

Date : 29.07.2019.

O.A.No.734 of 2019

R. R. Pol

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. At the very outset, it may be observed that this case is an illustration to show the Government's insensitiveness to the matters regarding the disciplinary action undertaken by the Government against employees during their tenure but continued after their retirement and apathy on the part of State.
3. In the present matter, the Applicant was serving on the post of Assistant Director, Drug Control Laboratory, Aurangabad and stands retired on 31.07.2015. It appears that while he was in service, disciplinary action under Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979 was taken against him wherein enquiry officer had submitted the report on 27.06.2014 with the finding that none of the charge is proved. Thereafter no action was taken on the inquiry report for near about four years. It is only on 31.08.2018, the Respondents had issued show cause notice as to why 10% pension should not be deducted permanently from his pension. The Applicant had submitted reply on 18.10.2018, however, no further communication was made and, therefore, the Applicant has approached this Tribunal for direction to release the retiral benefits.

4. AS stated above, the inquiry officer has already submitted reply on 27.06.2014 and despite the reply of the applicant for four years, no decision is taken in departmental enquiry proceeding.

5. There is also violation of the orders passed by the Hon'ble Lok Ayukta whereby in order dated 01.02.2019 directions were given to the State to complete the D.E. by March, 2019.

6. In view of above, learned P.O. is directed to take instructions from the Respondents about the status of D.E. and also to explain four years delay in taking final decision in D.E.

7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.08.2019.

8. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

13. S.O. to 05.08.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. For the aforesaid reason, M.A.No.380/2019 is allowed and O.A.No.617/2019 is restored to the file.</p> <p>9. Issue fresh notice to the Respondents returnable on 26.08.2019.</p> <p>10. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>11. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>12. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>13. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>14. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>15. S.O. to 26.08.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ~ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Date : 29.07.2019.

O.A.No.735 of 2019

Dr. S. B. Rajure

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant is retired employee who stands retired at the end of March 2019 but the charge sheet was served on the date of retirement and, therefore, her pensionary benefits are withheld.
3. In fact, the provisional pension ought to have been released immediately as well as the D.E. as permissible in law should have been finished within six months. It is on this background, the Applicant has approached this Tribunal.
4. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks two weeks time to take instructions as to why provisional pension has not been granted and also to apprise the Tribunal about the status of D.E.
5. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submitted that he intends to challenge the initiation of D.E. itself.
6. In so far as this O.A. is concerned, now it will be restricted to grant of retiral benefits as per her entitlement as permissible in law.
7. In view of above, the Respondents are directed to grant provisional pension, leave encashment and D.A. arrears from January 2016 to December 2018, if not paid. All these benefits be extended as per entitlement of the Applicant as permissible in law within two weeks.
8. S.O. to 13.08.2019 for reply and compliance of the order.
9. Hamdast granted.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2019.</p> <p align="center">O.A.Nos.498, 499, 500, 501, 502, 503, 504, 505, 506 507 & 508 of 2019</p> <p>R. D. Nanaware & Ors.Applicants</p> <p align="center">Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. During the course of Final Hearing, the issue of publication of establishment of PEB was raised. Consequent to it, today, learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit on behalf of the Respondent No.2 along with the copy of Gazette of State of Maharashtra showing publication of establishment of PEB No.2. It is taken on record. 3. The matter is adjourned for hearing on 02.08.2019. 4. S.O. to 02.08.2019. <p align="right">Sd/- </p> <p align="right">w (A.P. Kurhekar)</p>

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.736 of 2019

Shri Sheshrao Namdeo Bade)..... Applicant

Versus

State of Maharashtra & Ors.)...Respondents

Shri M. D. Lonkar, Counsel for the Applicant
Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1
Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, Counsel for the Respondent No.2

CORAM : SHRI A. P. KURHEKAR , MEMBER (J)

DATE : 29.07.2019

ORDER

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.2.
2. In the present O.A., the Applicant is seeking interim relief to the transfer order dated 26.07.2019 whereby the Respondent No.1 transferred the Applicant mid-term and mid-tenure and in his place, posted the Respondent No.2.
3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant pointed out that though it is mid-term and mid-tenure transfer, the Civil Services Board (CSB) has not approved the transfer of the Applicant but on the contrary though the CSB has not recommended the transfer of the Respondent No.2, the Hon'ble Chief Minister has passed an order to transfer the Respondent No.2 in place of the Applicant. He, therefore, submits that the impugned transfer order is *ex-facie* in violation of Section 4(4)(ii) and 4(5) of Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred to as 'Act 2005).

Handwritten signature

4. Whereas, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2 submitted that in view of the transfer order dated 26.07.2019, the Respondent had taken charge on the day of transfer itself and, therefore, now interim relief cannot be granted.
5. Learned P.O. for the Respondent has produced the file for the perusal of the Tribunal.
6. Perusal of file reveals that in first place, the issue of transfer of the Applicant was not at all before CSB. What was placed before the CSB was the request of the Respondent No.2 for transfer at Thane and the same was also not approved by the CSB. Thus, the CSB had not recommended for the transfer of the Respondent No.2.
7. However, the Hon'ble Chief Minister has passed an order accepting the request of the Respondent No.2 to post her in place of the Applicant. This is how the transfer order has been issued.
8. Admittedly, neither the Applicant was due for transfer nor the Respondent No.2 was due for transfer. The perusal of file *prima-facie* reveals that only to accommodate the Respondent No.2, the Applicant has been displaced from his present post. In other words, there was no special case or administrative exigency for such transfer. This being the position, *prima-facie*, though the transfer is approved by the Hon'ble Chief Minister, the same is not in consonance with the provision of Section 4(4)(ii) and 4(5) of 'Act 2005'.
9. Now turning to taking over to the charge, the Respondent No.2 appears to have rushed to take the charge of the post on the evening of the day of transfer. The transfer order was issued on 26.07.2019. On 27.07.2019 and 28.07.2019 it was holiday and this O.A. is filed immediately on first opening day.
10. The totality of aforesaid events leads to inference that only to accommodate the Respondent No.2; the Applicant was displaced from his post mid-term and mid-tenure.
11. In view of above, I am convinced that *prima-facie* case is made out for interim stay to the transfer order dated 26.07.2019.
12. The Applicant be reposted on his post immediately by way of interim order.

13. The Respondent No.1 is directed to issue appropriate order about the posting of Respondent No.2 in view of interim relief granted by this Tribunal.
14. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.08.2019.
15. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
16. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
17. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
18. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
19. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
20. S.O. to 26.08.2019.
21. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed.

Sd/-

(A. P. KURHEKAR)
MEMBER (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.07.2019</u></p> <p><u>M.A 388/20129 in O.A 482/2019</u></p> <p>Shri G.S Shelar (M.A 388/2019) Shri B.M Yadav (O.A 482/2019) ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.M Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicant in M.A 388/2019, Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant in O.A 482/2019, Smt Krant S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1 & 2 and Shri A.M Deshmukh, learned advocate for Respondents no. 3 to 5 in O.A 482/2019.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for the applicant submits additional affidavit in reply along with chart showing objections in respect of each of the private Respondents, from pages 251 to 268.</p> <p>3. Learned advocate for private Respondents 3 to 5 seeks one week's time to file reply.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O for Respondent no.2 also seeks one week's time to file reply regarding the same.</p> <p>5. According adjourned to 6.8.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(A.P Kurhekar) (P.N Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.683 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. Balasaheb P. GodseApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p><u>Speaking to Minute</u></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is taken up on board for 'Speaking to Minutes' so as to make some correction in the order dated 16.07.2019.</p> <p>3. In order dated 16.07.2019, the name of the Applicant is shown as N.D.Puri instead of Dr. B.P. Godse. Necessary correction be made in the order.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2019.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1096 of 2018</p> <p>V. K. Chaudhari ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. Pawar holding for Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.08.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2019.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.483 of 2019</p> <p>S. A. RepalApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.08.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2019.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.471 of 2019</p> <p>T. R. KhalmarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms S. Suryawanshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1 and 2. It is taken on record. Reply on behalf of the Respondent No.3 is already on record.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.08.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
Date : 29.07.2019.

O. A. No.737 of 2019

P. R. AcharekarApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.08.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 13.08.2019.
9. Issue of interim relief is kept open.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2019.</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.399 of 2019 in M.A. No.481/2018 in O. A. No. 842 of 2018</p> <p>S.A. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D. Jogdeo, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This Misc. Application is filed only for production of notification dated 13.03.2013 which according to Applicant is necessary while considering the M.A.No.481/2018.</p> <p>3. In view of above, M.A. No.399/2019 is allowed and disposed of without cost.</p> <p>4. Adjourned for hearing of M.A.No.481/2018 in O.A.No.842/2018 on 09.08.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

29.07.2019

O.A 123/2019

Shri S.Y Pawar ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri G.B Pawar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O points out that Respondent no. 5 is Principal Secretary, Legislative Assembly, Vidhan Bhavan and this Tribunal has no jurisdiction regarding the same.

3. During the hearing, learned advocate for the applicant submits that applicant was working in Vidhan Bhavan at the time of his retirement and has received payments regarding his retirement benefits on 27.7.2017 and thereafter, details whereof is at pages 115, 116 & 117 of the O.A.

4. Learned advocate for the applicant submits that it is only to show that these payments have been made by the Principal Secretary, Legislative Assembly, Vidhan Bhavan and he is not seeking any relief or direction from Respondent no. 5. He, therefore, submits that name of Respondent no. 5, namely, Principal Secretary, Legislative Assembly, Vidhan Bhavan, should be deleted from his O.A.

5. Accordingly, permission to delete the name of Res. No. 5 is allowed and Respondent no. 5 is deleted from the O.A, as per the statutory provisions of the Administrative Tribunals Act.

6. Learned P.O submits that she needs two weeks to file reply on behalf of Res. No. 3, clarifying the issue regarding over staying in Government quarters and delayed payment of retirement dues.

7. Adjourned to 23.8.2019. Part Heard.

8. Steno copy and Hamdst is granted. Learned P.O is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

Date: 29/7/2019
Coram: Hon'ble/Shri P. N. Dixit <VIC-A>
Hon'ble Shri A. P. Kurhokar <MJ>
Appearance:
Shri/Smt. G. B. Pawar
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.
C.P.O. / P.O for the Respondents.

s.o. to 23/8/2019. Hamdst

Heard Partly.

P.H.

BT

Akn

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.405 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. Smt. R.S. Paravde Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Smt. Archana B.K., for the Respondents submits that affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondents No.1, 2, 4 and 5 has been filed. As far as reply by Respondent No.3 is concerned she submits that she would make the statement in due course.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar for the Applicant submits that he needs three weeks for filing rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 26.08.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.525 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. R.B. Nirmale Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned P.O. for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. Smt. Archana B.K. for the Respondents seeks adjournment for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 19.08.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.324 of 2019 with O.A.No.325 of 2019</p> <p>K.A. Dhamapurkar (O.A.324/2019) R.D. Andher (O.A.325/2019) Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri S.D. Dole, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Shri S.D. Dole for the Respondents seeks one week time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 05.08.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.427 of 2019</p> <p>M.P. Mohite & Ors. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule for the Respondents proposed to file reply by tomorrow.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule adjourned to 05.08.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.311 of 2019</p> <p>V.N. Jadhav Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule for the Respondents submits that he needs two weeks time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 26.08.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.1000 of 2018</p> <p>L.G. Lonkar & Ors. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Smt. Archana B.K. for the Respondents submits that Respondents have filed their reply on 02.05.2019. Rejoinder has also been filed on 10.06.2019. Admitted and kept for Final Hearing in due course.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.09.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.796 of 2018</p> <p>S.V. Mohite Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned P.O. for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Smt. Archana B.K for the Respondents seeks adjournment for three weeks for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.08.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2019</p> <p>M.A.No.337 of 2019 in O.A.No.276 of 2019</p> <p>M.R. Ahire Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned P.D. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.D. Shri S.D. Dole for the Respondents submits that he proposed to file reply to the M.A. for condonation of delay of three years and one month. He seeks three weeks adjournment.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 20.08.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N.Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2019</p> <p>M.A.No.227 of 2019 in O.A.No.465 of 2019</p> <p>S.K. Mukherjee Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents submits that reply in the M.A. will be filed within the period of three weeks. She seeks adjournment for three weeks.</p> <p>3. S.O. 26.08.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2019</p> <p>M.A.No.220 of 2019 in R.A.No.7 of 2019 in O.A.No.606 of 2014 with R.A.No.7 of 2019 in O.A.No.606 of 2014 with R.A.No.15 of 2018 in O.A.No.606 of 2014 (D.B.)</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Applicant (Org. Respd.)</p> <p>Versus D.S. Warke Respondents (Org. Appl.)</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Applicant (Org. Respd.) and Shri H. Adake, learned Advocate for the Respondents (Org. Appli.)</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate for filing reply adjourned to 14.08.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2019</p> <p>M.A.No.357 of 2019 in O.A.No.1116 of 2018 with</p> <p>S.S. Dudhbhate Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.G. Shaikh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A.No.357/2019 has filed to amend prayer clause. M.A. is allowed for the reasons stated therein.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.1116 of 2018</p> <p>S.S. Dudhbhate Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Dr. M. Giri, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Smt. Archana B.K. for the Respondents submits that reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 has been filed on 04.04.2019. Admitted and kept for Final Hearing on 23.08.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2019</p> <p>M.A.No.124 of 2019 in O.A.No.225 of 2019</p> <p>B.M. Thakur Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is for condonation of delay of 1 year and 5 months. In view of the reasons stated therein, M.A. is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.225 of 2019</p> <p>B.M. Thakur Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned P.O. for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.09.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 5. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 16.09.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>