

O.A.No.558/2016 **(D.B.)**

**Coram : Hon. Shri R.B. Malik,
Member (J).**

Dated : 07.02.2017.

Smt. M.P. Munshi, Id. Counsel
for the applicant and Smt. S.V. Kolhe,
Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. Counsel for
the applicant, **S.O. 28-02-2017.**

Member (J).

dnk.

O.A.No.427/2016 (D.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri R.B. Malik,
Member (J).**

Dated : 07.02.2017.

Shri Palash Mohta, Id. Counsel holding for Shri P.S. Chawhan, Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkhar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. Counsel for the applicant, **S.O. 07-03-2017.**

Member (J).

dnk.

O.A.St.No.2124/2016 (D.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri R.B. Malik,
Member (J).**

Dated : 07.02.2017.

C.A.529/2016 -

Heard Shri C.U. Deopujari, Id. Counsel for the applicants and Shri A.P.Potnis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. With regard to the nature of the relief sought in the application, the application for permission to sue jointly is allowed.

C.A.518/2016 –

This is an application for condonation of delay. I have perused the record and proceedings and heard Shri C.U. Deopujari, Id. Counsel for the applicants and Shri A.P.Potnis, Id. P.O. for the respondents

2. It appears that there is a delay of about 10 months and above. The cause assigned inter-alia is that the applicant was prosecuting before the

R/2, the Settlement Commissioner on apparently incorrect legal advice. I prefer not to go into the details thereof, but the cause has been noted down. The second cause is that some time was lost in gathering documents by invoking the provisions of the RTI Act.

3. The Id. P.O. strongly objects to the condonation of delay and the sum and substance of his submission is that, the delay in the context of the present matter is inexcusable. As to the above submission of the Id. P.O., I find that the mandate of a number of binding judicial precedents is that in such matters as this one the approach should be more with a view to advance the cause of justice rather than sacrifice it at the alter of procedure. The delay needs to be and is hereby condoned. The office and the applicants are directed to process the O.A. now and to get the matter listed before the appropriate Bench for disposal according to law. The C.A. is allowed with no order as to costs.

O.A. St.No. 2124/2016 –

Issue notice to R-2 to 4, returnable on 07-03-2017. Learned P.O. waives notice for R-1.

2. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

4. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

5. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

6. **S.O. 07-03-2017.**

Member (J).

O.A.St. No. 64/2017 (D.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri R.B. Malik,
Member (J).**

Dated : 07.02.2017.

C.A.12/2017 -

Heard Shri Mringendra Singh, Id. Senior counsel with Shri A.J. Gilda, Id. Counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.A. Barabde, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

With regard to the nature of the relief sought in the application, the application for permission to sue jointly is allowed.

O.A.St. No. 64/2017 –

The learned P.O. seeks time to file reply. At her request the O.A. stands adjourned for affidavit-in-reply.

S.O. 6-3-2017.

Member (J).

O.A.No. 175/2010 (D.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri R.B. Malik,
Member (J).**

Dated : 07.02.2017.

MCA No. 06/2017 –

Heard Shri A.R. Patil, Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. This is an application for setting aside the order dated 5-1-2017 whereby the Division Bench dismissed the O.A. in default. The cause assigned is that the Id. counsel for the applicant was very much present within the premises of this Tribunal but he was arguing the matter before Mr. S.S. Hingne, the Hon'ble Member (J) and that was the cause for absence and dismissal. The Id. P.O. objects to the application being allowed inter alia on the ground that the applicant was not diligent enough in prosecuting this O.A.

3. It is no doubt true that the order of Division Bench indicates that the applicant has not been particularly punctual in the matter. However in my opinion since the O.A. was dismissed on 5-1-2017 and this application was moved on 13-1-2017 it does appear that the conduct is not too negligent and henceforth at least the applicant would be punctual and diligent. In the interest of justice the order dismissing the O.A. for default stands hereby set aside and the O.A. is hereby restored to the original number such as it was for being heard in accordance with law.

4. The C.A. is allowed in this term, with no order as to costs.

Member (J).

dnk.

O.A.No. 323/2008 (D.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri R.B. Malik,
Member (J).**

Dated : 07.02.2017.

MCA No. 08/2017 –

Heard Shri Vishal Anand, Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for R-1 to 5. None for R-6.

2. This is an application for setting aside the order of this Tribunal for dismissing in default the O.A. 323/2008.

3. The O.A. came to be dismissed for default on 6-1-2017. The present application is moved on 2-2-2017 and is therefore within time. The cause assigned is that it was some lapse on the part of Id. counsel for the applicant which resulted ultimately in the order of dismissal. Although the Id. P.O. objects to the application being allowed, in my view the interest of justice demands that the O.A. should be heard and decided on merit. I am

sure that henceforth at least the applicant and Id. counsel will be diligent enough to make sure that such contingency did not arise again. The order of dismissing the O.A. for default is hereby set aside and the O.A. is restored to its original number for being heard and decided in accordance with law. The C.A. is allowed in this terms, no order as to costs.

Member (J).

dnk.

O.A.No. 756/2016 (D.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri R.B. Malik,
Member (J).**

Dated : 07.02.2017.

Shri G.K. Bhusari, Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for R-1. Await service of R-2&3.

At the request of Id. Counsel for the applicant, **S.O. 22-2-2017.**

Member (J).

dnk.

O.A.Nos. 191, 192 & 193 of 2016
(D.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri R.B. Malik,
Member (J).**

Dated : 07.02.2017.

Shri V.G. Wankhede, Id.
Counsel for the applicants and Smt.
M.A. Barabde, Id. P.O. for the
respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O.**
7-3-2017 for filing reply.

Member (J).

dnk.

O.A.No. 310/2016 (D.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri R.B. Malik,
Member (J).**

Dated : 07.02.2017.

Shri P.S. Wathore, Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjurkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. 7-3-2017** by way of last chance for filing reply.

Member (J).

dnk.

O.A.Nos. 657/2016 & 690/2016 **(D.B.)**

**Coram : Hon. Shri R.B. Malik,
Member (J).**

Dated : 07.02.2017.

Shri S.R. Renu, Id. Counsel for
the applicants and Shri V.A. Kulkarni,
Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. Counsel for
the applicants, **S.O. 7-3-2017.**

Member (J).

dnk.

O.A.No. 713/2016 (D.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri R.B. Malik,
Member (J).**

Dated : 07.02.2017.

Ms. S. Pahade, Id. Counsel for
the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id.
P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. Counsel for
the applicant, **S.O. 22-02-2017.**

Member (J).

dnk.

O.A.No. 777/2016 (D.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri R.B. Malik,
Member (J).**

Dated : 07.02.2017.

Shri K.S. Motwani, Id. Counsel
for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, Id.
CPO for R-1 to 3. None for R-4.

At the request of Id. CPO, **S.O.**
7-3-2017 for filing reply.

Member (J).

dnk.

O.A.No. 803/2016 (D.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri R.B. Malik,
Member (J).**

Dated : 07.02.2017.

Shri D.M. Surjuse, Id. Counsel
for the applicant and Shri A.P. Potnis,
Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O.**
7-3-2017 by way of last chance for
filing reply.

Member (J).

dnk.

O.A.No. 31/2017 (D.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri R.B. Malik,
Member (J).**

Dated : 07.02.2017.

None for the applicant. Shri
S.A. Deo, Id. CPO for the
respondents.

At the request of Id. CPO, **S.O.**
7-3-2017 for filing reply.

Member (J).

dnk.

O.A.No. 32/2017 (D.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri R.B. Malik,
Member (J).**

Dated : 07.02.2017.

1. Heard Shri S.P. Palshikar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjurkar, Id. P.O. for R-1.
2. Issue notice to R-2 to 6, returnable on 07-03-2017. Learned P.O. waives notice for R-1.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the

Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. This matter is heard for consideration of interim relief. I have perused the record and proceeding and heard Shri S.P. Palshikar, Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjurkar, Id. P.O. for R-1. The interim relief prayed herein is for stay to the impugned order. The copy thereof is at P-17 of PB.

8. Having heard the submissions of both the sides, especially, the Judgment of the second Division Bench in the Principal Bench at Mumbai in O.A. No. 356/2016 (Sanjay V. Sonawane Vs. State of Maharashtra and 2 ors), dated 25-10-2016 which Bench spoke

through me. I am of the opinion that the interim relief in the light thereof and taking care that an order to safeguard the interest of both the sides can quite safely be made. It is therefore directed that whatever steps in the facts at issue and issues herein involved are taken shall be subject to the final outcome of this O.A. and the respondents shall take care to bring this to the notice of all concerned including the private party/ respondents hereto.

9. With this interim relief, the O.A. stands adjourned for filing affidavit- in-reply.

10. Steno copy be provided to the Id. Counsel for parties.

11. **S.O. 07-03-2017.**

Member (J).

O.A. No.12/2017.

Coram: R.B. Malik, Member(J)

Dated : 7th February 2017.

Shri P.S. Wathore, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. C.P.O. for the respondents.

S.O.28.2.2017 as a last chance.

Member

(J)

pdg

O.A. No.67/2017.

Coram: R.B. Malik, Member(J)

Dated : 7th February 2017.

Heard Shri U.J. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. C.P.O. for the respondents 1 and 2. None for R.3.

Respondent No.3 has not been served and, therefore, it is directed that the applicant shall serve R.3 Matter will be heard for consideration on interim relief on **13.2.2017.**

Issue notice returnable by 13.2.2017.

Shri S.A. Deo, Id. C.P.O. waives notice for respondents 1 & 2.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunals (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post or courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within three weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

Member (J)

pdg

O.A. No.402/2014.

Coram: R.B. Malik, Member(J)

Dated : 7th February 2017.

R.A. No.03/2016.

Shri R. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjekar, learned P.O. for the respondents 2 and 3. Shri S.P. Palshikar, learned Advocate for R.1.

S.O. 28.2.2017.

Member (J)

pdg

O.A. No.727/2016.

Coram: R.B. Malik, Member(J)

Dated : 7th February 2017.

Shri T.G. Bansod, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, learned P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. 8.2.2017.

Member (J)

pdg

O.A. No. 06/2017.

Coram: R.B. Malik, Member(J)

Dated : 7th February 2017.

Shri Bharat Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, learned C.P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. 28.2.2017 for reply.

Member (J)

pdg

O.A. No. 833/2016.

Coram: R.B. Malik, Member(J)

Dated : 7th February 2017.

Shri S.B. Tiwari, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, learned P.O. for the respondents 1 and 2. None for R.3.

S.O. 21.2.2017.

Member (J)

pdg

O.A. No. 808/2016.

Coram: R.B. Malik, Member(J)

Dated : 7th February 2017.

Shri N.S. Bade, learned
counsel for the applicant and Shri

A.P. Potnis, learned P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. 21.2.2017 as a last chance.

Member (J)

pdg

O.A. No. 776/2015.

Coram: R.B. Malik, Member(J)

Dated : 7th February 2017.

Shri S.N. Gaikwad, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, learned P.O. for the respondents.

Learned P.O. seeks adjournment to file reply. In fact sufficient opportunity has been given and last chance is granted for filing affidavit in reply. The learned counsel for the applicant insists on interim relief. He makes a statement at the bar on instructions that no appointments have been made to the post involved herein. Learned P.O. reports no instructions in that behalf. Denying on the statement across the bar made by the learned counsel for the applicant, it is directed that whatever steps are taken in respect of the post involved herein will be subject to the ultimate outcome of this O.A. and this fact will be made clear to all concerned herewith.

S.O. 21.2.2017 for reply.

Put up this O.A. with O.A.
No.777/2015.

Member (J)

pdg

O.A. No. 771/2016.

Coram: R.B. Malik, Member(J)

Dated : 7th February 2017.

None for the applicant. Shri M.I. Khan, learned P.O. for the respondents 1 to 3. None for R. 4 and 5.

S.O. 28.2.2017 for reply.

Member (J)

pdg

O.A. No. 762/2016.

Coram: R.B. Malik, Member(J)

Dated : 7th February 2017.

Shri A.S. Chakotkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, learned P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. 7.3.2017.

Put up this O.A. with O.A. No.763/2016.

Member (J)

pdg

O.A. No. 747/2016.

Coram: R.B. Malik, Member(J)

Dated : 7th February 2017.

Heard Shri S.P. Palshikar, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. S.V. Kolhe, learned P.O. for the respondents.

The O.A. is admitted and is appointed for hearing.

Smt. S.V. Kolhe, learned P.O. waives notice for the respondents.

S.O. 15.2.2017.

Member

(J)

pdg

O.A. No. 701/2016.

Coram: R.B. Malik, Member(J)

Dated : 7th February 2017.

Heard Shri A.S. Chakotkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, learned P.O. for the respondents.

Affidavits in reply have been filed, they are taken on record. The applicant apparently has not filed affidavit in rejoinder. O.A. proceeds without affidavit in rejoinder on the basis of denial of adverse allegations in the reply.

O.A. is admitted and is appointed for final hearing peremptorily.

Shri M.I. Khan, learned P.O. waives notice for the respondents.

S.O. **16.2.2017.**

Member

(J)

pdg

O.A. No. 631/2016.

Coram: R.B. Malik, Member(J)

Dated : 7th February 2017.

Shri S.N. Gaikwad, Advocate holding for Shri A.P. Tathod,, the learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.A. Barabde, learned P.O. for respondent No.1. None for R. 2 to 4.

S.O. 14.2.2017.

Member

(J)

pdg

O.A. No. 548/2016.

Coram: R.B. Malik, Member(J)

Dated : 7th February 2017.

Shri P.D. Sharma, the learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. S.V. Kolhe, learned P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. 7.3.2017.

Member

(J)

pdg

O.A. No. 544/2016.

Coram: R.B. Malik, Member(J)

Dated : 7th February 2017.

Heard Shri A.B. Bambal, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, learned P.O. for the respondents.

The learned counsel for the applicant states across the bar that during the pendency of this O.A. the respondents have paid to the applicant arrears of revised pay, subject to his

request that the issue of interest may be kept open and this O.A. which worked itself out is disposed of and it is noted that the respondents have paid the arrears of revised pay to the applicant. The applicant shall be free to moved the appropriate authority for interest and in the event, he remains dissatisfied, he should take recourse under the law, in accordance with the advice. O.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.

Member

(J)

pdg

O.A. No. 49/2017.

Coram: R.B. Malik, Member(J)

Dated : 7th February 2017.

Heard Shri M.M. Sudame. the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, learned P.O. for the respondents.

This O.A. can be disposed of on a short point itself. I have perused the record and proceedings with particular reference to the order dated 31.1.2017 made by the Hon'ble Chairman. The learned counsel for the applicant tenders documents to evidence, service of respondents, they are taken on record. Learned P.O. tenders copy of the order of State Government in Revenue and Forest Department dated 6.2.2017, it is taken on record. In view thereof, the O.A. which worked itself out and it is directed that in accordance thereof, applicant be allowed to join immediately. O.A. is disposed of with these directions with no order as to costs.

Member

(J)

pdg

O.A. No. 725/2016.

Coram: R.B. Malik, Member(J)

Dated : 7th February 2017.

Heard Shri S.N. Gaikwad, the learned counsel for the applicant

and Shri P.N. Warjekar, learned P.O. for the respondents 1, 2 and 4.

The respondents 1, 2 and 4 are served.

Issue fresh notice to R.3 returnable by **7.3.2017.**

Shri P.N. Warjekar, learned P.O. waives notice for respondents 1, 2 and 4.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunals (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation

and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post or courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within three weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

Member (J)

pdg

O.A. No. 573/2016.

Coram: R.B. Malik, Member(J)

Dated : 7th February 2017.

Shri D.R. Rupnarayan, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, learned P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. 21.2.2017.

Member (J)

pdg

O.A. No. 94/2016.

Coram: R.B. Malik, Member(J)

Dated : 7th February 2017.

Shri A.S. Chakotkar, the learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. S.V. Kolhe, the learned P.O. for the respondents 1 to 3.

The learned P.O. waives notice for respondents 1 to 3 and prays for time to file affidavit in reply.

S.O. 21.2.2017.

Member (J)

O.A. No. 522/2016.

Coram: R.B. Malik, Member(J)

Dated : 7th February 2017.

C.A.27/2017.

None for the applicant. Shri P.N. Warjekar, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. 7.3.2017.

Member (J)

pdg

O.A. No. 501/2016.

Coram: R.B. Malik, Member(J)

Dated : 7th February 2017.

C.A.17/2017.

None for the applicant. Shri A.M. Ghogre, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. 7.3.2017.

Member (J)

pdg

O.A. (St.)No. 1790/2016.

Coram: R.B. Malik, Member(J)

Dated : 7th February 2017.

C.A.445/2016.

None for the applicant. Heard Shri S.A. Sainis, the learned P.O. for the respondents 1 to 3. Shri D.M. Kale, Adv. for R.4.

Permission to sue jointly is allowed.

Issue notice returnable by **7.3.2017.**

Shri P.N. Warjekar, learned P.O. waives notice for respondents 1, 2 and 4.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents

intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunals (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post or courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within three weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. 7.3.2017.

Member (J)

O.A. (St.)No. 779/2016.

Coram: R.B. Malik, Member(J)

Dated : 7th February 2017.

C.A.539/2016.

Heard Shri S.B. Tiwari, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

By this C.A., the applicant seeks the delay in moving the O.A. to be condoned.

I have perused the record and proceedings and heard learned counsel for the applicant and the learned P.O.

There is a delay of a little more than three months. Cause assigned is that during the time, crucial and relevant, the father of the applicant was ill and was diagnosed to be suffering from jaundice. Although, learned P.O. is

opposing this application, inviting reference to the absence of any medical record, I am of the opinion that in the ultimate analysis, interest of justice should prevail over the technicalities. Though, delay is undoubtedly there, but the quantum thereof is not such as to leave the applicant languishing without remedy. Delay is accordingly condoned.

Office and the applicant are directed to take all steps necessary to get the O.A. listed before the appropriate Bench for decision, according to law. C.A. is allowed in these terms with no order as to costs.

Member (J)

Pdg

O.A. No.850 & 851 of 2010.

Coram: R.B. Malik, Member(J)

Dated : 7th February 2017.

Heard Shri Ganesh Iyer, Adv. holding for Shri S. Ghate, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. 8.2.2017.

Member

(J)

pdg

O.A. No.474/2015.

Coram: R.B. Malik, Member(J)

Dated : 7th February 2017.

C.A. 173/2016.

Heard Shri N.R. Saboo, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.A. Barabde, learned P.O. for the respondents 1 to 3. None for R. 4 and 5.

The main relief sought in the O.A. was for quashing and setting aside of the order of suspension of the applicant dated 7.8.2015. By an interim order of 31.8.2015, this Tribunal had stayed that order of suspension. The learned counsel for the applicant informs that the applicant has retired and so far no further action has been taken. Regard being had to the scope of the O.A., it has worked itself out and is, therefore, disposed of as infructuous granting liberty to the applicant to seek his remedy, if the events so occurred. All pleas and stands are kept open. With this, O.A. stands disposed of as infructuous with no order as to costs.

C.A. goes alongwith the O.A.

Member

(J)

pdg

O.A. No.157/2016.

Coram: R.B. Malik, Member(J)

Dated : 7th February 2017.

Shri P.V. Thakre, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, learned P.O. for respondent No. 1. None for R. 2.

The learned counsel for the applicant informs that he has now learnt that the applicant expired in the month of May 2016. On his request, O.A. is adjourned for six weeks with liberty to the learned counsel for the applicant to move early, if he feels aggrieved.

S.O. 21.3.2017.

Member

(J)

pdg

O.A. No.113/2016.

Coram: R.B. Malik, Member(J)

Dated : 7th February 2017.

Shri Shashikant Borkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, learned P.O. for respondent Nos. 1 & 2. None for R. 3 to 60.

S.O. **9.2.2017.**

Member (J)

pdg

O.A. No.241/2013.

Coram: R.B. Malik, Member(J)

Dated : 7th February 2017.

Shri P.V. Thakre, Adv.
holding for Dr. (Mrs.) R.S.
Sirpurkar, learned counsel for the
applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre,
learned P.O. for respondents.

S.O. 10.2.2017.

Member

(J)

pdg

O.A. Nos. 850 & 851 of 2010.

Coram: R.B. Malik, Member(J)

Dated : 7th February 2017.

Shri Ganesh Iyer, Adv.
Holding for Shri S. Ghate, learned
counsel for the applicants and Shri

H.K. Pande, the learned P.O. for
the respondents.

S.O. 8.2.2017.

Member (J)

pdg

O.A. No. 88/2012.

Coram: R.B. Malik, Member(J)

Dated : 7th February 2017.

Heard Shri J.L. Bhoot,
learned counsel for the applicant
and Mrs. M.A. Barabde, the
learned P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. 8.2.2017.

Member (J)

pdg

O.A. No. 445,446,447,448 and 533 of 2016.

Coram: R.B. Malik, Member(J)

Dated : 7th February 2017.

Shri S.P. Palshikar, learned
counsel for the applicant and Shri
M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for the
respondents.

S.O. 8.2.2017.

Member (J)

pdg

O.A. No. 500/2016.

Coram: R.B. Malik, Member(J)

Dated : 7th February 2017.

C.A.No.530/2016.

Heard Shri P.V. Thakre, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. S.V. Kolhe, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

This is a C.A. for amendment to the O.A. Affidavit in reply has not been filed. However, I am very fairly of the view that this application does no violence with a law of amendment and does not incorporate any plea which is inconsistent with the original one. It is allowed. Amendment be carried within two days from today. Consolidated copy of the original application after amendment be filed and copy thereof be furnished to the learned P.O. C.A. is accordingly allowed with no order as to costs.

It be treated as part heard.

S.O. 10.2.2017.

Member (J)

Pdg

O.A. No. 474/2016.

Coram: R.B. Malik, Member(J)

Dated : 7th February 2017.

Heard Shri P.V. Thakre, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. S.V. Kolhe, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. 10.2.2017.

Member (J)

Pdg

