

C.P. St. No.507/16 in O.A  
No.702/2016

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Member (J).**

**Dated : 02.03.2017.**

**C.A. No.128/16**

Shri R.V. Shiralkar, Id. counsel  
for the applicant and Shri V.A.  
Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

**S.O.30/3/2017**

**Member (J).**

Skt.

C.P. St. No.615/16 in O.A  
No.687/2014

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Member (J).**

**Dated : 02.03.2017.**

**C.A. No.151/16**

Shri R.V. Shiralkar, Adv.  
holding for Shri A.C. Dharmadhikari,  
Id. counsel for the applicant and Smt.  
S.V. Kolhe, Id. P.O. for the  
respondents.

At the request of the Id. counsel  
for the applicant, **S.O.16/3/2017**

**Member (J).**

Skt.

C.P. St. No.42 /17 in O.A  
No.358 /2016

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Member (J).**

**Dated : 02.03.2017.**

**C.A. No.8/2017**

Shri P.V. Thakre, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

Ld. P.O. submits that the Govt. has decided to comply the order for which he seeks 8 weeksqtime. The Id. counsel for the applicant has no objection for the same.

**S.O.27/4/2017**

**Member (J).**

Skt.

**O.A. No.94 /2017.**

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Member (J).**

**Dated : 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2017.**

Shri S.C. Deshmukh, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, the Id. CP.O. for the respondents 1 and 2. None for R.3.

At the request of Ld. CPO, S.O.**30.3.2017** to file reply affidavit on behalf of R. 1 and 2.

Member (J).

**O.A. No. 76/2017.**

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Member (J).**

**Dated : 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2017.**

Shri A. Dubey, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, the Id. CP.O. for the respondents.

The Id. counsel for the applicant seeks time to file an application for condonation of delay, if necessary.

**S.O. 16.3.2017.**

Member (J).

**O.A. No. 52/2017.**

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Member (J).**

**Dated : 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2017.**

Shri S.N. Gaikwad, Id. counsel  
for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo,  
the Id. C.P.O. for the respondents.

The Id. P.O. seeks time to file  
reply.

**S.O. 30.3.2017.**

Member (J).

**O.A. No. 30/2017.**

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Member (J).**

**Dated : 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2017.**

None for the applicant. Shri S.A. Deo, the Id. CP.O. for the respondents.

The Id. CPO submits that the applicant has challenged the suspension order, but the said suspension order has already been revoked by the Superintendent of Police, Yavatmal. He has also placed on record the copy of the said revocation order dated 25.1.2017 which is marked as %Exh.X+ for the purpose of identification. Since nobody has appeared for the applicant, put up after one week for passing necessary order.

**S.O. 9.3.2017.**

Member (J).

**O.A. No. 107/2017.**

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Member (J).**

**Dated : 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2017.**

Heard Shri G.N. Khanzode, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, the Id. P.O. for respondent No.1.

2. The applicant has been promoted to the post of Police Inspector from the post of Assistant Police Inspector (API) vide order dated 8.2.2016 and was transferred from Nagpur to Wardha in Police Control Room. It is stated that he was relieved and joined at Wardha on 10.2.2016. Thereafter vide order dated 30.4.2016 one Mr. Jadhao was transferred from Wadner to Aheri and, therefore, the applicant was posted at Wadner. He accordingly joined at Wadner. But vide impugned order dated 3.1.2017, the applicant has been transferred from Wadner to Aheri. It is stated that the said order has been passed in order to accommodate one Mr. Wasekar (R.4).



3. The learned counsel for the applicant has invited my attention to the fact that due to non availability of the Tribunal at Nagpur, the applicant approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing W.P. No. 398/2017 in which interim order was passed and it was continued. The last order was passed on 14.2.2017 and time was granted to the applicant to approach this Tribunal and stay was to continue. The applicant accordingly approached this Tribunal and has claimed for continuation of interim relief / *status-quo* to the operation of impugned order of his transfer. *Prima facie* it seems that the applicant has been transferred before completion of his regular tenure. The impugned order of transfer seems to have been issued by the Police Establishment Board. However, no specific reasons are given in the order except that the order is administrative order.

4. In view of the aforesaid facts, the respondents are directed to maintain *status quo* as on today.

5 Issue notice to the respondents 2 to 4 returnable by **16<sup>th</sup> March 2017.**

6. Shri A.M. Ghogre, the Id. P.O. waives notice for the respondent No.1. Hamdast granted.

7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

8. It is directed to serve on applicants intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Applicants are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Mah. Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Office is directed that it should confirm whether affidavit of compliance and notice be filed or otherwise.

11. The learned counsel for the applicant seeks permission to file an application for amendment and he wants

to incorporate the provisions of Maharashtra Police Act in the O.A. He is permitted to file an application for amendment.

S.O. **16.3.2017.**

Member (J).

pdg

**O.A. No. 716/2016.**

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Member (J).**

**Dated : 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2017.**

Heard Shri N.D. Thombre, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.A. Barabde, the Id. P.O. for respondents.

Reply of respondent No.3 is only filed on record.

At the request of Ld. P.O., S.O. **30.3.2017** to file reply affidavit of R.1.

Member (J).

pdg

**O.A. No. 670/2016.**

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Member (J).**

**Dated : 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2017.**

Heard Shri Bharat Kulkarni, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, the Id. P.O. for respondent No.1. Shri V.G. Palshikar, Adv. for R.3 and 4.

Adv. for R. 3 and 4 has filed reply affidavit on behalf of the said respondents, the same is taken on record and a copy thereof is supplied to the other sides.

The Ld. P.O. submits that he will go through the reply and will file reply, if necessary, within two weeks.

**S.O. 16.3.2017.**

Member (J).

**O.A. No. 637/2016.**

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Member (J).**

**Dated : 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2017.**

None for the applicant. Shri V.A.  
Kulkarni, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

**S.O. 30.3.2017.**

Member (J).

**O.A. No. 49/2005.**

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Member (J).**

**Dated : 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2017.**

Heard Shri S.P. Hedao, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

Closed for orders.

Member (J).

pdg

**O.A. Nos.632,633 & 634 of /2016.**

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Member (J).**

**Dated : 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2017.**

Heard Shri N.S. Warulkar, the learned counsel for the applicants and

Shri A.M. Ghogre, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The learned counsel for the applicants submits that he will file an application for condonation of delay in all these matters and seeks one week's time for doing so.

S.O. 9<sup>th</sup> March 2017.

Member (J).

pdg

**O.A. No. 563/2016.**

**Coram : J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J).**

**Dated : 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2017.**

**C.A. 56/2017.**

Heard Shri S.K. Patil, the learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.A.



Barabde, the learned P.O. for respondent Nos. 1 to 4. None for R.5.

The proposed amendment is as regards advertisement dated 19.6.2014, a copy of which the applicant wants to place on record. In the interest of justice and for the reasons stated in the application, amendment application is allowed. Amendment to be carried out today itself. C.A. stands disposed of.

**O.A. No. 563/2016.**

The learned P.O. submits that there is no need to file reply to the amended O.A. Reply to the O.A. is already filed. In view thereof, matter is admitted and placed for final hearing.

Smt. M.A. Barabde, the learned P.O. waives notice for respondent Nos. 1 to 4.

S.O. **30<sup>th</sup> March 2017.**

Member (J).

pdg

**O.A. No. 625/2016.**

**Coram : J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J).**

**Dated : 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2017.**

**M.C.A. 07/2017.**

Heard Shri Bharat Kulkarni, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri

A.M. Khadatkar, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

As per order dated 31.2017, the respondents was to calculate interest and comply the order within one month. However, no compliance has been made. Ld. P.O. seeks two weeksq time for compliance. There is no need to grant time. However, in the interest of justice, S.O. **9<sup>th</sup> March 2017.**

C.A. stands disposed of accordingly.

Member (J).

pdg

**O.A. No. 857/2014.**

**Coram : J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J).**

**Dated : 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2017.**

**M.C.A. 11/2017.**

Heard Shri S.A.Sainis, the learned P.O. for the applicant (original

respondent) and Shri S.A. Pathak, the counsel for the respondent (original applicant).

In this MCA, the applicant/State has claimed extension of time for compliance of this Tribunal's order in O.A. No.857/2014. The said order was passed on 20.10.2015 and the respondents are directed to comply it within six months. In spite of repeated chances taken for compliance and even in spite of last chance granted on the last date, order is not yet complied with. The Ld. P.O. submits that three weeks time may be granted to comply the order. Considering the facts and circumstances on record and the fact that the order to be complied with is dated 20.10.2015. It is clear that so many chances have already been taken by the State for compliance. However, in the interest of justice last chance is granted, subject to the condition that, if the order is not complied with on or before that date, heavy cost will be saddled on the respondents.

**S.O. 30<sup>th</sup> March 2017.**

Member (J).

**O.A. No. 426/2016.**

**Coram : J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J).**

**Dated : 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2017.**

None for the applicant. Shri A.M. Ghogre, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

It seems that none appeared for the applicant even on last 2-3 occasions. Even the respondent No.3 is not served. Hence, it be kept for dismissal on **9<sup>th</sup> March 2017.**

Member (J).

pdg

**O.A. No. 39/2016.**

**Coram : J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J).**

**Dated : 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2017.**

Heard Shri S.P. Palshikar, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, the learned

P.O. for the respondents 1 and 3.  
Shri M. Sheikh, Adv. for R.2. None  
for R.4.

The Ld. counsel for R.2 submits that he will file Vakalatnama on behalf of R.2 and will take instructions from the department, since he has filed Vakalatnama on the last date only. Respondent No.2 has also filed reply. Ld. P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of R.3. In the interest of justice and taking into consideration the fact that the applicant is not getting pensionary benefits from 31.1.2015, matter is admitted and kept for final hearing on **17<sup>th</sup> March 2017** with liberty to respondent Nos. 1 and 3 to file reply affidavit. Copy of which shall be served on the applicant.

Shri H.K. Pande, the learned P.O. waives notice for the respondents 1 and 3. Shri M. Sheikh, Adv. for R.2 waives notice for the said respondent.

**S.O. 17<sup>th</sup> March 2017.**

Member (J).

pdg

**O.A. No. 168/2016.**

**Coram : J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J).**

**Dated : 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2017.**

Heard Shri M.R Rajgure, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for the respondents 1 to 3. Shri Shrikant Saoji, Adv. for R.4.

The contesting respondents have filed reply affidavit. Hence, the matter is admitted and kept for final hearing on **23<sup>rd</sup> March 2017.**

Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. waives notice for the respondents 1 to 3. Shri Shrikant Saoji, Adv. waives notice for R.4.

Shri Shrikant Saoji, Ld. Adv. for R.4 submits that he may be permitted to file reply affidavit. He may file reply affidavit subject to the condition that its copy be served in advance to the other parties.

S.O. **23<sup>rd</sup> March 2017** for final hearing.

Member (J).

pdg

**O.A. Nos. 190, 191, 192 and 193, 194 of 2016.**

**Coram:J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J).**

**Dated : 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2017.**

Heard Shri R.V. Shiralkar, the learned counsel for the applicants



and Shri M.I. Khan, the learned  
P.O. for the respondents.

Closed for orders.

Member (J).

pdg

**O.A. No. 559/2016.**

**Coram:J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J).**

**Dated : 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2017.**

Heard Shri V.S. Shingane, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

The Ld. P.O. as well as the learned counsel for the applicant seek two weeks time to file a chart of calculation of interest admissible to the applicant. In the meantime, if the pleadings are complete, matter is admitted and kept for final hearing to **30<sup>th</sup> March 2017.**

Shri A.M. Ghogre, the learned P.O. waive notice for the respondents.

Member (J).

**O.A. No. 836/2016.**

**Coram:J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J).**

**Dated : 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2017.**

Heard Shri B. Lahiri, the learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. S.V. Kolhe, the learned P.O. for the respondents 1 to 3. Shri J.S. Mokadam, Adv. for R.4.

Ld. P.O. seeks time to file reply affidavit on behalf of R. 1 to 3. Adv. for R.4 submits that he will file Vakalatnama on behalf of R.4 and then he will file reply on behalf of the said respondent.

**S.O. 23<sup>rd</sup> March 2017.**

Member (J).

**O.A. No. 653/2009.**

**Coram:J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J).**

**Dated : 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2017.**

None for the applicant. Shri P.N. Warjekar, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

**S.O. 16<sup>th</sup> March 2017.**

Member (J).

**O.A. No. 513/2008.**

**Coram:J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J).**

**Dated : 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2017.**

Shri S.A. Pathak, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.A. Barabde, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of the learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. **18<sup>th</sup> March 2017.**

Member (J).

**O.A. No. 265/2016.**

**Coram:J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J).**

**Dated : 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2017.**

Shri H.D. Futane, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of the learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. **23<sup>rd</sup> March 2017.**

Member (J).

**O.A. No. 18/2014.**

**Coram:J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J).**

**Dated : 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2017.**

None for the applicant. Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. 17<sup>th</sup> March 2017 for dismissal.

Member (J).

**O.A. No. 593/2016.**

**Coram:J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J).**

**Dated : 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2017.**

None for the applicant. Shri A.M.Khadatkar, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

**S.O. 18<sup>th</sup> March 2017.**

Member (J).



Cont. St. No. 2273/16 in O.A No.  
940/2012.

CORAM : J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
DATE : 2<sup>nd</sup> March, 2017.

\*\*\*

C.A. No. 552/2016

Shri A. Dubey, the learned Counsel for the applicant & Shri H.K. Pande, the learned P.O. for the respdts.

The ld. P.O. submits that the W.P. against the impugned order will be filed before the Hon<sup>ble</sup> High Court and seeks two weeks time.

S.O. 16/3/2017.

Member (J)

Skt.

O.A No. 810/2016.

CORAM : J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
DATE : 2<sup>nd</sup> March, 2017.

\*\*\*

Shri N.D. Thombre, the learned Counsel for the applicant, Smt. S.V. Kolhe, the learned P.O. for the respdts. 1 to 3 and Shri S. Chawhan, Adv. holding for Shri H. Chawhan, Id. Counsel for R/4.

Ld. P.O. has filed affidavit-in-reply of R/3. The same is taken on record and a copy thereof is given to the other side. The Id. Counsel for R/4 seeks time to file reply-in-affidavit.

S.O. 23/3/2017.

Member (J)

Skt.

O.A No.115/2017.

CORAM : J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 2<sup>nd</sup> March, 2017.

\*\*\*

Shri B.D. Pandit, the learned  
Counsel for the applicant and Shri  
P.N. Warjurkar, the learned P.O.  
for the respdt. No. 1.

Issue Notice before  
admission returnable on 16/3/2017.

Shri Warjurkar, the Id. P.O.  
waives notice for R/1.

Hamdast granted.

The Tribunal may take the  
case for final disposal at the  
admission stage and separate  
notice for final disposal shall not be  
issued.

Applicant is authorized and  
directed to serve on the  
Respondent intimation/ notice of  
date of hearing duly authenticated  
by Registry, along with complete  
paper book of O.A.

This intimation / notice is  
ordered under Rule 11 of the  
Maharashtra Administrative  
Tribunal (Procedure)

Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within three weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance of notice.

Member (J)

Skt.

O.A No. 288/2016.

CORAM : J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
DATE : 2<sup>nd</sup> March, 2017.  
\*\*\*

Shri Vishal Anand, the  
learned Counsel for the applicant  
and Smt. S.V. Kolhe, the learned  
P.O. for the respdts.

S.O. 16/3/2017.

Member (J)

Skt.

O.A No. 691/2016.

CORAM : J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
DATE : 2<sup>nd</sup> March, 2017.

\*\*\*

None for the applicant. Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for the respdts. No. 1 and 3. None for R/4 and 5.

R/2 is not yet served. The applicant is directed to file service affidavit on the next date.

S.O. 30/4/2017.

Member (J)

Skt.

O.A No. 477/2000.

CORAM : J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 2<sup>nd</sup> March, 2017.

\*\*\*

M.C.A. Nos. 14 and 15/2014

Shri S.W. Sambre, the  
learned Counsel for the applicant &  
Shri P.N. Warjurkar, the learned  
P.O. for the respdts.

S.O. 9/3/2017.

Member (J)



Skt.

Cont. Pn. No. 1/16 in O.A No.  
212/2015.

CORAM : J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
DATE : 2<sup>nd</sup> March, 2017.

\*\*\*

Shri S.P. Palshikar, the  
learned Counsel for the applicant &  
Smt. S.V. Kolhe, the learned P.O.  
for the respdts.

The Id. P.O. files on record the communication dtd. 25/1/2017, which is marked as exhibit Xq for the purposes of identification. He submits that the order has been complied with. The Id. Counsel for the applicants seeks time to get it confirmed. Hence put up on 9/3/2017.

Member (J)

Skt.

O.A No. 173/2006.

CORAM : J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
DATE : 2<sup>nd</sup> March, 2017.

\*\*\*

Shri R.V. Shiralkar, the learned Counsel for the applicant & Shri A.M. Ghogare, the learned P.O. for the respdts.

The Id. Counsel for the applicant as well as the Id. P.O. submit that against the order of this Tribunal W.P. No.4978/2016 was filed in which an order has been passed by the Honϕle High Court on 30/1/2017. In view of the order passed by the Honϕle High Court, the O.A. is restored for compliance of the directions given by the Honϕle High Court . The Id. Counsel for the applicant seeks one week time to file amendment application.

S.O. 9/3/2017.

Member (J)

Skt.

Cont. St. No. 1057/16 in O.A No.  
61/2010.

CORAM : J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
DATE : 2<sup>nd</sup> March, 2017.

\*\*\*

C.A. No. 270/2016

Shri Bharat Kulkarni, the  
learned Counsel for the applicant &  
Shri P.N. Warjurkar, the learned  
P.O. for the respdts.

At the request of the Id.  
Counsel for the applicant, S.O.  
30/3/2017

Member (J)

Skt.

Cont. Pn. No. 1092/16 in O.A No.  
112/2015.

CORAM : J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
DATE : 2<sup>nd</sup> March, 2017.

\*\*\*

C.A. No.281/2016

Heard Shri N.D. Thombre,  
the learned Counsel for the  
applicant & Shri S.A. Sanis, the  
learned P.O. for the respdts.

The Id. P.O. has submitted  
that the matter has already been  
forwarded to the MPSC but no  
response is received. It seems  
that the same argument was made  
on the last date also and in spite  
of such argument, notices were  
issued to the respondents. Today  
none of the respondents appeared  
to submit their say on the contempt

notice. Since the D.B. is available on 9/3/2017, the matter be placed on 9/3/2017.

Member (J)

Skt.

Cont. Pn. No. 1200/16 in O.A No.  
88/2006.

CORAM : J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
DATE : 2<sup>nd</sup> March, 2017.

\*\*\*

C.A. No. 296/2016

Heard Shri S.C. Deshmukh, the learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri A.P. Potnis, the learned P.O. for the respdts. No. 2 to 5 and Shri P.V. Thakre, the Id. Counsel for R/1.

The Id. Counsel for R/1 submits that the order under

challenge has already been complied and nothing remains in this C.A. The Id. Counsel for the applicant fairly admits that the order of this Tribunal is fully complied. In view thereof nothing survives in the C.A. Hence, Cont. St. No. 1200/2016 as well as C.A. No. 296/2016 stand disposed of with no order as to costs.

Member (J)

Skt.

Cont. Pn. No. 1535/16 in O.A No.  
487/2015.

CORAM : J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
DATE : 2<sup>nd</sup> March, 2017.

\*\*\*

C.A. No. 390/2016

Shri R.V. Shiralkar, the  
learned Counsel for the applicant  
and Shri S.A. Sanis, the learned  
P.O. for the respdts.

At the request of both the  
parties,

S.O.6/4/2016

Member (J)

Skt.

Cont. Pn. No. 1477/16 in O.A No.  
639/2009.



CORAM : J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
DATE : 2<sup>nd</sup> March, 2017.

\*\*\*

C.A. No. 371/2016

Applicant in person present.  
Smt. M.A. Barabde, the learned  
P.O. for the respdts.

Ld. P.O. has placed on  
record a copy of the order dtd.  
2/3/2017. It seems that the  
applicant reinstated with  
retrospective effect i.e. 7/12/2006.  
The ld. P.O. submits that the order  
of the Tribunal has been complied.  
The applicant who is present in  
person submits that he has just  
received the order today itself and  
his Advocate is not available today.  
Therefore, he seeks one week time.

S.O. 9/3/2017.

Member

(J)  
Skt.

Rev. Appn. No. 10/16 in O.A No.  
117/2016.

CORAM : J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
DATE : 2<sup>nd</sup> March, 2017.  
\*\*\*

Shri V.A. Kothale, the  
learned Counsel for the applicant  
and Shri V.A. Kulkarni,  
the learned P.O. for the respdts.

S.O. 16/3/2017.

Member (J)

Skt.

Cont. Pn. No. 1753/16 in O.A No.  
117/2016.

CORAM : J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
DATE : 2<sup>nd</sup> March, 2017.

\*\*\*

C.A. No. 439/2016

Shri V.A. Kothale, the learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sanis, the learned P.O. for the respdts.

Ld. P.O. submits that the W.P. before the Hon<sup>ble</sup> High Court is likely to come up for hearing tomorrow. He therefore seeks time. In view thereof, S.O. 16/3/2017.

Member (J)

Skt.

O.A . St. No. 812/2016

CORAM : J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 2<sup>nd</sup> March, 2017.

\*\*\*

C.A. No. 289/2016

Shri S.D. Chande, the learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, the learned P.O. for the respdts.

Ld. P.O. seeks time to file reply-in-affidavit to the C.A.

S.O.23/3/2017

Member (J)

Skt.

Cont. Pn. No. 702/16 in O.A No.  
513/2015.

CORAM : J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 2<sup>nd</sup> March, 2017.

\*\*\*

M.C.A. No.86/16 in C.A. No.  
167/2016

None for the applicant.  
Shri P.N. Warjurkar, the learned  
P.O. for the respdts.

On the last occasion also  
none appeared on behalf of the  
applicant. Hence put up on  
9/3/2017.

Member (J)

Skt.

Cont. Pn. No. 2292/16 in O.A No.  
602/2003.

CORAM : J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
DATE : 2<sup>nd</sup> March, 2017.

\*\*\*

C.A. No. 558/2016

Heard Shri G.N. Khanzode, the learned Counsel for the applicant, and Shri S. Khadatkar, the learned P.O. for the respdts.

As per the order passed in O.A. No. 602/2003 on 23/1/2006 the respondents were directed to consider the claim of the applicants for absorption of the applicant on Group C ( Class-III post ) on the basis of their seniority as on 31/5/1993 strictly in accordance with Govt. Resolution dated 21/4/1999 read with Govt. Resolution dated 1/12/1995. The ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that out of three applicants two have died and till today the order is not complied. As already stated the order has already passed in 2006 and even

after ten years the same is not complied.

The Id. P.O. has placed on record the communication dtd. 7/10/2016 which is marked as ~~Xq~~ for the purposes of identification . It seems that the Govt. has directed the Collector, Chandrapur to make some compliances in this regard. It is not known as to why the respondents have not done compliance since last 10-11 years. In view thereof the Collector, Chandrapur (R/3) is directed to remain present before this Tribunal on 9/4/2017.

Member (J)

Skt.



Cont. Pn. No. 2017/16 in O.A No.  
504/2014.

CORAM : J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
DATE : 2<sup>nd</sup> March, 2017.

\*\*\*

C.A. No. 498/2016

Heard Shri M.R. Khan, the learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri S.A. Sanis, the learned P.O. for the respdts. No.1. and Shri P.V. Thakre, the Id. Counsel for R/Nos. 2 to 5 .

In O.A. No.504/2014 the respondents were directed to finalise the pension case of the applicant and ensure payment of gratuity and all the retiral dues as

expeditiously as possible and in any event within six months from the date of passing of the order dtd. 8/7/2015 and admittedly till today the said compliance has not been done.

The Id. Counsel for R/2 to 5 submits that the pension case of the applicant has been finalised and has been sanctioned by the AG and the

bills have been submitted to the Treasury. However, the applicant was communicated vide letter dtd. 20/2/2017 that he has to remain present for identification before the competent authority. The applicant however did not remain present.

The Id. Counsel for the applicant submits that he will instruct his client to remain present before the competent authority for

identification and seeks two weeksq  
time. Hence, S.O. 16/3/2017.

Member (J)

Skt.

Cont. St. No.137/2017 in O.A No.  
833/2011.

CORAM : J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
DATE : 2<sup>nd</sup> March, 2017.

\*\*\*

C.A. No.20/2017

Adv. A.V. Kale, the learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. S.V. Kolhe, the learned P.O. for the respdts.

S.O. 9/3/2017.

Member (J)

Skt.

Cont. St. No.2286/2016 in O.A No.  
799/2014.

CORAM : J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
DATE : 2<sup>nd</sup> March, 2017.

\*\*\*

C.A. No. 557/2016

None for the applicant . Shri S. Khadatkar, the learned P.O. for the respdts.

On the last occasion also none was appeared for the applicant. The Id. P.O. has invited my attention to Annexure-4 ( dtd.5/1/2016 ) filed by the applicant himself. It seems that the order has been complied. The Id. P.O. submits that there is no cause for filing the contempt petition. As nobody is present on behalf of the applicant , the matter be kept on 8/3/2017 for passing necessary orders.

Member (J)

Skt.

Cont. St. No.694/2016 in O.A No.  
248/2014.

CORAM : J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)  
DATE : 2<sup>nd</sup> March, 2017.

\*\*\*

C.A. No. 165/2016

Shri J.P. Junghare, Id.  
Counsel for the applicant and Shri  
A.M. Ghogare, the learned P.O.  
for the respdts.

The Id. P.O. files affidavit-in-  
reply of R/4 and 5 . The same is  
taken on record and a copy thereof  
is served on the applicant.

As per the order passed in  
O.A. No.248/2014, the O.A. is  
allowed with the following directions

:-

- a) The respondents are  
directed to grant an  
appointment to the  
applicant to a Group-D  
post w.e.f. the date of

meeting of the selection committee, i.e. 18/2/2013, within 3 months of receipt of this order.

- b) We further direct that the respondents will conduct an enquiry into the delay caused in processing the application for compassionate appointment, which was due to gross negligence by the office of Respondent No. 5. This will be followed by fixing responsibility on the concerned person and appropriate action, which is a must for ensuring that there is transparency and accountability in the administration. This will be done within 4 months of receipt of this order .+

In view of the above facts the

order in O.A. No.248/2014 has been complied and therefore there is no need to proceed further. Since the order is complied with, the C.A. No. 165/2016 as well as the Cont. Petn. St. No.694/2016 stand disposed of with no order as to costs.

Member (J)

Skt.