

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s


(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 30.03.2016.**

**O.A.No.884 of 2015**

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents has tendered the Affidavit. It is taken on record.
3. Learned Advocate Shri K.R. Jagdale for the Applicants prays for time to consider the affidavit and decide if rejoinder is necessary.
4. Time as prayed for is granted. Rejoinder be filed only if necessary.
5. S.O. to 15.06.2016.

  
**(A.H. Joshi,**  
**Chairman**

prk

DATE: 30/3/16  
CORAM:  
Hon'ble Justice Smt A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri M. Hamirbhavji (Member) A  
APPEARANCE:  
Shri/Smt. : K.R. Jagdale  
Advocate for the Applicant  
Shri/Smt. : K.S. Gaikwad  
C.F.O/ P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To..... 15/6/2016.....

*PFE*

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 30.03.2016.


**O.A.No.240 of 2016**

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. Shri K.B. Bhise for the Respondents states as follows :-

Para-wise remarks are received from Collector, Solapur and affidavit-in-reply would be filed within two weeks.

3. S.O. to 13.04.2016.

  
(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

prk

DATE: 30/3/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To.....13/4/16.....



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s


(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 30.03.2016.

O.A.No.234 of 2016

1. None appeared for the Applicant. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule for the Respondents prays for time for filing reply on the ground that it is under preparation.
3. For filing reply, adjourned to 06.06.2016.

  
(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

prk

DATE: 30/3/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt.: None for the app.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt.: A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the respondent's

Adj. To: 06/06/16



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s


(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>30/3/16</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. : <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri /Smt : <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>20/6/16 for reply</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BT</i></p>	<p><b>Date : 30.03.2016.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.5 of 2016</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned Advocate Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar prays for six weeks time for leave to amend the O.A. by way of substitution.</li> <li>3. Leave as prayed for is granted.</li> <li>4. Amended copies be served on the Respondents directly apart from giving copies to learned P.O..</li> <li>5. S.O. to 20.06.2016, for reply.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">             (A.H. Joshi, J.)  <b>Chairman</b> </p> <p>prk</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....) )

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 30.03.2016.**

**O.A.No.156 of 2016**

1. Heard Shri P. Suryawanshi, the learned Advocate holding for Shri G.M. Savagave, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate Shri P. Suryawanshi for the Applicant prays for adjournment.
3. Adjourned to 20.04.2016.

  
 (A.H. Joshi, J.)  
 Chairman

prk

DATE: 30/3/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)\*

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : P. Suryawanshi holding for  
G.M. Savagave  
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : N. G. Gohad  
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To..... 20/4/16.....

*[Signature]*

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*VERSUS*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s


(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 30.03.2016.**

**O.A.No.155 of 2016**

1. Heard Shri P. Suryawanshi, the learned Advocate holding for Shri G.M. Savagave, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. Shri K.B. Bhise for the Respondents is directed to take instructions as to whether Applicant's case would be considered during general transfers.
3. Statement be made on 07.04.2016.
4. Steno copy and hamdast is allowed to learned P.O. to communicate this order to the Respondents.
5. Adjourned to 07.04.2016.

  
(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

prk

DATE : 30/3/16

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri M. Ramoshkumar (Member) A-

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. : P. Suryawanshi, holding for  
G.M. Savagave  
Advocate for the Applicant  
Shri/Smt. : K.B. Bhise  
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To..... 7/4/16.....



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearances, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders  
O.A. No.297 of 2016

Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 5.4.2016.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 5.4.2016. Ld. CPO waives service of notice. To be heard along with OA No.510 of 2015.

DATE: 30/3/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. C.T. Chandratre

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O. for the Respondents

(sgl)

(R.B. Malik)

Member (J)

30.3.2016

Rajiv Agarwal  
(Rajiv Agarwal)

Vice-Chairman

30.3.2016

Adj. To S.O. to 5/4/16

with

COA 510/15

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s


(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memorando of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 30.03.2016. (D.B. Matter)

M.A. No. 434/2015 in O.A. No. 1134/2015

- (1) Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Mr. K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- (2) Learned P.O. has tendered affidavit opposing the M.A. It is taken on record.
- (3) Let O.A. and M.A. be listed before the Division Bench presided over by the Vice Chairman.

  
 (A.H. Joshi, J.)  
 Chairman

PSZ

DATE: 30/3/16 DB  
 CORAM:  
 Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
 Hon'ble Shri M. B. ... (Member) A

APPEARANCE:  
 Shri/Smt.: C.T. Chandratre  
 Advocate for the Applicant,  
 Shri/Smt.: K.B. Bhise  
 C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: Let O.A. & M.A. be listed  
 before the DB presided over  
 by the vc.





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders,
---	--------------------


Date: 30.03.2016 (D.B. Matter)

O.A No. 806/2015

(1) Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale and Shri K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents State.

(2) The Learned advocate for the applicant prays for time to prepare and address.

(3) S.O to 4.05.2016

  
 (A.H. Joshi, J.)  
 Chairman

PsZ

DATE: 30/3/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 4/5/16

*BTE*

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> <u>30/3/16</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member) A.</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Stat: <u>None for the app.</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: <u>A. J. Chougule</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent's</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>15/6/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BT</i></p>	<p>Date: 30.03.2016 (D.B. Matter)</p> <p>O.A. No. 219/2015 with O.A. No. 220/2015</p> <p>(1) None appeared for the applicant. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents State.</p> <p>(2) Since the learned Advocate for the applicant is absent, adjourned to 15.06.2016.</p> <div style="text-align: center;">         (A.H. Joshi, J.)        Chairman     </div> <p>Psz</p>

3

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>30/3/16</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. : <u>D.B. Khaire</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>2/4/16</u></p> <p>&lt; O.A. 1094/15</p>	<p>Date: 30.03.2016. (D.B. Matter)</p> <p>O.A. No. 918/2015 with O.A. No. 1094/2015 with M.A. No. 137/2016.</p> <p>(1) Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the applicant in O.A. 918/2015, Shri C.T. Chandratre for the applicants in O.A. No. 1094/2015, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O in O.A. No. 918/2015, and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O for Respondents 1 to 3 in O.A. 1094/2015, Shri M.D. Lonkar for Respondents 4 to 6 in O.A. No. 1094/2015. Shri K.R. Jagdale for the Intervenor in M.A. No. 137/2016.</p> <p>(2) Learned Advocate for the applicant Mr. Chandratre has filed rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>(3) Mr. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for R. 4, 5 and 6 states that the reply would be filed during the course of a day.</p> <p>(4) The Original Applications be placed before the Bench presided over by the Vice Chairman.</p> <p>(4) S.O to 7.04.2016.</p> <div style="text-align: right;">             (A.H. Joshi, J.)            Chairman         </div> <p style="text-align: center;">PsZ</p>

3

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>30/3/16</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramachandran (Member)-A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. : <u>D.B. Khaire</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>2/4/16</u></p> <p>&lt; O.A. 1094/15</p>	<p>Date: 30.03.2016. (D.B. Matter)</p> <p>O.A. No. 918/2015 with O.A. No. 1094/2015 with M.A. No. 137/2016.</p> <p>(1) Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the applicant in O.A. 918/2015, Shri C.T. Chandratre for the applicants in O.A. No. 1094/2015, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O in O.A. No. 918/2015, and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O for Respondents 1 to 3 in O.A. 1094/2015, Shri M.D. Lonkar for Respondents 4 to 6 in O.A. No. 1094/2015. Shri K.R. Jagdale for the Intervenor in M.A. No. 137/2016.</p> <p>(2) Learned Advocate for the applicant Mr. Chandratre has filed rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>(3) Mr. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for R. 4, 5 and 6 states that the reply would be filed during the course of a day.</p> <p>(4) The Original Applications be placed before the Bench presided over by the Vice Chairman.</p> <p>(4) S.O to 7.04.2016.</p> <div style="text-align: right;">             (A.H. Joshi, J.)            Chairman         </div> <p style="text-align: center;">PsZ</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> 30/3/16</p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramkrishnakumar (Member)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Sub. Adv. : K.R. Jagdale Advocate for the Applicant (Intervener) Adv. C.T. Chandratre for the C. 023 Appl. Shri. Sub. : N.G. Gohad C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent's 1 to 3. Adv. M.D. Lonkar for R. 4 to 6. Adj. To..... M.A. is allowed.....</p> <p style="text-align: right;">BFC</p>	<p>Date: 30.03.2016. (D.B. Matter)</p> <p>M.A. No. 137/2016.in O.A. No. 1094/2015</p> <p>(1) Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Intervener, Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the applicant in O.A. No. 1094/2015, Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O for Respondents 1 to 3 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for Respondents 4 to 6.</p> <p>(2) Applicants urged that they are proper parties in this case in the background that if the applicants succeed, they will be affected and hence they claim opportunity of being heard by permitting intervention.</p> <p>(3) Misc. Application for intervention is allowed. Applicants be added as respondents. Learned Advocate Mr. Jagdale waives service. Affidavit if any be filed within one week.</p> <div style="text-align: right;">         (A.H. Joshi, Chairman     </div> <p style="text-align: center;">Psz</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[PTO.</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application.No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> <u>30/3/16</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>R.B. Malik</u> (Member) <u>A</u> Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) <u>A</u></p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> <u>Shri/Smt. V.K. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant <u>Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s Admit. Adj. To. <u>Liberty to mention granted</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>B.K.</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.12/2016</u></b></p> <p>Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Smt. Jagdale tenders Affidavit-in-rejoinders to the Additional Affidavits of Respondents 2 &amp; 3. The same are taken on record. Admit. Liberty to mention granted.</p> <div style="text-align: right;">   <u>30.3.16</u>  (R.B. Malik)  Member (J)  30.03.2016 </div> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 30/3/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Smt. <u>R.B. Malik</u> (Member) ✓</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>13/4/16</u> for <del>off</del> finally for reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>BT</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.02/2016</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Affidavit-in-reply of Respondent No.3 is already filed. The learned P.O. states on instructions that in view of the prayer clause in the OA, Affidavit-in-reply of Respondent No.2 is not necessary to be filed. That statement is recorded, but I express no opinion thereabout.</p> <p>The OA stands adjourned finally for reply of Respondent No.1 to 13<sup>th</sup> April, 2016.</p> <div style="text-align: right;">   <u>30.3.16</u>  (R.B. Malik)  Member (J)  30.03.2016 </div> <p style="text-align: center;">(skw)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s


(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>30/3/16</u></p> <p>BY: _____</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A-1</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>BY: <u>V.K. Jagdale</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>BY: Smt. <u>N.G. Gohad</u></p> <p>CEO/P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Admit-</p> <p>Adj. To <u>Liberty to mention granted.</u></p> <p><i>FE</i></p>	<p><b><u>O.A.823/2015</u></b></p> <p>Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Affidavit-in-rejoinder taken on record. Admit. Liberty to mention granted.</p> <p>Affidavit-in-sur-rejoinder, if any, may be filed on the date it appears before the Bench for effective hearing for the first time and not thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">   <u>30.3.16</u>            (R.B. Malik)            Member (J)            30.03.2016         </p> <p>(skw)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.289/2016**

Heard Shri T.M. Sagari holding for Shri N.P. Dalvi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 27.4.2016.


Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> April, 2016. C.P.O. do waive service.

  
30.3.16  
(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
30.03.2016

DATE: 30/3/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R.B. Malik (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri T.M. Sagari holding for N.P. Dalvi

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri N.G. Gohad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 13/4/16

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <b><u>O.A.293/2016</u></b>
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>30/3/16</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>R.B. Malik</u> (Member) <u>A-J</u></p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>C.T. Chandratre</u> Advocate for the Applicant, Shri/Smt. <u>H.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>13/4/16</u></p>	<p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Issue notice returnable on 13.4.2016.</p> <p>Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> April, 2016. C.P.O. do waive service.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">   <u>30.3.16</u>            (R.B. Malik)            Member (J)            30.03.2016         </p> <p>(skw)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.292/2016**

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The learned CPO with permission interjects to submit that even a notice cannot be issued in this matter because this matter falls within the office of Right to Information Act. On the perusal of the record such as it is, I am prima-facie and I must emphasize prima-facie satisfied that it falls within Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act. However, the Respondents will be free to amplify their stand in the Affidavit-in-reply in which case their submissions will be considered.

Issue notice returnable on 7.4.2016.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 7<sup>th</sup> April, 2016. C.P.O. do waive service.

DATE: 30/3/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri <sup>R.B. Malik</sup> M. Ramakrishna Kumar (Member) A-3

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt: A.V. Bandiwadekar


Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt: N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 7/4/16

*B/E*

  
(R.B. Malik) 30.3.16  
Member (J)  
30.03.2016

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer .....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>30/3/16</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)-A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Sr. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Sr. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>6/4/2016</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BK</i></p>	<p>Date: 30.03.2016 (D.B. Matter)</p> <p>O.A. No. 1021/2015</p> <p>(1) Heard Shri A.V.Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>(2) Learned Presenting Officer prays for a week's time.</p> <p>(3) Adjournd to 6.04.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">             (A.H. Joshi, J)            Chairman         </p> <p>PsZ</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s


(Advocate.....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>30/3/16</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice Mr. K. R. Jagdale (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri K.R. Jagdale Shri A.J. Chougule C.D./T.C. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>OA shall come for hearing in due course.</u></p> <p><i>MJE</i></p>	<p>Date: 30.03.2016 (D.B. Matter)</p> <p>O.A. No. 169/15 with O.A. No. 170/15 with O.A. No. 171/15</p> <p>(1) Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>(2) O.A. shall come for hearing in due course.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>PsZ</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>30/3/16</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) <del>Shri J. S. Patil (Member)-A</del></p> <p><b>Advocate for the applicant:</b> <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u></p> <p><b>Advocate for the Respondent/s 1 to 3 &amp; 5:</b> <u>Adv. C.K. Bhagoji holding for Adv. R.K. Mendadkar for R.to. 4.</u></p> <p>Hence adjourned <u>sine die.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date: 30.03.2016 (D.B. Matter)</p> <p>O.A. No. 321/11</p> <p>(1) Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the applicant, Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned P.O for Respondents 1 to 3 and 5. Shri C.K. Bhagoji holding for Shri R.K. Mendadkar, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>(2) The Learned Advocate for R.4 states that the hearing of this application is depend on the outcome of applicant's Writ Petition No. 3105/15 relating to applicant's caste claim which petition is ordered to be heard finally.</p> <p>(3) This position is not disputed by the learned Advocate for the applicant.</p> <p>(4) In this view of the matter, this is a fit case to adjourn sine die. Hence adjourned sine die with liberty to the parties to move the O.A.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i> (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>Psz</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>30/3/16</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri B. Ramakumar (Member)-A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Present: <u>None for the app.</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant, Shri. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O., P.O. for the Respondent's</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>2/5/2016</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BT</i></p>	<p>Date: 30.03.2016 (D.B. Matter)</p> <p>M.A. No. 569/2015 in C.A. No./ 142/2014 in O.A. No. 27/2003</p> <p>(1) None for the applicant. Heard Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>(2) The learned Presenting Officer states as follows:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">"The writ petition filed by the State is likely to come up for hearing on 13.04.2016.</p> <p>(3) In view of the statement, hearing of this application is adjourned to 2.05.2016.</p> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;">         (A.H. Joshi, J.)        Chairman     </div> <p style="text-align: center;">PsZ</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)


Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 30.03.2016 (D.B. Matter)

O.A. No. 362/2014

(1) Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

(2) O.A. shall come for hearing in due course.

  
(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

PsZ

DATE: 30/3/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)~~

APPEARANCE:

For Applicant: A.V. Bandiwadekar

For Respondent:

N.K. Rajpurohit

Presenting Officer for the Respondent/s

Adj. to: O.A. shall come for hearing in due course





Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 30.03.2016 (D.B. Matter)

O.A. No. 579/2015

(1) Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

(2) The learned P.O. has tendered affidavit. It is taken on record.

(3) This Tribunal has put certain questions for filing affidavit. The Additional Chief Secretary, Home has filed affidavit. The question (c) and its answers read as follows:

(c) What is the legal impediment in deciding the Applicant's claim?

Answer

(iii) In respect of Point (c) I say and submit that there is no any legal impediment in deciding the Applicant's claim.

(4) Additional Chief Secretary was expected to appreciate that this question was not raised out of academic curiosity; rather he was expected to come out with the decision.

(5) In view of the statement on oath before this Tribunal that there is no any legal impediment in deciding the applicant's claim, The Respondent should decide the claim or show cause as to why it cannot be decided.

(6) For decision and response S.O to 21.04.2016.

(7) The Learned P.O. is directed to communicate.

(8) Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to the learned P.O. for communication.

DATE: 30/3/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramasubramanian (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Advocate for Applicant: A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for Respondent:


Presenting Officer: N.G. Gohad

C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent's

Adj. To: 21/4/2016

Steno copy & Hamdast is allowed to the ld. P.O. for communication.

BTE

  
(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)


Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 30.03.2016. (D.B. Matter)

C.A. No. 131/2015 in O.A. No. 907/2012

(1) Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

(2) Admit. To come up for hearing in due course.

  
(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

Psz

DATE: 30/3/16 plb

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri N.G. Gohad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Admit-

~~To come up for~~ to come up for for hearing  
in due course

BTE

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ District \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s


(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>30/3/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. K. Deshpande (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Secy: <u>N. G. Gohad</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant (Org. Resp.)</p> <p>Shri/Secy: <u>R. M. Kolge</u></p> <p>C.P.O / P.C. for the Respondent/s (Org. Appl)</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>5/4/2016</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BTE</i></p>	<p>Date: 30.03.2016 (D.B. Matter)</p> <p>M.A. No. 62/2016 in C.A. No. 128/2015 in O.A. No. 993/2011</p> <p>(1) Heard Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the applicant (original Respondent) and Mr. R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Respondent (Original Applicant).</p> <p>(2) The learned Presenting Officer has tendered affidavit. It is taken on record.</p> <p>(3) The learned Presenting Officer further prays for two days time for reporting the stags complied with.</p> <p>(4) S.O to 5.04.2016.</p> <div style="text-align: right;">         (A.H. Joshi, J.)        Chairman     </div> <p style="text-align: center;">PsZ</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 30.03.2016. (D.B. Matter)

C.A. No. 120/2015 in O.A. No. 313/2015

(1) Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Mr. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

(2) Learned P.O is instructed by Shri Ganesh Kachre, Desk Officer, Higher Education Department, Mantralaya. He states that the procedure of securing G.A.D's approval would be completed within one week and further steps would be pursued immediately.

(3) For reporting the compliance done till date S.O to 06.04.2016.

DATE: 30/3/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Kameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Sr/Advocate - K. R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Sr/Advocate - K. S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 04/16

*[Handwritten Signature]*

*[Handwritten Signature]*  
(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

PsZ

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s


(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 30.03.2016 (D.B. Matter)

D.A. No. 583/2015

- (1) Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- (2) Admit. To come up for hearing in due course. Liberty is granted to file rejoinder, if necessary.

  
(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

Psz

DATE: 30/3/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramashkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Admit.

~~Ad. To Liberty~~ To come up for hearing in due course

*BTe*

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 30.03.2016. (D.B. Matter)

C.A. No. 134/2015 in O.A. No. 406/2014

(1) Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

(2) When the matter is called out Shri. Balkawade, Section Officer, Home Department appears and states that:-

- (a) The order dated 3.03.2016 was received in the office on 09.03.2016 and it took time to prepare affidavit.
- (b) The file actually came to him on 17.03.2016 when the Deputy Secretary had already endorsed his comments.
- (c) File went to Additional Chief Secretary sometime after 23.03.2016 and on 29.03.2016 he has approved the draft affidavit subject to approval by Research Officer of this Tribunal.

(3) The learned P.O prays for a week's time to file affidavit.

(4) One week's time is granted to Shri K.P.Bakshi, A.C.S to file his affidavit by way of last chance.

(5) 5.0 to 6.04.2016.

DATE: 30/3/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchikumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : M.D. Lonkar


Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : N.G. Gohad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s



Adj. To: 6/4/16

*BTE*

  
A.H. Joshi, J.  
Chairman

PsZ

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>30/3/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p><del>Shri/Smt. Applicant no. 1 &amp; 3</del> <del>are present.</del></p> <p><del>Advocate for the Applicant</del></p> <p>Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise</p> <p><del>CPO/P.O. for the Respondents No-1 &amp; 2.</del> <del>None for other Respondents.</del></p> <p><del>Adj. To</del> S.O. to 18/4/16.</p> <p>FOB @/ud</p>	<p><u>30.03.2016</u></p> <p><u>O.A No 1216/2012</u></p> <p>Applicants no 1 &amp; 3 are present. Heard Mr K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents no 1 &amp; 2. None for the other Respondents.</p> <p>The perusal of the record along with the compilation of judgments submitted by the Registrar as per the directions given on the last occasion is perused. The Research Officer has not really mentioned the gist of the matter which could have assisted us. But for the present so be it. The Applicants apparently have been impleaded to a Writ Petition no 5209/2011 pending in the Aurangabad Bench of the Hon. Bombay High Court on the same subject matter and in all probability the issues as well. As of today, since the learned Advocate for the Applicants is not present and they seek an adjournment to ensure his presence, we grant the request. However, it will have to be examined as to whether this Original Application continues to be on the file of this Tribunal, because of the pending Writ Petition.</p> <p>S.O to 18.4.2016.</p> <p> 30.3.16</p> <p>(R.B. Malik) Member (J)</p> <p> Rajiv Agarwal (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

30.03.2016

O.A No 211/2014

Heard Shri Siddesh Biradar with Shri Ravindra Adsure, learned advocate for the applicants, Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents no 1 to 6, Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for Respondent no. 7, Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for Respondent nos 12 to 14 and ~~Ms. hana Punare Mahajan, learned advocate~~ for Respondent no. 15.

The matter of some moment with regard to the validity of an occasion brought by an Association which is or is not recognized by G.A.D is involved herein. It is bound to have a vital effect on the matters that are brought before this Tribunal. In that light, we gave elaborate directions on 29.1.2016 and 2.3.2016. The official Respondents were directed to submit affidavits. We are keen to have the views of G.A.D in particular in so far as this aspect of the matter is concerned. Despite all the indulgence, we regret to say the Respondents have not bothered to comply with our orders. After some debate at the bar, we direct that the Respondents no 3, 4 & 5 must file their affidavits in response to the orders mentioned above and in case we find that the affidavits are not filed just when the matter is called out, it should be taken that we have imposed cost of Rs. 10,000/- on each one of the defaulting parties on the next date, for which no other order will be necessary. We record the statement of learned C.P.O that the affidavit of Respondent no. 1 is almost ready and will be filed on the next date.

The Applicants will be permitted to make a Misc Application for impleadment of the parties and consequential amendments as per the request of the learned advocate for the Applicants.

S.O to 6.4.2016. Hamdast.

DATE: 30/3/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri Siddesh Biradar  
with Ravindra Adsure  
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri N.K. Rajpurohit  
C.P.O for the Respondents No. 1 to 6.

A.V. Bandiwadekar  
for A. No. 7 to 11.

M.D. Lonkar for A. No  
12 to 14.

None present A. No. 15.

S.O to 6/4/15.

Hamdast.

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)

30.3.16

Rajiv Agarwal  
(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders  
O.A. No.897 of 2015

Heard Shri Sandeep Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant. Shri K.B. Bhise, , learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 and 2 and Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.

2. This OA has been filed by the Applicant seeking deemed date of promotion to the post of Senior Clerk. In the affidavit in reply filed on behalf of respondents no.1 and 2 on 14.12.2015 it is mentioned that the promotion of the applicant to the post of Clerk was not regular and, therefore, there was no question of considering him for the post of Senior Clerk. Shri Chandratre, Ld. Advocate for Respondent No.3 has placed on record a copy of appointment order of respondent no.3 which shows that he was appointed as Junior Clerk on the recommendations of MPSC. In the affidavit of respondents no.1 and 2 there is a copy of office order dated 26.8.1991 appointing the applicant as Peon on adhoc and temporary basis. That order does not disclose whether the appointment of the applicant as Peon was as per recruitment rules after following the due procedure by issuing advertisement and giving opportunity to all other eligible persons to compete for the post. It will, therefore, be necessary for the respondent no.1 to file affidavit in this regard whether the appointment of the applicant in 1991 as Peon was after following the due procedure as per recruitment rules. Ld. PO states that he will file affidavit in this regard within two weeks. S.O. to 20.4.2016. Hamdast.

DATE: 30/3/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice - Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (member)~~

APPEARANCE:

Shri S. S. Dere

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri K. B. Bhise

~~Ch. P. O. for the Respondents No. 1 & 2.~~

~~C. T. Chandratre for~~

~~R. No. 3. Reply filed by R. No. 3.~~

~~Adj. S. O. to 20/4/16.~~

Hamdast.

*[Signature]*

*Rajiv Agarwal*  
(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman  
30.3.2016

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>30/3/16</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Advocate for the Applicant <u>Ms. Punam Mahajan</u> Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>31/3/16</u></p>	<p>Date: 30.03.2016. (D.B. Matter)</p> <p>C.A. No. 32/2016 in D.A. No. 253/2016</p> <p>(1) Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Mr. K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.</p> <p>(2) Learned P.O states as follows</p> <p>"Applicant's case for promotion is cleared by the D.P.C. Now the case would be sent to G.A.D, thereafter to P.E.B. and thereafter to Hon'ble Chief Minister."</p> <p>(3) It is expected that such of the stages which do not apply to the present case, should be examined and time should not be lost in following empty formalities. Applicant's case be processed expeditiously.</p> <p>(4) S.O to 31.03.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">         (A.H. Joshi, J.)        Chairman     </p> <p>Ps2</p>