

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 53 OF 2017**

**(Dr. Govind S/o. Ramrao Pawar Vs. The State of Maharashtra  
and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.03. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri H.K. Mundhe – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.
3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 17<sup>th</sup> April, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 29.03.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 733 OF 2016**

**(Shri Vithal S/o. Lahanu Badhe Vs. The State of Maharashtra  
and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.03. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri P.B. Salunke, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.G. Salgar – learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. It seems from the proceeding that the present case pertains to Division Bench and it is wrongly placed before the Single Bench today. Hence, it be removed from the board of Single Bench and it be placed before Division Bench on the next date.
3. S.O. to 12<sup>th</sup> April, 2017 for placing before Division Bench.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 29.03.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 662 OF 2016**

**(Dr. Laxman Pundlik Durgawad Vs. The State of Maharashtra  
and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.03. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirase – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. In that view of the matter and considering the cause made out in the present OA, it is admitted. To be listed for final hearing on 12<sup>th</sup> April, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 29.03.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 625 OF 2016**

**(Shri Bhaskar S/o. Devidas Rathod Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.03. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri S.B. Solanke – learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh – learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.
2. Learned Advocate for respondent No. 3 seeks time. Time granted as a last chance.
3. S.O. to 7<sup>th</sup> April, 2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 29.03.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 213 OF 2016**

**(Shri Tukaram Vyankat Chate Vs. The State of Maharashtra  
and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.03. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar – learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh – learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav – learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

2. On instructions, learned Advocate for the applicant orally seeks permission of this Tribunal to withdraw the present Original Application.

3. In view of the submission made by the learned Advocate for the applicant, permission to withdraw the present Original Application is granted.

4. Accordingly, the present Original Application stands disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 29.03.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 214 OF 2016  
(Gavlan Vasant Darade Vs. The State of Maharashtra and  
Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.03. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat – learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3. None appears for respondent Nos. 4 & 5.
2. On instructions, learned Advocate for the applicant orally seeks permission of this Tribunal to withdraw the present Original Application.
3. In view of the submission made by the learned Advocate for the applicant, permission to withdraw the present Original Application is granted.
4. Accordingly, the present Original Application stands disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 29.03.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 923 OF 2016**

**(Shri Ashok Ramdas Kotwal Vs. The State of Maharashtra and  
Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.03. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri Vivek Pingle – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted as a last chance.
3. S.O. to 10<sup>th</sup> April, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 29.03.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 565 OF 2016**

**(Shri Ashok Ramdas Kotwal Vs. The State of Maharashtra and  
Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.03. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri Vivek Pingle – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 10<sup>th</sup> April, 2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 29.03.2017- HDD(DB)



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 194 OF 2016**

**(Shri Ramesh S/o. Madhukar Jakatdar & Others Vs. The State  
of Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.03. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri V.G. Pingle – learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that he will file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents within a period of one week. Time granted as a last chance.
3. S.O. to 10<sup>th</sup> April, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 29.03.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 36 OF 2016**

**(Smt. Laxmi Wd/o Sarjerao Shinde Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.03. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Shri S.S. Gangakhedkar – learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1, 5 to 7 and Shri Vivek Bhavthankar – learned Special counsel for respondent Nos. 2 to 4.

2. S.O. to 28<sup>th</sup> April, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 29.03.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 792 OF 2015**

**(Dr. Prasad S/o Vithal Kulkarni & Others Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.03. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri Vishnu Dhoble – learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri N.U. Yadav – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that other similar cases, which involve similar facts and circumstances, are pending before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court Bench at Aurangabad. He, therefore, wants to file rejoinder affidavit in the present case and for that purpose he sought time. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 12<sup>th</sup> April, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 29.03.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 614 OF 2016**

**(Shri Subhash Kitkul Shirke Vs. The State of Maharashtra and  
Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.03. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 28<sup>th</sup> April, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 29.03.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 666 OF 2015**

**(Shri Madhukar S/o. Bhaurao Salunke Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.03. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri Vivek Pingle – learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. It transpires from the proceeding that affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 3 to 4 has already been filed on record.
3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1. Time granted as a last chance.
4. S.O. to 17<sup>th</sup> April, 2017 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 29.03.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 667 OF 2015**

**(Sk. Natthu. Sk. Kalu Khatik Vs. The State of Maharashtra  
and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.03. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri Vivek Pingle – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirase – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. It transpires from the proceeding that affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 3 to 4 has already been filed on record.
3. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.
4. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1. Time granted as a last chance.
5. S.O. to 17<sup>th</sup> April, 2017 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 29.03.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 668 OF 2015**

**(Shri Dharma S/o. Jagmal Marathe Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.03. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri Vivek Pingle – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. It transpires from the proceeding that common affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 3 to 4 and separate affidavit in replies on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 5 have already been filed on record.

3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1. Time granted as a last chance.

4. S.O. to 17<sup>th</sup> April, 2017 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 29.03.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 775 OF 2016**

**(Shri Dnyanoba Dashrathrao Jagtap & Others Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.03. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh – learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri I.S. Thorat – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 17<sup>th</sup> April, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 29.03.2017- HDD(DB)



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 353 OF 2016**

**(Shri Ladappa S/o. Annarao Chikale Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.03. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri Syed Parvesh, learned Advocate holding for Shri U.B. Bilolikar – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 26<sup>th</sup> April, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 29.03.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 402 OF 2017**

**(Shri Gopal Baliram Mahajan Vs. The State of Maharashtra  
and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.03. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Shri S.R. Barlinge – learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri S.K. Shirase – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.
2. It transpires from the proceedings that the Registry has raised the office objection/s.
3. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O.to 26<sup>th</sup> April, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 29.03.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 3049 OF 2016  
(Shri Uttam Ramrao Patil Vs. The State of Maharashtra and  
Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.03. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Shri S.G. Chapalgaonkar – learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri S.K. Shirase – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.
2. It transpires from the proceedings that the Registry has raised the office objection/s.
3. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O.to 28<sup>th</sup> April, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 29.03.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**MA ST. NO. 396/2017 IN CP ST. 397/2017 IN OA 539/2016**

[Shri Amol K. Kakde Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Vice Chairman**

**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

**Date :- 29.03.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.G. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present misc. application is filed by the applicant for permission to file contempt petition against the respondents for non compliance of the order dated 15.12.2016 passed by this Tribunal in O.A. no. 539/2016.

3. It appears that the copy of personal notice sent to the respondents is not filed in the present misc. application, but the same is filed at Annex. A.3 in the contempt petition.

4. In the circumstances, Issue notices to the respondents in the present misc. application, returnable on 27.4.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 169 OF 2017**

[Shri Sandeep R. Chavan Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Vice Chairman**

**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

**Date :- 29.03.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri P.B. Rakhunde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that correction in the place of res. no. 4 i. e. from Nanded to Parbhani is required to be made in the original application. At his request permission to amend the O.A. to that extent is granted. The necessary correction be made during the course of the day.

3. S.O. to 12.4.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29.3.2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**MA 283/2016 IN OA ST. 1167/2016/2016**

[Shri Sudhakar M. Davkare Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Vice Chairman**

**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

**Date :- 29.03.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri N.S. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. The learned P.O. has filed affidavit in reply of res. no. 5 in the present misc. application. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.
3. The learned Advocate for the applicant has filed rejoinder of the applicant to the affidavit in reply of res. no. 7. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned P.O. for the respondents.
4. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 18.4.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**MA 509/2015 IN OA ST. NO. 550/2015**

[Shri Pradeep B. Kokate Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Vice Chairman**

**Date :- 29.03.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. None appears for the applicant. Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant is absent in spite of calling the matter twice. Hence, S.O. to 3.5.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29.3.2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 693 OF 2016**  
[Shri Dattatraya M. Borude Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Vice Chairman**

**Date :- 29.03.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. submits that she has received information from the concerned respondent that the order of relieving of the applicant is passed. As against the instructions of the learned P.O., the learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant is not relieved as yet.

3. Since the learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he will have to collect some material and place it before the Tribunal, S.O. to 5.4.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 721 OF 2016**

[Shri Pradeep V. Marwale Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Vice Chairman**

**Date :- 29.03.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. submits that she has received information from the concerned respondent that the order of relieving of the applicant is passed. As against the instructions of the learned P.O., the learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant is not relieved as yet.

3. Since the learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he will have to collect some material and place it before the Tribunal, S.O. to 5.4.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 308 OF 2014**

[Shri Ambadas N. Paikrao & Ors. Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.]

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Vice Chairman**

**Date :- 29.03.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri R.S. Shejule, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of the earlier order dated 19.1.2017, the learned Advocate files on record copy of the representation dated 12.5.2011 submitted by the applicants to the respondents and it appears therefrom that the same has been received to the res. no. 1 on 19.5.2011. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned P.O. for the respondents.

3. The learned P.O. submits that, she will take instruction from the concerned respondent regarding the present status of the said representation of the applicants. At her request, S.O. to 7.6.2017.

4. The learned P.O. to act upon the steno copy of this order.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 758 OF 2016**

[Shri Jagdish M. Kale Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Vice Chairman**

**Date :- 29.03.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. submits that till today the minutes of the meeting are not received regarding which reference was made by the learned P.O. on 15.3.2017. At his request, S.O. to 18.4.2017. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29.3.2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINLA APPLICATION NO.357/2016.**

[Shri Sanjay N. anpat Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Vice Chairman**

**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

**Date :- 29.03.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant on instructions of the applicant submit that, applicant wishes to withdraw the present O.A. and he is filing the written instructions in this regard on record. The same is accepted and marked as Exh.X for the purpose of identification. The applicant is allowed to withdraw the present O.A. The same is therefore, disposed of without any order as to costs.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINLA APPLICATION NO. 604/2016.**

[Shri A. L. Bhosale & Ors.Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Vice Chairman**  
**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench**  
**due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

**Date :- 29.03.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri R. P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S. K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri R. P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time. At his request, S.O. to 7.6.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 29.3.2017.ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINLA APPLICATION NO.85/2017.**

[Smt Nikita K. Bhange Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Vice Chairman**  
**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench**  
**due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

**Date :- 29.03.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri J. M. Murkute, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M. P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. At the request of the learned P.O. time to file affidavit in reply is hereby granted. Considering the fact that, one post is kept vacant to the Interim relief granted by this Tribunal, concerned respondents are expected to take corrective steps, if any, as provided by Law and report in this regard be filed on the next date. In case corrective steps are not taken or could not be taken the reply be filed on 8.6.2017.
4. S.O. 8.6.2017. Interim relief to continue till then.
5. Learned P.O. is directed to act on the Steno copy of this order.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**MA NO.340/16 IN CP.ST.1547/16 IN OA 516/03.**

[Dr. V. B. Sarwade Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Vice Chairman**  
**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench**  
**due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

**Date :- 29.03.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri V. B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt S. K. Ghate Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent no.1. None present for the Respondent no.2.

2. Learned Advocate for the Respondent no.2 is absent. The Respondent no.2 has to take steps. In the circumstances, S.O. to 27.4.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 29.3.2017.ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**MA NO.64/2017 IN OA NO.100/2017.**

[Shri M. V. Sonkamble Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Vice Chairman**  
**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench**  
**due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

**Date :- 29.03.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri V. B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S. K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submit that, due to personal difficulty, the learned C.P.O. is not present. At his request, S.O. 27.4.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 29.3.2017.ATP



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINLA APPLICATION NO.624/2016.**

[Dr. a. R. Dikondwar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Vice Chairman**  
**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench**  
**due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

**Date :- 29.03.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri V. B. Wagh, learned Advocate holding for Shri Sham Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S. K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents no.1 to 3, Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A. S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Respondent no.4, Shri H. A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Respondent no.6 and Shri V. C. Suradkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent no.7.
  
2. S.O. to 28.4.2017 for compliance of earlier order 21.3.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINLA APPLICATION NO.124/2017.**

[Shri G. B. Rathod Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Vice Chairman**  
**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench**  
**due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

**Date :- 29.03.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri H. A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S. K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The O.A. is already admitted. Remove from the Board.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 29.3.2017.ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINLA APPLICATION NO.825/2016.**

[Shri P. A. Gaikwad Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Vice Chairman  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

**Date :- 29.03.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt D. S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents. None present for the Respondent no.2.

2. Learned P.O. on the strength of the communication dated 27.2.2017 submits that, the departmental appeal filed by the applicant is pending with the Government and therefore, time to file reply may be given.

3. In the circumstances, with a direction to the concerned Respondent to decide the departmental appeal as soon as possible and in any case within a period of 8 weeks, time to file reply is hereby granted till 7.6.2017.

4. S.O. 7.6.2017 for filing compliance report/affidavit in reply.

5. Learned P.O. is directed to act on the Steno copy of this order.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINLA APPLICATION NO.864/2016.**

[Shri V. S. Londhe Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Vice Chairman  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

**Date :- 29.03.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. None present for the applicant. Heard Shri V. R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned P.O. on the strength of the communication dated 27.2.2017 submits that, the departmental appeal filed by the applicant is pending with the Government and therefore, time to file reply may be given.
3. In the circumstances, with a direction to the concerned Respondent to decide the departmental appeal as soon as possible and in any case within a period of 8 weeks, time to file reply is hereby granted till 7.6.2017.
4. S.O. 7.6.2017 for filing compliance report/affidavit in reply.
5. Learned P.O. is directed to act on the Steno copy of this order.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINLA APPLICATION NO.865/2016.**

[Shri V. E. Jogdand Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Vice Chairman  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

**Date :- 29.03.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. None present for the applicant. Heard Shri I. S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents. None present for the Respondent no.5.

2. Learned P.O. on the strength of the communication dated 27.2.2017 submits that, the departmental appeal filed by the applicant is pending with the Government and therefore, time to file reply may be given.

3. In the circumstances, with a direction to the concerned Respondent to decide the departmental appeal as soon as possible and in any case within a period of 8 weeks, time to file reply is hereby granted till 7.6.2017.

4. S.O. 7.6.2017 for filing compliance report/affidavit in reply.

5. Learned P.O. is directed to act on the Steno copy of this order.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINLA APPLICATION NO.866/2016.**

[Shri V. G. Deshmukh Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Vice Chairman  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

**Date :- 29.03.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. None present for the applicant. Heard Shri V. R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents. None present for the Respondent no.5.

2. Learned P.O. on the strength of the communication dated 27.2.2017 submits that, the departmental appeal filed by the applicant is pending with the Government and therefore, time to file reply may be given.

3. In the circumstances, with a direction to the concerned Respondent to decide the departmental appeal as soon as possible and in any case within a period of 8 weeks, time to file reply is hereby granted till 7.6.2017.

4. S.O. 7.6.2017 for filing compliance report/affidavit in reply.

5. Learned P.O. is directed to act on the Steno copy of this order.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINLA APPLICATION NO.867/2016.**

[Shri S. S. Solanke Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Vice Chairman  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

**Date :- 29.03.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. None present for the applicant. Heard Shri N. U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents. None present for the Respondent no.5.
2. Learned P.O. on the strength of the communication dated 27.2.2017 submits that, the departmental appeal filed by the applicant is pending with the Government and therefore, time to file reply may be given.
3. In the circumstances, with a direction to the concerned Respondent to decide the departmental appeal as soon as possible and in any case within a period of 8 weeks, time to file reply is hereby granted till 7.6.2017.
4. S.O. 7.6.2017 for filing compliance report/affidavit in reply.
5. Learned P.O. is directed to act on the Steno copy of this order.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 806/2016  
[Ranjeeta S. Patil Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**

**DATE : 29.03.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Vinod P. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant (**Absent**). Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. The learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 26.04.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 29.3.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 905/2016  
[Shri Mahavir C. Gosavi Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**

**DATE : 29.03.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant (**Absent**). Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri D.T. Devanel learned Advocate for respondent no. 4, are present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 11.04.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 29.3.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 29/2017  
[Shri Shankar D. Choudhari Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**

**DATE : 29.03.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the Applicant (**Leave Note**). Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 & 2 and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent no. 3, are present.

2. Since the learned Advocate for the applicant is on leave, S.O. to 02.05.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 29.3.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 32/2017  
[Smt. Subhadrabai N. Sonwane Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**

**DATE : 29.03.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant submitted that he wants to correct the nomenclature of respondent nos. 3 & 4 and therefore, he seeks leave of this Tribunal to amend the O.A.

3. Leave as prayer for by the learned Advocate for the applicant is granted.

4. The applicant shall carry out necessary amendment in the O.A. forthwith.

5. After amendment, issue notices to the respondent nos. 3 & 4, returnable on 2.5.2017.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

11. S.O. to 02.05.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 65/2017  
[Shri Kundan V. Deshmukh Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**

**DATE : 29.03.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos. 1 & 2. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he will go through the affidavit in reply and will file rejoinder affidavit, if necessary.

4. S.O. to 19.04.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 29.3.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 122/2017  
[Shri Chandrashekhar R. Chopdar Vs. The State of Mah. &  
Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**

**DATE : 29.03.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 19.04.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 29.3.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 135/2017  
[Smt. Shital D/o V. Bhosale @ Shital W/o S. Mandle Vs. The  
State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**

**DATE : 29.03.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.R. Barate, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.A. Wakure, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 2.5.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 29.3.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 308/2016 in O.A. St. No. 975/2016  
[Shri Waman N. Bondar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**

**DATE : 29.03.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Smt. M.G. Kasturkar, learned Advocate holding for  
Smt. M.A. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant  
and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for  
respondents.

2. The learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file  
affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A. Time  
granted as a most last chance.

3. S.O. to 21.04.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 29.3.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 382/2016 in O.A. St. No. 1750/2016  
[Shri Bhagwant T. Chaudhari Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**

**DATE : 29.03.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the Applicant (**Leave Note**). Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondent no. 1 and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 2 & 3, are present.

2. Since the learned Advocate for the applicant is on leave, S.O. to 02.05.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 29.3.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 55/2017 in O.A. St. No. 167/2017  
[Shri Abhimanyu S. Kalyan Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**

**DATE : 29.03.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.R. Barlinge, learned Advocate for the Applicant (**Absent**). Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos. 1 & 2 in M.A. It is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 26.04.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 29.3.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 72/2017 in O.A. St. No. 187/2017  
[Shri Shankar D. Choudhari Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**

**DATE : 29.03.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the Applicant (**Leave Note**). Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. Since the learned Advocate for the applicant is on leave, S.O. to 02.05.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 29.3.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 778/2016  
[Shri Amol N. Wadekar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**

**DATE : 29.03.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Aashish T. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the Applicant (**Absent**). Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 13.04.2017.

**ORAL ORDERS 29.3.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 404/2016  
[Dr. Avinash T. Landge Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**

**DATE : 29.03.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to argue the matter. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 13.04.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 29.3.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 813/2016  
[Shri Avinash V. Gangode Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**

**DATE : 29.03.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 & 2. Shri S.T. Shelke, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 3 & 4, **absent**.

2. The learned Presenting Officer seeks time to argue the matter. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 1.4.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 29.3.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 500/2016  
[Shri Saleem Khan Maseed Khan Sukede Vs. The State of Mah.  
& Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**

**DATE : 29.03.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 & 2 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for respondent no. 3.

2. The learned Advocate for respondent no. 3 seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted as a last chance to file reply on behalf of respondent no. 3 on record, subject to Costs of Rs. 2000/- (Two Thousand Only). The amount of Costs of Rs. 2000/- be deposited in the Registry of this Tribunal.

3. S.O. to 17.04.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 29.3.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 694/2016  
[Shri Sanjay Kisan Gaikwad Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**

**DATE : 29.03.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.R. Sapkal, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant submitted that the applicant was transferred to the office of Superintendent of Police, Ahmednagar by order dated 20.05.2016 and thereafter, he was relieved on 31.07.2016. Thereafter, he joined his posting in the office of Superintendent of Police, Ahmednagar on 1.8.2016 and thereafter, immediately on 12/20-08-2016 he was transferred on deputation in the office of State Disaster Management Force, Gat No. 6, Dhule, though he was not due for transfer. The learned Advocate for the applicant has also submitted that the applicant filed representation challenging his deputation on 22.8.2016 and the same is pending before the respondent no. 2 and it is not yet decided by the respondent no. 2.



//2// O.A. No. 694/2016

3. The learned Presenting Officer submitted that the applicant has approached this Tribunal immediately without giving reasonable time to the respondent no. 2 to decide the representation dated 22.8.2016 and, therefore, she submitted that the present O.A. be disposed of by giving direction to respondent no. 2 to decide the representation of applicant. She has further submitted that the mother and wife of the applicant had also filed representations to the respondent no. 2 for considering the deputation of the applicant and the same are also pending.

4. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, it is just and proper to direct the respondent no. 2 to decide the representation made by the applicant and his family members within a period of two weeks from the date of this order.

5. The Respondent no. 2 is directed to decide the representation of the applicant within two weeks and communicate its decision in writing to the applicant.

6. S.O. to 13.04.2017.

7. The matter is to be treated as part heard.

**MEMBER (J)**