

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p>Date : 30.01.2020</p> <p>M.A.No.75 of 2020 in O.A.No.1085 of 2017</p> <p>O. T. Nawle .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for amendment in addition to Respondent No.5 who is appointed on the post of Police Patil during the course of O.A. by order dated 07.01.2018.</p> <p>3. As such, amendment is necessitated in view of subsequent development and Respondent No.5 is necessary party.</p> <p>4. Amendment is allowed.</p> <p>5. Amendment be carried out within three days from today.</p> <p>6. Misc. Application is allowed with no order as to cost.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar)<br/>Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p><b><u>30.01.2020</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 95/2020</u></b></p> <p>Shri P.D Sakunde ... Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents,</li> <li>2. Issue notice returnable on 6.2.2020.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. Learned Counsel for the applicant has relied upon cut-off marks at page 81, wherein the applicant has secured 192 marks. He has secured more marks than the cut-off marks in both open and open sports category.</li> <li>9. Respondents are directed to decide the representation made by the applicant, Exh. 'T' at Page 114 and Exh. 'U' at page 123 of the O.A, in respect of open category as well as open sports category within one week from the date of this order and communicate to the applicant and the Tribunal through Learned P.O.</li> <li>10. S.O to 6.2.2020. Hamdast and steno copy is granted.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P Kurhakar)<br/>Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Shree Bhagawan)<br/>Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p>Date : 30.01.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.04 of 2020</p> <p>U. K. Shinde .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.02.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-<br/>(A.P. Kurhekar)<br/>Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p>Date : 30.01.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O. A. No.78 of 2020</p> <p><b>S. S. Khapale</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.02.2020.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 13.02.2020.</li> <li>9. Learned P.O. is directed to take instructions whether the matter was placed before Review Committee and apprise the Tribunal on next date.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-<br/>(A.P. Kurhekar)<br/>Member(J)</p> <p>ysm</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p>Date : 30.01.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.89 of 2020</p> <p>P. R. Acharekar .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Present O.A. is filed for direction to release retiral benefits though the Applicant is still in service as on today and due to retire on 31.01.2020. As such, strictly speaking, the matter is premature. Perusal of O.A. further reveals that Applicant is facing D.E.</p> <p>3. In view of above, the matter be kept on 03.02.2020 for necessary orders.</p> <p>4. In the meantime, learned P.O. should take instructions about D.E. pending against the Applicant so that O.A. can be disposed of on next date.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 03.02.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-<br/>(A.P. Kurhekar)<br/>Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> |

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000—4-2019)

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p>Date : 30.01.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.1015 of 2019</p> <p>S. D. Jadhav .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. As the pleadings are complete, Original Application is admitted and fixed for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.02.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-<br/>(A.P. Kurhekar)<br/>Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> |

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000—4-2019)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders ar directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p>Date : 30.01.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.1113 of 2019</p> <p>S. D. Waghmare .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, Shri Mayur Dudhal on behalf of learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.02.2020.</p> <p>Sd/-<br/>(A.P. Kurhekar)<br/>Member(I)</p> <p>vsm</p> |

[P.T.O.]

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000—4-2019)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p>Date : 30.01.2020</p> <p>O.A.No. 1176 of 2019</p> <p>K. V. Gaikhe .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant is present in person, his Counsel absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. No Rejoinder is filed.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.02.2020.</p> <p>Sd/-<br/>(A.P. Kurhekar)<br/>Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> |



(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000—4-2019)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p>Date : 30.01.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.1117 of 2019</p> <p>V. Y. Bhalerao &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Shri S.D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.2 and 3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned for filing Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.02.2020</p> <p>Sd/-<br/>WV<br/>(A.P. Kurhekar)<br/>Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> |

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000—4-2019)

[Spl. MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p>Date : 30.01.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.1198 of 2019</p> <p>N. S. Lote .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.02.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <br/>v<br/>(A.P. Kurhekar)<br/>Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p>Date : 30.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.739 of 2018</b></p> <p>P.D. Yadav .....Applicant<br/>Versus<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned to 31.01.2020.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-<br/>(A.P. Kurhekar)<br/>Member(J)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-<br/>(Shree Bhagwan)<br/>Vice-Chairman</p> </div> </div> <p>prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p><b><u>30.01.2020</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 1115/2019</u></b></p> <p>Smt S.B More ... Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents,</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 30.1.2020 is extended by two weeks.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O to 13.2.2020.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center"><b>(A.P Kurhekar)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center"><b>(Shree Bhagawan)</b><br/><b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b></p> <p>Akn</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p><b><u>30.01.2020</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>M.A 73/2020 in O.A 87/2020</u></b></p> <p>Shri R.V Kardak &amp; Ors ... Applicants<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents,</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 7.2.2020</p> <p>3. Tribunal may <b>take</b> the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. Learned P.O has taken objection to the Misc Application for filing sue jointly.</p> <p>9. Learned advocate for the applicant has sought leave of this Tribunal to incorporate the address of the Applicants in the cause title of the O.A.</p> <p>10. NS.O 7.2.2020.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center"><b>(A.P Kurnekar)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center"><b>(Shree Bhagawan)</b><br/><b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b></p> <p align="center">Akn</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
|   | <p><b><u>30.01.2020</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 88/2020</u></b></p> <p>Dr Vilas R. Bhailume ... Applicant<br/>Vs.<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents,</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 13.2.2020.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may <del>take</del> the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O to 13.2.2020.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center"><b>(A.P Kurhekar)</b><br/>Member (J)</p> <p align="center"><b>(Shree Bhagawan)</b><br/>Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akt</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p>Date : 30.01.2020.</p> <p>O.A.No.627 of 2017</p> <p>S.B. Kare &amp; Ors. ....Applicants<br/>Versus<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As submitted by learned P.O., the Government vide affidavit on behalf of Respondents No.1 and 2 on 25.09.2019 at page 431 and 432 of the P.B., names of 11 candidates are mentioned in the chart wherein information regarding date of appointment and date of regularization is mentioned.</p> <p>3. Out of 11 candidates, services of 9 candidates have been regularized. However, in case of Applicant No.5, Smt. Mangal Pandurang Bhalerao and Applicant No.8, Smt. Devyani Nitin Thakre in the remarks column it is mentioned that the proposal is pending. Except these 2 Applicants, the grievance of all the other 9 Applicants is redressed.</p> <p>4. As admitted by learned Advocate, learned P.O. is directed to take instructions from the Department i.e. Respondents No.1 and 2 by tomorrow.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 31.01.2020.</p> <div style="display: inline-block; vertical-align: top; margin-right: 200px;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar)<br/>Member(J)</p> </div> <div style="display: inline-block; vertical-align: top;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Shree Bhagwan)<br/>Vice-Chairman</p> </div> <p>prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p>Date : 30.01.2020.</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.828 of 2018</b></p> <p><b>D.J. Ambilwade</b> .....Applicant<br/> <b>Versus</b><br/> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Applicant in person and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant is submitting written arguments in his favour. The same is taken on record and marked Exhibit-X.</p> <p>3. Applicant submits that he has already filed an Appeal before the Government. However, the copy of Appeal memo is not placed on record.</p> <p>4. Applicant is therefore, directed to file the copy of Appeal memo by tomorrow.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 31.01.2020.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-<br/> (A.P. Kurhekar)<br/> Member(J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-<br/> (Shree Bhagwan)<br/> Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p> |



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p>Date : 30.01.2020.</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.716 of 2018</b></p> <p><b>N.K. Kravale</b> .....Applicant<br/> <b>Versus</b><br/> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned to 31.01.2020.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-<br/> (A.P. Kurhekar)<br/> Member(J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-<br/> (Shree Bhagwan)<br/> Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p> |

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,<br>Appearance, Tribunal's orders or<br>directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>30.01.2020</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 91, 92, 93 &amp; 94/2020</u></b></p> <p>Shri Mangesh N. Paste [O.A 91/2020]<br/>           Shri D.K Mane [O.A 92/2020]<br/>           Shri R. W Shirke [O.A 93/2020]<br/>           Shri P.L Puralkar [O.A 94/2020] ... Applicant<br/>           Vs.<br/>           The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents,</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 20.2.2020.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. Issue of interim relief is kept open.</p> <p>9. S.O to 20.2.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P Kurhekar)<br/>Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Shree Bhagawan)<br/>Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center; font-size: small;">Akn</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p>Date : 30.01.2020.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1163 of 2017 with O.A.No.1164 of 2017 with<br/>O.A.No.1165 of 2017</p> <p>S.Y. Rambade (O.A.1163/2017)<br/>L.A. Angre (O.A.1164/2017)<br/>S.K. Jadhav (O.A.1165/2017) .....Applicants<br/>Versus<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam learned Advocate holding for Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It appears that In common order of this Tribunal in O.A.No.1163/2017, O.A.No.1164/2017 and O.A.No.1165/2017 dated 12.01.2018, interim relief was granted and impugned order was stayed. Due to this interim relief of this Tribunal, Applicants continued their service and now the applicant in O.A.No.1163/2017, Shri S.Y. Rambade is retired.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant all the three Original Applications will be heard tomorrow. He will produce the G.R. which has given exemption to the candidates for passing M.P.S.C. examination.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 31.01.2020.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-<br/>(A.P. Kurhekar)<br/>Member(I)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-<br/>(Shree Bhagwan)<br/>Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> |

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p>Date : 30.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.236 of 2017</b><br/>(Subject : Challenging the G.R.)</p> <p><b>A.T. Kusale</b> .....Applicant<br/>Versus<br/><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It appears that issue was raised in Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition No.10492 of 2014 (The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. Versus Shri Nitin V. Parale &amp; Ors.) decided on 09.03.2015.</p> <p>3. Applicant has mentioned as similar situated employee and made representation on 25.11.2016 after Hon'ble High Court judgment dated 09.03.2015.</p> <p>4. Today, as per submission of learned Advocate and learned C.P.O. both are not aware of the status of this representation.</p> <p>5. In view of this, Respondent No.1 is directed to decide the representation dated 25.11.2016 within a period of two months from the date of this order and communicate to the Applicant and to the Tribunal through learned C.P.O.</p> <p>6. With these directions, O.A. is disposed of.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar)<br/>Member(J)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Shree Bhagwan)<br/>Vice-Chairman</p> </div> </div> <p style="text-align: right; margin-top: 10px;">[P.T.O.]</p> <p>prk</p> |



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p>Date : 30.01.2020.</p> <p align="center">O.A.Stamp No.731 of 2014</p> <p>Dr. S.P. Patil &amp; Ors. ....Applicants<br/>Versus<br/>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents, Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Respondents no.3, 6 to 10, 12 &amp; 13 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. None appeared for the Applicant. Matter is pretty old and fixed for Final Hearing since long. Applicant remained absent today as well as on the previous date of hearing.</p> <p>3. It seems that the applicant is not interested in prosecuting the matter. Hence, O.A. is dismissed in default.</p> <p align="center"> <br/> Sd/-<br/> (A.P. Kurhekar)<br/> Member(J) </p> <p align="center"> <br/> Sd/-<br/> (Shree Bhagwan)<br/> Vice-Chairman </p> <p>prk</p> |