

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 31.01.2019.
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.897 of 2015</p> <p>S. M. SagvekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. This Original Application was dismissed in default on 02.01.2019. However, it has been restored in view of the order passed in M.A.No.43/2019, today.3. In view of above, O.A.No.897/2015 is restored to the file and be kept under the caption of final hearing.4. S.O. to 21.02.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI

(Spl. MAT-F-2 E.)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.01.2019.</p> <p>M. A. No.43 of 2019 in O.A.No.897 of 2015</p> <p>S. M. SagvekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This application is for restoration of O.A.No.897/2015 which has been dismissed in default on 02.01.2019. The perusal of the order reveals that the Applicant and his Advocate were absent at 4.00 p.m. and, therefore, the matter has been dismissed in default.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate submitted that as he was busy in another Court and could not attend this Tribunal on the date of hearing when the matter was called.</p> <p>4. For the reasons stated above, M.A. is allowed and O.A. No.897/2015 is restored to the file subject to cost of Rs.1000/-.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Date : 31.01.2019.

Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.968 of 2018

Dr. S.D . BhosaleApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaiwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In the present O.A., the applicant has alleged discriminatory treatment meted him in general transfer of 2018. The Applicant was working as Livestock Development officer at Karjat.
3. In general transfer dated 31.05.2018 he was shown transferred at two places. At Sr.No.30, he shown to be transferred at Pali, Sudhagad , Dist. Raigad as well as at Sr. No.36 he shown to be transferred at Dapoli, Dist. Ratnagiri. The mistake seems to have been corrected by issuing modified order on 08.06.2018, whereby his transfer at Sr.No.30 is confirmed.
4. The grievance of the Applicant is that even before general transfer, he made representation for posting nearby Pune on his personal difficulties. However, it was not considered. The Applicant contends that the Respondents thereafter modified the transfer orders of other Livestock Development Officers by order dated 05.07.2018, 28.8.2018, 18.9.2018 and lastly in December, 2018. According to Applicant near about 98 Livestock Development Officers were accommodated by modifying their earlier transfer orders issued in general transfer but his representation from time to time are not considered.
5. In reply filed by the Respondents, no explanation for this discriminatory treatment is coming on record. How the transfer orders of 98 Livestock Development Officers have been modified after issuing of general transfer orders but why the Applicant's representation is not considered, is needs to be explained.
6. In view of above, Respondent No.1 is directed to file Affidavit to explain the above position so that matter can be decided on merit in proper perspective.
7. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed.
8. S.O. to 12.02.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member/JI

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 31.01.2019 Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.46 of 2019

S. R. Nikumbh & Ors.Applicants
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant at this stage tendered a letter issued by the Government dated 16.12.2008 and requested to take it on record being necessary for the adjudication of the issue involved in the matter.
3. Letter dated 16.12.2008 is taken on record. It be placed at page 25A.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on
5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
9. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
10. S.O. to 14.02.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.01.2019.</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.14 of 2019 in O.A.No.46 of 2019</p> <p>S. R. Nikumbh & Ors.Applicants</p> <p align="center">Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly. 3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately. 4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. 5. M.A. is allowed. <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Ceram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 31.01.2019. Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.74, 75 & 76 of 2019

N.R. Sankpal & 2 Ors.Applicants
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In these Original Applications, limited relief is sought to relieve the applicants from their present posting and to join the place where they have been transferred in pursuance of the orders passed by the Government on 07.09.2108 and 31.08.2018.

3. As such, the Applicants have been continued at their present place of posting because they have not been relieved by the Collector, Ratnagiri. On last date, the matter was adjourned on the request of learned P.O. to take instructions from the Respondents and do the needful to comply the order passed by the Government.

4. Today, the learned P.O. submitted that because of staff scarcity, the Applicants could not be relieved. He has tendered the copy of letter issued by Resident Deputy Collector, Ratnagiri dated 29.01.2019, citing difficulties of administration for want of staff.

5. As the Government has already passed the orders on 07.09.2018 and 31.08.2018, it needs to be implemented. Fifteen days time is granted to Respondent No.1 to take necessary steps so as to relieve the Applicants from their present posting in terms of orders dated 07.09.2018 and 31.08.2018.

6. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed.

7. S.O. to 14.02.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.01.2019.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.11 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. Y. S. MehereApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A., limited relief is sought to consider the representation dated 30.11.2018 in the light of various G.Rs of the Government for appropriate posting in ensuing general transfer of 2019.</p> <p>3. Today, the learned P.O. has tendered the letter dated 30.01.2019 issued by the Government stating that the Applicant is due for general transfer In ensuing general transfer of 2019 and that time he will be transferred in accordance to provisions of Act.</p> <p>4. As such, the Applicant is due for transfer in ensuing general transfer of 2019. The Respondents may consider his representation dated 30.11.2018 in the light of G.R. dated 11.07.2000, 06.08.2002 and 09.04.2018 for appropriate posting according to the Policy of Government.</p> <p>5. In view of above, Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 31.01.2019.

O. A. No.103 of 2019

B. L. PatilApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.02.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 28.02.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.01.2019.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.908 of 2018</p> <p>A. J. SayyadApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant one week time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Interim relief granted by this Tribunal on 17.10.2018 is continued till next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.02.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Appearance, Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.01.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.699 of 2018</p> <p>P. S. SonkananliApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S. K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 4. None for Respondent No.5. 2. Today, the learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.2 and 3 and stated that the same reply be adopted on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 4 also. 3. Perusal of record reveals that this matter is travelled without passing order of issuing notices to the Respondents. Respondent No.5 is private respondent and, therefore, notices needs to be issued. 4. Issue notice before admission to Respondent No.5 returnable on 28.02.2019. 5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 10. S.O. to 28.02.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others
..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 31.01.2019.
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No. 927 of 2018</p> <p>D. M. BhosaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. On the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted for file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. 3. Request granted. 4. Interim relief granted by this Tribunal on 22.10.2018 is continued till next date. 5. S.O. to 14.02.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.01.2019.</p> <p>O. A. No.94 of 2019</p> <p>R. G. PandekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.02.2019.3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.8. S.O. to 28.02.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.01.2019.</p> <p>O. A. No. 975 of 2018</p> <p>B. S. LambhateApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has prayed for grant of interim relief contending that the regular pension was sanctioned and was paid for one year but thereafter it was stopped abruptly. Therefore, he is seeking interim relief to continue the pension. He also pointed out that the gratuity as well as commutation have been already granted at the time of retirement.</p> <p>3. Whereas, the learned C.P.O. pointed out that the Applicant in fact were not entitled to the pension as they were not holding substantive or permanent post and not completing qualified services.</p> <p>4. The issue involved in the present matter is whether the Applicant is entitled to regular pension. The issue needs consideration on merit in view of the earlier judgment passed by this Tribunal, whereby rejecting the O.A. filed by the Applicant & Ors. decided on 31.07.1998.</p> <p>5. In view of above, I am not inclined to grant interim relief and the matter needs to be heard on merit so that all the questions can be decided on merit after full-fledged hearing.</p> <p>6. In view of above, the matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 11.02.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.01.2019.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 1070 of 2018 with O.A.1091 of 2018</p> <p>V. D. Kakade J. V. KadamApplicants</p> <p align="center">Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 and stated that same reply be adopted on behalf of Respondent No.1 also. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for filing rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.02.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 31.01.2019.
---	---

O.A.No. 801 of 2018

K. M. GundApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri N. P. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.

2. Today, Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Advocate has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent No.4. It is taken on record.

3. On the request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, two weeks time is granted to file reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3.

4. S.D. to 18.02.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date : 31.01.2019. Tribunal's orders O. A. No. 95 of 2019
	<p>N. C. DhageApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. As noted by the Tribunal in its order dated 29.01.2019 that the facts in the present case are unusual and the Respondent No.2 is not obeying the order passed by the Government. 3. Grievance of the Applicant is that the Respondent No.2 is not allowing him to join despite the cancellation order dated 03.01.2019 by the Government on 08.01.2019. 4. On the last date, this matter was adjourned for today with directions to the learned P.O. to take instructions from the Respondent No.2 and to inform the Tribunal about implementation of the order passed by the Government on 08.01.2019. 5. However, today, the learned P.O. submitted that the Respondent No.1 has received the proposal from Respondent No.2 dated 24.01.2019, whereby the Respondent No.2 proposed for suspension of the Applicant in view of the various complaints received by him. 6. This seems to be the subsequent development. If the Respondents want to take suitable action then they are at liberty to do so in accordance to law but for that purpose the Applicant cannot be kept in abeyance by refusing him to join the post in terms of the order passed by the Government on 08.01.2019. 7. In view of above, by interim relief, the Respondent No.2 is directed to allow the Applicant to join on the post he held earlier in pursuance of the order passed by the Government on 08.01.2019 8. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 31.01.2019. Tribunal's orders O.A.No.1095 of 2018</p>
	<p>S. P. KhandeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present Original Application, limited relief is sought for directions to the Respondents to consider his representation dated 31.01.2018 for his transfer to Amravati or Nagpur in ensuing general transfer in 2019.</p> <p>3. The Applicant will be completing six years tenure i.e. present posting at Nashik and due for transfer in general transfer of 2019. He has made representation on 31.01.2018 as well as on 24.01.2019.</p> <p>4. As such this O.A. can be disposed of in the following terms:- (a) The Respondents may consider the representations of the Applicant dated 31.01.2018 and 24.01.2019 for his transfer at Amravati or Nagpur in accordance to rules and regulations as may be permissible to them.</p> <p>5. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 31.01.2019.
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No. 09 of 2019</p> <p>S. M. Gandhave & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present matter, the Applicants who are at present working as Senior Clerk in District Palghar in terms of order dated 27.06.2017 and 17.07.2017 are seeking directions for repatriation in Thane District in terms of impugned order itself.</p> <p>3. The perusal of the above order reveals that the Applicants were temporarily shifted to Palghar and they were supposed to be brought back as per seniority in due course. They have completed about 1 ½ year in Palghar District and now facing headship to attain the office at Palghar from Thane.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submitted that the matter is under process for repatriation in view of G.R. dated 19.08.2016. Admittedly in Thane District permanent posts are vacant. The Collector Thane is ready to take these employees back but the Collector, Palghar is not relieving them.</p> <p>5. It is submitted by the learned P.O. for the Respondents that the file is under process for repatriation and due to administrative exigency, it was not done till date but the same will be carried out at the earliest.</p> <p>6. In the aforesaid situation, matter needs interference by this Tribunal to resolve the dispute either by passing judicial order or taking appropriate steps by the Collector, Palghar.</p> <p>7. On the request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to take necessary steps for implementation of orders dated 27.06.2016 and 17.07.2017 .</p> <p>8. Hamdast and Steno copy is allowed.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 14.02.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.01.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.101 of 2014</p> <p>R. S. MahaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J. N. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned Advocate for the Applicant submitted written notes of arguments. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On the request of the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents, matter is adjourned for filing written arguments from the side of Respondents.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.02.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.01.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.336 of 2018</p> <p>R. D. AkrupeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N. G. Gohad , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. In the present O.A., the challenge is to the suspension order dated 19.03.2018. On previous date, the statement was made by Shri A. J. Chougule, learned P.O. that the proposal for revocation of suspension and reinstatement of the Applicant in service was under process. The Tribunal has also noted that the file produced by the learned P.O. discloses that the decision has been taken to revoke the suspension on 28.11.2018. Therefore, the matter was adjourned to issue posting orders. However, till date no posting orders are issued. 3. Today, again the learned P.O. seeking two weeks time for issuance of posting orders. 4. As noted above, the decision has been already taken to revoke the suspension on 28.11.2018. As such, more than two months time has already granted for issuance of posting orders. 5. On previous date, Shri Mahajan, Under Secretary, Medical and Drugs Dept. Mumbai had submitted that within seven days the order of reinstatement and posting of the Applicant will be issued. The statement was taken on record. Despite this decision no posting orders have been issued. 6. Today, also Shri Hemant Mahajan, Under Secretary is present and submitted that file is under process. He seeks two weeks time. 7. In view of above, i am not inclined to grant two weeks time as prayed. However, as the decision has already taken to revoke the suspension by the Government, i am inclined to give one week time to pass appropriate order of posting as the most last chance. 8. Respondent No.1 is directed to issue necessary orders in accordance to law within seven days, failing to which necessary order on merit will be passed. 9. Hamdast and steno copy is granted. 10. S.O.to 07.02.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>