

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.01.2018

M. A. Nos. 32, 33, 34 & 35 of 2018
in
O.A.No.1069, 1070, 1071 & 1074 of 2016

P. K. Sahane & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri P. S. Pathak, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The applicants have prayed for permission to amend the pleadings by adding complainants in M.A. No.32/18 in O.A.1069/16 - Smt. Mina G. Darade, M.A. No. 33/18 in O.A. 1070/16 - Shri Ravindra B. Handore, M.A. No. 34/18 in O.A. 1071/16 - Shri Sandeep G. Ghule and in M.A.No.35/18 in O.A. No.1074/16 - Shri Mohan U. Bodake as a party respondent to the O.A.. It seems that vide order dated 05.01.2018 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Civil Appellate Jurisdiction W.P.No. 11562/2017 & Ors., the applicants were allowed to add complainants as a party respondent and in view thereof for the reasons stated in the application for amendment, the application for amendment is allowed.
3. The applicants are allowed to add the complainants M.A. No.32/18 in O.A.1069/16 - Smt. Mina G. Darade, M.A. No. 33/18 in O.A. 1070/16 - Shri Ravindra B. Handore, M.A. No. 34/18 in O.A. 1071/16 - Shri Sandeep G. Ghule and in M.A.No.35/18 in O.A. No.1074/16 - Shri Mohan U. Bodake as a party respondent to O.A. After adding complainants as a party respondent to the O.A., the notice be issued to newly added parties.
4. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply. S.O. 20.02.2018 for filing reply.

Sd/-

(J.D. Kulkarni)
Vice-Chairman

vsm

DATE: 30/1/18

CORAM:

J. D. Kulkarni
Hon'ble Shri. RAHVAGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Shri. P. S. Pathak

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Shri. Ms. S. P. Manchekar

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

M. As. are allowed.

Adj. To.....

Received Copy
Adv. Clerk
2/2/18.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No: _____ of 20

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.01.2018

M. A. Nos. 36, 37, 38, 39, 40 & 41 of 2018 in
O.A.No.1069, 1070, 1071, 1072, 1073 & 1074 of 2016

P. K. Sahane & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri P. S. Pathak, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The process of recruitment is continued and, therefore, these O.As. were filed. However, during the pendency of the O.A.s, the applicants have filed W.P. before the Hon'ble High Court and sought status quo to the proceedings. The Hon'ble High Court in W.P.No.11562/2017 & Ors. on 05.1.2018 was pleased to issue status quo order to the recruitment process and the said status quo is continued even today. Since the complainants have been added to the O.A.s and reply of the respondents has yet to be filed, status quo be continued till next date.

3. S.O. to 20.02.2018.

Sd/-

(J.D. Kulkarni)
Vice-Chairman

vsm

[PTO]

DATE: 30/1/18

CORAM: J.D. Kulkarni

Hon'ble Shri. P. S. Pathak
(Advocate)

Hon'ble Shri. S. P. Manchekar
(C.P.O./P.O.)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. P. S. Pathak

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S. P. Manchekar

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: S.O. to 20/2/18.

Received copy

Clock
2/2/18

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders</p>
<p>DATE : 30/1/18</p> <p>CORAM : J.D. Kulkarni Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Ms. S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>27/2/18.</u> <u>Hamdast</u></p>	<p>Date : 30.01.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.886 of 2017</p> <p>S. H. SakharwadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Vide order dated 10.01.2018, the learned C.P.O. was directed to take instructions as to whether the Hon'ble Minister is likely to decide the appeal and if the answer is affirmative, when is the appeal likely to be decided.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. submits that the appeal was last heard on 3.11.2017 and it has been closed for passing necessary orders. However, no instructions are received as to within how many days the final order will be passed.</p> <p>4. In view thereof, the Hon'ble Minister is requested to take final decision on appeal filed by the applicant within one month from the date of this order. The point of interim relief is kept open, if the decision is not taken within one month.</p> <p>5. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply affidavit.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 27.02.2018. Hamdast.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (J.D. Kulkarni) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>vsm</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.01.2018

M. A. No. 51 of 2018 in
O.A.No.998 of 2017

M. J. P. Montode

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Sakolkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant has filed this M.A. for restoration of O.A. No. 998 of 2017. However, there is a delay of 57 days in filing this M.A. This applicant has, therefore, prayed that delay cause of 57 days for restoration of the O.A. No. 998/17 be condoned.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, the application is allowed with no order as to costs.

4. M.A. No.51/2018 is accordingly disposed of.

DATE : 30/1/18

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

J. D. Kulkarni

(Vice Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A. V. Sakolkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. S. P. Manchekar

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to

M.A. is disposed of.



Sd/-

(J.D. Kulkarni)
Vice-Chairman

vsm

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.01.2018

O.A.No.1152 of 2017

V. V. Tamhankar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri U. V. Sherkhane holding for Shri R. G. Panchal, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In this Original Application, the applicant has claimed that the period commencing from 26.07.2013 to 09.05.2016 (33 months 14 days) during which the applicant was kept under suspension, be treated as period spent on duty and he be granted the consequential monetary benefits. The applicant is also claiming consequential financial benefits.

3. Learned C.P.O. for the respondents, today, placed on record a communication dated 16.12.2017 alongwith a letter. The said communication and letter is marked as 'X' for the purpose of identification. From the communication dated 16.12.2017, it seems that the suspension period has been treated as a duty period. Learned C.P.O. submits that the consequential monetary reliefs can be granted in due course. However, the applicant wants to claim compensatory cost for which the reply of the respondents is necessary.

4. Hence, S.O. to 27.02.2018 for filing reply.

DATE : 30/1/18

COBAM:

Hon'ble Shri. ~~RAJIV AGARWAL~~ J. D. Kulkarni
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. D. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. ~~U. V. Sherkhane, holding~~
~~for R. G. Panchal~~
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. ~~Ms. S. P. Manchekar~~
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To S.O. to 27/2/18.



Sd/-

(J.D. Kulkarni)
Vice-Chairman

vsm

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI.**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 30.01.2018
	<p align="center">M. A. No. 52 of 2018 in O.A.No.998 of 2017</p> <p>M. J. P. MontodeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Sakolkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. O.A. No. 998 of 2017 has been dismissed since the applicant has not filed the service affidavit. The applicant has given various reasons as to why he could not filed the service affidavit. It is stated that the respondents has already been served in the O.A.</p> <p>3. For the reasons stated in the application for restoration of O.A. is allowed in the interest of justice and the O.A. No. 998/2017 shall be taken on board.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply. S.O. to 27.2.2018.</p> <p>5. M.A.52/2018 is accordingly disposed of.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (J.D. Kulkarni) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and other s

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.01.2018

O. A. No.659 of 2017
(D.B)

Vasudha KallurApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S. Patil, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On the last occasion, a communication dated 24.11.2017 was placed on record i.e. Exb. 'X'.

3. Learned Counsel for the applicant submits that he has not satisfied with the said communication and the applicant is claiming appropriate pay-scale.

4. Learned P.O. for the respondents seeks time to file reply affidavit.

5. S.O. to 26.02.2018 for reply.

Sd/-

(J.D. Kulkarni)
Vice-Chairman

vsm

DATE: 30/1/18

CORAM:

J. D. Kulkarni
Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt - S. Patil

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt - A. J. Chougule
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: S.O. to 26/2/18.

For Reply.

[Signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 30.01.2018

O.A.No.491 of 2017
(D.B.)

S. S. Chavan

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Sakolkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the respondents seeks two weeks time to file reply affidavit.

3. S.O. to 14.02.2018.

Sd/-

(J.D. Kulkarni)
Vice-Chairman

DATE : 30/1/18

CORAM : J.D. Kulkarni
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Vice-Chairman

APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. A.V. Sakolkar
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. S. Suryawanshi
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 14/2/18

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 30.01.2018

**M.A. No. 209 of 2017
in
O.A.No.425 of 2017
(D.B.)**

P. D. SalunkheApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Shinde, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the respondents files reply affidavit on behalf of Respondent No.5. The same is taken on record. Its copy is served on the applicant.

3. The matter be kept before the Hon'ble Chairman. S.O. to 20.02.2018.

DATE : 30/1/18

CORAM : J.D. Kulkarni
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
(Vice-Chairman)

APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. A.V. Shinde

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s
Reply filed by P.No.5.

Adj./S.O. to 20/2/18
before Hon'ble Chairman.

vsm

Sd/-

**(J.D. Kulkarni)
Vice-Chairman**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 30.01.2018

O.A.No.1161 of 2017

S. S. Patil & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri C. R. Thorat, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel for the Applicants undertakes to remove office objection.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply affidavit.

4. S.O. to 26.02.2018.

DATE : 30/1/18

CORAM : J.D. Kulkarni
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
(Vice-Chairman)

APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. C.R. Thorat

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. S.P. Manchekar
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 26/2/18.

Sd/-
(J.D. Kulkarni)
Vice-Chairman

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 30.01.2018

O.A.No.1133 of 2017

Dr. V. R. Kamat

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. for the respondents undertakes to file reply during the course of the day.
3. Learned Counsel for the applicant submits that he does not want to file rejoinder and the matter be admitted.
4. In view thereof, the Original Application is admitted and kept for final hearing on 06.02.2018.

DATE : 30/1/18

CORAM : J.D. Kulkarni
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. M.D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

O.A. is admitted.

Adj./S.O. to 6/2/18

FH
@ced

Sd/-

(J.D. Kulkarni)
Vice-Chairman

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.01.2018

O. A. No. 690 of 2017

K. G. Dhagate

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri V. V. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the respondents files reply affidavit on behalf of the respondent no.3. The same is taken on record. Its copy is served on the applicant. Learned P.O. submits that the reply of the other respondents is not necessary.

3. Learned Counsel for the applicant submits that he will go through the reply and files rejoinder, if necessary.

4. S.O. to 20.02.2018.

Sd/-

(J.D. Kulkarni)
Vice-Chairman

vsm

DATE: 30/1/18

CORAM: J.D. Kulkarni
Hon'ble Shri. R.A. ...
(Vice-Chairman)

APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. V.V. Joshi
Advocate for the Applicant.

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents.
Reply filed by R.No.3.

Adj. To
S.O. to 20/2/18.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>30/1/18</u></p> <p>CORAM : <u>J.D. Kulkarni</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) <u>Vice-Chairman</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>C.P. Thorat</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>20/2/18</u></p> <p><u>[Signature]</u></p>	<p>Date : 30.01.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.1085 of 2017</p> <p>D. T. NawleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. P. Thorat, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant undertakes to remove office objection.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply affidavit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.02.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (J.D. Kulkarni) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 30.01.2018

O.A.No.836 of 2017

S. M. HundekarApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard the Applicant in person and Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant is claiming grant of pension, gratuity and other pensionary claims in this O.A.

3. Learned C.P.O. has placed on record one communication dated 29.01.2018 which is marked as Exb. 'X' for the purpose of identification. Its copy is handed over to the applicant.

3. The applicant wants to go through it and make a statement on the next date.

4. S.O. to 07.02.2018.

Sd/-

(J.D. Kulkarni)
Vice-Chairman

vsm

DATE: 30/1/18

CORAM:

J.D. Kulkarni
Hon'ble Shri. RAJVAGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:

Applicant In person

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri. S. P. Manchekar

C.P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To

S.O. to 7/2/18

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Tribunal's orders
--	-------------------

Date : 30.01.2018

O.A.No. 888 of 2017

V. D. Mankar & Ors.Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicant submits that similar matters are tagged with the O.A. and they are pending before the Division Bench and all the matters be placed before the same bench.

3. In view thereof, S.O. to 06.02.2018.

DATE : 30/1/18

CORAM : J.D. Kulkarni
Hon'ble Justice Shri M. D. Lonkar (Chairman)

(Vice-Chairman)

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. M. D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. S. P. Manchekar

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. S.O. to 6/2/18

before Division Bench

It is set Riled by
Adv. M. D. Lonkar

Sd/-

(J.D. Kulkarni)
Vice-Chairman

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and other s

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : _____</p> <p>CORAM : <u>J. D. Kulkarni</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>C. R. Thorat</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B. K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>20/2/18</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>[Signature]</u></p>	<p>Date : 30.01.2018</p> <p>O.A. No.1119 of 2017</p> <p>R. S. Reve & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri C. R. Thorat, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Counsel for the Applicants undertakes to remove office objection. 3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply affidavit. 4. S.O. to 20.02.2018. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (J.D. Kulkarni) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.13/2018

Shri R.N. Chavan ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Shri G. Sadavarte suo motu appeared without filing any Vakalatnama and tried to intervene the matter saying that as many as 186 persons are required to be joined as Respondents in this matter.

3. Vide order dated 8.01.2018, the Additional Chief Secretary, Home was directed that he shall apply mind to the present O.A. and state on the next date as to what reasons, if any, due to which the Applicant cannot be given the treatment as per the policy of the Government letter dated 29.12.2017. Since thereafter, so many dates are taken in the matter, but no statement has been made.

4. On 11.01.2018, time was sought for enabling the Additional Secretary to make a statement. Today also, no statement has been made in this regard.

5. The learned C.P.O. submits that the matter has been processed and the same has been sent to the Hon'ble Chief Minister for taking decision on the subject. It seems that, some decision is under process. However, since the decision has not yet been taken, the learned C.P.O. seeks time to make a submission in this regard.

6. On the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant and the learned C.P.O, the matter is, therefore, kept before the Hon'ble Chairman on 06.02.2018.

7. Hamdast.

Sd/-

(J.D. Kulkarni)
Vice-Chairman
30.01.2018

(skw)

DATE: 30/1/18

CORAM: J.D. Kulkarni
Hon'ble Shri. RAJIVAGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. S.S. Dere

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: 6/2/18.

Hamdast.