

**C.P. No. 29/2020 in O.A. No. 1014/2019
(Ratanhari S. Bade Vs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

**CORAM :Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 08.07.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 24.08.2021.
4. The present matter be placed on separate board.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 130 OF 2019
(Kamlakar K. Phad Vs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 08.07.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that pleadings are complete. Considering the nature of proceedings, the present matter is fixed for hearing at the stage of admission on 12.08.2021.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 715 OF 2019
(Sushma E. Choudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 08.07.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he has collected copy of the affidavit in reply today.
3. S.O. to 20.08.2021.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 508 OF 2020
(Sangita P. Bhojane Vs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 08.07.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1. None present for respondent No. 2, though duly served.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 24.08.2021.

4. The present matter be placed on separate board.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**M.A. No. 119/2020 in O.A. No. 84/2020
(Chunilal M. Yawalkar Vs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 08.07.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, at length.

2. The present matter is closed for orders.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.07.2021

**M.A. No. 552/2019 in O.A. St. No. 1681/2019
(Sushma E. Chaudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 08.07.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.08.2021.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.07.2021

**M.A. No. 168/2021 in O.A. No. 566/2017
(Rajendra G. Mane Vs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 08.07.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 17.08.2021.
4. The present matter be placed on separate board.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 112/2021 in O.A. No. 386/2020
(Ganga S. Suryawanshi Vs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 08.07.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.C. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 20.08.2021 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.07.2021

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 569 OF 2018
(Prashant A. Bonge Vs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 08.07.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.S. Ware, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has placed on record a copy of communication dated 05.07.2021 received from the respondent No. 5 and seeks time for filing short affidavit. Copy of the said communication is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification.

3. S.O. to 29.07.2021 for filing short affidavit.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 287 OF 2020
(Shahu S. Jaswantsingh S. Huzurasingh Vs. State of
Maharashtra &Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 08.07.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri M.K. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed rejoinder affidavit. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 23.08.2021.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 122 OF 2021
(Mohan B. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 08.07.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri M.K. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3. None present for respondent No. 4, though duly served.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to. 3. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 23.08.2021.

4. The present matter be placed on separate board.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 258 OF 2021
(Laxman N. Sormare Vs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 08.07.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 03.08.2021.

4. The present matter be placed on separate board.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1069 OF 2019
(Prabhakar M. Kathar Vs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 08.07.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. Time granted to the respondents for filing affidavit in reply, subject to payment of costs of Rs. 500/- (Rupees Five hundred only). The amount of costs shall be deposited in the Registry of this Tribunal.

4. S.O. to 27.07.2021.

5. The present matter be placed on separate board.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 257 OF 2021
(Nanasaheb L. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 08.07.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant opposes the above submissions and states that learned C.P.O. having copy of affidavit in reply with him, but he is not intentionally filed it on record.

3. In the interest of justice, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply.

4. S.O. to 03.08.2021.

5. The present matter be placed on separate board.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

//2//

O.A. No. 257/2021

Later On -

6. Learned C.P.O. has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2, 4 & 5. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

7. S.O. to 03.08.2021.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 08.07.2021

C.P.NO.10/2021 IN O.A.NO.763/2019
(ShriGangadhar A. Kakade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 08.07.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondent no.1 & 2, returnable on 20.08.2021.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

:: - 2 - ::

C.P.10/2021 IN O.A.763/2019

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 20.08.2021.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 08.07.2021-SAS

**M.A.NO.163/2021 IN R.A.ST.371/2021 IN
T.A.NO.01/2016 (W.P.NO.115/2016)
(Maharashtra Public Service Commission through its
Secretary Vs. ShriAbhayGinyandeoSanap&Anr.**

**CORAM : Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 08.07.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri M.A. Kolpe, learned Advocate for the Applicant (Org. Respondent No.2 in C.P.), Shri S.D. Munde, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.1 (Org. Applicant in C.P.) and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.2 (Org. Respondent No.1 in C.P.).

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant places on record copy of the letter dated 07.07.2021 purportedly addressed to Applicant in this application (who is Org. Respondent No.2 in C.P.No. 08/2021) whereby he has stated that his matter should be pursued earlier by recommending his name and if delay is caused in that process, the Secretary, M.P.S.C. (Org. Respondent No.2 in C.P.) and its Advocate would be personally responsible.

3. Shri S.D. Munde, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.1 (Org. Applicant in C.P.) submits that the present Review Application is not maintainable before this

//2//

M.A.NO.163/2021 IN R.A.ST.371/2021
INT.A.NO.01/2016 (W.P.NO.115/2016)

Bench as the Bench which has decided the matter earlier is available and functional and this case may be placed for adjudication before the same Bench. He further submits that he will contact his client i.e. Respondent No.1 (Orig. Applicant in C.P.) personally and seek necessary instructions and communicate him that the matter is pending before the Tribunal and he should restrain himself from reacting in this way.

4. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 28.07.2021.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

//3//

**M.A.NO.163/2021 IN R.A.ST.371/2021
INT.A.NO.01/2016 (W.P.NO.115/2016)**

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. to 28.07.2021.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**C.P.NO.08/2021 IN T.A.NO.1/2021 (W.P.115/2016)
(Abhay G. Sanap Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.**

**CORAM : Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 08.07.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Munde, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 and Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2, S.O. to 28.07.2021.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 08.07.2021-SAS

M.A.NO.177/2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.724/2021
(ShriRaosaheb B. Gaunjaj Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 08.07.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S. Khedkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 30.08.2021.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

:: - 2 - ::

M.A.177/2021 IN O.A.St.724/2021

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 30.08.2021.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.330/2021
(ShriPrasanna U. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 08.07.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In this application the Applicant is challenging advertisement dated 01.07.2021 (Anx. 'A-1) issued by Respondent No.2 calling application for the post of Assistant Professor (Ophthalmologist) for appointment on contractual basis for the period of 120 days.

3. The Applicant is M.S. (Ophthalmologist). He is appointed on contractual basis on the post of Assistant Professor since 01.06.2017. His appointment is continued from time to time till today for the period of 120 days by giving break in the service for one day as per the appointment letter dated 01.06.2017 till 28.05.2021 (Anx. 'A-2').

//2//

O.A.330/2021

4. It is contention of the Applicant that the proposal for regularization was also forwarded to the Respondent No.1 and is pending consideration. The Respondent No.2 all of sudden issued advertisement on 01.07.2021.

5. It is specific contention of the Applicant that the said advertisement is in contravention of communication dated 14.11.2005 (Anx. 'A-4') addressed to the Director, Medical Education and Research, Mumbai wherein it is stated that contractual/temporary employee shall not be replaced by terminating his services and appointing another contractual/temporary employee.

6. It is further stated that the advertisement in question is contrary to the principles of law that isolated/singular post cannot have reservation. Learned Advocate for the Applicant also submits that no requisite qualification is also mentioned in the said advertisement. The tenure of services of the Applicant is expiring on 25.09.2021 and therefore, the Applicant is seeking interim stay to the said advertisement.

7. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents objected for granting any interim relief contending that the Applicant is still in service and the process of selection is going on. The Respondents would file detailed reply. Learned C.P.O. further submits that the requisite qualification for the said post is mentioned on last page of advertisement.

//3//

O.A.330/2021

8. Upon perusal of the advertisement in question (Anx. 'A-1')it is seen that it is specifically mentioned that this advertisement is issued in order to comply certain directions given by the Indian Medical Council (राष्ट्रीय आयुर्विज्ञानपरिषद)by way of filling up posts of Assistant Professors on contractual basis.

9. In view of the above, in our considered opinion, prima-facie it does appear that any right vests in the applicant is in jeopardy. It is also a fact that the Applicant has also applied for the said post.

10. In view of the above, in our opinion, it is not a fit case for granting interim relief at this stage. Hence, interim relief is declined.

11. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 23.08.2021.

12. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

13. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

//4//

O.A.330/2021

14. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

15. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

16. S.O. to 23.08.2021.

17. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.331/2021
(Supriya S. Pendke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 08.07.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In this application the Applicant is challenging advertisement dated 01.07.2021 (Anx. 'A-1) issued by Respondent No.2 calling application for the post of Senior Resident (Ophthalmologist) for appointment on contractual basis for the period of 120 days.

3. The Applicant is D.N.B. (Ophthalmologist). She was appointed on the post of Junior Resident on contractual basis for the period of 120 days. The said appointment was dated 13.06.2018. Thereafter, she was appointed as Senior Resident by order dated 27.11.2019 till 23.05.2020. The Applicant was again appointed by order dated 11.06.2021.

//2//

O.A.331/2021

4. It is contention of the Applicant that the proposal for regularization was also forwarded to the Respondent No.1 and is pending consideration. The Respondent No.2 all of sudden issued advertisement on 01.07.2021.

5. It is specific contention of the Applicant that the said advertisement is in contravention of communication dated 14.11.2005 (Anx. 'A-3') addressed to the Director, Medical Education and Research, Mumbai wherein it is stated that contractual/temporary employee shall not be replaced by terminating his services and appointing another contractual/temporary employee.

6. It is further stated that the advertisement in question is contrary to the principles of law that isolated/singular post cannot have reservation. Learned Advocate for the Applicant also submits that no requisite qualification is also mentioned in the said advertisement. The tenure of services of the Applicant is expiring on 08.10.2021 and therefore, the Applicant is seeking interim stay to the said advertisement.

7. Learned P.O. for the Respondents objected for granting any interim relief contending that the Applicant is still in service and the process of selection is going on. The Respondents would file detailed reply. Learned P.O. further submits that the requisite qualification for the said post is mentioned on last page of advertisement.

//3//

O.A.331/2021

8. Upon perusal of the advertisement in question (Anx. 'A-1') it is seen that it is specifically mentioned that this advertisement is issued in order to comply certain directions given by the Indian Medical Council (राष्ट्रीय आयुर्विज्ञानपरिषद) by way of filling up posts of Assistant Professors on contractual basis.

9. In view of the above, in our considered opinion, prima-facie it does appear that any right vests in the applicant is in jeopardy. It is also a fact that the Applicant has also applied for the said post.

10. In view of the above, in our opinion, it is not a fit case for granting interim relief at this stage. Hence, interim relief is declined.

11. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 23.08.2021.

12. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

13. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

//4//

O.A.331/2021

14. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

15. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

16. S.O. to 23.08.2021.

17. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 324 OF 2021
(Sharad D. Kothawale Vs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 8.7.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard ShriAvinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present Original Application has been filed seeking directions against the respondents in general and respondent No. 3 in particular to continue the services of the applicant as Law Officer on contract basis till availability of regularly selected candidate and interim relief is sought to that effect to continue the applicant in service pending, hearing and final disposal of this Original Application.

3. Home Department of the State Government of Maharashtra issued the Government Resolution dated 21.8.2006 (Annexure 'A-1', page-24 of paper book) creating in all 471 posts in the cadre of Legal Advisors, Law Officers Group-A, Law Officers, Group-B, Law Officers and Law Instructors. In terms of clause-3 of the said G.R. the posts were to be filled in only on contract basis subject to the terms and conditions or the recruitment rules to be framed

by the State Government. Thereafter, another G.R. dated 15.9.2006 (Annexure 'A-2' page-29 of paper book) was issued by the Home Department of the State Government providing for the manner and methodology of filling in those newly created posts on contract basis. The said G.R. provides that the contractual period initially will be of 11 months and in the event of necessity of their services their contract can be renewed and contractual appointments can be given on in all three occasions.

4. In the year 2007 the Special Inspector General of Police of Nanded Range had undertaken process for filling in posts of Law Officers, Group-B and Law Officers on the basis of G.Rs. dated 21.8.2006 and 15.9.2006 and after completion of said selection process number of persons appointed as Law Officers, Group-B and Law Officers on contractual basis for a period of 11 months. Subsequently, they were given continued two more appointments for 11 months each. As such, they worked from 2007 to 2010. Thereafter, in the year 2010 when the period of 11 months of third appointment on contractual basis of those persons was to be completed, two Original Applications bearing Nos. 91 and 106 of 2010 were filed before this Bench of Tribunal seeking regularization of services and certain provisions of above referred G.Rs. dated 21.8.2006 and 15.9.2006 were also challenged. This Tribunal by common order dated 6.5.2010 decided the said two OAs finally

thereby clause 3 of G.R. dated 21.8.2006 and conditions a, b, ka of G.R. dated 15.9.2006 were struck-down. The consequence of the said order was that the action of State Government of not filling in newly created post on regular basis, but filling in those only on contract basis was rendered illegal as also the action of giving contractual appointment only for 11 months' that too only on three occasions with further condition of re-appointment only upon undergoing of a fresh selection process was also rendered illegal and untenable.

5. The above referred decision rendered in O.A. Nos. 91 and 106 of 2010 was carried to the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature of Bombay at Bench Aurangabad by both the sides by filing a bunch of Writ Petitions. Those Writ Petition Nos. 5898, 7764, 9050, 9145 and 9160 of 2010 were decided by the Hon'ble High Court by passing common judgment and order dated 28.3.2012 (Annexure 'A-6', page 123 to 165 of paper book) thereby the bunch of Writ Petitions were dismissed confirming the order passed by this Tribunal. The State Government challenged the said order in SLP (C) Nos. 34788-34789 of 2012, which were subsequently heard and decided as Civil Appeal Nos. 6132-33 of 2016 by the Hon'ble Apex Court by its order and order dated 12.7.2016 (Annexure 'A-7' page-166 to 175 of paper book). The Hon'ble Apex Court allowed the said

appeals and was pleased to set aside the judgment and order of the Hon'ble High Court dated 28.3.2012.

6. In view of the backdrop of the above-said judgment and order of the Hon'ble Apex Court the General Administration Department of the State Government issued Circular dated 9.2.2018 (Annexure 'A-8', page-176 to 178 of paper book) providing for inclusion of certain terms and conditions in GRs issued for creating posts for being filled in on contractual basis and also the terms and conditions to be included in the contracts to be entered with the employees who are appointed on those posts on contractual basis. Thereafter the State Government decided to review the above-said directives issued under Circular dated 9.2.2018 and issued another Circular dated 7.3.2018 (Annexure 'A-9' page-183 of paper book) thereby staying the directives contained in Circular dated 9.2.2018.

7. It is the case of the applicant that the respondent No.3 undertook the selection process for filling in post of Law Officers, Group-B and Law Officers by issuing an advertisement dated 19.12.2016 (Annexure 'A-3' pages-44 to 48. Pursuant to that the applicant applied for the post of Law Officer. The respondent No. 3 appointed the applicant to the post of Law Officer on contract basis for a period of 11 months on consolidated pay of Rs. 15000/- per month by issuing appointment letter dated 2.4.2018

(Annexure 'A-4' page 49 of paper book). Accordingly, the applicant joined in the office of respondent No. 4 after completion of tenure of 11 months. Respondent No. 3 by another order dated 29.7.2019 appointed the applicant as Law Officer again on contractual basis (Annexure 'A-10', page 185 of paper book). Again after completion of the tenure of 11 months of his appointment in June, 2020, the respondent No. 3 issued further appointment order in favour of the applicant on 5.8.2020 (Annexure 'A-11', page 188 of paper book). The applicant duly joined in the office of respondent No. 4 and submitted joining report on 11.8.2020 within a stipulated period of seven days from the date of receipt of the said appointment letter dated 5.8.2020. In view of that his tenure of 11 months would be coming to an end on 11.7.2021 afternoon. Thereafter, in all likelihood the respondent No. 3 is not going to issue a fresh appointment order in favour of the applicant in view of the terms and conditions incorporated in GRs dated 21.8.2006 and 15.9.2006, this being the applicant's third consecutive appointment.

8. In this background the learned Advocate for the applicant submits that Circular dated 7.3.2018 (Annexure 'A-9' page-183 of paper book) issued by the State Government, whereby Circular dated 9.2.2018 (Annexure 'A-8', page-176 to 178 of paper book) is stayed comes into picture. According to him, there is reason to believe that

the State Government by staying the process of filling in these posts on contractual basis is intending to take a policy decision to make these appointments on regular basis and in such situation till decision is taken by the State Government in this regard the applicant by interim order of this Tribunal can be continued on the same post and thereby no any prejudice would be caused to anybody.

9. Learned Advocate for the applicant further submits that one similarly placed Law Officer, who was appointed on third occasion namely Mahesh S/o. Suresh Mahamune had filed Writ Petition bearing No. 5831/2018 before the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Bombay Bench at Nagpur. The Hon'ble High Court was pleased to dispose of the said Writ Petition by order dated 4.6.2019. In the said case interim relief was granted on 20.12.2018 and thereby services of the petitioner as contractual Law Officer was continued. While disposing of the said Writ Petition directions were issued to the respondents that the review process be undertaken and completed as expeditiously as possible and it was made clear that continuation of the petitioner in service on contract basis as a Law Officer shall be subject to the final decision to be taken in the matter by the State Government. Learned Advocate for the applicant herein submits that in this situation the applicant is entitled for interim relief of similar nature.

10. Learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents opposed the submissions made on behalf of the applicant and contended that similar types of cases would arise and may also be pending and only on assumption based on Circular dated 7.3.2018 no interim relief can be granted more particularly when the service of the applicant is on contractual basis and is coming to an end on 11.7.2021 and no any right vests in the applicant to continue the service. He, therefore, requests for time for filing affidavit in reply and refuse the prayer of the applicant for interim relief.

11. After having heard both the sides, we find that Circular dated 9.2.2018 (Annexure 'A-8', page-176 to 178 of paper book) and Circular dated 7.3.2018 (Annexure 'A-9' page-183 of paper book) both issued by the General Administration Department of State Government are relevant. Bare reading of Circular dated 9.2.2018 (Annexure 'A-8') would show that it is issued in the background of decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 12.7.2016 in Civil Appeal Nos. 6132-33 of 2016. By the said Circular caution has been issued that while making appointments on contractual basis such appointments should not be regularized and these appointments are contractual appointments and not regular appointments. By the subsequent Circular dated 7.3.2018 (Annexure 'A-9'), it is stated that the State

Government is going to take review of the instructions mentioned in Circular dated 9.2.2018 and till date the instructions thereon are stayed.

12. In this background, if the order dated 4.6.2019 delivered by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature of Bombay Bench at Nagpur in Writ Petition No. 5831/2018 perused, it is seen that services of the petitioner therein as contractual Law Officer by the order dated 20.12.2018 was continued. In the said order it is further specifically observed as follows: -

“Now, a statement has been made by learned AGP on behalf of the State that such continuation would go on till the review process is over and it would be subject to the final decision of the State Government in the matter. Thus, for the present, the grievance of the petitioner has been redressed though it may be for the time being only. But one does not know as to what will be the final outcome of the review process. Accordingly, we dispose of this petition with a direction that the review process be undertaken and completed, as expeditiously as possible, and it is made clear that continuation of the petitioner in services on contract basis as a Law Officer shall be subject to the final decision to be taken in the matter by the State Government.”

13. In the case in hand no such statement is being made by the learned Chief Presenting Officer for continuation of services of the applicant, which is going to come to an end on 11.7.2020 till the review process is over.

14. In view of above, in our considered opinion, this is not a fit case to grant interim relief in terms of prayer clause 12-D at this stage of the matter as *prima facie* no right vests in the applicant to continue the services beyond contractual period, nor any action is done by the respondents detrimental to the interest of the applicant. Hence, we are not inclined to grant interim relief. The said prayer clause 12-D reads as follows: -

“12-D) Pending the admission, hearing and final disposal of this Original Application the Respondents in general and Respondent No. 3 in particular may kindly be directed to continue the applicant in service on the post of Law Officer.”

15. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 02.08.2021.

16. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

17. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

18. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure)

Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

19. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

20. S.O. to 19.07.2021.

21. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 515 OF 2020
(Aniket D. Rakh Vs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 8.7.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.S. Tandale, learned Advocate holding for Shri B.R. Kedar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3 has already been filed on record.

3. Learned Presenting Officer submits that separate affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1 is not required.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he does not wish to file rejoinder affidavit.

5. In view of the above, the present matter be kept on 22.7.2021 for hearing at the stage of admission itself.

MEMBER (A)

**M.A.NO. 240/2020 IN O.A.ST.NO. 570/2020
(RajendraRatilal Shah Vs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 8.7.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of all the respondents and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he would go through the affidavit in reply filed by the respondents and would file rejoinder affidavit, if necessary on the next date.

4. S.O. to 12.8.2021.

MEMBER (A)

ORAL ORDERS 8.7.2021-HDD

**M.A.NO. 241/2020 IN O.A.ST.NO. 569/2020
(Gangadhar R. Muley Vs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 8.7.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of all the respondents and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he would go through the affidavit in reply filed by the respondents and would file rejoinder affidavit, if necessary on the next date.

4. S.O. to 12.8.2021.

MEMBER (A)

ORAL ORDERS 8.7.2021-HDD

**M.A.NO. 257/2020 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1154/2020
(Yuvraj S. Kalshetty Vs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 8.7.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of all the respondents and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he would go through the affidavit in reply filed by the respondents and would file rejoinder affidavit, if necessary on the next date.

4. S.O. to 12.8.2021.

MEMBER (A)

ORAL ORDERS 8.7.2021-HDD

**M.A.NO. 258/2020 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1076/2020
(Suresh L. Ghule Vs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 8.7.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of all the respondents and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he would go through the affidavit in reply filed by the respondents and would file rejoinder affidavit, if necessary on the next date.

4. S.O. to 12.8.2021.

MEMBER (A)

ORAL ORDERS 8.7.2021-HDD

M.A.NO. 681/2021 IN O.A.NO. 950/2019
(Jayashri T. Thakur Vs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 8.7.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 12.8.2021.

MEMBER (A)

ORAL ORDERS 8.7.2021-HDD

M.A.NO. 94/2021 IN O.A.NO. 1060/2019
(DevidasDhanrajPatil Vs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 8.7.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard ShriSurendra V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 2 to 8. Shri D.B. ThokePatil, learned Advocate for applicant in O.A. No. 1060/2019 (**absent**).

2. The present Misc. Application has been filed by the applicant namely DevidasDhanrajPatil, who is respondent No. 8 in O.A. No. 1060/2019 for grant of interim relief.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that respondent No. 1 namely BhagwanNarayabPatil, who is applicant in O.A. No. 1060/2019 has refused to accept the notice of M.A. No. 94/2021. He has produced envelope containing the notice against respondent No. 1 and the same is taken on record. However, it is seen that the address of original applicant mentioned in O.A. No. 1060/2019 is different from what has been shown on the envelope.

4. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 20.8.2021 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 184 OF 2019
(Keshav J. Wable Vs. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 8.7.2021

ORAL ORDER :

ShriSurendra V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. SanjivaniDeshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Arguments advanced by the learned Advocate for the applicant and learned Presenting Officer for the respondents are heard at length. Both of them agree that the original Birth and Death register for the year 1978 and 1979 should be produced for inspection by some responsible officer of the Municipal Council, Hingoli.

3. Hence, issue notice to the Chief Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Hingoli, directing him to make available Birth and Death register of the year 1978 and 1979 maintained by the Municipal Council, Hingoli, covering period of January, 1978 and January, 1979 for perusal of this Tribunal.

4. S.O. to 22.7.2021. Hamdust is granted to both the sides.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 322/2021
(Kantilal K. Naglod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 08.07.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.A. Khande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. points out that prayer clause XI (D) would not fall within the territorial jurisdiction of this Bench and also the respondent nos. 2 & 3.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time for framing of O.A. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 15.7.2021.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. 621/2021
(Bhimrao S. Bilapatte Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 08.07.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Mahesh L. Muthal, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. It reveals from the record that the applicant has not removed the office objections. However, as the applicant and his learned Advocate are absent today, S.O. to 19.7.2021.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 142/2021
(Santosh D. Ghatupale (Zalte) Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
DATE : 08.07.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongded, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Pleadings are already complete. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 9.8.2021 for hearing at the stage of admission.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. 180/2021 IN O.A. 764/2018
(Prashant B. Kachhawa Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
DATE : 08.07.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. waives notices for the respondents in the present M.A. and seeks time for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents therein. Time granted.

3. S.O. 16.7.2021.

MEMBER (J)

O.A. 764/2018

(Prashant B. Kachhawa Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 08.07.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. 16.7.2021. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 8.7.2021

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 89/2019
(Rajendra A. Jehurkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
DATE : 08.07.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4.

2. On going through the earlier orders passed by this Tribunal, it appears that the present matter is heard by Shri Bijay Kumar, Hon'ble Member (A) on 1.7.2021 and it is posted on 8.7.2021 for final hearing. However, inadvertently the present case is fixed before another Bench.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 22.7.2021 before Member (A).

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 44/2020
(Asha S. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
DATE : 08.07.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. submits that during the course of the day he would file affidavit in reply of the respondents in the present case. It be taken on record and copy thereof be supplied to other side.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 9.8.2021 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 459/2020
(Priti J. Patale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
DATE : 08.07.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Amit S. Savale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the respondents places on record copies of communication received from res. no. 2 regarding the salary to be paid to the applicant regarding her absence etc. awaiting permission for finalization of affidavit in reply. The said communications are taken on record and marked as document 'X' collective for the purpose of identification. He, therefore, seeks time for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant opposes for grant of time to the respondents for filing affidavit in reply.

4. However, in the interest of justice, time is granted to the respondents for filing affidavit in reply.

5. S.O. to 22.7.2021 for filing affidavit in reply by respondents.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 141/2021
(Anil D. Sanap Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
DATE : 08.07.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.B. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents in the present case. Time granted.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 3.8.2021 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 201/2021
(Vasant G. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
DATE : 08.07.2021

ORAL ORDER :

None appears for the applicant. Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents in the present case. Time granted.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 12.8.2021 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 173/2021
(Waman B. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
DATE : 08.07.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents in the present case. Time granted.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 12.8.2021 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 236/2021
(Vikram B. Garje Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 08.07.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Today, Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate has filed his V.P. for the applicant along with earlier Advocate Shri K.D. Bade Patil for the applicant. It is taken on record. Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that during the pendency of present O.A. the application of applicant dtd. 3.5.2008 mentioned in prayer clause 17(B) for correction of his date of birth is decided by the authority. He, therefore, intends to amend the O.A. suitably.

3. In the above circumstances, S.O. to 9.8.2021.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 270/2021
(Nanda wd/o Vijaysing Solanki & Anr. Vs. State of
Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
DATE : 08.07.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Indraneel S. Godsay, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents in the present case. Time granted.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 12.8.2021 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. 259/2020 IN O.A. ST. 877/2020
(Vilas M. Yadav & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
DATE : 08.07.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.B. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has filed affidavit in reply of respondent nos. 1 to 4 in the present M.A. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 13.8.2021 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. 260/2020 IN O.A. ST. 879/2020
(Indrakumar S. Aute & Ors Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
DATE : 08.07.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.B. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has filed affidavit in reply of respondent nos. 1 to 4 in the present M.A. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 13.8.2021 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. 261/2020 IN O.A. ST. 881/2020
(Rahul V. Manjare & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
DATE : 08.07.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.B. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has filed affidavit in reply of respondent nos. 1 to 4 in the present M.A. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 13.8.2021 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (J)