

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.640/2018**  
**(Bhimrao Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D.Sugdare learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

Shri S.D.Shelke learned Advocate for respondent no.3 is **absent**.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 15-10-2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be

obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or service proof is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O.to 15-10-2018.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-08-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.645/2018  
(Sambhaji More V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.B.Choudhary learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 27-09-2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of

compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or service proof is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O.to 27-09-2018.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-08-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO.236/2018  
(Bhagwan Wagh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.K.Deshpande learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for time for removing office objections and producing the documents placed on record.

3. S.O. to 28-09-2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-08-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO.1143/2018  
(Sheetal Dudhbhate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G.Pingle learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer (CPO) for respondents.

2. The office has raised objection regarding maintainability of the O.A. on the ground that this Tribunal has no jurisdiction to entertain the O.A. as cause of action arose at Mumbai.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that deceased father of the applicant Shivaji Dudhbhate was serving as a Driver with Collectorate, Mumbai and died while in service on 06-05-2006. After his death, name of his son Tanaji had been enrolled in the list of candidates to be appointed on compassionate ground. But Tanaji also died on 06-11-2010. After death of Tanaji, the applicant filed an application with the respondents for compassionate appointment but the same came to be rejected by impugned

communication dated 08-01-2018. Therefore, the applicant has filed the present Original Application.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant is resident of Village Gulhalli, Tq. Tuljapur, Dist. Osmanabad which comes under the jurisdiction of this Tribunal, and therefore, the present O.A. can be entertained by this Tribunal. In support of his submission, he has placed reliance on the judgment in the case of **Shrikishan Yadav V/s. Commandant, Central Reserve Police Force and others** reported in **2004 (1) MPJR 74**. He has submitted that the applicant is resident of Osmanabad District, and therefore, this Bench of the Tribunal has jurisdiction to entertain the O.A.

5. Learned CPO has submitted that deceased Shivaji Dudhbhate was serving with the Collectorate, Mumbai, and the cause of action to file O.A. arose at Mumbai. Therefore, this

Tribunal has no jurisdiction to entertain the present O.A.

6. I have gone through the provisions of Rule 6 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 which provide that the application shall ordinarily be filed with the Registrar of the Bench within whose jurisdiction the applicant is posted for the time being or the cause of action arisen or the respondent or any of the respondents against whom relief is sought, ordinarily resides. In this matter, the deceased Shivaji, Government servant, was serving with respondent no.2 i.e. Collector, Mumbai. Moreover, the application of the applicant has been rejected by the Collector, Mumbai. Both the respondents are residents of Mumbai, therefore, this Bench of the Tribunal has no jurisdiction to entertain the present O.A. in view of Rule 6 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988.

7. I have gone through the decision of the Hon'ble Madhya Pradesh High Court cited by the



learned Advocate for the applicant. Facts involved in the present case and facts of the cited case are different. In the above cited case, deceased employee was serving in Central Reserve Police Force (CRPF) in the State of Uttar Pradesh. His case was centrally monitored, and therefore, the O.A. was entertained. But facts in the present case are not identical, and therefore, the principle laid down therein is not attracted in the present case.

8. In view of the abovesaid discussion and as per the provisions of Rule 6 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, O.A. cannot be entertained as this Bench of the Tribunal has no jurisdiction. Hence, the O.A. stands rejected. There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.214/2017  
(Chetan Kangarkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri N.P.Bangar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. states that he will file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondent nos.1, 3 and 4 during the course of the day. He also undertakes the serve copy of the reply on the other side.

3. S.O. to 01-10-2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-08-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.228/2017  
(Maroti Nilewad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.U.Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned CPO submits that inadvertently order dated 06-04-2018 could not be communicated to the concerned respondent/s. Therefore, he sought short time to comply the orders dated 06-04-2018 and 10-07-2018. In view of the submissions of the learned CPO time is granted.

3. S.O. to 31-08-2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-08-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.482/2017  
(Mohan Chaudhari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D.Sugdare learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. On perusal of the affidavit in reply filed by the respondent nos.1 and 2 and respondent no.3, it reveals that the affidavits have been sworn in by Nilkanth s/o. Pralhad Mahajan, Sub Divisional Officer, Irrigation Sub Division, Savada, Tq. Raver, Dist. Jalgaon. In paragraph 14 of the affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 and 2, it has been mentioned as follows (paper book page 80):

*“14. With reference to Para No.6(k & l) of the Original Application I say and submit that, the applicant has suppressing the facts that the O.A. No. 701/2015 filed by the applicant before this Hon'ble Tribunal has dismissed on.*

*Once applicant filed O.A. 701/2015 he also filed this present O.A. For same relief which is not maintainable, just and proper and this application has bar and hit by Rejudicata hence its deserve to be dismissed.”*

(Quoted as verbatim from paper book page 80)

3. On perusal of the record it reveals that a copy of the judgment in O.A.No.701/2015 has been placed on record by the respondents themselves. On perusal of the same it reveals that the O.A. has been allowed on 22-09-2017. This shows that the respondents have made false statement on oath stating that the O.A.No.701/2015 has been dismissed. Therefore, the respondent no.1 i.e. Shri I.S.Chahal, Principal Secretary, Water Resource Department, Manatralaya Mumbai is called upon to file his own affidavit as to whether he has authorized Shri Nilkanth s/o. Pralhad Mahajan, Sub Divisional Officer, Irrigation Sub Division, Savada, Tq. Raver, Dist. Jalgaon to swear in the affidavit on his behalf and whether the statement

made in the affidavits are as per the facts and instructions given by him.

4. Issue show cause notice to Nilkanth s/o. Pralhad Mahajan, Sub Divisional Officer, Irrigation Sub Division, Savada, Tq. Raver, Dist. Jalgaon as to why action should not be initiated against him for making false statement on oath.

5. S.O. to 01-10-2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-08-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.589/2017  
(Kailas P. Waval V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has submitted that the office file of the case is missing from the office of CPO. Submission made by the learned P.O. is not acceptable. However, in the interest of justice time is granted.

3. S.O. to 28-09-2018.

4. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-08-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.749/2017  
(Md. Abdul V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.B.Choudhary learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V. R. Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for time for filing affidavit in rejoinder. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 09-10-2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-08-2018 F



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.766/2017  
(Smt. Vanita Shejul V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D.Dhongde learned Advocate holding for Shri Amit S. Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 21-09-2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-08-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.962/2017  
(Bhausahab Rayate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.P.Jadhav learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S.Bayas learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.s1, 2 and 4. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 01-10-2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-08-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.58/2018  
(Dhananjay Mahajan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri H.A.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. None appears for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 28-09-2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-08-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.123/2018  
(Shrikant Mahajan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Prasad Jarare learned Advocate holding for Smt. Trupti Mahajan learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 14-09-2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-08-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.335/2018  
(Suresh Jumani V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.B.Mene learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.2. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side. Learned P.O. states that reply of respondent no.1 is not necessary.

3. S.O. to 28-09-2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-08-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.354/2018  
(Dr. Suryakant Gite V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Abhay Taksal learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Shri R.D.Khadap learned Advocate has appeared and filed Vakalatnama on behalf of respondent no.4. It is taken on record.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed copy of order passed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P.No.5885/2018 dated 19-07-2018 and also a communication dated 27-06-218. Those are taken on record.

4. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.

5. S.O. to 19-09-2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-08-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.180/2018  
(Harshwardhan Gawali V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant on instructions states that during the pendency of the O.A. applicant's suspension order has been revoked and he has been reinstated in service. Therefore, applicant does not want to proceed with the O.A. She has prayed to dispose of the O.A. accordingly.

3. Learned P.O. prayed that appropriate order may be passed.

4. In view of the submission of learned Advocate for the applicant as the purpose of filing the O.A. is served, O.A. stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-08-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.427/2017 IN O.A.St.No.1525/2017  
(Rama Bhuktar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.D.Gadekar learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note** on record.

Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 01-10-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-08-2018 F

**MEMBER (J)**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.435/2017 IN O.A.St.No.1550/2017  
(Bapurao Dumnar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.D.Gadekar learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note** on record.

Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 01-10-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-08-2018 F

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.64/2018 IN O.A.St.No.240/2018  
(Ramdas Palve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply in the M.A. on behalf of respondent no.3. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 26-09-2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-08-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.146/2018 IN O.A.St.No.342/2018  
(Abdul Asif V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri G.G.Suryavanshi learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant remained absent on 27-06-2018, 09-7-2018 and 10-08-2018. Today also none has appeared for the applicant. It seems that the applicant is not interested in prosecuting the matter. Hence, it stands dismissed in default.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-08-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.240/2018 IN O.A.St.No.1055/2018  
(Ashok Gadekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.M.Nagarkar learned Advocate  
for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar learned  
Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in  
reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is  
granted.

3. S.O. to 09-10-2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-08-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.577/2016  
(Dr. Baban Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents and Shri H.P.Jadhav learned Advocate for respondent no.4.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 09-10-2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-08-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.260/2017  
(Bhagwat Bedke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.C.Sonone learned Advocate holding for Shri R.P.Bhumkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for time for arguing the matter finally. Time is granted as a most last chance else matter would be disposed of on the next date.

3. S.O. to 18-09-2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-08-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.121/2018  
(Rajendra Jagdale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for time for filing M.A. for amendment. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 26-09-2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-08-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 470 OF 2018**  
**(Smt. Mahadabai G. Dhulekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.P. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the fresh notices be issued to respondent Nos. 3, 4 & 5. Hence, issue fresh notices to respondent Nos. 3, 4 & 5, returnable on 9<sup>th</sup> October, 2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.



**O.A. NO. 470 OF 2018**

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to the record.

8. S.O. to 9<sup>th</sup> October, 2018.

9. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

**MEMBER (A)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 285 OF 2018  
(Meera J. Dolas V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2. None appears for respondent Nos. 3 & 4.

2. Learned Presenting Officer requests for time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2.

3. It appears from the record that though two chances have already been given to the respondent Nos. 1 & 2, they failed to file affidavit in reply. In the circumstances, time is granted as a last chance.

4. S.O. to 28<sup>th</sup> September, 2018.

5. However, it may be noted that the copy of the affidavit in reply be served on the learned Advocate for the applicant one week before the next date. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 385 OF 2018  
(Shri Kalyan T. Gade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer requests for time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent.

3. It appears from the record that though two chances have already been given to the respondent, he failed to file affidavit in reply. In the circumstances, time is granted as a last chance.

4. S.O. to 4<sup>th</sup> October, 2018.

5. However, it may be noted that the copy of the affidavit in reply be served on the learned Advocate for the applicant one week before the next date.

**MEMBER (A)**

ORAL ORDER 29-08-2018-hdd

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 386 OF 2018  
(Shri Ankush M. Dahiphale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer requests for time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent.

3. It appears from the record that though two chances have already been given to the respondent, he failed to file affidavit in reply. In the circumstances, time is granted as a last chance.

4. S.O. to 4<sup>th</sup> October, 2018.

5. However, it may be noted that the copy of the affidavit in reply be served on the learned Advocate for the applicant one week before the next date.

**MEMBER (A)**

ORAL ORDER 29-08-2018-hdd

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P.NO. 06/2018 IN O.A.NO. 166/2016  
(Shri Gautam P. Padghane & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Devkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed a copy of communication dated 14<sup>th</sup> August, 2018 regarding the compliance of the order in question passed by this Tribunal and the same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification. The learned Presenting Officer shall serve the copy of the same on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 24<sup>th</sup> September, 2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

ORAL ORDER 29-08-2018-hdd

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 176 OF 2018  
(Shri Deepak A. Shelake V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.P. Yenegure, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that affidavit in reply of respondent Nos. 1 to 4 & 6 has already been filed on record. Learned Presenting Officer further seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 5. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 3<sup>rd</sup> October, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 5.

**MEMBER (A)**

ORAL ORDER 29-08-2018-hdd

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 100 OF 2018  
(Shri Ramrao T. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed affidavit in rejoinder and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

3. The learned Presenting Officer, as well as, learned Advocate for the applicant submit that affidavit in reply of respondent No. 4 is not necessary.

4. S.O. to 1<sup>st</sup> October, 2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

ORAL ORDER 29-08-2018-hdd

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 65 OF 2018  
(Smt. Shivkanya R. Chopde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Shubhangi D. More, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri B.S. Devkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3, Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 to 8 and Smt. Sangimitra B. Wadmare, learned Advocate for respondent No. 9.

2. S.O. to 28<sup>th</sup> September, 2018 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

ORAL ORDER 29-08-2018-hdd



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 736 OF 2017  
(Shri Sanjay U. Kale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Since all pleadings are complete, the present case be placed for hearing on admission stage on 6<sup>th</sup> September, 2018. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

ORAL ORDER 29-08-2018-hdd

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 346 OF 2017  
(Shri Balaji B. Latpate & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.A. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he will file a copy of Circular in accordance with the order passed by this Tribunal dated 18.7.2018 within a period of one week.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 27<sup>th</sup> September, 2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

ORAL ORDER 29-08-2018-hdd

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 192 OF 2016  
(Shri Maroti S. Koli V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Syed Jahed Ali, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Golegaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer for the respondents has filed a copy of communication dated 28<sup>th</sup> August, 2018 pursuant to the order passed by this Tribunal dated 7.4.2018 and the same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purposes of identification. However, learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to examine the same. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 4<sup>th</sup> October, 2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

ORAL ORDER 29-08-2018-hdd

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 273 OF 2018  
(Shri Ganesh D. Chavhan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.C. Deshpande, learned Advocate holding for Shri Sandeep B. Sontakke, learned Advocate for the applicant, Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri Pramod A. Salvi, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 & 5.

2. Learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 & 5 submits that he will file affidavit in reply during the course of the day. He undertook to serve the copy of the same on both the sides.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 1<sup>st</sup> October, 2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

ORAL ORDER 29-08-2018-hdd

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P.18/2018 IN O.A.NO. 456/2016  
(Shri Mohd. Imran Khan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the present contempt petition be placed along with Review Petition No. 226/2018 in O.A. No. 456/2016.

3. In the circumstances, the present C.P. be placed along with Review Petition No. 226/2018 on 12<sup>th</sup> September, 2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

ORAL ORDER 29-08-2018-hdd

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 642 OF 2018  
(Dr. Pankaj R. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.08.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vinod P. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant has completed probation period on 24.4.2016 and is due for regularization in service in the year 2016. The proposal has been submitted by the respondent No. 5 to respondent No. 4. The said proposal has been further forwarded for decision to respondent No. 3, who yet to take decision.

3. Respondent No. 3 is directed to take a decision on the proposals within a period of two months from today, failing which he is at liberty to file a short affidavit giving details of his inability to decide the proposals.

4. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 31.10.2018.

**O.A. NO. 642 OF 2018**

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to the record.

10. S.O. to 31.10.2018.

**O.A. NO. 642 OF 2018**

11. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

**MEMBER (A)**

ORAL ORDER 29-08-2018-hdd



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 506/2018  
(Dr. Shobha P. Chandak V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 29.8.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply in the present O.A. At his request, S.O. to 9.10.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-8-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 59/2018 IN O.A. ST. 284/2018  
(Bapu L. Shinde & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 29.8.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files on record a copy of communication dtd. 28.8.2018 received to him from the concerned respondents regarding grant of promotion to the applicants. It is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicants.

3. Learned Advocate seeks time to examine the said communication. At her request, S.O. to 10.9.2018 for advancing submission on the above line.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-8-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 142/2018 IN O.A. 66/2018  
(Dnyeneshwar P. Kadam V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 29.8.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard SSiddharth R. Mane, learned Advocate holding for Shri Kuldeep S. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 4. Shri M.M. Kadam, learned Advocate for respondent no. 5 **(absent)**.

2. Shri Mane, learned Advocate holding for Shri Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time. At his request, S.O. to 21.9.2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-8-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 310/2018 IN O.A. 299/2018  
(Yogesh D. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 29.8.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 10. None appears for respondent nos. 11 to 14.

2. Applicant has filed the present M.A. for recalling the order of the Tribunal dtd. 14.8.2018 passed in O.A. no. 299/2018. He submits that by the said order dtd. 14.8.2018 the interim relief granted earlier was modified by recording the consent, though the applicant has not given his consent therefor.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 19.9.2018 before the Division Bench.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-8-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 311/2018 IN O.A. 653/2018  
(Gorakshanath N. Londhe & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 29.8.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicants requests for amending the prayer clause of the O.A. He is permitted to do so. The said amendment be carried out within a week and copy thereof be served upon the learned P.O.

3. In view of above, the present M.A. stands disposed of without any order as to costs.

4. Original Application be placed on board on the date already fixed i.e. on 15.9.2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-8-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 312/2018 IN O.A. 654/2018  
(Somnath B. Bagul & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 29.8.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicants requests for amending the prayer clause of the O.A. He is permitted to do so. The said amendment be carried out within a week and copy thereof be served upon the learned P.O.

3. In view of above, the present M.A. stands disposed of without any order as to costs.

4. Original Application be placed on board on the date already fixed i.e. on 15.9.2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-8-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 314/2018 IN O.A. ST. 1421/2018  
(Gajanan P. Khot & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 29.8.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, and to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

4. Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-8-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. ST. 1421/2018**

**(Gajanan P. Khot & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 29.8.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of submissions made by the learned Advocate for the applicants, interim relief in terms of para 9 (b) of the O.A. is hereby granted till the respondents file detailed affidavit in reply or short affidavit. The para 9 (b) reads as under:-

*“9(b) Pending the hearing and final disposal of this Original Application, the respondents No. 1 and 2 may kindly be restrained from taking any coercive action against the applicants including that of reversion on the basis of the impugned final seniority list as well as pursuant to the communications dated 28.2.2018 and 9.7.2018.”*

3. Issue notice before admission to the respondents, returnable on 3.10.2018.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.



6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

9. S.O. to 3.10.2018.

10. Steno copy / humdast allowed for both the sides.

**MEMBER (A)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 62/2015  
(Manoj B. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 29.8.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.V. Patil, learned Advocate for the  
applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondents.

2. As the Division Bench is not available, S.O. to  
24.9.2018 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-8-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 119/2015  
(Mohanchandr J. Panjol & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 29.8.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicants. Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. As the Division Bench is not available, S.O. to 24.9.2018 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-8-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 245/2015  
(Jagannath H. Mhaske V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 29.8.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Sangita Nenwani, learned Advocate  
holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for  
the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate,  
learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As the Division Bench is not available, S.O. to  
26.9.2018 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-8-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 363/2015  
(Prabhu N. Ambad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 29.8.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate for  
the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate,  
learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As the Division Bench is not available, S.O. to  
26.9.2018 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-8-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 461/2015  
(Vijay L. Tarode V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 29.8.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As the Division Bench is not available, S.O. to 26.9.2018 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-8-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 126/2016  
(Anjanbai H. Suryawanshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 29.8.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned  
Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar,  
learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As the Division Bench is not available, S.O. to  
26.9.2018 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-8-2018