

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

District _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

30.03.2017

O.A No 1204/2016

Shri Y.R Angle & Ors Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned
advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B Bhise,
learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Office remarks shows that Applicant has not
served the Respondents with notice of this Tribunal.

Learned Advocate Shri Bandiwadekar for the
Applicant prays to extend the time to serve notice on
the Respondents.

S.O to 16.6.2017.

DATE: 30/3/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri /Smt. K.B. Bhise
C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 16/6/2017

[Signature]

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agajwal)
Vice-Chairman

Alen

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J)
Chairman

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

30.03.2017

C.A 24/2017 in O.A No 778/2015

Manisha H. Kothalkar ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned advocate
for the Applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned
Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Learned Presenting Officer states as follows:-

a) Instructions are received to the following effect
that Department has taken steps to challenge the
order dated 22.8.2016 passed in O.A 778/2015
before the Hon'ble High Court.

We are not satisfied with such vague
submission and it shall be appropriate for the State
to place on record the day to day account of time
spent and the reason due to which order is not
complied within the time fixed in the order.

Learned Presenting Officer prays for a weeks
time.

S.O to 7.4.2017. Hamdast.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agatwal)
Vice-Chairman

Akn

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

DATE: 30/3/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri Ajay Deshpande

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. M. G. Gohad

C.R.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 7/4/17. Hamdast

B.K.

[Signature]

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>30/3/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member, A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adv. To. <u>7/4/17</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>B.K.</i></p>	<p>30.03.2017</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A No 43/2017</u></p> <p>Shri S.M Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>S.O to 7.4.2017</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

s30.03.2017

O.A No 42/2017

Shri P.J Pawar Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Learned Presenting Officer states that affidavit in reply duly drafted by the Department is received and on perusal he finds that:

a) D.P.C has held the Applicant eligible for promotion. However, Government has taken a conscious decision not to promote the Applicant.

b) Though it is a conscious decision, it is slipshod and it is not supported by documentary evidence and he wants to redraft the affidavit in reply in order to show that there exist material before the Government while conscious decision was taken.

Learned Presenting Officer prays for four weeks time to file reply.

S.O to 8.6.2017.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarkar)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi)
Chairman

Akn

DATE: 30/3/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt: K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt: K.B. Bhise

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 8/6/2017

YTC

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>30/3/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>Ujwala Karpe holding</u> <u>V.S. Deokar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>M. G. Gohad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>16.6.2017.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BTE</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.265 of 2016</u></p> <p>Shri P.J. Ganjale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Ujwala Karpe, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.S. Deokar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO has tendered affidavit in reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit. At the request of Ms. Karpe, Ld. Advocate hearing is adjourned to 16.6.2017.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agatwal) Vice-Chairman 30.3.2017</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, JJ) Chairman 30.3.2017</p> </div> </div>

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000—2-2015)

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>30/3/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>B. A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>7/4/2017.</u></p> <p><i>ME</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.11 of 2017</u></p> <p>Shri N.A. SayyedApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that the order of appointment is issued in favour of the applicant.</p> <p>3. Shri Bandiwadkar, Ld. Advocate prays for time to take instructions and make a statement whether applicant would like to withdraw the OA.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 7.4.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 30.3.2017 (sgj)</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman 30.3.2017</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 30/3/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. B.A. Bandiwadker Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. Archana B.K. SRO / P.O. for the Respondent's No. 1 Shri D.B. Khaire spl. counsel Per R. 2 & 3. Adj. To: 12.4.2017</p>	<p><u>O.A. No.81 of 2017</u></p> <p>Shri R.V. Koli ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondent No.1 and Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Special Counsel for Respondents No.2 and 3.</p> <p>2. Shri Khaire, Ld. counsel states that he has instructions to appear for respondents no.2 and 3. He further states that affidavit in reply would be filed during the course of the day by serving copy on the advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. In view of the statement, adjourned to 12.4.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 30.3.2017</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman 30.3.2017</p> <p>(sg)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20

District

Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>30/3/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshwar (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>J. N. Kamble</u> holding <u>C. T. Chandratre</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri <u>K. B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>3/7/2017.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>30.03.2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A No 05/2017</u></p> <p>Shri J.V Bhadane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri J.N Kamble, holding for Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Learned Presenting Officer has tendered affidavit in reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>In view of the fact that affidavit in reply is filed, formal service is dispensed with.</p> <p>Admit. To come for hearing on 3.7.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.131 OF 2017**

Dr. (Mrs.) Kanchan V. Jagtap ..Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Smt. Punam Mahajan – Advocate for the Applicant
Smt. Achana B.K. – Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman
Shri Rajiv Agarwal, Vice-Chairman
DATE : 30th March, 2017

ORDER

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Achana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This OA is pending since February 2017. On 15.2.2017, hearing was adjourned at the request of Ld. PO.
3. On 7.3.2017, 10.3.2017, 22.3.2017 as well as on 29.3.2017 the hearing was adjourned. However, no positive response is received from the respondents. This case was adjourned today for the respondents to modify/withdraw the order in view that reasons assigned in the impugned communication is “likelihood of judicial complication” “न्यायिक गुंतागुंत”, if the applicant’s request for voluntary retirement is accepted.




4. The terminology employed in the impugned order is unintelligible, apart that it is argued that it is malicious.


5. Ld. PO states that respondents were informed the date by letter as well as by email. However, no response is received. However, representative from office of Secretary, Public Health Department is present.

6. The manner in which the matter is dealt by the Secretary, Public Health Department is callous. The respondents are given one more chance to apply mind and modify the impugned communication and while doing so ensure that any vindictive approach or action is not taken. Considering the request of Ld. PO as a last chance hearing is adjourned to 7.4.2017.

7. If no corrective measures are taken or necessary action is taken, the concerned officer from Public Health Department may be held personally liable to pay cost.

8. Steno copy and hamdast is allowed. Ld. PO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents.


(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman
30.3.2017


(A.H. Joshi, J)
Chairman
30.3.2017

Dictation taken by: S.G. Jawalkar.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 30/3/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. A.T. Gade Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. Archana B.K. C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>O.A. is disposed of</p> <p>Adj. To: Additional Affidavit Filed by Applicant. @/ef</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.54 of 2017</u></p> <p>Shri S.N. Lawand ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">V/s.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.T. Gade, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>Additional affidavit of the Applicant is taken on record. The O.A. has worked itself out (see page 51 of the additional affidavit). The learned Advocate Shri Gade submits that the entire claim of the Applicant is yet to be settled. However, now that the Applicant is set to retire on 31.05.2017, I direct that the Respondents must settle the case of the Applicant in every respect latest by 12.05.2017.</p> <p>With this direction, the Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 30.03.2017</p> <p>(vsm)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.266 of 2017

Shri B.P. Shinde ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 27.04.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 27.04.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
30.03.2017

DATE: 30/3/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAHVAGARWAL
(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri. R.M. Kolge

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. S. Suryawanshi

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To

S.O. to 27/4/17.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.143 of 2017

In

O.A. No.270 of 2017

Shri V.V. Sanghai ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 13.04.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 13.04.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 30.3.17
Member (J)
30.03.2017

DATE: 30/3/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL

(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.F.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: S.O. to 13/4/17.

(vsm)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No. 273 of 2017

Shri Dr. R.N. Kale

... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors.

... Respondents

Heard Ms S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Facts are such that the very short date for reply will have to be given.

Issue notice returnable on 07.04.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 07.04.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
30.03.2017

(vsm)

DATE: 30/3/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV GARWAL
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Ms. S.P. Manchekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: 7/4/17

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 30/3/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>Punam Mahajan</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To <u>S.O. to 13/4/17.</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.151 of 2017</u></p> <p>Shri R.C. Kadam ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">V/s.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>Perused the record. Service is declared legally. Sufficient time has been given by way of this notice.</p> <p>On learned P.O.'s request final opportunity is given for filing reply.</p> <p>S.O. to 13.04.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) 30.3.17 Member (J) 30.03.2017</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(vsm)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

MA./RA./CA. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1203 of 2016

Shri D.R. Rathod ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Affidavit-in-Reply is filed by the Respondents.

The Applicant does not wish to file rejoinder.

Original Application is admitted and appointed for final hearing on 07.04.2017.

DATE: 30/3/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL
(Vice-Chairman)~~

~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:

Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Smt. Ms. S. Suryawanshi

Advocate for the Respondents
Shri/Smt. Ms. S. Suryawanshi

P.O./P.O. for the Respondents
Shri/Smt. Ms. S. Suryawanshi

Reply filed by Respondent.

Adj. B.
O.A. is admitted.

S.O. to 7/4/17.

FH
[Signature]

(vsm)

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
30.03.2017

30 3 17

Date : 30.03.2017.

O.A.No.1091 of 2015 with O.A.No.97 of 2016

Dr. K.D. Lohite (O.A.No.1091/2015)

Dr. F.A.F. Shaikh & Ors. (O.A.No.97/2016)

...Applicants.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.A. Desai, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.1091/2015, Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer with Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Special Counsel for the Respondents.

2. Shri C.S. Damre, the learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.97/2016 is absent.

3. Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents states as follows :-

The Government has issued orders directing continuation of services of the Applicants' and similarly situated contract Dentists for the period ending by 02.02.2018.

4. In view of the development, Applicants' apprehension that their services will come to end, has subsided, for the present.

5. Let the hearing continue in the next week for reply from State and M.P.S.C..

6. Hence, adjourned to 10.04.2017.

Sd/-
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi J.)
Chairman

prk

DATE: 30/3/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri Rajiv Agarwal (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri A.A. Desai

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.G. Gohad Ld. P.O. with

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Shri D.B. Khaire, spl. counsel for

Adj. To. R.S.S.

Adj. to 10/4/17.

P.H.
B.K.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

30.03.2017

O.A No 160/2017

Dr B.S Paigude ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.Chinnappa, learned advocate for the Applicant, Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent no. 1 and Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for Respondent no. 2.

2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 7.8.2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. Learned Advocate Shri Jagdale, who appears for Respondent no. 2 waives service of notice.

9. M.P.S.C has already forwarded list of selected candidates. We are not inclined to grant ex-parte relief. However, it shall suffice to direct that the process of appointment can proceed and the appointment shall be subject to outcome of the Original Application.

10. S.O to 7.8.2017.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

Akn

DATE: 30/3/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri. Rajiv Agarwal (Member)
Hon'ble Shri. Kameshkumar (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri S. Chinnappa

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S. Suryavanshi
Present for the Respondent's No.1.

Adv. K.R. Jagdale for R.2.

Adj. To: 7/8/2017.

B/E

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20

DISTRICT

Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>30/3/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>Rajiv Agarkar</u> (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>J. H. Kamble</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri <u>H. F. Gohad</u> C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>12/6/2017.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BTE</i></p>	<p>30.03.2017</p> <p><u>O.A No 245/2017</u></p> <p>Shri R.R Rathod ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri J.N Kamble, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for leave to amend and undertakes to carry out amendment within four weeks.</p> <p>S.O to 12.6.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarkar) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>30/3/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u> Hon'ble <u>Shri R. Ramchandra Kumar (Member)</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt.: <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.: <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>8/6/17.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>HT</i></p>	<p><u>C.A. No.6 of 2017 in O.A. No.543 of 2014</u></p> <p>Shri J.K. Bhosle & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri Lonkar, Ld. Advocate prays for two weeks time to reexamine the issue involved in this case and form an opinion as to whether the grievance in the mind of the applicants is a subject matter of fresh cause of action or it could be covered by the CA.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.6.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) (A.H. Joshi, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairman 30.3.2017 30.3.2017 (sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders M.A. No.102 of 2017 in O.A. No.185 of 2017 with <u>O.A. No.185 of 2017</u>
<p><u>DATE:</u> 30/3/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A Rajiv Agarwal 2/1/17</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. : H.M.C. for the appl. Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : H.F. Gohad C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj. To. 21/6/17. BTK</p>	<p>Shri V.R. Deshmukh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>None for the Applicant. Heard Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 21.6.2017.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 30.3.2017 (sgl)</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.J.) Chairman 30.3.2017</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>30/3/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) <i>Rajiv Agarwal S.V.C.</i></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>H.G. Gohad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>16/6/2017</u> <i>Bte</i></p>	<p>30.03.2017</p> <p><u>O.A No 25/2017</u></p> <p>Shri S.N Khade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>In view that affidavit is tendered, filing of service report is dispensed with, if service of notice is not done</p> <p>Affidavit in reply is ready and is being tendered and the same it taken on record.</p> <p>Learned Advocate Shri Jagdale prays for two weeks time.</p> <p>S.O to 16.6.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>R</i></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.57 of 2017

Smt. K. S. Patil & Anr. ... Applicants

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri S.D. Patil, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 27.04.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 27.04.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
30.03.2017

(vsm)

DATE: 30/8/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAHVAGARWAL~~

(Vice Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE: Punam Sanyal

holding for S.D. Patil

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri/Smt. Ms. S. Suryawanshi~~

~~C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents~~

Adj. To

S.O. to 27/4/17

[Signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

District _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

30.03.2017

O.A No 362/2016

Shri B.R Patil ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

None for the Applicant. Heard Shri K.B Bhise learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

S.O to 31.3.2017.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Aggarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Akn

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

DATE : 30/3/17

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. : None for the app.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri /Smt. : K.B. Bhise

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 31/3/17.

B.K.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 30/3/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member)</p> <p><u>AMENDMENT:</u> By <u>M.D. Lonkar</u></p> <p><u>Advocate for the Applicant</u> Shri <u>Archana B.K.</u></p> <p><u>C.P.O./E.O. for the Respondent/s</u></p> <p><u>Adj. To:</u> 31/3/17.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>B.K.</i></p>	<p><u>C.A. No.25 of 2017 in O.A. No.283 of 2014</u></p> <p>Shri P.B. Kadam & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO is called to state as whether:</p> <p>(a) Is it possible to issue requisite orders demonstrating compliance of the commitment already made and failure for compliance has led the present contempt?</p> <p>(b) Orders could be issued subject to outcome of the OA in which hearing is concluded and judgment is awaited.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 31.3.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <i>l</i> Sd/- <i>l</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Rajiv Agarwal) (A.H. Joshi, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairman 30.3.2017 30.3.2017</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearances, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. Nos.264 & 265 of 2017

**Shri S.D. Dhumal
Shri Quadri Md. Yunus Md.Ismail
... Applicants**

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

These Original Applications are placed before me for consideration of urgent interim orders.

The learned P.O. submits that these are the Division Bench matters for which he invites my attention to Sr.No.7 in the column of the subject of Division Bench. It deals with 'Promotion'. As far as the Singh Bench matters are concerned, Sr.No.3 makes 'Posting' as the subject matter within the jurisdiction of the Single Bench.

I am of the opinion that these are the Single Bench matters because the issue of promotion is not disputed. What is disputed is posting. However, in view of the request of the learned P.O., I direct the matters to be placed before the Hon'ble Chairman for appropriate directions. In as much as the Applicants seek urgent relief, I direct the office to comply herewith during the course of day today itself.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 30.5.17
Member (J)
30.03.2017

(vsm)

DATE: 30/3/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. R.G. Panchal

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri Smt. K.B. Bhise

C.P.O./P.D. for the Respondents

order passed in the
Tribunal's column.

Adj. To

before Hon'ble Chairman.

