

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.08.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.684 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. R. S. GaikwadApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has tendered a copy of the order dated 13.08.2019 which shows that the Government has modified the earlier order and fresh posting is given to the Applicant, which is acceptable to him.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant, therefore, seeks permission to withdraw the O.A. However, he prayed for direction to Deputy Commissioner, Animal Husbandry Dept., Dist. Raigad to release salary of the month July, 2019.</p> <p>4. In view of above, allowed to withdraw the O.A. with direction to Deputy Commissioner, Animal Husbandry Dept. Dist. Raigad to decide his representation for grant of salary of the month July, 2019 in accordance to rules.</p> <p>5. Original Application is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.08.2019.</p> <p>O.A.Nos.633, 636, 638, 649, 712, 724 & 739 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. A. B. Marakwar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, Shri D. B. Khaire, Smt. Punam Mahajan & Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks one week's time to explore the possibility to accommodate the Applicants as per their choice.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.09.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.08.2019.</p> <p>O.A.Nos.641, 645, 650, 651, 653, 654, 713 & 716 of 2019</p> <p>S. A. Kale & ors.Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In all these Original Applications, the Applicants have challenged their mid-term and mid-tenure transfer wherein interim relief was granted in their favour.</p> <p>3. However, learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that the Government has cancelled earlier impugned transfer order and issued fresh posting order in favour of the Applicants as per their choice, and therefore, Original Applications be disposed of.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel for the Applicants has no objection for disposal of all these Original Applications.</p> <p>5. Original Applications are disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.08.2019.</p> <p>O.A.Nos. 622, 634, 679, 682, 683 & 703 of 2019</p> <p>S.D. Surve & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has tendered a copy of order passed by the Government on 13.08.2019 which shows that in all these Original Applications, the Government has modified its earlier transfer order and passed fresh transfer order which are convenient and acceptable to the Applicants.3. In view of above, Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants seeks permission to withdraw all these Original Applications.4. Allowed to withdraw the Original Applications.5. Original Applications are accordingly disposed of with no order as costs.6. Interim order stands cancelled. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.08.2019.</p> <p>O.A.Nos.628, 629, 639, 640, 642, 644, 646, 647, 648, 652, 655, 656, 657, 670, 714, 715 & 725 of 2019</p> <p>Shri Baban Tejankar & 16 ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule with Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.D. for the Respondents has tendered a copy of order passed by the Government on 13.08.2019 which shows that in all these Original Applications, the Government has modified its earlier transfer order and passed fresh transfer order which are convenient and acceptable to the Applicants.</p> <p>3. In view of above, Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicants seeks permission to withdraw all these Original Applications.</p> <p>4. Allowed to withdraw the Original Applications.</p> <p>5. Original Applications are accordingly disposed of with no order as costs.</p> <p>6. Interim order stands cancelled.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No: of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.08.2019</p> <p>M.A.No.346 of 2018 in O.A.No.554 of 2018</p> <p>R.R. Tarkar Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.P. Kanade, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 13.09.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.08.2019</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.346 of 2018 In O.A.No.554 of 2018</p> <p>R.R. Tarkar Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.P. Kanade, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri S.P. Kanade for the Applicant tenders documents received by him under R.T.I. rejecting his representation dated 13.12.2018. He therefore, submits that the M.A. for condonation of delay may be allowed.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar seeks adjournment to verify the same.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 05.09.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p>

ork

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.08.2019

O.A.No.916 of 2016 with O.A.No.1099 of 2016
(Subject : Seniority list)

M.B. Patil & Ors. (O.A.916/2016)
J.S. Phad & Ors. (O.A.1099/2016) Applicants
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.916/2016, Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.1099/2016, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents No.1 to 3, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.4 to 16 and Shri A. Dubey, learned Advocate for Respondent No.9.

2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents mentions that 43 officers have been promoted from the Provisional List published on 03.03.2018 as Deputy Collector (Selection Grade). These officers have been promoted by the order issued on 14.08.2019.

3. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar further submits that 57 Officers from the list of Deputy Collector (Selection Grade) are to be promoted as Additional Collector shortly in view of the requirement for administrative exigencies.

Date : 29/8/19
Coram : Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit <V/C-A>
Hon'ble Shri A. P. Kurhekar <MJ>
Appearance :
Shri/Smt. Punam Mahajan
Advocate for the Applicant O.A. 916/16
Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar
C.P.O. / P.O for the Respondents. 1 to 3

Adv M.D. Lonkar for the Applicant in O.A. 1099/16.
Adv A.V. Bandiwadekar for the Res no. 4 to 16 and
Shri. A. Dubey for Res no. 9.
Heard.
order passed in Tribunal column.
O.A. are therefore, disposed off accordingly.

2

4. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar further submits that the Applicants who are direct recruits as well as the Interveners who are in the Provisional list of 03.03.2018 are being considered for promotion as Additional Collector provided they are suitable, eligible and are in the zone of consideration.
5. The Applicants in these Original Applications have submitted to seek permission for withdrawing the Original Applications.
6. The Respondents are therefore permitted to promote 57 Officers, who are eligible, suitable and in the zone of consideration.
7. The Respondents are further directed that the Provisional list should also be finalized on priority preferably within the period of 4 months from receipt of this order.
8. Learned Advocate Shri A. Dubey for the Private Respondents objects to the withdrawal on the ground that in pursuance of the order passed by this Tribunal in M.A.No.429 of 2019 on 13.08.2019, the Government has issued some orders and therefore now the Applicants being beneficiary by the said order cannot be allowed to withdraw.
9. In so far as objections raised are concerned if the Private Respondents felt aggrieved by the orders passed by the Government during the pendency of this O.A., they are always at liberty to challenge the same independently as permissible in law. The present order, in fact clears the way for their promotion, as well.
10. Original Applications are, therefore, disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A.No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.08.2019.</p> <p>M. A. No.477 of 2019 in O.A.No.864 of 2019</p> <p>A. D. Daundkar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.5. M.A.No.477/2019 is allowed. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.08.2019.</p> <p>O.A.Nos.633, 636, 649 & 739 Of 2019</p> <p>Dr. A. B. Marakwar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, Shri D. B. Khaire, Smt. Punam Mahajan & Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks one week's time to explore the possibility to accommodate the Applicants as per their choice.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.09.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram.
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.08.2019.

O.A.No.863 of 2019

N.D. WalekarApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has challenged his mid-term transfer by order dated 03.08.2019 though in general transfer dated 31.05.2019 one year extension was granted to him. The Applicant is, therefore, prayed for interim relief.
3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that file is under process for issuance of posting order of the Applicant and, therefore, seeks one day's time to produce the file to demonstrate the decision of Civil Service Board.
4. In view of above, parties to maintain status quo till 03.09.2019.
5. In the meantime, issue notice before admission returnable on 03.09.2019 by private service.
6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
10. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
11. 5.O. to 03.09.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.08.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.684 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. R. S. GaikwadApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has tendered a copy of the order dated 13.08.2019 which shows that the Government has modified the earlier order and fresh posting is given to the Applicant, which is acceptable to him.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant, therefore, seeks permission to withdraw the O.A. However, he prayed for direction to Deputy Commissioner, Animal Husbandry Dept., Dist. Raigad to release salary of the month July, 2019.</p> <p>4. In view of above, allowed to withdraw the O.A. with direction to Deputy Commissioner, Animal Husbandry Dept. Dist. Raigad to decide his representation for grant of salary of the month July, 2019 in accordance to rules.</p> <p>5. Original Application is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.08.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.637 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. P. S. BhoirApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that impugned transfer order is already modified and the Applicant is being posted a Bhiwandi, Dist. Thane and stated that formal order will be issued within two days. He, therefore, submits that O.A. be disposed of.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant fairly accepted the submission made by the learned P.O.</p> <p>4. In view of above, Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p>5. Interim orders stands cancelled.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.08.2019.

O.A.No.864 of 2019

A. D. Daundkar & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.09.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 11.09.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.08.2019</p> <p>M.A.No.289 of 2019 in O.A.No.512 of 2019</p> <p>G.T. Garud Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.10.2019..</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 10.10.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram.
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.08.2019

C.A.No.38 of 2019 in O.A.No.634 of 2017

S.D. Sutar Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.G. Ambetkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.10.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 10.10.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.08.2019

O.A.No.462 of 2019

M.P. Gurav & Ors. Applicants
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents No.1 & 2. None for Respondent No.3.
2. Issue notice to added Respondents before admission returnable on 10.10.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 10.10.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

(Presenting Officer.....) Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.725 of 2018</p> <p>Dr. B.B. Birajdar & Ors. Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Smt. Punam Mahajan for the Applicants seeks time for filing rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Admitted and kept for hearing in due course.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.08.2019

O.A.No.773 of 2019

C.M. Rupanwar Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.10.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 10.10.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Oixit)
Vice-Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.122 of 2019</p> <p>S.N. Jadhav Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents adjourned to 17.09.2019 for intimating progress regarding examination to be held.</p> <p>3. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.137 of 2019 with O.A.No.138 of 2019</p> <p>B.N. Patil (O.A.137/2019) P.V. Kharade (O.A.138/2019) Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admitted and kept for hearing in due course.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.08.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;">• M.A.No.241 of 2019 in R.A.No.8 of 2019 in O.A.No.736 of 2017</p> <p>S.A. Hatkhambkar Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.09.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 26.09.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.08.2019</p> <p>M.A.No.459 of 2019 in M.A.No.241 of 2019 in R.A.No.8 of 2019 in O.A.No.736 of 2017</p> <p>S.A. Hatkhambkar Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A.No.459 of 2019 is for restoring, as it was dismissed on 19.08.2019.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Shri M.D. Lonkar submits his apology and seeks restoration.</p> <p>4. For the reasons stated therein M.A.No.459 of 2019 is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.554 of :2018</p> <p>N.J. Gupta Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admitted with liberty to file rejoinder in due course.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.08.2019</p> <p>C.A.No.21 of 2019 in O.A.No.848 of 2014</p> <p>R.B. Wadile Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad for the Respondents seeks adjournment for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 19.09.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.08.2019</p> <p>M.A.No.334 of 2019 in C.A.No.21 of 2019 in O.A.No.848 of 2014</p> <p>R.B. Wadile Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri G.B. Solanke for the Applicant seeks permission to delete names of Respondents No.2 and 4, as they do not hold the office as mentioned earlier. Permitted.</p> <p>3. For the reasons stated therein M.A.No.334/2019 is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.08.2019</p> <p>M.A.No.395 of 2019 in M.A.No.289 of 2019 in O.A.No.512 of 2019</p> <p>G.T. Garud Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A.No.395 of 2019 is for bringing on record his representation enclosed in the M.A. and amend the pleadings accordingly.</p> <p>3. Permitted. Amendment be carried out forthwith.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate Shri C.T. Chandratre for the Applicant proposes to complete the exercise within three weeks.</p> <p>5. For the reasons stated in M.A.No.395 of 2019 is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.273 of 2019

S.A. Patil

Vs.

..Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Applicant in person is absent. Heard Shri S.D. Dole,
learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO seeks one weeks time to file reply on behalf
of respondent no.4.

3. S.O. to 5.9.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
29.8.2019

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

(Presenting Officer.....)

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.08.2019

O.A.No.221 of 2019

N.S. More

..... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad for the Respondents adjourned to 17.09.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)

Vice-Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____

of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

(Presenting Officer.....)

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.549 of 2019</p> <p>M.B. Desai Applicant Versus Respondents The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents seeks adjournment for filing reply.3. Adjourned to 17.09.2019. <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

(Presenting Officer

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.08.2019

O.A.No.538 of 2019

P.D. Gaikwad

..... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..... Respondents

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned P.O. for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad adjourned to 17.09.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

(Presenting Officer.....)

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.08.2019

O.A.No.517 of 2019

P.S. Jilewad

..... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..... Respondents

1. Heard Ms. Sanjana Meshram, learned Advocate holding for M/S. Talekar & Ors., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents files reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. The same is taken on record.

3. Admitted and kept for final hearing in due course.

Sd/-

(P.N. Oixit)
Vice-Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

(Presenting Officer

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 29.08.2019

Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.1001 of 2018 with O.A.No.923 of 2018 with
O.A.No.1023 of 2018

P.M. Koli (O.A.1001/2018)

S.M. Nilkanth (O.A.923/2018)

A.A. Bagwan & Ors. (O.A.1023/2018) Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate
for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O.
for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the
Respondents files reply on behalf of Respondent No.3.
The same is taken on record.

3. Learned Advocate Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar for the
Applicants seeks adjournment of two weeks.

4. Adjourned to 24.09.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

(Presenting Officer.....)

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.516 of 2019</p> <p>A.D. Channe Applicant Versus Respondents The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Sanjana Meshram, learned Advocate holding for M/S. Talekar & Ors., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents files reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admitted and kept for final hearing in due course.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N.'Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.08.2019

O.A.No.626 of 2017

S.B. Wategaonkar

..... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..... Respondents

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned P.O. for the Respondents and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.
2. Learned Advocate Shri A.S. Deshpande for the Applicant has filed leave note.
3. Issue notice to added Respondents before admission returnable on 26.09.2019.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
9. S.O. to 26.09.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.324 of 2018</p> <p>D.A. Dalvi Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents files reply on behalf of Respondents No.1 and 2. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Shri K.R. Jagdale for the Applicant seeks adjournment.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 19.09.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N.'Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.557 of 2019 with M.A.No.316 of 2019</p> <p>A.F. Jadhav Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Amruta Devkut, learned Advocate holding for Shri N.P. Dalvi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Ms. Amruta Devkut seeks adjournment for filing rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 18.09.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.574 of 2019</p> <p>B.P. Nagamwar Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents adjourned to 18.09.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.554 of 2019</p> <p>N.J. Gupta Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admitted with liberty to file rejoinder in due course.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.720 of 2019</u></p> <p>M.S. Waghmare & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicants and Ld. Advocate for the Applicants are absent. Heard Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks two weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.9.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 29.8.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.572 of 2019</u></p> <p>A.Y. Shrigiriwar Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">..Applicant ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that reply on behalf of respondent no.2 is not relevant as per instructions.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.9.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 29.8.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.311 of 2019</u></p> <p>N.V. Jadhav Vs. ..Applicant</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks two weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.9.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 29.8.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.427 of 2019</u></p> <p>M.P. Mohite, & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri S.D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply on behalf of respondent no.1 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks three weeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 19.9.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 29.8.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: right;"><u>O.A. No.386 of 2019</u></p> <p>Dr. D.R. Jadhav Vs. Applicant The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Vaibhav Birmule, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.V. Sutar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks three weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.9.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 29.8.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

(G.C.P.) J 1726(B) (20,000-10-2013)

[Spl. MAT-P-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No. 705 of 2019

V.D. Kámáne & Ors.

..Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for
the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief
Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of Ld. CPO adjourned to 5.9.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
29.8.2019

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

MA/R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.706 of 2019</u></p> <p>P.S. Parab & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. ..Respondents The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. CPO adjourned to 5.9.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N^t Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 29.8.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram.
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.08.2019

O.A.No.453 of 2019

S.T. Patil Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.D. Patil with Shri A.S. Pawar, learned Advocates for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.10.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 10.10.2019.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.08.2019</p> <p>M.A.No.432 of 2019 in O.A.No.453 of 2019</p> <p>S.T. Patil Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.D. Patil with Shri A.S. Pawar, learned Advocates for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A.No.432/2019 is for restoration as it was dismissed on 09.07.2019.</p> <p>3. For the reasons stated therein M.A.No.432 of 2019 is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.740 of 2019</p> <p>R.S. Jogi Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents seeks adjournment for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 19.09.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 29.08.2019
	<p align="center">O.A.No.723 of 2019</p> <p>S.M. Chavan Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents. 2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents submits that reply will be filed within three weeks. 3. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar mentions that representation made by the Applicant has been taken into account and necessary rectification has been made as far as his date of birth is concerned. She further submits that the reply would be filed within three weeks. 4. Learned Advocate Shri K.R. Jagdale for the Applicant submits that he wants to make further representations. 5. Adjourned to 19.09.2019. <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date : 29.08.2019 Tribunal's orders O.A.No.707 of 2019
	<p>C.S. Galkwad Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad for the Respondents submits the progress in Departmental Enquiry. The same is marked 'X' and taken on record. She further submits that progress regarding the same would be intimated by next date.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 26.09.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.704 of 2019</p> <p>S.G. Kadam Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar for the Applicant has filed his rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Admitted and kept for Final hearing on 03.10.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 29.08.2019 Tribunal's orders O.A.No.338 of 2019</p>
	<p>C.S. Bharude Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate Shri J.N. Kamble for the Applicant adjourned to 17.09.2019 with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.08.2019</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.326 of 2019</p> <p>D.G. Choudhari Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar for the Applicant adjourned to 17.09.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N.'Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.295 of 2019 with O.A.No.296 of 2019 with O.A.No.297 of 2019 with O.A.No.298 of 2019</p> <p>A.S. Lad (O.A.295/2019) R.S. Shingte (O.A.296/2019) A.V. Tone (O.A.297/2019) N.B. Mulla (O.A.298/2019) Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 in O.A.No.295/2019 to O.A.No.298/2019. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar for the Applicants seeks three weeks time for filing is rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 18.09.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.568 of 2019</u></p> <p>A.D. Sawant ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply on behalf of respondent no.2 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit. To be heard on 30.8.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 29.8.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

(Presenting Officer.....)

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.274 of 2019</p> <p>S.S. Kurhade Applicant Versus Respondents The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admitted and kept for hearing in due course.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.558 of 2019</p> <p>P.S. Pereira Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. holding for Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents adjourned to 18.09.2019 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.71 of 2019</p> <p>S.D. Giri Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D. Mane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri D. Mane for the Applicant submits that he has instructions from the Applicant to withdraw the O.A.</p> <p>3. O.A. is disposed as withdrawn.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(J) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>