

2. The application has been for permission to initiate contempt proceedings for non compliance of the order passed by this Tribunal on 3.12.2015. In para 4 and 5 of the said order, this Tribunal has observed as under:-

The learned P.O. files documents dated 4.3.2015 (P.73) that the SDO has appointed the Tehsildar as Enquiry Officer. The department can take the available legal recourse according to law.

Consequently the O.A. is allowed and the impugned order dated 27.11.2014 is quashed. No order as to costs.+

3. The Ld. P.O. has filed reply affidavit on behalf of respondent No.1. In para 1 of the said affidavit, it is stated that the order dated

3.12.2015 in O.A. No. 244/2015 has been quashed and the applicant has been reinstated as Police Patil and he continued to be Police Patil. The learned counsel for the applicant, however, submits that the impugned order dated 27.11.2014 which was quashed, shows that the applicant was to be paid honorarium during the suspension period. The last para of the order dated 27.11.2014 which is placed on record at Annexure A-9 reads as under:-

श्री दवाकर पंडितराव ठवरे, पोलीस पाटल साहोल तहसील पारसीवनी, जि. नागपूर यांना ताकाळ भावाने ढलंढत करत आहे. तसेच कलम ९ पारामक चा पोटकलम ४ ला अधीन राहून पुढल आदेशापयत यांना देय असलेले मानधन / पारामक सुधा ढलंढत करयाचे आदेश देत आहे.”

4. He submits that the applicant has not yet received honorarium during the period of suspension. If the order of suspension has already been quashed and the applicant has been reinstated, there will be no question of granting honorarium during the said period and the applicant will have to be treated as if he was in service. So far as honorarium is concerned, there was no specific direction in the order of this Tribunal.

5. The Ld. P.O. submits that the enquiry was initiated against the applicant for

which the applicant was kept under suspension and in para 4 of the order, this Tribunal has directed the department to take legal recourse available, according to law. The said recourse has already been taken by the department. In view of the aforesaid circumstances, I have no doubt to observe that the order passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No.245/2015 has been complied with in letter and spirit and, therefore, there is no question of initiating contempt proceedings. The C.A. accordingly stands disposed of. In view thereof, the C.P.(St.) No.2201/2016 also stands dismissed.

Vice-Chairman (J)

pdg.

O.A. No. 836/2016.

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni :V.C.(J)

Dated : 23rd March, 2017.

Shri B. Lahiri, learned counsel for the applicant, Smt. S.V. Kolhe, Id. P.O. for R/ 1 to 3 and Shri Mokadam, Id. counsel appears for the R/4.

At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, S.O.**06.4.2017**.

V.C. (J)

Skt.

O.A. Nos. 378,379 and 380/2010.

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni :V.C.(J)

Dated : 23rd March, 2017.

Heard Shri S. Borkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. S.V. Kolhe, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

Closed for orders.

V.C. (J)

Skt.

O.A. No. 462/2012.

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni :V.C.(J)

Dated : 23rd March, 2017.

Heard Smt. U.A. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. S.V. Kolhe, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

After hearing the matter for considerable time, the Id. P.O. seeks time for submitting case law. Hence put up on 27/3/2017.

P.H.

V.C. (J)

Skt.

O.A. Nos. 173/2011.

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni :V.C.(J)

Dated : 23rd March, 2017.

Heard Shri N.R. Saboo, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

Closed for orders.

V.C. (J)

Skt.

O.A. Nos. 783/2010.

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni :V.C.(J)

Dated : 23rd March, 2017.

Advocate Dhanashri Gurnale
holding for Smt. Khadekar, learned
counsel for the applicant and Smt. S.V.
Kolhe, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. counsel for the
applicant, **S.O. 13/4/2017**

V.C. (J)

Skt.

O.A. Nos. 786/2013 with C.A.

No.331/2014

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni :V.C.(J)

Dated : 23rd March, 2017.

Heard Shri P. Khaparde, , learned counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjurkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

Closed for orders.

V.C. (J)

Skt.

O.A. No. 656/2014.

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni :V.C.(J)

Dated : 23rd March, 2017.

Heard Shri N. Majithia, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

After hearing the matter for considerable time, the Id. counsel for the applicant seeks time.

S.O. 6/4/2017.

To be treated as P.H.

V.C. (J)

Skt.

O.A.No.138/2013.

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni :V.C.(J)

Dated : 23rd March, 2017.

Heard Shri M.V. Joshi, holding for Shri P.S. Wathore, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. S.V. Kolhe, Id. P.O. for the respondent No.1. None for R/2.

Closed for orders.

V.C. (J)

Skt.

O.A. No.107/2017.

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni :V.C.(J)

Dated : 23rd March, 2017.

C.A. 80/2017

Smt. P. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. S.V. Kolhe, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of the Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. 6/4/2017.**

V.C. (J)

Skt.

O.A. No. 146/2016.

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni :V.C.(J)

Dated : 23rd March, 2017.

C.A. No.88/2017.

Heard Adv. D. Thakkar, holding for Shri A.J. Thakkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

By virtue of this amendment application, the applicant wants to add Respondent No. 3 i.e. office of the Pay Verification Unit , Nagpur through Accounts Officer, Nagpur as party Respondent since the verification was done on the basis of the objection taken by the said office. The applicant also wants to add para No. 6.9 to 6.13 after para No. 6.8 in the O.A. For the reasons stated in the application, the amendment is allowed. It shall be carried out within a week.

Notice shall be served to the newly added R/3, returnable on 20/4/2017. Considering the fact that the matter was already heard on merits and the fact that the interim relief has been granted in this O.A., the matter be kept for final hearing after 3 weeks with condition that the copy of the amended

O.A. be served on the Id. P.O. and the Id. P.O. shall make efforts to file an affidavit-in-reply of newly added Respondent No. 3 and to serve the copy thereof to the applicant in advance.

Hamdast granted.

The Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, alongwith complete paper book of the O.A.

This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra

Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with an affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible once week before the date fixed by this Tribunal. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. 20/4/2017.

V.C. (J)

Skt.

O.A. No. 208/2016.

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni :V.C.(J)

Dated : 23rd March, 2017.

C.A. No.89/2017.

Heard Adv. D. Thakkar, holding for Shri A.J. Thakkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

By virtue of this amendment application, the applicant wants to add Respondent No. 3 i.e. office of the Pay Verification Unit , Nagpur through Accounts Officer, Nagpur as party Respondent since the verification was done on the basis of the objection taken by the said office. The applicant also wants to add para No. 6.9 to 6.13 after para No. 6.8 in the O.A. For the reasons stated in the application, the amendment is allowed. It shall be carried out within a week.

Notice shall be served to the newly added R/3, returnable on 20/4/2017. Considering the fact that the matter was already heard on merits and the fact that the interim relief has been granted in this O.A., the matter be kept for final hearing after 3 weeks with condition that the copy of the amended O.A. be served on the Id. P.O. and the Id. P.O. shall make efforts to file an affidavit-in-reply of newly added Respondent No. 3 and to serve the copy thereof to the applicant in advance.

Hamdast granted.

The Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, alongwith complete paper book of the O.A.

This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with an affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible once week before the date fixed by this Tribunal. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. 20/4/2017.

V.C. (J)

Skt.

O.A. No. 209/2016.

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni :V.C.(J)

Dated : 23rd March, 2017.

C.A. No.90/2017.

Heard Adv. D. Thakkar, holding for Shri A.J. Thakkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

By virtue of this amendment application, the applicant wants to add Respondent No. 3 i.e. office of the Pay Verification Unit , Nagpur through Accounts Officer, Nagpur as party Respondent since the verification was done on the basis of the objection taken by the said office. The applicant also wants to add para No. 6.9 to 6.13 after para No. 6.8 in the O.A. For the reasons stated in the application, the

amendment is allowed. It shall be carried out within a week.

Notice shall be served to the newly added R/3, returnable on 20/4/2017. Considering the fact that the matter was already heard on merits and the fact that the interim relief has been granted in this O.A., the matter be kept for final hearing after 3 weeks with condition that the copy of the amended O.A. be served on the Id. P.O. and the Id. P.O. shall make efforts to file an affidavit-in-reply of newly added Respondent No. 3 and to serve the copy thereof to the applicant in advance.

Hamdast granted.

The Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, alongwith complete paper book of the O.A.

This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with an affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible once week before the date fixed

by this Tribunal. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. 20/4/2017.

V.C. (J)

Skt.

O.A. No. 283/2016.

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni :V.C.(J)

Dated : 23rd March, 2017.

Shri P. Khaparde, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Potnis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

Ld. counsel for the applicant seeks permission to amend the address of R/2. He is directed to do so.

Ld. P.O. files reply of R/4. The same is taken on record and a copy thereof is given to the ld. counsel for the applicant. Ld. P.O. seeks time to file reply-in-affidavit on behalf of the other respondents.

S.O.20.4.2017.

V.C. (J)

Skt.

O.A. No. 599/2016.

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni :V.C.(J)

Dated : 23rd March, 2017.

Shri D.R. Upadhyay, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjurkar, ld. P.O. for the respondents.

The Id. counsel for the applicant submits that he will file the service affidavit as all the respondents are served. The Id. P.O. files reply of R/4. The same is taken on record and a copy thereof is given to the Id. counsel for the applicant.

Ld. P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the other respondents.

S.O. 20/4/2017.

V.C. (J)

Skt.

O.A. No. 492/2016.

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni :V.C.(J)

Dated : 23rd March, 2017.

Shri P. Khaparde, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogare, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that he wants to withdraw the O.A. and seeks one week time for the same.

S.O. 06.4.2017.

V.C. (J)

Skt.

O.A. No. 82/2017.

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni :V.C.(J)

Dated : 23rd March, 2017.

C.A. No.14/2017

Heard Shri N.R. Saboo, learned counsel for the applicants, Shri S. Deo, Id. C.P.O. for R/ 1 to 4. Shri D.A. Sonwane, Id. counsel for R/5.

The grievance of the applicants is common and considering the same facts as well the contents, the application to sue jointly is allowed.

Shri D.A. Sonwane, Id. counsel appears for R/5 i.e, Z.P. and seeks time to file reply-in-affidavit. Id. C.P.O. also seeks time to file reply.

S.O.13.4.2017.

V.C. (J)

Skt.

O.A. No.60 /2017.

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni :V.C.(J)

Dated : 23rd March, 2017.

C.A. No.30/2017

Heard Shri N.R. Saboo, learned counsel for the applicants , Shri S. Deo, Id. C.P.O. for R/ 1 to 3. None for R/4, Shri D.A. Sonwane, Id. counsel for R/5.

The grievance of the applicants is common and considering the same facts as well the contents, the application to sue jointly is allowed.

Shri D.A. Sonwane, Id. counsel appears for R/5 i.e, Z.P. and seeks time to file reply-in-affidavit. Ld. C.P.O. also seeks time to file reply.

S.O.13.4.2017.

V.C. (J)

Skt.

O.A. No. 77/2017.

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni :V.C.(J)

Dated : 23rd March, 2017.

C.A. No.38/17

Heard Shri N.R. Saboo, learned counsel for the applicants , Shri V. Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for R/ 1 and 2.

The grievance of the applicants is common and considering the same facts as well the contents, the application to sue jointly is allowed.

Ld. P.O. also seeks time to file reply.

S.O.13.4.2017.

V.C. (J)

Skt.

O.A. No. 782/2016.

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni :V.C.(J)

Dated : 23rd March, 2017.

Shri N.R. Saboo, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

S.O.6.4.2017.

V.C. (J)

Skt.

O.A. No. 826/2016.

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni :V.C.(J)

Dated : 23rd March, 2017.

Shri N.R. Saboo, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A Sanis, Id. P.O. for the respondents 1 to 3. None for R/4.

S.O.7.4.2017.for filing reply.

V.C. (J)

Skt.

O.A. No. 22/2017.

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni :V.C.(J)

Dated : 23rd March, 2017.

Shri S.N. Gaikwad, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S. Deo, Id. C.P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. C.P.O. **S.O.27.4.2017** for filing reply.

V.C. (J)

Skt.

O.A. No. 42/2017.

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni :V.C.(J)

Dated : 23rd March, 2017.

Miss Nazia Pathan, Adv. holding for Smt. Sirpurkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O.
S.O.27.4.2017for filing reply.

V.C. (J)

Skt.

O.A. No. 66/2017.

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni :V.C.(J)

Dated : 23rd March, 2017.

Shri S.M. Khan, learned counsel
for the applicant and Smt. S.V. Kolhe,
Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O.
S.O.27.4.2017for filing reply.

V.C. (J)

Skt.

O.A. No. 84/2017.

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni :V.C.(J)

Dated : 23rd March, 2017.

Shri A. Chaaktkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for the respondent-State.

At the request of Id. P.O. **S.O.28.4.2017** for filing reply.

V.C. (J)

Skt.

O.A. No. 98/2017.

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni :V.C.(J)

Dated : 23rd March, 2017.

Miss Nazia Pathan, Adv. holding for Shri R.V. Shiralkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjurkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O. **S.O.27.4.2017**for filing reply.

V.C. (J)

Skt.