

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.129 of 2021</p> <p>A. M. GaradApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the applicant has filed Rejoinder of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.196 of 2021</p> <p>P. G. SoudeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder to the affidavit in reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record. 3. However, today again learned P.O. has filed additional affidavit in reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record. 4. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 5. S.O. to 20.08.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.07.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.497 of 2021</p> <p>V. M. Chandan Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicant ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 15.07.2021 whereby he is transferred from Mundwa Police Station to Special Branch within Police Commissionerate, Pune City on the ground of default report.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought interim stay <i>inter-alia</i> contending that the Applicant is transferred mid-tenure without there being any special case as contemplated under Section 22 (N)(2) of Maharashtra Police Act.</p> <p>4. Whereas, learned P.O. has tendered the copy of Minutes of PEB which discloses that PEB recommended for the transfer of the Applicant on the ground of default report in which it was stated that the Applicant had close relations and contacts with land mafia and other criminals which caused hindrance to the administration.</p> <p>5. Thus, <i>prima-facie</i> it is on the basis of default report, the PEB had recommended for mid-tenure transfer of the Applicant. Though, the Applicant has not completed his normal tenure of two years, the PEB recommended for his transfer on administrative ground namely his relations with land mafia and other criminals.</p> <p>6. As such, this ground can be construed and <i>prima-facie</i> qualifies for as administrative exigency and in public interest. Therefore, I am not inclined to grant interim relief.</p> <p>7. The submission advanced by learned Counsel for the Applicant that Respondents ought to have been given show cause notice to the Applicant before transferring him on default report is totally misconceived and against the provisions of Maharashtra Police Act which <i>inter-alia</i> empowers the PEB to transfer mid-tenure if administrative exigency or public interest demands.</p> <p>8. The matter is adjourned for filing reply of Respondents.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 20.08.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 30.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.161 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. Koli & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record. 3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 4. S.O. to 26.08.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Member(J)

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

vsm

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.57 of 2021</p> <p>H. R. DevaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.D. Patil holding for Shri Ghanavat, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Enough time is granted to file reply but the same is not filed. 3. O.A., therefore, shall proceed without reply and be kept for hearing at the stage of admission. 4. S.O. to 09.09.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.07.2021</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.255 of 2021 in O.A.No.202 of 2021</p> <p>A. R. Jadhavar ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Applicant and his Counsel Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Though Applicant's Counsel is taken circulation for listing the matter on today's board, he is absent. 3. O.A. is already fixed for hearing at the stage of admission but in the meantime, M.A. is filed for amendment for which circulation is taken for today. 4. Perusal of M.A. reveals that it is filed for certain interim relief and consequential amendment. 5. Since the O.A. is ready for final hearing, the matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 6. M.A. will be considered in final hearing, if required. 7. O.A.is already fixed on 26.08.2021, it be kept on that date. <p align="right">Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 30.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.297 of 2020</p> <p>M. N. ChoudharyApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. After hearing learned Counsel for the Applicant for some time, it transpires that in view of the order passed by the Tribunal in O.A.No.912/2019, the Applicant therein was continued on his post at Thane. Consequently, present Applicant was affected and the Government transferred him by order dated 26.06.2020.</p> <p>3. However, today it is pointed out by learned Counsel for the Applicant that Shri Daunde in whose favour the relief was granted in O.A.No.912/2019 is retiring on 31.07.2021 from Thane. He, therefore, submits that present Applicant can be reposted at Thane. According to him he has good case on merit and if O.A. is allowed on merit, he will have to be posted at Thane.</p> <p>4. However, learned P.O. sought short time to take instructions from the Respondents about retirement of Shri Daunde and possibility of giving posting to Applicant at Thane in place of Shri Daunde.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 05.08.2021 at 2.45 PM as Part-Heard.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> <p align="right">[PTO.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.07.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.669 of 2020</p> <p>A.B. HotkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri A.B. Hotkar, learned Applicant-in-Person and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Today learned Applicant-in-Person has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.3. On request of Applicant adjourned for hearing.4. S.O. to 20.08.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 30.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1174 of 2019</p> <p>V.V. PanseApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.R. Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder on behalf of Respondent No.2 & 3. It is taken on record. 3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted for filing Sur-Sur-Rejoinder. 4. S.O. to 13.08.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.07.2021</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.160 of 2021 in O.A.No.313 of 2021</p> <p>P. S. GhareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt K. L. Siram, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing reply to M.A. by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 30.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.53 of 2021</p> <p>N.G. RanganekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.R. Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.07.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.265 of 2021 in O.A. No.497 of 2020</p> <p>H.P. WaghelaApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri P.L. Rathod, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. M.A. is filed for amendment so to challenge recovery order dated 30.10.2018, which was produced on record during the pendency of O.A. 3. Matter pertains to down grading of pay scale and recovery. Initially there was no prayer about recovery order dated 30.10.2018, now it has been added by way of amendment. 4. The proposed amendment will not change the nature of the O.A. 5. The Applicant is allowed to amend the O.A. 6. M.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs. 7. Amendment be carried out within a week. 8. Respondents shall file Reply to the amendment with a week thereafter. 9. S.O. to 13.08.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) <i>[P.T.O.]</i></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>30.07.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 421/2021</u></p> <p>Shri A.B Kotwal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O informs that she has been instructed that the file of the applicant is cleared by the Hon'ble Minister and the said file is sent on 27.7.2021 to the Hon'ble Chief Minister.</p> <p>3. S.O to 5.8.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Aku</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>30.07.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 763/2020</u></p> <p>Shri S.S Kokane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Deputy Director, Sports & Youth Services is present today in the Court. She has come without file of the applicant. This Tribunal has made a simple query since 11.1.2021 from time to time whether show cause notice was given to the applicant before terminating his services.</p> <p>3. However, this query remained unanswered. I am therefore, constrained to call the Commissioner Mr Omprakash Bakoria, to remain present before this Tribunal at 10.30 on 3.8.2021 along with the file of the applicant. If it is not possible for the Commissioner to remain present, he should send competent Officer along with the file who has full knowledge of the matter.</p> <p>4. S.O to 3.8.2021.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>Akn</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>30.07.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 65/2021</u></p> <p>Smt Geeta N. Khetle ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit in reply dated 28.7.2021 is filed by Ms Sapana B. Mahalle, working as Superintendent (Legal), Law & Judiciary Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai, Respondent no. 4. Same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O to 27.8.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Aku</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>30.07.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 165/2021</u></p> <p>Shri J.K Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant files affidavit in rejoinder. Same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place for final hearing on 24.9.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <i>Johi</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.07.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.450 of 2021</p> <p>S. B. MosamkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R. L. Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.08.2021. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 27.08.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.402 of 2021</p> <p>R. H. ChavanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard the Applicant in person and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.289 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. Gavande ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. L. Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent NO.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. No separate reply is filed on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 3.</p> <p>4. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 13.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No. 489 of 2021</p> <p>Shri R. R. AsawaApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard the Applicant in person and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant stands retired on 30.06.2016 and the grievance raised is about non releasing of increment which was due on 01.07.2016 in view of the recommendations of 6th Pay Commission for all Government servants. 3. However, O.A. is filed in 2021 without any application for condonation of delay. 4. The office has already raised objection on the point of limitation. 5. The Applicant in person states that he would file application for condonation of delay. 6. O.A. be listed after removal of office objection by filing of M.A. 7. One week time is granted for filing M.A. 8. S.O. to 06.08.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.07.2021</p> <p>M.A. No.245 of 2021 with M.A. No.246 of 2021 in O.A.No.468 of 2021</p> <p>M. M. Patankar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms Khemka, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission in both the Misc. Applications as well as O.A. to the Respondents returnable on 27.08.2021. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, M.A. as well as Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 27.08.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.518 of 2021</p> <p>P. B. Jadhav ...Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms Asawari Ghate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This O.A. is filed for direction to Respondents to release Gratuity and GIS which has been withheld despite acceptance of voluntary retirement w.e.f. 11.06.2020. 3. Perusal of O.A. reveals that the Applicant voluntarily retired w.e.f. 11.06.2020 but Gratuity and GIS are not paid though the period of more than one year is over. 4. Indeed, the acceptance of voluntary retirement itself shows that there was no D.E. or anything against the Applicant. Therefore, the Gratuity and GIs ought to have been released immediately. 5. Learned P.O. sought short time to take instructions from the Respondents. 6. Since the Applicant is already retired w.e.f. 11.06.2020, there being no hurdle, the benefits ought to have been released and this O.A. can be disposed of with suitable directions. 7. In view of above, issue notice to the Respondents and to explain why Gratuity and GIS are withheld.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>8. Respondent No.3 –Superintendent, Cama & Albless Hospitals Mumbai is directed to depute some responsible officer to remain present in the Tribunal on next date and to explain why Gratuity and GIS are withheld.</p> <p>9. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.08.2021.</p> <p>10. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>11. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>12. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>13. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>14. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>15. S.O. to 06.08.2021.</p> <p>16. Personal notice is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.07.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.793 of 2019</p> <p>A. P. Khairnar & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicants have filed this O.A. for revision of pay scale. The Applicants are working as Scientific Officer on the establishment of Respondent No.2 – Director General, MERI, Nsahik. They have claimed for revision of pay scale in O.A. 3. Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that during the pendency of O.A., the Government had issued G.R. dated 01.01.2019 and thereby further reduced grade pay of the Applicant. He further submits that being aggrieved by it, the Applicant in individual capacity as well as their association have made representation on 16.01.2019 to the Government to remove anomaly in pay scale and to revise the same. 4. In view of above, learned Counsel for the Applicants submits that O.A. be disposed of with suitable directions to the Government to decide their representations within stipulated period. 5. Learned P.O. has no objection for the same. 6. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with directions to Respondent No.1 to decide the representation dated 16.01.2019 made by the Applicant Nos.1 and 3 as well as representation made through association within three months from today and to pass appropriate order. 7. The decision, as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant. 8. If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision, they are at liberty to avail further legal remedy as permissible in law. 9. No order as to costs. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.252 of 2016</p> <p>S. L. Haridas & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The matter is adjourned to give opportunity to the Applicant as a last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.08.2021.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) </p> <p align="center"> Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.07.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.357 of 2016</p> <p>C. A. GhodkeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The matter is adjourned to give opportunity to the Applicant as a last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.08.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 30.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.423 of 2016</p> <p>D. J. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 2. Learned P.O. submits that just now he talked with Shri D. B. Khaire who is appearing for the Applicant in the present O.A. and Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Counsel informed him that the grievance of the Applicant is already redressed and O.A. has become infructuous. 3. Thus, O.A. seems to have become infructuous since grievance of the Applicant is already redressed as conveyed by Applicant's Counsel to learned P.O. 4. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs. <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 30.07.2021

M.A. No.260 of 2021 in O.A.No.264 of 2016

C. B. Sawant **....Applicant**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. **...Respondents.**

1. Heard Smt. Vaishali Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Present M.A. is filed for amendment to bring certain developments on record which is subsequent to filing of O.A.

3. It being subsequent events, permission is granted to amend O.A.

4. Amendment be carried out within a week.

5. The Respondents shall file reply to amendment in O.A. within a week thereafter.

6. S.O. to 13.08.2021.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.514 of 2021</u></p> <p>Manish P. Hajare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.S. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is directed to serve all the respondents first. The respondents to verify in between whether the applicant is similarly situated like the applicants in OA No.353 of 2021 (B.D. Patil & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors. order dated 17.6.2021).</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.8.2021.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.511 of 2021</u></p> <p>Sainath J. Thakur ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant a Police Havaladar is challenging the order dated 9.7.2021 by which he is reverted to the post of Police Naik and so also he challenges the show cause notice dated 5.7.2021 issued by respondents as to why the applicant is not to be dismissed for production and use of forged caste validity certificate. Ld. Advocate submits that reversion has been taken place and if there is reversion he cannot be dismissed.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.8.2021.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.503 of 2021</u></p> <p>D.S. Hande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays for direction to the respondents to give the benefit of First Time Bound 'Promotion to the applicant w.e.f. 18.10.1997 in view of the judgment and order dated 5.11.2020 passed by this Tribunal in OA No.1012 of 2017 (Exh. E page 19 of OA).</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.9.2021.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.483 of 2021</u></p> <p>Ashok F. Murde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.8.2021.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.499 of 2021</u></p> <p>Ashwini D. Ghodake ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.9.2021.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.7.2021,</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.8.2021.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. The respondents are directed to file short affidavit in reply. The matter involves very short issue and it can be disposed off at the stage of admission. Apart from merit of the matter, it is necessary for the respondents to pay provisional pension to the applicant from the date it was due from retirement, within two weeks from today.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>30.07.2021</u></p> <p>C.A 08/2020 in O.A 989/2018 with C.A 09/2020 in O.A 990/2019.</p> <hr style="border-top: 1px dashed black;"/> <p>Shri R.Y Kadam Shri V.S Kadam ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Govind Solunke i/b Shri Y.B Lengare, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O files affidavit in reply dated 29.7.2020 by Mr Shekhar Singh, District Collector, Satara is taken on record, wherein it is mentioned that all the payable dues and the benefits are paid to the applicants.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O submits that the Government has rejected their representation of the applicants to consider their services from 1985 to 1995 which was with many breaks. Learned C.P.O submits that nowhere the Tribunal or the Hon'ble High Court has ordered to consider the services of these applicants during the period from 1985 to 1995 as regular service and so that the pecuniary dues of 10 years can be paid. Learned C.P.O submits that it is altogether a different cause of action, if any.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicants seek time to go through the affidavit in reply and also to consider submissions made by learned C.P.O.</p> <p>5. Matter is adjourned to 5.8.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 100px;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="margin-left: 100px;">Akñ</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>30.07.2021</u></p> <p><u>C.A 08/2021 in O.A 848/2018 with M.A 344/2020</u></p> <p>Shri R.S Salunkhe & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A Gharte, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O files affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondents no 1, 2 and 4. Same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Matter adjourned to 27.8.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>30.07.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 48/2021 in O.A 82/2021</u></p> <p>Shri R.D Mundhe & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Govind Solanke i/b Shri S.S Thombre, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants prays for leave to amend the cause title of the M.A and O.A to the extent of adding Respondents no 3 & 4 as private Parties. Permission granted. Amendment to be carried out forthwith.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O seeks four weeks' time.</p> <p>4. S.O to 27.8.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / 0 - (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>30.07.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 256/2021 in O.A 403/2021</u></p> <p>Urmila J. Khot & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant has filed this Misc Application for adding Mr Rauf Anwarkhan Inamdar as Party applicant, as he claims the same relief against the Respondents.</p> <p>3. Misc Application allowed. Amendment to be carried out forthwith. Learned counsel for the applicant is also directed to carry out the earlier amendment which were allowed forthwith.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>30.07.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 4712020</u></p> <p>Shri S.N Sambargikar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mrs Vaishali Jagdale i/b Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 28.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>30.07.2021</u></p> <p><u>O.A 257/2020</u></p> <p>Smt U.D Thorat & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mrs Vaishali Jagdale i/b Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 21.10.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20__

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20__

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.472 of 2021</u></p> <p>Prabhakar B. Chaudhari Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to change the words, "Block Development Officer" to "Block Education Officer" in para 6.1 at page 4 of the OA. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out forthwith in all the copies.</p> <p>3. The applicant a Block Education Officer had joined the service on 24.7.1990 and retired on 30.9.2020. He puts up his grievance that date of second Time Bound Promotion for which he was entitled earlier was correctly fixed as 26.7.2014. However, subsequently by order dated 7.6.2021 it was changed to 22.6.2015. He has therefore filed this OA. Ld. Advocate submits that there was a delay of one year in giving first Time Bound Promotion which should have been given on 24.7.2002 i.e. after 12 years of joining the service. However, it was given to him on 22.6.2003. Ld. Advocate submits that he has submitted representation earlier on 20.1.2010 making this grievance of delay of one year. However, his grievance was not restored. Ld. Advocate submits that after his retirement the respondents not paid provisional pension from 1.10.2020 onwards. He is also not paid gratuity, GIS and leave encashment. Similarly his pension is also not fixed and paid. Respondent no.3 has also written letter on 7.6.2021 which is also challenged. By this letter respondent no.3 has directed respondent no.4 to recover difference amount of one year from the applicant.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.8.2021.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. The respondents are directed to file short affidavit in reply. The matter involves very short issue and it can be disposed off at the stage of admission. Apart from merit of the matter, it is necessary for the respondents to pay provisional pension to the applicant from the date it was due from retirement, within two weeks from today.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>30.07.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 30/2020 in O.A 697/2019</u></p> <p>Shri V.G Suryvanshi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This Contempt Application is filed seeking implementation of the order dated 18.11.2019 passed by this Tribunal in O.A 697/2019, wherein the applicant has raised the grievance of his transfer from Sangli to Jat on the ground that it is in contravention of the provisions of the Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005. (hereinafter referred to as 'Transfer Act 2005' for brevity). The applicant succeeded in the said Original Application and the Tribunal has passed the order as under:-</p> <p>(A) The Original Application is allowed.</p> <p>(B) The impugned order dated 31.5.2019 is quashed and set aside.</p> <p>(C) The Applicant be reposted on the post he is transferred from within two weeks from today.</p> <p>(D) No order as to costs."</p> <p>3. Thus, the Respondents were under obligation to repost the applicant from Jat to Sangli within two week from the date of the order, which was passed on 18.11.2019. However, the Respondents-State filed Review Application No. 4/2020 before this Tribunal and it was decided on 22.10.2020. Thereafter, the Respondents moved the Hon'ble High Court by filing W.P 1828/2021 on 29.1.2021.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O submits that the said W.P is pending and they are not getting circulation due to Covid-19 Pandemic and hence the order of this Tribunal could not be implemented.</p> <p>5. A query is made to the learned P.O, as to whether the Respondent-State has ever moved this Tribunal seeking extension of time for implementing the</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>order of this Tribunal after two week from 18.11.2019. It was under obligation for the Respondents to move application seeking extension of time for implementation of the order of this Tribunal as they have not moved the application for review within two weeks. The said Review Application was rejected on 22.10.2020 and after nearly 60 days the Respondents did not challenge the said order before the Hon'ble High Court, but it was challenged on 29.1.2021.</p> <p>6. Thus the Respondents indeed are successful in not implementing the order dated 18.11.2019 passed by this Tribunal giving directions to repost the applicant to his earlier place of posting. It appears that in the Review Application also, no stay was obtained by the Respondents against the order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>7. Learned counsel for the applicant pointed out to para 3 of the order dated 5.11.2020 of this Tribunal. It states as follows:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“3. Learned Advocate for the applicant, on instructions given by the applicant, who is present in the Court submits that the respondents are pressurizing the applicant for withdrawal of the contempt application. We hope that Respondents will not indulge into such kind of activities.</p> <p>8. Learned counsel for the applicant further submits that the applicant has not been paid his salary for three months from April 2021 to June, 2021.</p> <p>9. Issue notice to the Respondents as to why contempt proceeding should not be initiated against the Respondents for not implementing the order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>10. The Respondents are directed to file affidavit in reply within two weeks.</p> <p>11. S.O to 13.8.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / ~ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akt:</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 30.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.328 of 2021</p> <p>P.A. BodekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. 17.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.80 of 2021 with O.A. No.81 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. J.K. Pagare Dr.A.R. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted for filing Reply. 4. S.O. 27.08.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.07.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.493 of 2021</p> <p>S.G. ParabApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.09.2021. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 09.09.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.07.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.374 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. S.B. DubeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.3. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted for filing Reply.4. S.O. 27.08.2021. <p>Sd/- (Medha Gaugil) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>