

O.A. No. 257/2017 (D.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 03rd Nov. 2017.

Heard Shri G.G.Bade, Id. counsel for the applicant. Shri P.N.Warjurkar, the learned P.O. for Respondents.

The Id. counsel for the applicant seeks permission to file Rejoinder and to amend the O.A., if required. At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. Two Weeks.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

aps

O.A. No. 728/2017 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 03rd Nov. 2017.

Shri Sudame, Id. counsel for the applicant. Shri M.I.Khan, the learned P.O. for Respondents.

The Id. counsel for the applicant submits that the impugned order of transfer has been cancelled by the Respondents authorities and, therefore, the applicant wants to withdraw the O.A. and seeks permission for the same. In view thereof the application stands disposed of and withdrawn with no order as to costs.

Vice-Chairman(J)

aps

O.A. No. 691/2017 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 03rd Nov. 2017.

Shri A.V.Band, Id. counsel for the applicant. Shri A.M.Ghogre, the learned P.O. for Respondents.

At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. Monday i.e. 06.11.2017.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

aps

O.A. No. 57/2017 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 03rd Nov. 2017.

C.A.No.357/2017:-

1. Heard Shri S.J.Kadu, Id. counsel for the applicant. Shri V.A.Kulkarni, the learned P.O. for R-2.
2. The Id. counsel for the applicant seeks permission to carry out the amendment today itself. He is permitted to carry out the amendment.
3. Notice on C.A. to R.1 be issued returnable in **Four weeks**.
3. Shri V.A.Kulkarni the learned P.O. waives notice for respondent no.2. Hamdast granted.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the O.A.

6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with an affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible once week before the date fixed by this Tribunal. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

Vice-Chairman(J)

aps

O.A. No. 853/2011 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 03rd Nov. 2017.

Shri S.S.More, Id. counsel for the applicant. Shri Pandey, the learned P.O. for Respondents.

Closed for order.

Vice-Chairman(J)

aps

O.A. No. 567/2017 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 03rd Nov. 2017.

Shri Jibhkate, Id. counsel for the applicant. Shri P.N.Warjurkar, the learned P.O. for Respondents no. 1 & 2. Shri A.Parihar, Id. counsel for Respondents no. 3 & 4. Shri G.K.Bhusari holding for Shri D.M.Kakani, Id. Counsel for Respondent no. 5.

At the request of Id. counsel for Respondent no. 3 & 4 as well as Id. P.O.,
S.O. Two Weeks.

Vice-Chairman(J)

aps

O.A. No. 847/2017 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 03rd Nov. 2017.

1. Heard Shri S.N.Gaikwad, Id. counsel for the applicant. Shri A.M.Ghogre, the learned P.O. for Respondents no. 1.

2. The applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 27/10/2017 whereby the applicant has been transferred from Desaignanj to Pranhita. It is stated that the applicant has not completed his normal tenure at the place of present post and by the impugned order he has been transferred just prior to four months and therefore the impugned order is illegal. From the impugned order, it seems that as many as 19 personnel have been transferred and the reasons are recorded in the said order. In such circumstances, the reply of respondent no. 2 is necessary to see as to under what circumstances the applicant was transferred.

3. The Id. counsel for the applicant submits that stay may be granted to the transfer order, as nobody is posted in his place. However, such order cannot be granted at this juncture, since the reasons

for transfer is required to be known in detailed from Respondent no. 2.

4. Issue notice to R-2, returnable within two weeks. Learned P.O. waives notice for R-1. Hamdast allowed.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. On the request of Id. Counsel for the

applicant issue as regards interim relief is kept open.

9. **S.O. two weeks.**

Steno Copy Granted.

Vice-Chairman(J)

aps

O.A. No. 848/2017 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 03rd Nov. 2017.

1. Heard Shri S.N.Gaikwad, Id. counsel for the applicant. Shri A.M.Ghogre, the learned P.O. for Respondents no. 1.

2. The applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 27/10/2017 whereby the applicant has been transferred from Desaianj to Pomke Gatta. It is stated that the applicant has not completed his normal tenure at the place of present post and by the impugned order he has been transferred just prior to four months and therefore the impugned order is illegal. From the impugned order, it seems that as many as 19 personnel have been transferred and the reasons are recorded in the said order. In such circumstances, the reply of respondent no. 2 is necessary to see as to under what circumstances the applicant was transferred.

3. The Id. counsel for the applicant submits that stay may be granted to the transfer order, as nobody is posted in his place. However, such order cannot be granted at this juncture, since the reasons

for transfer is required to be known in detailed from Respondent no. 2.

4. Issue notice to R-2, returnable within two weeks. Learned P.O. waives notice for R-1. Hamdast allowed.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. On the request of Id. Counsel for the

applicant issue as regards interim relief is kept open.

9. **S.O. two weeks.**

Steno Copy Granted.

Vice-Chairman(J)

aps

O.A. No. 849/2017 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 03rd Nov. 2017.

1. Heard Shri S.N.Gaikwad, Id. counsel for the applicant. Shri A.M.Ghogre, the learned P.O. for Respondents no. 1.

2. The applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 27/10/2017 whereby the applicant has been transferred from Desaignanj to Pranhita. It is stated that the applicant has not completed his normal tenure at the place of present post and by the impugned order he has been transferred just prior to four months and therefore the impugned order is illegal. From the impugned order, it seems that as many as 19 personnel have been transferred and the reasons are recorded in the said order. In such circumstances, the reply of respondent no. 2 is necessary to see as to under what circumstances the applicant was transferred.

3. The Id. counsel for the applicant submits that stay may be granted to the transfer order, as nobody is posted in his place. However, such order cannot be granted at this juncture, since the reasons

for transfer is required to be known in detailed from Respondent no. 2.

4. Issue notice to R-2, returnable within two weeks. Learned P.O. waives notice for R-1. Hamdast allowed.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. On the request of Id. Counsel for the

applicant issue as regards interim relief is kept open.

9. **S.O. two weeks.**

Steno Copy Granted.

Vice-Chairman(J)

aps

O.A. No. 850/2017 (S.B.)

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).

Dated : 03rd Nov. 2017.

1. Heard Shri S.N.Gaikwad, Id. counsel for the applicant. Shri A.M.Ghogre, the learned P.O. for Respondents no. 1.

2. The applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 27/10/2017 whereby the applicant has been transferred from Desaiganj to Pranhita. It is stated that the applicant has not completed his normal tenure at the place of present post and by the impugned order he has been transferred just prior to four months and therefore the impugned order is illegal. From the impugned order, it seems that as many as 19 personnel have been transferred and the reasons are recorded in the said order. In such circumstances, the reply of respondent no. 2 is necessary to see as to under what circumstances the applicant was transferred.

3. The Id. counsel for the applicant submits that stay may be granted to the transfer order, as nobody is posted in his place. However, such order cannot be granted at this juncture, since the reasons

for transfer is required to be known in detailed from Respondent no. 2.

4. Issue notice to R-2, returnable within two weeks. Learned P.O. waives notice for R-1. Hamdast allowed.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. On the request of Id. Counsel for the

applicant issue as regards interim relief is kept open.

9. **S.O. two weeks.**

Steno Copy Granted.

Vice-Chairman(J)

aps

O.A. No. 851/2017 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 03rd Nov. 2017.

1. Heard Shri S.N.Gaikwad, Id. counsel for the applicant. Shri A.M.Ghogre, the learned P.O. for Respondents no. 1.

2. The applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 27/10/2017 whereby the applicant has been transferred from Desaianj to Pomke Koti. It is stated that the applicant has not completed his normal tenure at the place of present post and by the impugned order he has been transferred just prior to four months and therefore the impugned order is illegal. From the impugned order, it seems that as many as 19 personnel have been transferred and the reasons are recorded in the said order. In such circumstances, the reply of respondent no. 2 is necessary to see as to under what circumstances the applicant was transferred.

3. The Id. counsel for the applicant submits that stay may be granted to the transfer order, as nobody is posted in his place. However, such order cannot be granted at this juncture, since the reasons

for transfer is required to be known in detailed from Respondent no. 2.

4. Issue notice to R-2, returnable within two weeks. Learned P.O. waives notice for R-1. Hamdast allowed.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. On the request of Id. Counsel for the

applicant issue as regards interim relief is kept open.

9. **S.O. two weeks.**

Steno Copy Granted.

Vice-Chairman(J)

aps

O.A. No. 183/2017 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 03rd Nov. 2017.

C.A.No.525/2017:-

Shri S.Singh, Id. counsel for the applicant. Shri G.K.Bhusari holding for Shri D.M.Kakani, Id. Spl. Counsel for Respondent no. 1.

The Id. Spl. Counsel for Respondent no. 1 has filed C.A. for dismissal of O.A. for want of Jurisdiction. It is taken on Record.

The Id. Spl. Counsel for R-1 has taken objection regarding the maintainability of the O.A., **S.O. One Week.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

aps

O.A. No. 241/2013 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 03rd Nov. 2017.

Shri A.S.Ambatkar, Id. counsel for the applicant. Shri A.M.Ghogre, Id. P.O. for Respondents.

At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. 09/11/2017** for compliance.

Vice-Chairman(J)

aps

O.A. No. 190/2013 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 03rd Nov. 2017.

Shri M.V.Mohokar, Id. counsel for the applicant. Shri M.I.Khan, Id. P.O. for Respondents.

At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. Three Weeks.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

aps

O.A. No. 792/2017 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 03rd Nov. 2017.

Shri N.D.Thombre, Id. counsel for the applicant. Smt. S.V.Kolhe, Id. P.O. for Respondents no. 1 & 2. Shri A.Girdekar, Id. counsel for the Respondent no 3.

The Id. P.O. has files the reply of Respondent no. 2. The same is taken on record. Copy is served to the applicant.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. Two Weeks** to file the reply on behalf of Respondent no. 1.

Vice-Chairman(J)

aps

O.A. No. 327/2017 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 03rd Nov. 2017.

C.A.No. 343/2017:-

Shri N.S.Warulkar, Id. counsel for the applicant. Shri M.I.Khan, Id. P.O. for Respondents no. 1, 2 & 3. Await service of R-4.

The Id. P.O. has files the reply affidavit of Respondent no. 3. The same is taken on record. Copy is served to the applicant.

S.O. Two Weeks.

Vice-Chairman(J)

aps

O.A. No. 198/2017 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 03rd Nov. 2017.

C.A.No. 447/2017:-

Shri N.D.Thombre, Id. counsel for the applicant. Shri A.M.Ghogre, Id. P.O. for Respondents no. 1, 2 & 3. Shri A.Y.Kapgate, Id. counsel for Respondents no. 4 & 5.

After hearing the matter for a considerable time, the Id. P.O. submits that he will take instructions as regard proposed enquiry against the applicant.

S.O. 07/11/2017 (P.H.).

Vice-Chairman(J)

aps

O.A. No. 124/2017 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 03rd Nov. 2017.

C.A.No. 62/2017:-

Ms. Rutuja holding for Shri S.D.Chande, Id. counsel for the applicant. Shri Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for Respondents.

The Id. counsel for the applicant seeks time to file Rejoinder alongwith copy of the representation.

S.O. One Week.

Vice-Chairman(J)

aps

O.A. No. 650/2017 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 03rd Nov. 2017.

Smt.K.N.Saboo, Id. counsel for the applicant. Shri V.A.Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for Respondent no. 1. Await service of R-2. None for R-3.

The Id. counsel for the applicant submits that she will file service affidavit of Respondent no. 2, today itself. **S.O. Four Weeks.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

aps

O.A. No. 650/2017 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 03rd Nov. 2017.

Mrs. K.N.Saboo, Id. counsel for the applicant. Shri V.A.Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for Respondent no. 1. Await service of R-2.

The Id. P.O. seeks time to file reply affidavit, **S.O. Two Weeks.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

aps

O.A. No. 760/2016 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 03rd Nov. 2017.

None for the applicant. Smt. S.V.Kolhe, Id. P.O. for Respondent no. 1 (A & B) & 2. Await service of R-3.

It seems that the Hamdast for Respondent no. 3 was not collected by the applicant and, therefore, the O.A. is kept for dismissal today.

Since the reply affidavit of Respondents no. 1 & 2 are already on record, the matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.

S.O. in due course.

Vice-Chairman(J)

aps

O.A. No.42/2017 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 03rd Nov. 2017.

Adv.Sirpurkar, Id. counsel for the applicant. Shri V.A.Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for Respondents.

At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. One Week.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

aps

O.A. No.265/2017 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 03rd Nov. 2017.

None for the applicant. Shri M.I.Khan,
Id. P.O. for Respondents.

The Id. P.O. seeks three weeks time
to file reply affidavit of Respondent no. 1,
S.O. Three Weeks.

Vice-Chairman(J)

aps

O.A. No.556/2017 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 03rd Nov. 2017.

None for the applicant. Shri
V.A.Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for Respondents.

The Id. P.O. seeks four weeks time to
file reply affidavit, **S.O. Four Weeks.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

aps

O.A. No.514/2017 (S.B.)

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).

Dated : 03rd Nov. 2017.

None for the applicant. Shri Deo, Id. C.P.O. for Respondent no. 1. Shri A.M.Kukday, Adv. for Respondents no. 2 & 3.

The Id. C.P.O. submits that the reply of Respondent no. 1 is not necessary. The reply of Respondents no. 2 & 3 are already filed. The Id. counsel for Respondent no. 2 & 3 submits that the applicant be directed to supply the copy of the relevant office record available with him, when applicant was relieved and, therefore, the applicant be directed to place on record the same documents. Since nobody appeared for the applicant, request will be considered on the next date when the applicant will present.

S.O. Four Weeks.

Vice-Chairman(J)

Aps

O.A. No. 285/2017 (DB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 3.11.2017

C.A. Nos. 522/2017

Heard Shri G.N. Khanzode Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for R-1 to 3. Shri N.S. Badhe, Id. counsel appears for R-4 (Intervener).

2. The applicant wants to amend the O.A. in view of the subsequent decision taken in the DPC and also wants to add the selected candidate for promotion as respondent no.4. Shri Badhe, Id. counsel appears for R-4 sue moto and submits that the application be allowed and he be given opportunity to file reply and the learned P.O. also be given file-affidavit reply to the amended O.A. Since the amendment is required due to subsequent changes in the litigation and it will not be change the nature of the relief claimed by the applicant, the application for amendment is allowed. The respondent no.4 be added as party

and necessary amendment be carried out within two days. The copy of the amendment O.A. be supplied to the respondents.

O.A.285/2017 with C.A. 405/2017 -

S.O. three weeks for filing reply.

Till then I.R. to continue.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 70/2017 **(DB)**

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 3.11.2017

Heard Shri A.P. Sadavarte, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. files reply- affidavit on behalf of respondents. It is taken on record. Copy is given to the applicant.

3. In this O.A. the applicant prayed following reliefs :-

(i) Quash and set aside the impugned order dated 4/10/2013 and 14/10/2013 passed by respondent nos. 4&5, as illegal, bad in law.

(ii) Further be pleased to direct the respondents to appoint and permit to join the applicant on the post of Junior Auditor/ Accountant with all consequential benefits from the date of her appointment order dated 26/3/2013 and also grant deemed date of appointment to the post of Junior Auditor / Accountant w.e.f. 26/3/2013.

(iii) Further be directed the respondent no.1 to consider the applicant's representation and the proposal forwarded by respondent no.2 dated

5/12/2014 and 19/12/2015 in a sympathetically by granting the appointment to the applicant to the post of Junior Auditor / Accountant.

4. Today the learned P.O. has filed reply-affidavit on behalf of respondents along with copy of the appointment order issued in favour of applicant dated 3/10/2017 and 25/10/2017. The main grievance of the applicant has therefore been granted by the respondent authority. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant should have been allowed to join duties in 2013 and she should have been given deemed date of appointment w.e.f. 26/3/2013. The learned counsel for the applicant however requested that the applicant may be permitted to withdraw the O.A. with permission to file separate representation as regards deemed date of appointment and consequential monetary benefits.

5. In view thereof, the O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to the

applicant to file separate representation as prayed for. No order as to costs.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 646/2017 **(DB)**

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 3.11.2017

C.A. 486/17 in C.A. 412/17

Shri S.Borkute, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Potnis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. two weeks** for filing reply on both the C.As.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 492/2017 (DB)

Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,

Vice-Chairman (J).

Dated : 3.11.2017

None for the applicants. Shri P.N. Warjurkar, Id. P.O. for the respondent nos. 1&2. Await service of R-3.

The learned P.O. files reply on behalf of R-2. It is taken on record.

The applicant to file service affidavit of R-3.

S.O. four weeks.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 564/2017 (DB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 3.11.2017

None for the applicant.

Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for the respondent no.2. Await service of R-1.

The applicant to file service affidavit of R-1.

The learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of R-2. At his request, **S.O. four weeks.**

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 715/2017 (DB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 3.11.2017

Shri R.V. Shiralkar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. CPO for R-1. Await service of R-2&3.

S.O .two weeks.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 104/2017 (DB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 3.11.2017

None for the applicant.

Shri S.A. Deo, Id. CPO for R-1&2.
None for R-3&4.

The matter is admitted and kept for
final hearing.

The learned CPO waives notice for
R-1&2.

S.O. in due course.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 241/2017 (DB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 3.11.2017

Shri Bharat Kulkarni, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Potnis, Id. P.O. for the respondent nos. 1 to 3. None for R-4.

2. Shri Kulkarni, learned counsel files rejoinder on behalf of the applicant. It is taken on record. Copy is given to the learned P.O.

3. Since the pleadings are complete, with the consent of learned counsel for parties the matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.

4. The learned P.O. waives notice for R-1 to 3.

S.O. four weeks.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 254/2017 (DB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 3.11.2017

Ms. N. Khope, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. CPO for the respondents.

The learned CPO files reply-affidavit on behalf of R-2. It is taken on record. Copy is served on the applicant.

S.O. two weeks for filing rejoinder, if any.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 336/2017 (DB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 3.11.2017

Shri R.V. Shiralkar, Id. counsel for the applicants and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The learned PO files reply-affidavit on behalf of R-3. It is taken on record. Copy is served on the applicant.

The learned P.O. submits that the reply of other respondents is not necessary.

S.O. two weeks for filing rejoinder, if any.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 600/2017 (DB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 3.11.2017

Shri R.V. Shiralkar, Id. counsel for the applicants and Shri A.P. Potnis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. two weeks** for filing reply.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 244/2013 (DB)

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).**

Dated : 3.11.2017

Smt. R.S. Sirpurkar, Id. counsel for the applicants and Smt. S.V. Kolhe, Id. P.O. for the respondent nos. 1 to 3. None for R-4.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O.**
6/11/2017.

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 44/2017 (SB)

Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J).

Dated : **3.11.2017**

Shri S.P. Palshikar, Id. counsel for the applicants and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. 8/11/2017.**

Vice Chairman (J)

dnk.