

**O.A. No. 32/2017**

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 8<sup>th</sup> June 2017.**

Shri N.S. Warulkar, Adv. holding for Shri S.P. Palshikar, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for the respondents 1 to 3. None for R. 4 to 6.

At the request of the learned counsel for the applicant, **12<sup>th</sup> June 2017.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg.

**O.A. No. 253/2017**

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 8<sup>th</sup> June 2017.**

The applicant is present in person.  
Shri H.K. Pande, the learned P.O. for the  
respondents.

At the request of the learned P.O.,  
**four weeks** for filing reply.

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg.

**O.A. No. 731/2014**

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 8<sup>th</sup> June 2017.**

Shri M.V. Joshi, Adv. holding for Shri P.S. Wathore, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjekar, the learned P.O. for the respondents 1 & 2. None for R.3.

The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has already filed service affidavit of respondent No.3. But he will again inform the concerned authority, since reply of respondent No.3 is necessary. In view thereof, S.O. **two weeks.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg.

**O.A. No. 554/2004**

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 8<sup>th</sup> June 2017.**

**C.A.No.399/2015 in C.P.(St.)No.1669/2015.**

None for the applicant. Smt. M.A. Barabde, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

The learned P.O. submits that the name of the applicant has already been recommended for deemed date of promotion and she seeks three weeks time. In view thereof, S.O. **three weeks.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg.

**O.A. No. 530/2013.**

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 8<sup>th</sup> June 2017.**

**C.A.No.126/2016 in C.P.(St.)No.490/2016.**

None for the applicant. Shri H.K. Pande, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

The learned P.O. submits that the State is insisting for interim relief before the Hon<sup>ble</sup> High Court and hence he seeks two weeks time.

S.O. **two weeks.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg.

**O.A. No. 321/2009.**

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 8<sup>th</sup> June 2017.**

**C.A.No.282/2016 in C.P.(St.)No.1116/2016.**

None for the applicant. Smt. S.V. Kolhe, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

In view of the *status quo* order of the Hon'ble High Court in W.P.No.118/2017, matter be kept after four weeks.

**S.O. 13<sup>th</sup> July 2017.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg.

**O.A. No. 98/2016.**

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 8<sup>th</sup> June 2017.**

**C.A.No.31/2017 in C.P.(St.)No.193/2017.**

Shri S.R. Charpe, the learned counsel for the applicants and Shri A.P. Potnis, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

Ld. P.O. seeks time to file reply.

S.O. **four weeks** as a last chance.

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg.

**O.A. No. 727/2016.**

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 8<sup>th</sup> June 2017.**

**C.A.No.221/2017 in C.P.(St.)No.636/2017.**

Shri T.G. Bansod, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

The C.A. is for permission to withdraw the contempt petition. The Ld. P.O. submits that the order has been complied with prior to filing of this O.A. and, therefore, the applicant now wants to withdraw the C.P. The applicant has already filed a pursoris to that effect. In view thereof, permission is granted to withdraw the C.P. The C.A. is allowed.

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg.

**O.A. No. 545/2015.**

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 8<sup>th</sup> June 2017.**

**C.A.No.244/2017 in C.P.(St.)No.802/2017.**

Heard Shri Bharat Kulkarni, the learned counsel for the applicants and Smt. M.A. Barabde, the learned P.O. for the respondent No.1.

The Ld. P.O. wants to take instructions from the respondents.

In the meantime, issue notice to the respondents 2 to 4 returnable after **two weeks.**

Smt. M.A. Barabde, the learned P.O. waives notice for the respondents. Hamdast granted.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation /

notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunals (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post or courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within three weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg.

**O.A. No. 244/2013.**

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 8<sup>th</sup> June 2017.**

None for the applicant. Smt. S.V. Kolhe, the learned P.O. for the respondent Nos.1 to 3. None for R.4.

S.O. **one week.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg.

**O.A. No. 112/2015.**

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 8<sup>th</sup> June 2017.**

**C.A.No.281/2016 in C.P.(St.)No.1092/2016.**

Heard Shri N.D. Thombre, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

Shri Sanjay Jaiswal, Dy. Director of Health Services, Nagpur Circle, Nagpur is personally present before this Tribunal and has filed an affidavit in compliance of the order dated 4.5.2017 passed by this Tribunal. A copy of the same has been served on the applicant. The Ld. P.O. submits that the respondents be given four weeks time to comply with the order of this Tribunal. In view thereof, as a last chance, four weeks time is granted to the respondents to comply with the order of this Tribunal passed in O.A.No.112/2015 in letter and spirit.

It is made clear that, in case the order is not complied with, Mr. Vijay Satbir Singh, Additional Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Maharashtra, Department of Public Health, Mumbai shall appear before this Tribunal on the next date.

S.O. 6<sup>th</sup> July 2017.

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg.

**O.A. No. 645/2012.**

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 8<sup>th</sup> June 2017.**

**C.P.No.04/2017.**

Heard Shri P.V. Thakre, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

The Ld. P.O. has placed on record the communication dated 7.6.2017 and the order passed by the Government in this regard which are marked as %%+and +X-1+ for identification.

Ld. P.O. submits that the order passed by this Tribunal has been complied with. The learned counsel for the applicant also accepts that the order has been complied with. In view thereof, C.P. stands disposed of.

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg.

**O.A. No. 61/2010.**

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 8<sup>th</sup> June 2017.**

**C.A.No.270/2016 in C.P.(St.)No.1057/2016.**

Heard Shri Bharat Kulkarni, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjekar, the learned P.O. for the respondents 1 & 2. None for R.3.

The Ld. P.O. has placed on record a copy of the order passed by the Hon<sup>ble</sup> High Court on 26.4.2017 in W.P. No.3796/2016. The same is marked %~~%~~+ for identification. The Hon<sup>ble</sup> High Court has given three months time for complying with the order passed by this Tribunal which is going to expire on 25<sup>th</sup> July 2017.

In view thereof, S.O. **27<sup>th</sup> July 2017.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg.

**O.A. No. 485/2014.**

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 8<sup>th</sup> June 2017.**

The applicant is present in person. Shri P.N. Warjekar, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

The Ld. P.O. has filed replies on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1 and 3 and Respondent Nos. 2 and 4. Same are taken on record and copies thereof are supplied to the applicant.

The applicant seeks two weeks time to go through them.

**S.O. 22<sup>nd</sup> June 2017.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg.

**O.A. No. 386/2016.**

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 8<sup>th</sup> June 2017.**

**C.A.No.1099/2017 in C.P.(St.)No.568/2017.**

Heard Shri A.S. Chakotkar, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

The Ld. P.O. has filed reply on behalf of Respondent Nos. 2 and 3. Same is taken on record and copy thereof is supplied to the applicant.

The Ld. P.O. submits that the respondents will pay interest except T.A. bill, since the same has not been submitted by the applicant. The Ld. P.O. submits that the applicant has not submitted the bill for the T.A. The learned counsel for the applicant, however, submits that the bill has been submitted and only the details are to be given. The applicant is directed to co-operate the respondents for the same.

**S.O. four weeks.**

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg.

**O.A. No. 51/2017.**

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 8<sup>th</sup> June 2017.**

Heard Shri Ashok Raghute, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

The Ld. P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the respondents. Same is taken on record and a copy thereof is supplied to the Ld. counsel for the applicant.

**ADMIT.**

Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. waives notice for the respondents.

Matter be kept for final hearing before the regular D.B. whenever it is available.

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg.

O.A.299/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 08.06.2017.**

Heard Shri N.R. Saboo, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. CPO for the State.

2. The applicant an Assistant Live Stock Development Officer has challenged the impugned order 31/5/2017 whereby she has been transferred from the office of District Dy. Commissioner of Animal Husbandry, Nagpur to the office of District Animal Husbandry Hospital, Gondia on administrative ground. The Id. CPO submits that the applicant is at Nagpur since 2007 and has almost completed 10 years at Nagpur.

3. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is a lady employee and is going to retire in June,2018. She has also filed representation on the same ground on 12/5/2017 prior to transfer order and the competent authority has also recommended her representation. In view thereof, the impugned order of transfer dated 31/5/2017 is stayed for one month. In the meantime the respondents are directed to take decision on the representation filed by the applicant within one month from today.

4. Issue notice to R-2 to 4, returnable in **four weeks**. Learned C.P.O. waives notice for R-1.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date

of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one

week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. **S.O. four weeks.**

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A.300/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 08.06.2017.**

Heard Shri N.R. Saboo, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, Id. PO for the State.

2. Vide impugned order dated 31/5/2017 the applicant an Assistant Commissioner of Animal Husbandry has been transferred from Sakoli, district Bhandara to Armori, district Gadchiroli. It is stated that nobody is posted in place of applicant.
3. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has already served in

the naxalite affected area for eight years during the period from 1996 to 2004 and thereafter he was at Kanheri in Tahsil Lakhni from 2010 to 2012 which is also naxalite affected area and even at present he is serving at Sakoli which is also a naxalite affected area. The learned counsel for the applicant has invited my attention to the representation filed by the applicant on 30/4/2016 which is prior to his transfer wherein he has given place of choice. However his choice was not considered. Since nobody is transferred in place of the applicant, the impugned transfer order dated 31/5/2017 so far as the applicant is concerned is stayed till further orders.

4. Issue notice to R-2 and 3, returnable in **four weeks**. Learned P.O. waives notice for R-1.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of

compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. **S.O. four weeks.**

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A.307/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 08.06.2017.**

Heard Shri P.C. Marpakwar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Potnis, Id. PO for the State.

2. The applicant is working as Assistant Conservator of Forests. Vide order dated 21/8/2014 he was posted from Nagpur to Allapalli but vide impugned order dated 25/5/2017 he has been transferred from Allapalli to Gadchiroli. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the said order is before completion of tenure of three years. The applicant is also retiring on 31/7/2018. He has accordingly filed representation also on 27/1/2017. It seems that the applicant has been transferred in a vacant post and nobody is posted in his post. In view thereof, the impugned transfer order dated 25/5/2017 so far as the applicant is concerned is stayed till further orders.

3. In the meantime, issue notice to R-2 to 4, returnable in **two weeks**. Learned P.O. waives notice for R-1.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. **S.O. two weeks.**

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A.313/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 08.06.2017.**

Heard Shri N.D.Thombre, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. CPO for the State.

2. The applicant, Assistant Superintendent has been transferred from the office of National Fileria Control Unit, Nagpur to Sub District Hospital, Ramtek. The applicant has not challenged the transfer but only he is claiming extension of one year at Nagpur since his daughter is studying in 12<sup>th</sup> Standard. It seems that the applicant has also filed representation prior to his transfer on 17/2/2017 requesting for retention. However the same seems to have not been considered.

3. The learned counsel for the applicant also invited my attention to the G.R. dated 20/4/2017 which state that the cases of employees whose daughters are taking education in 10<sup>th</sup> or 12<sup>th</sup> Standard be considered for extension.

4. The learned CPO is therefore directed to take instructions as to what is the status of the representation filed by the applicant on 17/2/2017. In the meantime, till further orders the applicant shall not be insisted to join at Ramtek.

3. Issue notice to R-2 to 5, returnable in **two weeks**. Learned P.O. waives notice for R-1.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along

with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one

week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. **S.O. two weeks.**

9. Steno copy be given to the Id. counsel for the parties.

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A.314/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 08.06.2017.**

Heard Shri D.T. Shinde, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. CPO for the State.

2. The applicant is Assistant District Planning Officer and vide order dated 9/2/2017 he has been kept under suspension by the Collector, Gondia. The said suspension order is the subject matter of this O.A.

3. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that he has already filed appeal against the order of suspension. The copy of the said appeal has been placed on record at Annex-A-7. It is dated 2/5/2017. Considering this fact it is clear that the appeal against the order of

suspension is pending before the competent authority and in view thereof the application seems to be prima facie premature.

4. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the directions may be issued to the competent authority to take decision on the appeal filed by the applicant within a stipulated time. In view thereof, the following order :-

**ORDER**

The O.A. stands disposed of with a direction to respondent no.1 to take decision on the appeal filed by the applicant on 2/5/2017 as per the rules and regulations according to its own merits within two months from the date of this order and the said decision shall be communicated to the applicant in writing. The applicant will be at liberty to challenge the said decision if goes against him.

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A.825/2016

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 08.06.2017.**

Shri J.R. Kidilay, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. Next week.**

Put up along with similar matters.

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A.134/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 08.06.2017.**

**C.A.74/2017 -**

Heard Shri V.M. Moon, Id. counsel for the applicant and Smt. S.V. Kolhe, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The delay for filing O.A. is of 70 days. For the reasons stated in the application, keeping open a point of limitation, it may be considered on merits. In the interest of justice, the C.A. is allowed.

**O.A.134/2017 .**

Issue notice to R-2 and 3, returnable in **four weeks**. Learned P.O. waives notice for R-1.

2. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

4. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative

Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

5. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

6. **S.O. four weeks.**

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A.317/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 08.06.2017.**

**C.A.245/2017 -**

Heard Shri S.K. Verma, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. C.P.O. for the State.

2. For the reasons stated in the application and since the cause of action is common, the application for sue jointly is allowed.

**O.A.317/2017** .

Issue notice to R-2 to 4, returnable in **four weeks**. Learned C.P.O. waives notice for R-1.

2. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

4. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

5. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

6. **S.O. four weeks.**

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A.73/2016

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 08.06.2017.**

Shri N.S. Warulkar, Id. counsel holding for  
Shri S.P. Palshikar, Id. counsel for the applicant  
and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for the Respts.

At the request of Id. counsel for the  
applicant, **S.O. two weeks.**

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A.726/2016

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 08.06.2017.**

Shri S.N. Gaikwad, Id. counsel for the applicant and Smt. S.V. Kolhe, Id. P.O. for the Respts.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. two weeks** for filing reply by way of last chance.

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A.733/2016

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 08.06.2017.**

Shri N.S. Warulkar, Id. counsel holding for  
Shri S.P. Palshikar, Id. counsel for the applicant  
and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for the Respts.

At the request of Id. counsel for the  
applicant, **S.O. one week** for filing rejoinder.

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A.95/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 08.06.2017.**

Shri N.R. Saboo, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Potnis, Id. P.O. for R-1&2. None for R-3&4.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. two weeks** for reply by way of last chance.

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A.129/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 08.06.2017.**

Shri M. Ateeque, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for the Respts.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that he has placed on record along with petition a number of Judgments on which he is relying. The question is whether the case of the applicant is covered on those judgments or not. The respondent no.1 through Secretary, Social Welfare Department is directed to file affidavit whether the case of applicant is covered by these judgments or not.

3. At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. two weeks** for reply along with other connected matters.

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A.130/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 08.06.2017.**

Shri M. Ateeque, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for the Respts.

2. The learned P.O. files reply on behalf of R-2&3. It is taken on record. Copy is served to the Id. counsel for the applicant.

3. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that he has placed on record along with petition a number of Judgments on which he is relying. The question is whether the case of the applicant is covered on those judgments or not. The respondent no.1 through Secretary, Social Welfare Department is directed to file affidavit whether the case of applicant is covered by these judgments or not.

4. **S.O. two weeks** along with other connected matters.

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A.131/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 08.06.2017.**

Shri M. Ateeque, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for the Respts.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that he has placed on record along with petition a number of Judgments on which he is relying. The question is whether the case of the applicant is covered on those judgments or not. The respondent no.1 through Secretary, Social Welfare Department is directed to file affidavit whether the case of applicant is covered by these judgments or not.

3. At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. two weeks** for reply along with other connected matters.

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A.315/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 08.06.2017.**

1. Heard Shri N.R. Saboo, Id. Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. C.P.O. for R-1.
2. Issue notice to R-2 and 3 returnable in **two weeks**. Learned C.P.O. waives notice for R-1.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. **S.O. two weeks.**

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A.316/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 08.06.2017.**

Shri N.N.Thengre, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for the State.

At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. 9/6/2017.**

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A.318/2017

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 08.06.2017.**

Shri S.N. Gaikwad, Id. counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.A. Barabde, Id. P.O. for R-1.

The learned counsel for the applicant submits that he will file service affidavit and also supply to the Id. P.O. and for the purpose he seeks time. At his request, **S.O. one week.**

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A.270/2016

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 08.06.2017.**

Shri G.N. Khanzode, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the Respts.

The learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to place on record relevant G.R. He also submits that he will supply the same to the Id. P.O also. At his request, **S.O. Next week.**

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A.648/2016

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 08.06.2017.**

Shri R.M. Ahirrao, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

After hearing the matter for some time, it is postponed for filing case laws.

**S.O. 15/6/2017.**

Matter be treated as **P.H.**

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.

O.A.Nos. 762 & 763 of 2016

**Coram : Hon. Shri J.D.Kulkarni,  
Vice-Chairman (J).**

**Dated : 08.06.2017.**

Heard Shri A.S. Chakotkar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni / A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.Os. for the respondents.

**Closed for orders.**

**Vice Chairman (J)**

dnk.