

O.A. No. 257/2017.

(D.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J) and
Shree Bhagwan, Member(A)
Dated : 24th October 2018.**

Heard Shri Bhaurao Zinguji Gohatre, father of the applicant (Ms. V.B.Gohatre, the applicant) on behalf of the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjekar, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

2. The applicant has filed an application whereby she has requested that her father be allowed to argue the matter in Marathi. Application is allowed in the interest of justice. It is marked "X" for the purpose of identification.

3. The father of the applicant is present before this Tribunal. From record, it seems that the applicant was earlier reverted from the post of Superintendent vide order dated

17.4.2007. The said order was challenged in O.A. No. 146/2009 and it was quashed and set aside and the respondents were directed to allow the applicant to continue to work on the promotional post of Superintendent. In the meantime, it is stated by Ld. P.O. that the applicant was promoted from the cadre of Sr. Tribal Development Inspector and was working at Bhandara vide order dated 30.5.2015. Thereafter the impugned order dated 7.4.2017 has been passed, whereby the applicant has been reverted and then promoted to the post of Superintendent. The Ld. P.O. submits that it was a technical reversion order, since the applicant was working in the post of Sr. Tribal Development Inspector. It was necessary to bring her back in the cadre of Sr. Tribal Development Inspector. Though, it is a technical order, fact remains that the order passed in O.A. No. 146/2009 has been flouted. The applicant has been posted at Bhamragarh, Distt.

Gadchiroli as per order dated 7.4.2013 and it is stated that till today she has not joined there a Superintendent. Applicant's father submits that the applicant will join at Bhamragarh in a week. Ld. P.O. submits that there is absolutely no problem in allowing the applicant to join at Bhamragarh. The person representing the applicant submits that the applicant has already worked at Bhamragarh for about 8 ¼ years and she cannot be again posted there. Question of transfer of the applicant at Bhamragarh is kept open and this will be considered, once the applicant joins at Bhamragarh.

4. Matter, therefore, be kept on **31.10.2018**.

5. Steno copies be provided to both the parties.

Member(A)

Vice-Chairman(J)

Dt : 24.10. 2018.

pdg.

O.A. Nos. 267,268 & 269 of 2011.

(D.B.)

**Coram:Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J) and
Shree Bhagwan, Member(A)
Dated : 24th October 2018.**

Heard Shri G.G. Bade, the learned counsel for the applicants and Shri S.A. Sainis, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

The Ld. P.O. has placed a copy of judgment in the group of O.As 710/2009 which is marked "X" for identification. The learned counsel for the applicants submits that the Hon'ble High Court, Bench at Aurangabad has passed some judgments which he wants to rely on and for that purpose, he seeks time.

S.O. 30.10.2018.

Member(A)

Vice-Chairman(J)

Dt : 24.10. 2018.

pdg.

O.A. No. 545/2015.

(D.B.)

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J) and
Shree Bhagwan, Member(A)
Dated : 24th October 2018.

C.A. No. 479/2017 in C.A. No. 577/2017

Heard Shri Bharat Kulkarni, the
Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri
P.N. Wajukar, the learned P.O. for the
respondent Nos. 1 to 4. Shri N.R.
Saboo, Adv.for R.5 to 15.

Closed for orders.

Put up with O.A. Nos. 761,802
and 805/2015 and 97/2016.

Member(A)

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg.

O.A. Nos. 733/2017 & 93/2018.

(D.B.)

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J) and
Shree Bhagwan, Member(A)
Dated : 24th October 2018.

Heard Shri C.V. Khadse, the Ld. counsel for the applicants and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Ld. P.O., S.O
30.10.2018.

To be treated as part heard.

Member(A)

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg.

O.A. No. 249/2011

(D.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J) and
Shree Bhagwan, Member(A)
Dated : 24th October 2018.**

None for the applicant. Shri P.N. Warjekar, the learned P.O. for the respondents 1 to 4. None for R.5.

S.O 25.10.2018.

Member(A)

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg.

O.A. No. 846/2012

(D.B.)

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J) and
Shree Bhagwan, Member(A)
Dated : 24th October 2018.

C.A. 258/2018.

None for the applicant. Shri P.N. Warjekar, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

Ld. P.O. submits that as per directions dated 11.9.2018, the applicant appeared before the respondents and applications forms were given to him. But thereafter he did not come. He filed on record one communication to that effect, which is marked "X" for identification. Since none appeared for the applicant, matter be kept for passing necessary orders on **31.10.2018.**

Member(A)

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg.

O.A. No. 915/2012

(D.B.)

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J) and
Shree Bhagwan, Member(A)
Dated : 24th October 2018.

Shri S.N. Gaikwad, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of the Ld. counsel for the applicant, S.O. **after Diwali vacation.**

Member(A)

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg.

O.A. No. 335/2011

(D.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J) and
Shree Bhagwan, Member(A)
Dated : 24th October 2018.**

None for the applicant. Shri V.A. Kulkarni, the learned P.O. for the respondents 1 to 3. None for R.4.

S.O. **30.10.2018** for dismissal.

Member(A)

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg.

O.A. No. 250/2011

(D.B.)

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J) and
Shree Bhagwan, Member(A)
Dated : 24th October 2018.

None for the applicant. Shri P.N. Warjekar, the learned P.O. for the respondents 1 to 3. None for R. 4 to 7.

2. The applicant in this case has challenged the promotion order of respondent Nos. 4 to 7 to the post of Tehsildar and claimed directions to the respondents to promote him to the post of Tehsildar from the post of Naib Tehsildar, being seniormost in the cadre. During the pendency of the O.A., the applicant has been promoted to the post of o Tehsildar and the applicant himself has filed rejoinder affidavit and copy of order of promotion dated 18.7.2012, from which it seems that the applicant has

been promoted to the post of Tehsildar and has been posted at Korpana, Distt. Chandrapur. Only question remains to be of deemed date and the learned counsel for the applicant wanted to take instructions in this regard and the matter, therefore, was kept today. Today also, none appeared for the applicant. Hence, since as the applicant has already been promoted nothing remains in this O.A. O.A., therefore, stands disposed of as infructuous. The applicant will be, however, at liberty to file representation for deemed date and the respondents may consider it, if the applicant is entitled for such deemed date as per rules and regulations.

Member(A)

Vice-Chairman(J)

pdg.

O.A. No. 63/2011.

(D.B.)

(Mohan Rambhau Jagtap V/s State and two others.)

Coram: Shri J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman (J) and
Shree Bhagwan, Member(A)
Dated : 24th October 2018.

Oral order

Heard Shri A.J. Mirza, th Ld. counsel of the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjekar, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

2. The applicant was called for producing documents for Group-D post vide communication dated 4.8.2009 (Annexure A-1) and the Collector, Akola was to appoint the applicant , since the applicant belongs to the category of Project Affected Persons. It is stated that this is a consistent policy of the Government to provide employment to the category of Project Affected Persons,

since their lands have been acquired for public purposes and, therefore, the Govt. has issued several Resolutions to that effect. However, the applicant could not be appointed in view of communication issued by the Collector, Akola dated 9.12.2009 wherein it was stated that in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court of Aurangabad Bench, it is necessary to appoint the Project Affected Persons by issuing a regular advertisement after due procedure for recruitment and, therefore, the recruitment process was stopped. The applicant has prayed that the communications dated 9.12.2009 and 4.8.2010 issued by Collector, Akola, denying the applicant appointment to the Group-D post in his establishment be quashed and set aside and the Collector, Akola be directed to appoint the applicant. The respondents have filed reply affidavit and submitted that the issue has been finally decided and now it has been held by the Hon'ble the High Court that the Project

Affected Persons cannot be appointed directly without due recruitment process of contest. The Ld. P.O. has also placed reliance on the judgment in **O.A. Nos. 317 and 318 of 2009 alongwith O.A. 55/2010 of this Tribunal delivered on 6.1.2017 in case of Satish Kawaduji Mahakulkar and others V/s State of Maharashtra and others.** Relying on the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, Bench at Aurangabad in W.P. No. 5266/2008, this Tribunal has dismissed all those O.As. Only issue before the Hon'ble High Court was whether only P.A.P. category persons can be appointed without advertising and ignoring their qualifications and merit and the Hon'ble High Court in para No.28 has held that as under:-

“At the cost of repetition, we reiterate that what has been provided under the said Act, is horizontal reservation for Project Affected Persons and no right to be appointed without competing with the candidates from that

category. We find that in consonance with the equity rule enshrined under Article 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India, the candidates from Project Affected Persons category are required to compete amongst themselves in accordance with the relevant recruitment rules and the best candidate is entitled to be selected.”

It is further observed in the judgment that:-

“As we have already held hereinabove, the Act does not prescribe that the appointments will have to be made *de hors* the recruitment rules only on the basis of seniority in the list maintained by the Collector.”

The answer to the issue referred to the Bench was as follows:-

“That the Project Affected Persons cannot be appointed without advertising the post

ignoring their qualifications and merit.”

The Hon’ble High Court has categorically held that the P.A.P. candidates cannot be appointed directly and they have to apply for the post horizontally reserved or P.A.Ps as and when advertisements are issued by the recruiting authorities. The prayers in these O.As cannot be considered in the light of the judgment of the High Court mentioned above.”

3. In view thereof, the present case is fully covered by the said pronouncement. Hence, we proceed to pass the following order:-

ORDER

The O.A. stands dismissed with no order as to costs.

Member(A)

Vice-Chairman(J)

Dt. 24.10.2018.

pdg.

O.A.No.739/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D.Karanjkar,
Member (J)**

Dated : 24.10.2018.

Shri Dubey holding for Shri P.D.Meghe,
the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri
M.I.Khan, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. counsel for the
applicant, **S.O. After Diwali Vacation.**

Member

(J)

aps.

O.A.No.433/2017 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D.Karanjkar,
Member (J)**

Dated : 24.10.2018.

Shri R.V.Shiralkar, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I.Khan, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. three weeks.**

Member

(J)

aps.

O.A.No.229/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D.Karanjkar,
Member (J)**

Dated : 24.10.2018.

Shri A.P.Sadavarte, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I.Khan, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. four weeks.**

Member

(J)

aps.

O.A.No.147/2017 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D.Karanjkar,
Member (J)**

Dated : 24.10.2018.

C.A.No.322/2018:-

Heard Shri R.V.Shiralkar, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A.Kulkarni, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The Id. counsel for the applicant submitted that previous application for amendment is allowed but due to inadvertence, prayer clause was not amended, as per the leave amended portion. In this situation, I accept that proposed amendment is necessary.

Hence, C.A. 322/2018 is allowed and it is disposed of. The Id. counsel for the applicant is directed to carry out the amendment within a week.

S.O. After Diwali Vacation.

Member

(J)

O.A.No.649/2017 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D.Karanjkar,
Member (J)**

Dated : 24.10.2018.

C.A.No.317/2018:-

Heard Shri S.P.Palshikar, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.Khadatkar, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

C.A. No. 317/2018 for early hearing is disposed of.

S.O. After Diwali Vacation.

Member

(J)

aps.

O.A.No.976/2017 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D.Karanjkar,
Member (J)**

Dated : 24.10.2018.

C.A.No.307/2018:-

Heard Shri D.S.Sawarkar, the Id.
counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I.Khan,
the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

**C.A. No. 307/2018 for filing
document on record is disposed of.**

S.O. After Diwali Vacation.

Member

(J)

aps.

O.A.No.148/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D.Karanjkar,
Member (J)**

Dated : 24.10.2018.

C.A.No.44/2018:-

Heard Shri P.P.Thakare, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.Khadatkar, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The Id. counsel for the applicant is intending to claim the Joint relief in the O.A.148/2018. In the interest of justice, permission granted.

C.A. No. 44/2018 is disposed of.

S.O. four weeks.

Member

(J)

aps.

O.A.No.231/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D.Karanjkar,
Member (J)**

Dated : 24.10.2018.

C.A.No.88/2018:-

Shri G.G.Bade, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A.Deo, the Id. C.P.O. for the State. Await service of respondent nos. 2 to 5.

2. The Id. counsel for the applicant requested to issue fresh notice to the parties. Permission granted.

3. Notice on application be issued returnable in **four weeks**.

4. Shri S.A.Deo, the learned C.P.O. waives notice for respondent no.1. Hamdast granted.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the O.A.

7. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with an affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible once week before the date fixed by this Tribunal. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

O.A.231/2018 –

The learned Advocate for the applicant prays for leave to amend for correcting the nomenclature of the respondents in the O.A. as well as in the C.A. Leave is granted. Amendment be carried out forthwith.

S.O. four weeks.

Member

(J)

aps.

O.A.No.345/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D.Karanjkar,
Member (J)**

Dated : 24.10.2018.

None for the applicant. Shri
V.A.Kulkarni, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. three
weeks for reply.**

*Interim relief is continued till next date
of hearing.*

Member

(J)

aps.

O.A.No.435/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D.Karanjkar,
Member (J)**

Dated : 24.10.2018.

Shri S.N.Gaikwad, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.Khadatkar, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The matter is **admitted** and kept for final hearing.

The Id. P.O. waives notice of all the respondents.

S.O. two weeks.

Member

(J)

aps.

O.A.No.552/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D.Karanjkar,
Member (J)**

Dated : 24.10.2018.

None for the applicant. Shri H.K.Pande,
the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. two
weeks for reply.**

Member

(J)

aps.

O.A.No.571/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D.Karanjkar,
Member (J)**

Dated : 24.10.2018.

None for the applicant. Shri S.A.Deo,
the Id. C.P.O. for the State. Await service of
respondent nos. 2 & 3.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. After
Diwali Vacation.**

Member

(J)

aps.

O.A.No.737/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D.Karanjkar,
Member (J)**

Dated : 24.10.2018.

Shri M.R.Khan, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.Khadatkar, the Id. P.O. for the State. Await service of respondent nos. 2 to 4.

At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. two weeks for filing service affidavit.**

Member

(J)

aps.

O.A.No.797/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D.Karanjkar,
Member (J)**

Dated : 24.10.2018.

Shri S.P.Palshikar, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K.Pande, the Id. P.O. for the Respondents.

The Id. P.O. submits that two weeks time is required to comply the interim order passed by this Tribunal on 10/10/2018 and four weeks time is required for filing reply. Two weeks time is granted to comply the interim order as a last chance and four weeks time is granted to file reply.

S.O. four weeks.

Member

(J)

aps.

O.A.No.92/2016 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D.Karanjkar,
Member (J)**

Dated : 24.10.2018.

C.A.No.552/2017:-

Shri P.S.Gawai, the Id. counsel for the applicant, Shri A.M.Khadatkar, the Id. P.O. for the Respondent nos. 2 & 3 and Shri R.V.Shiralkar, the Id. counsel for the respondent no. 1.

Closed for orders.

Member

(J)

aps.

O.A.No.508/2017 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D.Karanjkar,
Member (J)**

Dated : 24.10.2018.

Shri S.B.Tiwari, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.Khadatkar, the Id. P.O. for the Respondents.

Closed for orders.

Member

(J)

aps.

O.A.No.829/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D.Karanjkar,
Member (J)**

Dated : 24.10.2018.

Shri G.G.Bade, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A.Deo, the Id. C.P.O. for the State.

2. Issue notice to R-2 to 5, returnable on three weeks. Learned C.P.O. waives notice for R-1. Hamdast allowed.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. **S.O. three weeks.**

Member

(J)

aps.

O.A.No.435 & 588/2016 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D.Karanjkar,
Member (J)**

Dated : 24.10.2018.

C.A.Nos.205 & 206/2018:-

Heard Shri P.V.Thakre, the Id. counsel
for the applicant and Shri M.I.Khan, the Id.
P.O. for the Respondents.

**The C.A. Nos. 205 & 206/2018 are
allowed.**

S.O. three weeks.

Member

(J)

aps.

O.A.No.502/2017 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D.Karanjkar,
Member (J)**

Dated : 24.10.2018.

None for the applicant. Shri
A.M.Khadatkar, the Id. P.O. for the
Respondents.

S.O. four weeks.

Member

(J)

aps.

O.A.No.712/2017 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D.Karanjkar,
Member (J)**

Dated : 24.10.2018.

None for the applicant. Shri
A.M.Khadatkar, the Id. P.O. for the
Respondents.

S.O. four weeks.

Member

(J)

aps.

O.A.No.40/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D.Karanjkar,
Member (J)**

Dated : 24.10.2018.

Shri S.Kotwal, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A.Kulkarni, the Id. P.O. for the Respondent nos. 1 & 2 and Shri H.D.Marathe, the Id. counsel for the respondent no. 3.

The Id. P.O. submits that respondent no. 1 is intending to file the reply of respondent no. 1.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. three weeks as a last chance.**

Member

(J)

aps.

O.A.No.735/2016 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D.Karanjkar,
Member (J)**

Dated : 24.10.2018.

C.A.No.82/2018:-

Shri M.R.Rajgure, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A.Sainis, the Id. P.O. for the Respondent nos. 1 & 2 and Shri A.K.Ranjan holding for Shri V.G.Wankhede, the Id. counsel for the respondent no. 3.

At the request of Id. counsel for the respondent no. 3, **S.O. three weeks.**

Member

(J)

aps.

O.A.No.778/2016 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D.Karanjkar,
Member (J)**

Dated : 24.10.2018.

Shri S.B.Tiwari, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K.Pande, the Id. P.O. for the Respondent nos. 1 & 2 and Shri Sunil Pande, the Id. counsel for the respondent no. 3.

Closed for orders.

Member

(J)

aps.

O.A.No.926/2017 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D.Karanjkar,
Member (J)**

Dated : 24.10.2018.

Shri J.C.Shukla, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.Khadatkar, the Id. P.O. for the Respondent nos. 1 to 4. None for the respondent nos. 5 to 8.

The matter is **admitted** and kept for final hearing.

The Id. P.O. waives notices for all the respondents.

S.O. four weeks.

Member

(J)

aps.

O.A.No.794/2017 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D.Karanjkar,
Member (J)**

Dated : 24.10.2018.

Shri T.S.Dadhe, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I.Khan, the Id. P.O. for the Respondent nos. 1 to 4. None for the respondent nos. 5 & 6.

The Id. P.O. files the affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent no. 3. The same is taken on record. Copy is served to the other side.

The Id. counsel for the applicant seek time to go through the same. At his request,

S.O. four weeks.

Member

(J)

aps.

O.A.No.222/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D.Karanjkar,
Member (J)**

Dated : 24.10.2018.

Shri A.R.Kalele holding for Shri S.M.Khan, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I.Khan, the Id. P.O. for the Respondents.

The Id. P.O. files the affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents. The same is taken on record. Copy is served to the other side.

The Id. counsel for the applicant seeks time to go through the same.

The matter is **admitted** and kept for final hearing.

The Id. P.O. waives notice for all the respondents.

S.O. in due course.

Member

(J)

aps.

O.A.No.259/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D.Karanjkar,
Member (J)**

Dated : 24.10.2018.

Shri A.P.Sadavarte, the Id. counsel for the applicant, Shri S.A.Deo, the Id. C.P.O. for the respondent nos. 1, 4 & 5 and Shri P.P.Thakare holding for Shri H.D.Marathe, the Id. counsel for the respondent nos. 2 & 3.

The Id. C.P.O. files the affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent nos. 4 & 5. The same is taken on record. Copy is served to the other sides.

S.O. four weeks.

Interim order is continued till next date of hearing.

Member

(J)

aps.

O.A.No.602/2017 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D.Karanjkar,
Member (J)**

Dated : 24.10.2018.

Shri G.G.Bade, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.Khadatkar, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The Id. P.O. files the affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent no. 4. The same is taken on record. Copy is served to the other sides.

**S.O. three weeks for Rejoinder, if
any.**

Member

(J)

aps.