

O.A.No.31/2016.

(S.B.)

Coram: Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J)

Dated : 28th June 2018.

MCA No. 19/2018 in O.A.No.31/2016.

None for the applicant. Heard Shri P.N.Warjekar, the learned P.O. for the respondent No.1 and Shri H.D. Marathe, Adv. for R. 2 and 3.

2. In O.A. No.31/2016, the respondents were directed to grant family pension to the applicant within two months from the date of the order. Order was passed on 21.3.2018 and, therefore, it was required to be complied with within two months i.e. before 21.5.2018. On 18th June 2018, this application has been moved for grant of extension of time for compliance of the order. The application, therefore, is not tenable, since the same has not been filed

before the period and as the period of compliance had expired.

3. The Ld. counsel for respondent Nos. 2 and 3 submits that the respondents have not filed any appeal against the impugned order and he will comply the order within two months. Fortunately, the original applicant has not yet filed any contempt petition and, therefore, it is desirable that the respondents will comply the order. Hence, MCA No. 19/2018 stands disposed of.

Vice-Chairman (J)

pdg.

O.A.No.467/2018.

(S.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J)**

Dated : 28th June 2018.

Heard Shri Bharat Kulkarni, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, the learned C.P.O. for the respondents 1 to 4. None for R.5.

At the request of the Ld. counsel for the applicant, S.O. **29th June 2018**, since the applicant wants to file representation.

Vice-Chairman (J)

pdg.

O.A.No.468/2018.

(S.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J)**

Dated : 28th June 2018.

Heard Shri M.R. Patil, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Potnis, the learned P.O. for the respondent Nos.1 to 4.

2. The applicant, who is a Head of the Department in Medicine, has filed this application, since vide order dated 21.4.2018, the Dean, Govt. Medical College and Hospital, Gondia has directed him to proceed on compulsory leave. It is stated that the Dean, Govt. Medical College and Hospital, Gondia has no such power and, therefore, said communication is illegal. It is stated that even though, the order is passed on 21.4.2018, the same has been served on the applicant on 30.5.2018. On perusal of the said letter, it seems that some

patient died due to negligence on the part of three doctors including the applicant and, therefore, on the public demand, order to recall the situation, the Dean has taken a decision directing the applicant to proceed on compulsory leave. The Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that Inquiry Committee was also formed and has exonerated the applicant and others and, therefore, there was no need for the Dean i.e. respondent No.5 to direct the applicant to proceed on compulsory leave. Whether the Dean has authority or not and whether the order of directing the applicant to proceed on compulsory leave is legal or not, will have to be considered on receiving reply from respondent No.5.

3. Issue notice to respondent No. 5 returnable **within a week**.

4. Learned P.O. waives notice for R-1 to 4. Hamdast allowed.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and

separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one

week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

9. S.O. **one week.**

Vice-Chairman (J)

O.A.No.469/2015.

(S.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J)**

Dated : 28th June 2018.

Heard Shri S.P. Palshikar, the
Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri
A.M. Ghogre, the learned P.O. for the
respondents.

Closed for orders.

Vice-Chairman (J)

pdg.

O.A.No.493/2015 & 654/2015. (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J)**

Dated : 28th June 2018.

Heard Shri Bharat Kulkarni, the Ld. counsel for the applicants and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

Return of R.3 is filed, it is taken on record and a copy thereof is supplied to the Ld. counsel for the applicant. The Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that the issue involved in these O.As have been before the Hon'ble High Court, Bench at Nagpur for active consideration in W.P. No. 2157/2017 and, therefore, matter be adjourned. In view thereof, matter be kept after eight weeks.

S.O. after eight weeks.

Vice-Chairman (J)

O.A.No.654/2015.

(S.B.)

Coram: Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J)

Dated : 28th June 2018.

Heard Shri Bharat Kulkarni, the
Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri
, the learned P.O. for the
respondents.

S.O.

Vice-Chairman (J)

O.A.No.151/2017.

(S.B.)

Coram: Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J)

Dated : 28th June 2018.

None for the applicant. Shri H.K. Pande, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

2. In this O.A., the applicant has challenged the impugned order of his suspension dated 16.2.2017.

3. The Ld. P.O. has placed on record the order passed by Govt. of Maharashtra dated 21.5.2018 whereby it has been stated that the applicant has been reinstated in service. In view thereof, nothing survives in this O.A. Hence, the application stands disposed of, as it has become infructuous.

Vice-Chairman (J)

pdg.

O.A.No.666/2017.

(S.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J)**

Dated : 28th June 2018.

Heard Shri A.P. Tathod, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

Closed for orders.

Vice-Chairman (J)

pdg.

O.A.No.979/2017.

(S.B.)

**Coram: Shri J.D.Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J)**

Dated : 28th June 2018.

Heard Shri A.P. Tathod, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, the learned P.O. for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Shri Kaslikar, Adv. holding for Shri P.A. Kadu, Adv. for R.4.

S.O. 2nd July 2018.

Vice-Chairman (J)

pdg.

O.A.No.57/2017 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Member (A)**

Dated : 28.06.2018.

C.A.No.357/17:-

None for the applicant. Shri S.A.Sainis, Id. P.O. for the respondent no. 2. Await service of respondent no. 1.

The Id. P.O. submits a Judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court on record, which is marked Exh."X". The same is taken on record. He further submits that there is total delay of 3½ years in filing original application by the applicant i.e. he is suffering from 'Heart

Disease', there is no documentary evidence place on record by the applicant in that respect.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. two weeks.**

Member

(A)

aps.

O.A.No.362/2018 (S.B.)

Coram : Hon. Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Member (A)

Dated : 28.06.2018.

Shri Khobragade, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N.Warjurkar, Id. P.O. for the State. Await service of respondent nos. 2 to 5.

The Id. P.O. files affidavit of compliance of this Tribunal order dated 06/06/2018 alongwith the copy of decision on representation by the department dated 18/06/2018. The Id. counsel for the applicant

wants to challenge the said order dated 18/06/2018, by way of amendment application.

At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. two weeks.**

Member

(A)

aps.

O.A.No.985/2017 (S.B.)

Coram : Hon. Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Member (A)

Dated : 28.06.2018.

Shri A.S.Deshpande, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I.Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The Id. P.O. files the reply on behalf of the respondent no. 5. The same is taken on record. Copy is served to the other side.

The Id. counsel for the applicant points out that there is no criminal charges pending against the applicant, the applicant has been exonerated. As far as D.E. is concerned, the said report is also submitted to the concerned office.

The Id. P.O. seeks three weeks time to file affidavit-in-reply. The Id. counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is a retired person and still he is getting provisional pension.

The Id. P.O. is directed to file the details of the D.E. and as a last chance, three weeks time is granted.

S.O. three weeks.

Member

(A)

aps.

O.A.No.371/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Member (A)**

Dated : 28.06.2018.

Shri G.G.Bade, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I.Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The grievances of the applicant are settled by the respondent no. 2 i.e. C.C.F., Amravati by letter no. 100, dated

22/06/2018, which is filed by Id. P.O. in Tribunal today i.e. on 28/06/2018 and the same is taken on record, in this order the applicant is at Sr. No. 14.

Since grievances of applicant is redressed, the present O.A. has become infructuous. In view of this, O.A. is disposed off at this stage only.

No order as to cost.

Member

(A)

aps.

O.A.St.No.140/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram : Hon. Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Member (A)**

Dated : 28.06.2018.

C.A.No. 21/2018:-

None for the applicant. Shri H.K.Pande,
Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The Id. P.O. files the affidavit-in-reply
on behalf of the respondent no. 1. The same is
taken on record. He submits that he will
supply the copy of the same to the Id. counsel
for the applicant.

The matter will be listed after two
weeks. Meanwhile, the Id. counsel for the
applicant is at liberty to file rejoinder, if any.

S.O. two weeks.

Member

(A)

aps.

O.A.No.930/2017 (S.B.)

Coram : Hon. Shri Shree Bhagwan,

Member (A)

Dated : 28.06.2018.

Shri N.S.Warulkar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A.Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The Id. P.O. files the affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent no. 4. The same is taken on record. It is supplied to the Id. counsel for the applicant.

The Id. P.O. seeks two weeks time to file the affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent nos. 2 and 3.

S.O. two weeks for reply.

Member

(A)

aps.

O.A.No.426/2017 (S.B.)

Coram : Hon. Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Member (A)

Dated : 28.06.2018.

Shri S.M.Khan, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A.Sainis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing after two weeks.

S.O. two weeks.

Member

(A)

aps.

O.A.No.837/2016 (S.B.)

Coram : Hon. Shri Shree Bhagwan,

Member (A)

Dated : 28.06.2018.

Shri Y.Kaslikar holding for Shri P.S.Patil, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K.Pande, Id. P.O. for the State.

The Id. P.O. submits that the application for condonation of delay has not been filed since 29/11/2016. However, the Id. counsel for the applicant submits that he will file the application within one week. The same time is granted.

S.O. two weeks.

*Put up this matter alongwith
O.A.838/2016.*

Member

(A)

aps.

O.A.No.838/2016 (S.B.)

Coram : Hon. Shri Shree Bhagwan,

Member (A)

Dated : 28.06.2018.

Shri Y.Kaslikar holding for Shri P.S.Patil, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K.Pande, Id. P.O. for the State.

The Id. P.O. submits that the application for condonation of delay has not been filed since 29/11/2016. However, the Id. counsel for the applicant submits that he will file the application within one week. The same time is granted.

S.O. two weeks.

*Put up this matter alongwith
O.A.837/2016.*

Member

(A)

aps.

O.A.No.113/2017 (S.B.)

Coram : Hon. Shri Shree Bhagwan,

Member (A)

Dated : 28.06.2018.

C.A.No.547/2017:-

Shri R.V.Shiralkar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A.Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The Id. P.O. submits that in the next date definitely he will file the affidavit-in-reply on C.A. At his request, **S.O. two weeks.**

Member

(A)

aps.

O.A.No.404/2018 (S.B.)

Coram : Hon. Shri Shree Bhagwan,

Member (A)

Dated : 28.06.2018.

Shri M.I.Dhatrak, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I.Khan, Id. P.O. for the State.

The Id. P.O. seeks two weeks time to file affidavit-in-reply. The Id. counsel for the applicant pointed out that in order dated 14/06/2018, the respondent no. 1 is directed not to relieve the applicant till further orders, the same stay will continue.

S.O. two weeks.

Member

(A)

aps.

O.A. 78/2017 (D.B.)

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni,

Vice-Chairman(J) and

Shree Bhagwan,Member(A)

Dated : 28/06/2018

Shri Y.P. Kaslikar, Id. counsel holding for Shri P.S. Patil, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. CPO for R-1&2. Await service of R-3.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that he will file service affidavit of R-3 till Monday.

3. The learned CPO seeks time to file reply. At his request, **S.O. four weeks** for filing reply.

Member(A)

Vice-Chairman(J)

dnk.

O.A. 257/2017 (D.B.)

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni,

Vice-Chairman(J) and

Shree Bhagwan,Member(A)

Dated : 28/06/2018

Shri N.R. Saboo, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. CPO for the respondents.

At the request of Id. CPO, **S.O. two weeks** for filing reply.

Member(A)

Vice-Chairman(J)

dnk.

O.A. 291/2018 (D.B.)

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni,

Vice-Chairman(J) and

Shree Bhagwan,Member(A)

Dated : 28/06/2018

Shri M.M. Sudame, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. CPO for R-1&2. Await service of R-3&4.

The learned counsel for the applicant submits that he will file service affidavit of R-3&4 within a week.

At the request of learned CPO, **S.O. two weeks** for filing reply.

Member(A)

Vice-Chairman(J)

dnk.

O.A. 448/2018 (D.B.)

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni,

Vice-Chairman(J) and

Shree Bhagwan,Member(A)

Dated : 28/06/2018

C.A.144/2018 –

Heard Shri N.N. Thengre, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for the State.

2. For the reasons stated in the application, the C.A. for amendment is allowed in the interest of justice and equity. Necessary amendment be carried out forthwith and amended copy be supplied to the learned P.O.

O.A. 448/2018 –

Heard Shri N.N. Thengre, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for the State.

2. Issue notice to R-2 to 5, returnable in **four weeks**. Learned C.P.O. waives notice for R-1. Hamdast allowed.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra

Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to

Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. **S.O. four weeks.**

Member(A)

Vice-Chairman(J)

dnk.

O.A. 539/2017 (D.B.)

**Coram: J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J) and
Shree Bhagwan,Member(A)**

Dated : 28/06/2018

Heard Shri M.M. Sudame, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjurkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

Since the pleadings are complete, the matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.

The learned P.O. waives notice for the respondents.

S.O. four weeks.

Member(A) Vice-Chairman(J)

dnk.

O.A. 688/2017 (D.B.)

**Coram: J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J) and
Shree Bhagwan,Member(A)**

Dated : 28/06/2018

Shri S.A. Marathe, Id. counsel for the applicants and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for R-1 to 3. None for R-4 to 7.

At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. one week** for filing rejoinder.

Member(A)

Vice-Chairman(J)

dnk.

O.A. 464/2018 (D.B.)

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni,

Vice-Chairman(J) and

Shree Bhagwan,Member(A)

Dated : 28/06/2018

Heard Shri T. Parmar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. CPO for the State.

2. Issue notice to R-2 and 3 returnable in **four weeks**. Learned C.P.O. waives notice for R-1. Hamdast allowed.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by

Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. **S.O. four weeks.**

Member(A)

Vice-Chairman(J)

dnk.

O.A. 477/15 with O.A. 571/17 (D.B.)

**Coram: J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J) and
Shree Bhagwan,Member(A)**

Dated : 28/06/2018

Heard Shri S.C. Deshmukh, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for R-1 to 3. None for R-4 to 8.

Closed for orders.

Member(A)

Vice-Chairman(J)

dnk.

O.A. 465/2018 (D.B.)

**Coram: J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J) and
Shree Bhagwan,Member(A)**

Dated : 28/06/2018

Heard Shri R.V.Shiralkar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the State.

2. Shri Shiralkar, Id. counsel undertakes to file power on behalf of the applicant.

3. The applicant has applied for the post of Professor in Physics from the Open (General) Category. The advertisement is of the year,2015, but a list of non-eligible and eligible candidates was published on 15/06/2018 and the applicant's name appears in the non-eligible candidates' list at sr.no.6 and the reason given is that 'Not qualified for Open (female)'. The applicant is a Male candidate and never applied for Open (female).

4. The learned P.O. is therefore directed to take instructions as to whether the respondents are ready to allow the applicant for interview which is to be held on 02/07/2018. At the request of Id. P.O., the matter is kept on 29/06/2018.

Steno copy is granted.

Member(A)

Vice-Chairman(J)

dnk.

O.A. 61/2018 (D.B.)

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J) and
Shree Bhagwan,Member(A)

Dated : 28/06/2018

C.A.147/2018 -

Heard Shri Y.P. Kaslikar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The applicant is claiming for continuation of interim relief which has been granted by the Hon'ble High Court, Bench at Nagpur in Writ Petition No.2933/2018. The said petition has been disposed of with direction to continue interim relief for three weeks as per the order dated 12/06/2018. The interim relief is therefore continued till 04/07/2018.

3. The learned P.O. submits that he will file reply-affidavit within a week. The interim relief therefore shall continue till filing of the reply.

4. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that he has filed as

many as 38 applications of similar nature and all those applications be heard together. He is also filing a pursis to that effect.

5. In view thereof, all the matters be taken together. The State will be at liberty to file a single reply-affidavit in any of the petition. In all applications the respondents are directed not to take coercive action as directed by the Hon'ble High Court.

O.A. 61/2018 -

S.O. 09/07/2018.

Member(A)

Vice-Chairman(J)

dnk.

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni,

Vice-Chairman(J) and

Shree Bhagwan,Member(A)

Dated : 28/06/2018

O.A.556/95 with C.As.43/16, 52/16, 53/16, 428/16, 101/17, 246/17, 247/17 with MCA 40/17 in C.A.25/18 (DB)

Shri B.B. Raipure, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for R-1 to 3. None for R-4.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that he will file a Purnis that the matter shall not be taken by this Tribunal. It is because according to the learned counsel for the applicant he has filed Writ Petition in which one of the Member of this Bench was party and therefore the matter shall not be taken by this Tribunal.

3. In view thereof, the matter be kept before another Bench whenever is available.

Member(A)

Vice-Chairman(J)

dnk.

O.A. Nos. 728, 729, 730 and 731 of 2009 (D.B.)

**Coram: J.D. Kulkarni,
Vice-Chairman(J) and
Shree Bhagwan,Member(A)**

Dated : 28/06/2018

None for the applicants. Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. On 12/04/2018 Shri R.V. Shiralkar, Id. counsel appeared on behalf of Shri A.H. Laddha and submitted that Shri A.H. Laddha has been appointed as District Judge and

therefore notices be issued to the applicants. Accordingly the notices were issued to the applicants by RPAD. The said notices have been served on the applicants. However none appeared for the applicants. It seems that the applicants may not be interested in prosecuting the matters. Hence, the O.As. stands dismissed with no order as to costs.

Member(A) Vice-Chairman(J)

dnk.

O.A. 695/2017 (D.B.)

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni,

Vice-Chairman(J) and

Shree Bhagwan,Member(A)

Dated : 28/06/2018

Heard Shri S.C. Deshmukh, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for R-1 to 3. None for R-4&5.

Closed for orders.

Member(A)

Vice-Chairman(J)

dnk.

O.A. 172/2018 (D.B.)

Coram: J.D. Kulkarni,

Vice-Chairman(J) and

Shree Bhagwan,Member(A)

Dated : 28/06/2018

Heard Shri S.A. Marathe, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The applicant is claiming promotion to the post of Head Clerk from the post of Senior Clerk. In the seniority of Senior Clerk, the applicant stands at sr.no.3, whereas, one Shri P.D. Gujar

and Shri S.T. Lingot stand at sr.nos. 1&2 respectively have been promoted to the post of Head Clerk.

3. According to the learned counsel for the applicant, Shri S.T. Lingot cannot be promoted to the post of Head Clerk in view of the G.R. dated 29/12/2017 (P-31&32) as in the said G.R. it has been specifically stated as under –

*^ R; kud kj rqrkd inkturtp; k dks/; krhy [kq; k
idxlthy fjDr ins fubG rRi jR; k Lo#i kr
I sKtSBrud kj ek- I okPp U; k; ky; kP; k fu.kz kP; k
vf/ku jkguu Hkj.; kr ; kohr- v'kh dk; bkgH djrkauk
I sKtSBrk ; knhe/khy ekxkl oxtz; vf/kdkjh@depljh
; kaak inkturh ns; ki wtz rsfnukad 25@05@2004 P; k
'kkl u fu.kz krhy rjrmhuil kj vki {k.kkpk ykHk feGuu
I sKtSBrk ; knhr ojP; k LFkkukoj vkys ukghr} ; kph
[kk=h i R; sd iz kkl dh; foHkkxkusdj koh-***

4. The respondents have not replied this particular aspect of the pleadings of the application and therefore the respondents are directed to file a short affidavit in this regard. The respondents are also directed to make a statement regarding status of the petition filed before the Hon'ble Apex Court regarding reservation and promotion.

S.O. one week.

Member(A)

Vice-Chairman(J)

dnk.