

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. Nos. 267, 331, 332, 333, 334, 335, 336, 337, 338, 349, 421,  
422, 423 & 473 ALL OF 2017 and O.A. Nos. 23 & 207 both of 2018  
(Dr. Sachit D. Waghmare and Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these O.As., S/shri M.S. Mahajana & M.P. Gude, learned Chief Presenting Officer and Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 respective O.As., Shri P.K. Wagh, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.D. Aghav, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 & 5 in O.A. No. 331, 332, 333, 336 & 349 all of 2017 and Shri Ashish B. Shinde, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4 in O.A. Nos. 335/2017, 421/2017, 423/2017 & 23/2018. Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4 in O.A. Nos. 267, 337 & 338 all of 2017, **absent**.

2. The present O.As. are disposed of by this common order as the facts and issues involved in all these O.As. are similar and identical

3. By filing the present Original Applications, the applicants have prayed to direct the respondents to give them benefits of increments from the date of their initial appointment on ad-hoc basis.

4. It is contention of the applicants that they were appointed as Medical Officer on ad-hoc basis by different orders issued by the respondents. Thereafter, they have been continued in service from time to time. It is their contention that they have been regularized in the service on 11.02.2009 as per the notification issued by the respondents on 02.02.2009 by way of one time absorption. It is their further contention that from the date of their absorptions in the service, they have been given benefits of annual increments but the respondents had not given them benefits of annual increments from the date of their initial appointment on ad-hoc basis. It is their contention that this Tribunal has extended the said benefits to the similarly situated persons appointed on ad-hoc basis in various cases.

5. It is their contention that the decision given by this Tribunal extending benefits of annual increments to other similarly situated employees was challenged by the Government before the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature of Bombay Bench at Aurangabad by filing Writ Petition No. 720/2006 & other Writ Petitions but the Hon'ble High Court has dismissed the said Writ Petition on 27-11-2008 and confirmed the view taken by the Tribunal. It is their contention that the Government challenged the order of Hon'ble High Court before the Hon'ble Apex Court by filing

Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No. C.C. 18902-18915/2010 but the Hon'ble Apex Court has dismissed the same on 02-02-2011. It is their contention that as per the Maharashtra Civil Services (Pay) Rules 1981, they are entitled to get yearly increments from the date of their initial appointment but the respondents had not granted the same to them, and therefore, they have approached this Tribunal.

6. Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 have resisted the contentions of the applicants by filing their affidavit in reply. They have admitted the fact that the applicants were initially appointed as Medical Officer on ad-hoc basis purely on temporary basis. It is their contention that as per Clause 4(vii) of the said notification dated 02-02-2009, the service rendered on ad-hoc basis by the Medical Officers prior to their absorption shall not be considered for pay, pension, leave and grant of promotion as a specialist or any other post under the Assured Career Progression Scheme. It is their contention that after absorption, the regular increments have been granted to the applicants. It is their contention that as per the said rules, the applicants are not entitled to get increments for earlier services rendered by them on ad-hoc basis. Therefore, the respondents have prayed to dismiss the present O.As.

7. Learned Advocate for the applicants has submitted that the applicants have been appointed as Medical Officer on ad-hoc basis initially and thereafter, they were continued in services after giving technical breaks. Therefore, they have been absorbed in the service w.e.f. 11.02.2009 and thereafter they are getting regular annual increments. But the respondents have not granted them increments from their initial date of appointment on ad-hoc basis. He has submitted that this Tribunal has earlier decided the issue involved in the matter and granted annual increments to the Medical Officers appointed initially on ad-hoc basis and absorbed in service in view of Special Rules of 2009. He has submitted that the similarly situated persons have approached this Tribunal by filing O.A. and claimed benefits of annual increments regarding that initial services rendered on ad-hoc basis and this tribunal has granted benefits to them. He has submitted that the decision of the Tribunal rendered in various cases has been challenged by the Government before the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature of Bombay Bench at Auranabad by filing Writ Petitions, but the Writ Petitions came to be dismissed on 27-11-2008. Said decision was challenged by the Government before the Hon'ble Supreme Court by filing Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No. C.C. 18902-18915/2010, but the

Hon'ble Apex Court dismissed the said civil applications on 02-02-2011. He has submitted that in view of this, it is just and proper to direct the respondents to extend the same benefits to the present applicants by allowing the present O.As.

8. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that the respondents by communication dated 12.10.2018 informed him that the respondents are going to take appropriate decision in view of the decision taken by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No. 772 of 2016 & Ors. in case of the State of Maharashtra & Ors. Vs. Siddeshwar Ramrao Mundhe decided on 23.11.2017. Copy of which has been placed on record in O.A. No. 267/2017 (document 'X'). In view of this, he has prayed to dispose of the present O.As. accordingly.

9. On perusal of the record, it reveals that by the communication dated 12.10.2018, the respondents have agreed to extend the benefits of grant of annual increments to the applicants from the date of their initial appointment on ad-hoc basis in view of the decision of the High Court in W.P. No.720/2006 dated 27.11.2008. Therefore, there is no need to enter into the arena of the merit in the O.As. Since the respondents agreed to extend the benefit of grant of annual increments to the applicants from the

date of their initial appointment in view of the decision rendered by this Tribunal in several O.As. and the decision of the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature of Bombay Bench at Aurangabad in W.P. No. 720/2006, which has been upheld by the Hon'ble Apex Court in Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No. C.C. 18902-18915/2010, it would be just and proper to extend the same benefits to the present applicants by allowing the O.As.

10. In view of the discussion in the foregoing paragraphs, O.As. are allowed. The applicants are held eligible to get annual increments from the date of their annual appointments on ad-hoc basis. Respondents are directed to grant benefits of annual increments to the applicants from their initial date of appointment. No order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 127 OF 2018  
(Dr. Rajendra D. Pandharkar & Ors.V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicants, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Ms. Sonali Shahagadkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.V. Tapse Patil, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 along with the order dated 20.08.2018 granting increments to the applicants. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the applicants have claimed reliefs for grant of benefits of additional increments in view of the G.R. dated 14.12.2011. He has submitted that the increments as claimed by the applicants have been released by the respondent No. 2 by the order dated 20.08.2018 and therefore, nothing remains in the present O.A. Therefore, he prayed to dispose of the O.A.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicants has submitted that though the order dated

20.08.2018 granting increments to the applicants has been issued by the respondent No. 2, it has not been implemented till today and therefore, he prayed to adjourn the matter

5. On perusal of prayer clauses of the O.A., it reveals that the applicants are claiming following reliefs :-

- A) By issue of an appropriate order or direction, the respondent No. 4 may kindly be directed to implement the communication dated 9.2.2017, issued by respondent No. 3 on the strength of Govt. Resolution dated 14.12.2011, issued by the State in its Public Health Department.
- B) By issue of an appropriate order or direction, the respondent No. 4 may kindly be directed to implement the communication dated 9.2.2017, issued by the respondent No. 3 and grant the benefit of 6 additional increments to all the applicants in view of the Govt. Resolution dated 14.12.2011, forthwith.”

6. The respondent No. 2 has issued the order dated 20.08.2018 and released the increments to the applicants in view of the G.R. dated 14.12.2011. The substantial prayer made by the applicants has been satisfied. Therefore, nothing remains in the present O.A. Hence, the O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 387 OF 2016  
(Dr. Nomani Md. Mufti Tahair V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Vinaya Mule-Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 13.12.2018, for filing rejoinder affidavit.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 832 OF 2017  
(Shri Ramkrishan K. Mhaske & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that during the course of the day the affidavit in reply would be filed.

3. S.O. to 10.12.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 122 OF 2018  
(Shri Ramdas T. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 10.12.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 348/2018 with M.A. St. 701/2018 in O.A. 517/2015  
(Shri Pathan W. Yunuskhani V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate Shri R.K. Bhakade, has filed **VAKAIL PATRA** on behalf of respondent Nos. 7 & 8. Same is taken on record. He has also filed separate affidavit in reply of respondent Nos. 7 & 8 respectively. Same are taken on record and copies thereof have been served on the other side.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 13.12.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 532 OF 2017  
(Shri Tukaram S. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 04.12.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 332 OF 2018  
(Smt. Sangita S. Solanke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate  
for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned  
Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the  
applicant, S.O. to 22.11.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 330/2017 in O.A. No. 578/2016  
(C.H. Dongaonkar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondent No. 1 and Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 to 5.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant does not want to proceed with the present M.A. and therefore, she prayed to dispose of the same.

3. In view of the submissions made by the learned Advocate for the applicant, the M.A. No. 330/2017 is disposed of with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 578 OF 2016  
(C.H. Dongaonkar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondent No. 1 and Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 to 5.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 10.12.2018, for filing written notes of argument.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 822 OF 2018  
(Shri Virendra P. Dhivare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 05-12-2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, the case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O.to 05-12-2018.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 825 OF 2018  
(Shri Shivaji R. Dahiwal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 01.11.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1382 OF 2018  
(Shri Shaikh Nasir Sk. Miya V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri G.R. Gotiwale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 12-12-2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, the case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O.to 12-12-2018.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 17 OF 2018  
(Shri Raghunath V. Jagtap V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4, are present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 29.11.2018 for passing dismissal order.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 421/2018 in O.A. No. 51/2018  
(Shri David S. Ganthur & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Smita S. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 13-12-2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, the case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O.to 13-12-2018.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 89 OF 2018  
(Smt. Sayeda Khalida Md. Naeem V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 and 2. Shri S.R. Pande, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3, **absent**.

2. No affidavit in reply has been filed by the respondent No. 3.

3. S.O. to 29.11.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 151 OF 2018  
(Shri Rajendra G. Sonwane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.B. Pawar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, as a most last chance, S.O. to 31.10.2018, for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 329 OF 2018  
(Shri Nitin S. Wadkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. S.G. Jahagirdar, learned Advocate holding for Shri N.K. Tungar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete. Hence, the present O.A. is admitted and it be kept for final hearing on 13.12.2018.

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 351 OF 2018  
(Shri Vishnu J. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Amruta Paranjape-Menezes,  
learned Advocate holding for Shri Joslyn A.  
Menezes, learned Advocate for the applicant and  
Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for  
respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the  
applicant, S.O. to 30.11.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 368 OF 2018  
(Smt. Mokshada M. Garje V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Amruta Paranjape-Menezes,  
learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt.  
Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer  
for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the  
applicant, S.O. to 30.11.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 369 OF 2018  
(Smt. Shaila P. Tele V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Amruta Paranjape-Menezes,  
learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.  
Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for  
respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the  
applicant, S.O. to 30.11.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 459 OF 2018  
(Shri Bhaidas D. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, as a last chance, S.O. to 28.11.2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 467 OF 2018  
(Shri Dilip T. Bagule V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 28.11.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 468 OF 2018  
(Shri Ravikiran M. Ghante V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.T. Jagtap, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 and 2. Shri Nagesh Talekar/P.B. Bharat, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3, absent.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 & 2, as a last chance, S.O. to 12.12.2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 644 OF 2018  
(Shri Ganesh S. Markad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for  
the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar,  
learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. S.O. to 31.10.2018.

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 646 OF 2018  
(Shri Umesh S. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for  
the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned  
Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. S.O. to 31.10.2018.

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 647 OF 2018  
(Shri Swarup V. Kankal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri B.H. Gaikwad, learned Advocate holding for Shri Md. Attaur Rehman, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

2. Learned Advocate for respondent No. 4 has filed affidavit in reply. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for respondent No. 4, S.O. to 31.10.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 648 OF 2018  
(Shri Sakharam D. Mandavgade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. S.O. to 31.10.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. Nos. 862, 863, 864, 865, 866, 867, 868, 869, 870, 871, 872,  
873, 874, 875, 876, 877, 878, 879, 880, 881 & 882 all of 2017  
(Shri Tulshidas K. Patil & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.K. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these O.As. and S/shri M.S. Mahajan, S.K. Shirse, N.U. Yadav, D.R. Patil, V.R. Bhumkar, B.S. Deokar, M.P. Gude, Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, Smt. S.K. Ghate-Deshmukh, Smt. M.S. Patni and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Chief Presenting Officer and Presenting Offices for respondents in respective O.As.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer and Presenting Officers have filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 and 3 in all these O.As. Same are taken on record and copies thereof have been served on the other side. They have submitted that there is no need to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1 in all these O.As.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 03.12.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 177 OF 2018  
(Shri Gangadhar M. Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.K. Ashtekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time for filing service affidavit of respondent No. 2. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 12.12.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 476/2016 in O.A. St. No. 2024/2016  
(Shri Devidas B. Ahire V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri T.R. Daware, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for respondents, S.O. to 11.12.2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.191/2017 IN O.A.ST.NO.519/2017  
(Shaikh Aminuddin V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri U.A.Khekale learned Advocate  
for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar learned  
Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the  
parties, S.O. to 28-11-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018 F

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.115/2018 IN O.A.St.No.295/2018  
(Pratidnya Pulkantwar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri D.B.Bhange learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 11-12-2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.182/2018 IN O.A.St.No.789/2018  
(Chandrakant Marotrao Choudante V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.G.Kamble learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that after filing the O.A. the applicant has retired from service, and therefore, the applicant does not wish to proceed with the M.A. and O.A. and he wants to withdraw the same.

3. Learned P.O. prays to pass necessary order.

4. In view of the submission of learned Advocate for the applicant M.A. and O.A. are disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.184/2018 IN O.A.No.345/2018  
(Dr. Shivaji T. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant in O.A., Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents and Shri Amit Savale learned Advocate for respondent nos.3 to 5. Shri S.B.Bhosale learned Advocate for applicant in M.A. is **absent**.

2. Learned P.O. has placed copy of communication dated 26-10-2018. It is taken on record.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time is granted. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

3. S.O. to 27-11-2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.201/2018 IN O.A.No.243/2018  
(Shyamsingh Chauhan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri B.V.Thombre learned Advocate holding for Shri M.B.Ubale learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.2 to 4. It is taken on record. Learned P.O. states that reply of respondent no.1 is not necessary.

3. S.O. to 11-12-2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.256/2018 IN O.A.St.No.535/2018  
(Vinod Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.P.Sonpethkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.2 and 3. He states that reply of respondent no.1 is not necessary.

3. S.O. to 10-12-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018 F

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.419/2018 IN O.A.No.947/2017  
(Shddamati Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.B.Gaikwad learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. For the reasons mentioned in paragraph nos.1 and 2 of the M.A., the same deserves to be allowed subject to payment of costs of Rs.500/- (Rs. Five Hundred Only).

3. In view of this M.A. is allowed. Order of dismissal passed in O.A. is set aside. O.A. be restored to its original stage on payment of costs of Rs.500/- (Rs. Five Hundred Only).

4. After depositing costs, case to come up on board.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.659/2018  
(Sanjay Bhamre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Devidas R. Shelke learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 27-11-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018 F

**MEMBER (J)**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.835/2017  
(Kailas Yalis (Patil) V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri C.T.Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted a bunch of documents. Those are taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time to argue the matter finally. Time is granted.

4. S.O. to 10-12-2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.187/2017  
(Mukesh Gunjal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.D.Khadap learned Advocate  
for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar learned  
Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Both parties have sought time to argue the  
matter finally. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 06-12-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018 F

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A.No.131/2016 WITH M.A.No.249/2018  
WITH O.A.No.157/2016 & 218/2016  
(Narayan Jadhav & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ganesh Jadhav learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S.Shelke learned Advocate for the applicants in all the cases and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents in respective cases.

2. Applicants in M.A. (who are applicant nos.1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 9 & 14 in the O.A.No.131/2016) have submitted that they do not want to proceed with the O.A. and they want to withdraw their claim.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submitted that the present applicants in the M.A. do not wish to proceed with the O.A. and they want to withdraw the same. He prayed for permission to withdraw the O.A. so far as the applicant nos.1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 9 & 14 are concerned.

Therefore, applicant nos. 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 9 & 14 in the O.A. are permitted to withdraw the O.A.

4. Claim of the above applicants are disposed of accordingly as withdrawn. Name of these applicants be deleted from the O.A.No.131/2016. O.A.No.131/2016 so far as the remaining applicants are concerned to proceed further. M.A.No.249/2018 is disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs.

5. S.O. to 13-12-2018 in the O.A.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.600/2016  
(Sadashiv Daware V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri C.T.Jadhav learned Advocate holding for Shri K.M.Nagarkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to comply with the order dated 10-10-2018. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 13-12-2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.764/2017  
(Vijaykumar Raut V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G.Kulkarni learned Advocate holding for Smt. Suchita Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. M.S.Patni learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos.1 to 3 and Shri S.B.Mene learned Advocate for respondent no.4.

2. Learned P.O. for respondent no.3 and learned Advocate for respondent no.4 have submitted that they have no instructions from the respondent nos.3 and 4 for depositing costs as imposed by the earlier order/s of the Tribunal. Time is granted to deposit costs till tomorrow.

3. S.O. to 30-10-2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 29-10-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 827 OF 2018**

[Shri Shailendra P. Sandanshiv Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.

**DATE : 29.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Chandrakant P. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 13<sup>th</sup> December, 2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the

**:: - 2 - ::**

**O.A. NO. 827 OF 2018**

questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, O.A. shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

**8. Considering that the present applicant appears to have been appointed on the post of Law Officer, Grade 'B' at Jalgaon, Annexure 'A-3', page-24, however, he is now posted at Nashik Rural and in his place respondent No. 4, who was only simplicitor Law Officer, presently punitive clause No. 10 of the posting order, Annexure 'A-9', page-41, shall not be given effect until further orders.**

9. S.O.to 13<sup>th</sup> December, 2018.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 29.10.2018-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 703 OF 2018**

[Dr. Prithviraj K. Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

[This matter is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-  
availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 29.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present case be placed before the Division Bench for hearing on the point of maintainability, on 29<sup>th</sup> November, 2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 29.10.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 120/2018 IN O.A.ST.NO. 468/2018**

[Shri Prashant A. Falke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

[This matter is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-  
availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 29.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Naseem R. Shaikh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. The present Miscellaneous Application is being taken for final disposal at the request and by consent of both the parties.
3. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.
4. Delay of 1 year, 1 month & 10 days caused in filing accompanying O.A. sought to be condoned. He submits that since second appeal was filed before the respondent No. 4 though not provided by law and since same was decided on 6.3.2018, the delay has occurred as there was bona-fide mistake in prosecuting the matter before the wrong forum. He additionally relies on the judgment delivered by the Hon'ble Supreme

Court in the case **of STATE OF KERALA AND  
ORS. VS. M.G. PRESANNA** reported in AIR  
**2011 SC (SUPP) 509.**

5. Learned Presenting Officer opposes the present Miscellaneous Application for condonation of delay caused in filing accompanying Original Application.

6. For the reasons forwarded in the present Miscellaneous Application, the same is allowed and disposed of without any order as to costs. The delay of about 1 year 1 month and 10 days caused in filing accompanying Original Application is hereby condone. The accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered after due scrutiny.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 142/2018 IN O.A.NO. 66/2018**

[Shri Dnyeneshwar P. Kadam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

[This matter is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-  
availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 29.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri D.D. Sarvade Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri Kuldeep S. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4. Shri M.M. Kadam, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5 (**absent**).

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time. It is to be noted that on 21.9.2018 the learned Advocate for the applicant made statement that the certificate regarding verification of sports certificate of the present applicant is likely to be issued in near future and sought time. Thereafter, the present case was adjourned to 9<sup>th</sup> October, 2018 and thereafter today. In the circumstances, as a last chance, S.O. to 4<sup>th</sup> December, 2018 for making statement or for passing necessary orders in the matter.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 29.10.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 329/2018 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1393/2018**

[Dr. Rekha K. Bhandare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

[This matter is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-  
availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 29.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Smt. Jayshri P. Reddy, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
  
2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 12<sup>th</sup> December, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 29.10.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 385/2018 IN O.A.NO. 550/2014**

[Shri Jagannath K. Kagale & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

[This matter is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-  
availability of Division Bench.

**DATE : 29.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present Miscellaneous Application is being taken up for final disposal at the request and by consent of both the parties.

3. The present M.A. has been filed by the applicants seeking following reliefs: -

***“A] The applicant be permitted to represent deceased Baburao in the Original Application in the capacity of Legal Representatives & abatement be set aside.***

***B] The delay of 114 days caused in filing this Misc. Application may kindly be condoned in the interest of justice.***

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed true copy of the form submitted for family pension with the respondents, which would show that the present applicants are legal

**M.A.NO. 385/2018 IN  
O.A.NO. 550/2014**

representatives of the deceased applicant No. 20 viz. Baburao Govindrao Kulkarni. The copy of the said form is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purposes of identification.

5. For the reasons stated in the present M.A., the same is allowed and disposed of without any order as to costs. The delay of about 114 days caused in filing this Misc. Application is hereby condoned and the learned Advocate for the applicant is permitted to bring on record, legal representatives of deceased applicant No. 20 viz. Baburao Govindrao Kulkarni. The said exercise be carried out forthwith.

6. Place the O.A. No. 550/2014 S.O. to 5<sup>th</sup> December, 2018 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 152 OF 2007**

[Shri Vijaykumar B. Rathi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

[This matter is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-  
availability of Division Bench.

**DATE : 29.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Shri M.M. Bhokrikar, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. Due to non-availability of Division Bench, S.O. to 18<sup>th</sup> December, 2018 either for final hearing or for passing necessary orders in default.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 29.10.2018-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 211 TO 217 ALL OF 2015**  
[Arundhati D. Nagre & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

[This matter is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-  
availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 29.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Shri G.G. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicants (**absent**). Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.
  
2. Due to non-availability of Division Bench, S.O. to 11<sup>th</sup> December, 2018 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 29.10.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 743 OF 2016**

[Shri Rakesh D. Barela Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

[This matter is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-  
availability of Division Bench.

**DATE : 29.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Shri D.K. Rajput, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3, present. Shri Vivek Bhavthankar, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 & 5 (**absent**).

2. On 16.10.2018, the learned Advocate for the applicant made a statement that he would file copies of orders passed in similar cases, on record. In view of the absence of the applicant or his counsel, S.O. to 18<sup>th</sup> December, 2018 for final hearing and for filing copies of the order as referred in order dated 16.10.2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 29.10.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 07 OF 2017**

[Shri Shishirbaba K. Ghonmode Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

[This matter is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-  
availability of Division Bench.

**DATE : 29.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Sandip Rathod, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Surekha Mahajan, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Due to non-availability of Division Bench, S.O. to 20<sup>th</sup> December, 2018 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 29.10.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 295 OF 2012**

[Dr. Arvind N. Bagate & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

[This matter is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-  
availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 29.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Milind Patil, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed written notes of arguments and the same is taken on record.

3. Learned Presenting Officer submits that he will file written notes of arguments, on the next date.

4. In the circumstances, due to non-availability of Division Bench, S.O. to 27<sup>th</sup> November, 2018 for filing written notes of arguments by the learned Presenting Officer.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 29.10.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 463 OF 2013**

[Shri Digambar M. Pandit Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

[This matter is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-  
availability of Division Bench.

**DATE : 29.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
  
2. Due to non-availability of Division Bench, S.O. to 12<sup>th</sup> December, 2018 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 29.10.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 491 OF 2013**

[Shri Devidas T. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

[This matter is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-  
availability of Division Bench.

**DATE : 29.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
  
2. Due to non-availability of Division Bench, S.O. to 17<sup>th</sup> December, 2018 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 29.10.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 764 OF 2013**

[Shri Chandramohan D. Bhate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

[This matter is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-  
availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 29.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Sandip Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> December, 2018 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 29.10.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 304 OF 2014**

[Shri Popat K. Bachkar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

[This matter is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-  
availability of Division Bench.

**DATE : 29.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri M.C. Syed, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
  
2. Due to non-availability of Division Bench, S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> December, 2018 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 29.10.2018-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 250 OF 2014**

[The Karyakari Adhikari Sanghatna, RTO, through its Joint Secretary  
Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

[This matter is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-  
availability of Division Bench.

**DATE : 29.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
  
2. Due to non-availability of Division Bench, S.O. to 11<sup>th</sup> December, 2018 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 29.10.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A.NOS. 750 & 763 BOTH OF 2015**

[Shri Dnyaneshwar L. Kedare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

[This matter is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-  
availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 29.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Smt. Divya Tyagi, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicants in both these cases and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both these cases.
2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed a copy of communication dated 31<sup>st</sup> October, 2018 received to him by the concerned respondent and the same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purposes of identification.
3. Due to non-availability of Division Bench, S.O. to 19<sup>th</sup> December, 2018 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 29.10.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 852 OF 2016**

[Shri Ankush K. Tekale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

[This matter is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-  
availability of Division Bench.

**DATE : 29.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.
  
2. Due to non-availability of Division Bench, S.O. to 17<sup>th</sup> December, 2018 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 29.10.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A.NOS. 50 & 255 BOTH OF 2017**

[Shri Balesh D. Bhendekar & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

[This matter is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-  
availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 29.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicants in both these cases and Shri M.P. Gude & Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officers for the respective respondents in respective cases.

2. Learned Presenting Officers submit that affidavit in reply to the amended O.A. of the respondent M.P.S.C. remained to be filed. In the circumstances, S.O. to 27<sup>th</sup> November, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply of respondent M.P.S.C. and for final hearing. The interim relief granted earlier in O.A. No. 50/2017 to continue till then.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 29.10.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 430 OF 2017**

[Shri Chous Galeb Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

[This matter is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-  
availability of Division Bench.

**DATE : 29.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. Due to non-availability of Division Bench, S.O. to 19<sup>th</sup> December, 2018 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 29.10.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 516 OF 2017**

[Shri Sunil B. Panchal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

[This matter is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-  
availability of Division Bench.

**DATE : 29.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
  
2. Due to non-availability of Division Bench, S.O. to 18<sup>th</sup> December, 2018 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 29.10.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 407/2018 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1303/2018**

[Shri Vikram N. Mhaske Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

[This matter is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-  
availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 29.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Shri M.K. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. It appears from the proceedings that on the last date also nobody appeared for the applicant. In the circumstances, S.O. to 5<sup>th</sup> December, 2018 for preliminary hearing or for passing necessary orders.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 29.10.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 563/2014  
(Tushar B. Rajput V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.V. Tungar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file sur-rejoinder of the respondents. At his request, S.O. to 11.12.2018 for filing sur-rejoinder and also for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-10-2018



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 701/2018  
(Dr. Santosh N. Kotule & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri G.M. Ghongade, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.G. Chapalgaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicants submits that the acknowledgements of service of notice of res. nos. 5, 6, 8 & 21 are not received till date. He submits that, leave may be granted to him to bring on record the alternative addresses of res. nos. 5, 6, 8 & 21 and thereupon fresh notices be issued to the said respondents on the alternative addresses. Leave as prayed for is hereby granted.

3. Upon bringing on record the alternative addresses of res. nos. 5, 6, 8 & 21, issue fresh notices to them, returnable on 10.12.2018.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice

that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

9. S.O. to 10.12.2018.

10. Steno copy / hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 103 AND 104 BOTH OF 2017  
(Navnath A. Matsagar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the matters and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents in both the matters.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 12.12.2018 for filing rejoinder in both the matters.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 566/2017  
(Rajendra G. Mane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.R. Khutwad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file affidavit in rejoinder of the applicant. At his request, S.O. to 12.12.2018 for filing rejoinder.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 418/2018  
(Kavita T. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent no. 1.

2. Shri Ravindra V. Gore, learned Advocate has filed V.P. for res. no. 2. It is taken on record. He seeks time to file affidavit in reply of res. no. 2.

3. In the circumstances, at the request of Shri Gore, learned Advocate for the res. no. 2, S.O. to 12.12.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of res. no. 2.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 584/2018  
(Pandit R. Arane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Both the sides submit that the provisional pension is being paid to the present applicant. They also submit that the present O.A. can be disposed of by directing the res. no. 3 to conclude the departmental enquiry pending against the applicant within a specified period.

3. In the circumstances, the present O.A. is disposed of without any order as to costs with a direction to the res. no. 3 to conclude the departmental enquiry initiated against the applicant within a period of 4 months from the date of this order in case he cooperates for the same.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 631/2018  
(Chudaman D. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicant. Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, is present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply of the respondents. At his request, S.O. to 13.12.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 638/2018  
(Rajkumar D. Barwal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri B.V. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that service affidavit regarding service of notice upon the respondents is ready. The same be filed in the office.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply of the respondent nos. 1 and 3 to 5 and also submits that there are no instructions from the res. no. 2. In the circumstances, at the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 5.12.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of res. nos. 1 and 3 to 5 and also for appearance of res. no.2.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-10-2018



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 607/2018  
(Jagdish B. Gadakh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicant. Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents, is present.

2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply of the respondents. At his request, S.O. to 17.12.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**T.A. 2/2018 (W.P. 8855/2018)  
(Asmita M. Kekani & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Amol Gaikwad, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply of the respondents. At his request, S.O. to 17.12.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 609/2018  
(Varsha J. Sabale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Amol Gaikwad, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply of the respondents. At his request, S.O. to 13.12.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 762/2017  
(Manoranjan R. Dhas V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, is present.

2. None appears for the applicant. None has appeared for the applicant on the last date also. In the circumstances, S.O. to 27.11.2018 either for hearing on the line of order dtd. 7.9.2018 or for passing necessary orders.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P. 55/2018 IN O.A. 635/2013  
(Dr. Ashok R. Dhaktode V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Both the sides submit that in the writ petition filed against the order of the Tribunal in the O.A., reference of which is made in the order dtd. 11.10.2018, interim relief is granted by the Hon'ble High Court. In the circumstances, S.O. to 20.12.2018 for reporting further progress in the writ petition.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 174/2018  
(Divakar R. Deshmukh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files on record copy of the enquiry report in the departmental enquiry against the present applicant. It is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant still submits that he has no instructions from the applicant in this regard. It is to be noted that on the last date i.e. on 21.9.2018 itself the learned P.O. has made a statement in this regard and learned Advocate sought time to take instructions from his client regarding the same.

4. Considering all the facts on record, the present O.A. is disposed of without any order as to costs.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 415/2018  
(Rameshwar B. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Prashant Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant (**leave note**). Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, is present.

2. On 26.9.2018 the learned P.O. has made a statement that the enquiry against the present applicant is already concluded. On that day, the learned Advocate sought time to take instructions from his client and the matter was adjourned to 10.10.2018. On 10.10.2018, none appeared for the applicant for making statement on the line of order dtd. 26.9.2018 i.e. regarding conclusion of D.E. against the applicant.

3. In the circumstances, in view of the statement of learned P.O. that the D.E. against the present applicant is already concluded, the present O.A. has become infructuous and, therefore, the same is disposed of as such without any order as to costs.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 741/2018  
(Prakash H. Khaparde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant files on record copy of decision taken by the concerned respondent dtd. 20.10.2018 along with copy of order dtd. 19.10.2018, which are taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification, which would show that in place of the present applicant some other incumbent is sought to be posted.

3. Similarly the learned P.O. also files on record copy of communication dtd. 9.10.2018 received to him from the concerned respondent, which is taken on record and marked as document 'X-1' for the purpose of identification with copy thereof to other side, which would show that instead of taking any fresh decision on the line of order of the Tribunal dtd. 26.9.2018, the concerned respondents want to contest the present O.A. in full-fledged manner by filing detailed affidavit in reply.



4. In view of above, the learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the interim relief may be granted as, vide order dtd. 26.9.2018, the point of considering the interim relief was directed to be taken up for hearing on today.

**5. The order of the Tribunal dtd. 26.9.2018 is speaking order. For the reasons already forwarded in the said order, interim stay to the effect and execution of the orders dtd. 20.10.2018 & 19.10.2018 passed by the concerned respondent is hereby granted until further orders.**

6. For taking necessary steps or for filing detailed affidavit in reply by the respondents, S.O. to 19.11.2018.

7. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.o.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 77/2017  
(Rajesh B. Yawalkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Rajesh B. Yawalkar, applicant in person and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Party in person is seeking time on the ground that his Advocate is not present. It is to be noted that, for a period of more than 6 months, the present matter is adjourned for hearing on admission. In the circumstances, S.O. to 27.11.2018, either for taking necessary steps by the applicant or his learned Advocate or for passing necessary order.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**T.A. 3/2018 (W.P. 9260/2018)**

**WITH**

**T.A. 5/2018 (W.P. 9261/2018)**

**WITH**

**T.A. 6/2018 (W.P. 9314/2018)**

**(Mangesh R. Datar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade and Shri Prithvi Rathod, learned Advocate holding for Shri Abhay Rathod & Shri N.S. Kadarale, learned Advocates for the applicants in respective matters and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents in all these matters.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 12.12.2018 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 138/2018  
(Tushar B. Chikhale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri C.K. Bodhke, learned Advocate holding for Shri H.K. Munde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of Shri Bodhke, learned Advocate holding for Shri Munde, learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 7.12.2018 for filing rejoinder of the applicant.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 568/2018  
(Sonyaabapu G. Bachkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.S. Dighe, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri Parag Bhosale, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4. None appears for respondent nos. 5 & 6.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time for filing rejoinder. Vide order dtd. 11.10.2018, it was declared that preliminary objection of limitation and jurisdiction will have to be heard.

3. In the circumstances, at the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.11.2018 for hearing on the above line. Rejoinder and affidavit in reply may be filed in the meantime.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 93/2017 WITH M.A. 409/2014 IN O.A. ST. 1452/2014  
(Manjushree B. Deshpande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 27.11.2018 for hearing on the line of order dtd. 20.3.2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 29-10-2018

