



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.06.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.118 of 2021 in O.A. No.499 of 2020</p> <p>S.M. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. Vadgaonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and None for the Respondents No.4 & 5.</p> <p>2. Copy of M.A. is not served on State. After serving of copies three weeks time for filing Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- ,  (P.N.) Dixit Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.06.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.114 of 2021 in O.A. No.982 of 2019</p> <p>R.K. BhosaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. informs that she has written a letter on 25.06.2021 and waiting for the Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.06.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.64 of 2021 in O.A. No.126 of 2021</p> <p>V.P. VhanmaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.V. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Four weeks time as prayed is granted for filing Reply. Reply to be served on the other side in between.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.06.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.213 of 2021 in O.A. No.480 of 2021</p> <p>L.R. KharatApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Amendment to be carried out forthwith and copy to be served one week thereafter.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.08.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.06.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.112 of 2019 with O.A. No.203 of 2019</p> <p>N.V. SawantApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (P.N.) Dixit Vice-Chairman </p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.06.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.203 of 2019</p> <p>N.V. SawantApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As pleadings are complete. Matter is admitted and adjourned for Final Hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.06.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.257 of 2020</p> <p>U.D. ThoradApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Two weeks time as prayed is granted for filing Reply, if at all Reply is not filed, matter will proceed without Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.06.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.505 of 2020</p> <p>A.T. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter is admitted and kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.06.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.542 of 2020 with M.A. No.180 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. B.H. TadviApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Additional Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3 to the amended O.A. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.06.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.88 of 2021 with O.A. No.89 of 2021 with O.A. No.90 of 2021 with O.A. No.114 of 2021</p> <p>U.V. Deokule N.C. Koli & Ors., S.N. Gaikwad & Ors., S.K. BelbhandareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that the proposal is already sent to 16-B, Desk in General Administrative Department and Reply is awaited.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>29.06.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 18/2021 in O.A 154/2021</u></p> <p>Shri Hanumant G. Holmukhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today is the first date in the contempt application.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O seeks three weeks' time and states that compliance will be made within the intervening period.</p> <p>4. S.O to 27.7.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>29.06.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 21/2021 in O.A 631/2020</u></p> <p>Shri Ramesh D.Chandure ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today is the first date in the contempt application.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O seeks two weeks' time for taking instructions in the matter.</p> <p>4. S.O to 13.7.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N)Dixit Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.06.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1119 of 2019</p> <p>J.P. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondents No.1 & 2. It is taken on record. Copy of Reply is provided to Shri U.V. Bhosle.</p> <p>3. Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate seeks four weeks time to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.07.2021</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.06.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.82 of 2020</p> <p>A.N. AgnihotriApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Akshay Kapadia, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Mancheakr, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned C.P.O. mentions that Reply is filed. 3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that Rejoinder is also filed. 4. Pleading is complete, therefore matter is admitted and adjourned for Final Hearing. 5. S.O. to 20.09.2021. <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.06.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.95 of 2020</p> <p>P.D. SakundeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondents No.4 & 5 to O.A. including amended copy. It is taken on record. She further mentions that parawise comments received from Respondent No.3 and the same will be filed within a period of two weeks.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.09.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 29.06.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.216 of 2020</p> <p>S.W. ThakareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1,2,4 to 7 and None for the Applicant No.3.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. seeks three weeks time for filing Reply of Respondents No.2 & 5.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.06.2021</p> <p>M.A.No.214/2021 in O.A.Stamp No.644/2021</p> <p>P.R. Hivrale & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A.No.214/2021 is filed seeking permission that the applicants be allowed to prosecute the O.A. at Aurangabad Bench, which filed at Aurangabad Bench.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate submits that 13 applicants, out of which 5 are from Aurangabad, 7 from Nagpur and 1 from Mumbai have filed the O.A. at Aurangabad Bench to avoid multiplicity of litigations. Permission to continue at Aurangabad Bench be granted.</p> <p>4. The learned C.P.O. agrees to the order of the Tribunal.</p> <p>5. In view of the submissions, M.A.No.214/2021 is allowed and applicants to continue prosecution at Aurangabad Bench.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.06.2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 415/2021</u></p> <p>Shri Mahesh R. Mahajan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is seeking seniority along with his batch-mates and to hold and declared the impugned order dated 6.1.2020 as illegal and bad in law.</p> <p>3. Issue notice made returnable on 27.7.2021.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. S.O to 27.7.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">/</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.06.2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 349/2021</u></p> <p>Shri V. E Mhatre ... Applicant Vs. The District Collector, Thane. ... Respondent</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is aggrieved by the notice of departmental enquiry issued against him on 14.3.2021 as a criminal offence is registered against him under Sections 385, 384, 341, 420, 431, 506 and 34 of IPC at Vishnunagar Police Station, Dombivli (W). He was in police custody from 29.12.2020 to 6.1.2021.</p> <p>3. The applicant prays for quashing the Departmental Enquiry as the offence is in his personal capacity and because of family feud.</p> <p>4. Issue notice made returnable on 27.7.2021.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. The issue of interim relief will be considered after receipt of affidavit in reply from the Respondents.</p> <p>9. S.O to 27.7.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p>
	<p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.06.2021.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.544 of 2018 with O.A.No.513/2019</p> <p>Shri V.B. Shende (O.A.No.544/2018) Mrs. S.V. Rane (O.A.No.513/2019) Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Harshal Chandrakant Baviskar, in person/ Respondent No.4 in O.A.No.544/2018 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mentioned by Respondent No.4 in O.A.No. 544/2018, Mr. Harshal Chandrakant Baviskar for speaking to minutes of the order dated 24.06.2021 passed in the above O.A.</p> <p>The name of Respondent No.4 in O.A.No. 544/2018, 'Mr. Chandrakant Harshal Baviskar' should be read as 'Mr. Harshal Chandrakant Baviskar.'</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">  (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar J.) Chairperson </p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.06.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 400/2021</u></p> <p>Shri P.B Jadhav & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants prays that the Respondents be directed to consider the applicants who are working as Deputy R.T.O for promotion to the post of Regional Transport Officer.</p> <p>3. Issue notice made returnable on 20.7.2021.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. The issue of interim relief will be considered after receipt of short affidavit in reply from the Respondents.</p> <p>8. S.O to 20.7.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>29.06.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 219/2021 in O.A 400/2021</u></p> <p>Shri A.S Valvi & Ors ... Applicants/ Interveners</p> <p align="center">In the matter between</p> <p>Shri P.B. Jadhav & Ors ... Ori Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicants /interveners, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents and Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned counsel for original Applicants.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the original applicants has no objection for allowing the applicants/interveners as Party Respondents.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the original applicants is directed to add the applicants-interveners in the array of the Original Application.</p> <p>4. Misc Application seeking intervention in the Original Application is allowed.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Atkn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>29.06.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 210/2021 in O.A 400/2021</u></p> <p>Shri P.B Jadhav & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.236 & 237 of 2021</u></p> <p>K. Suryakrishnamurty (OA.236/2021) A.N. Padwal (OA.237/2021) ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Akhilesh Dubey, learned Advocate for the Applicant in OA No.236/2021, Shri Atul Rajadhyaksha, learned Advocate for Applicant in OA No.237/2021, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents, Shri B.S. Shinde, learned Advocate for Respondents No.4, 6 & 7 in OA No.236/2021 & for Respondents 5 to 10 in OA No.237/2021 and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Respondent No.11 in OA No.237/2021.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file affidavit in reply to the queries as per order dated 24.6.2021.</p> <p>3. Ld. Sr. Counsel Shri Atul Rajadhyaksha and Shri Akhilesh Dubey for the applicants submit that if the queries are answered, it will be easy for the counsel to advance submissions accordingly.</p> <p>4. The State is directed to file reply by 8.7.2021 and copy be served on 8.7.2021.</p> <p>5. The matters are fixed on 9.7.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 29.6.2021 29.6.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>29.06.2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 409/2021</u></p> <p>Shri Sunil P. Pathak ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that Departmental Enquiry is initiated against the applicant and charge memo dated 31.5.2021 was issued to him, which was the last date of his retirement.</p> <p>3. Issue notice made returnable on 27.7.2021.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. The Respondents are directed to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>8. The issue of interim relief will be considered after receipt of the reply from the Respondents.</p> <p>9. S.O to 27.7.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N Dixit) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman (A) / Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.06.2021

O.A.No.756 of 2020 with O.A.No.755 of 2020

M.R. Sawant & Ors. (O.A.756/2020)
A.P. Sonawane & Ors. (O.A.755/2020)... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. During the course of argument the learned C.P.O. pointed out the affidavit-in-reply, dated 09.06.2021, on behalf of Respondents No.1 to 3, through Mr. Sanjay Dattatray Kamlakar, Deputy Secretary, office of Secretary, Medical Education and Drugs Department, Mantralaya wherein it is stated that the names of these 122 applicants are not found in the list of 'Badali Worker' anywhere. She submitted that names of these persons were not found in three proposals, which were considered by the State and submitted by the Deans of various institute.

3. The learned Advocate submitted that these 122 candidates worked as 'Badali Worker' for 9 to 10 years as on 31.03.2007. They have received the salary of all these years from the State. The chart of the same is affixed at page 548 to 555).

4. Mr. Sanjay D. Kamlakar or the officer who is hired and responsible to answer the following queries :-

- (a) What are the dates of the 3 proposals ?
- (b) Whether these persons were paid the salary from their respective establishments for 9 to 10 years or not ?

5. On the basis of the information furnished by the applicants in pages 541 to 577, mentioned above the State to find out and verify the same.

6. Interim order granted earlier to be continued till next date. At the request of learned C.P.O. two weeks time is granted.

7. Adjourned to 13.07.2021 at 2.30 p.m.

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.06.2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 408/2021</u></p> <p>Dr Shri K.N Deore ... Applicant Vs. The Dean, Chhatrapati Pramilaraje General Hospital, Kolhapur & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant states that the applicant is working as Assistant Professor on ad hoc basis and his appointment will come to an end on 12.7.2021 and he will be replaced by another ad hoc Assistant Professor. Learned counsel for the applicant relied on the judgment of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Aurangabad Bench dated 28th March, 2012 in W.P 5898/2010 & Ors. Copy of the same is directed to be given to the learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>3. Respondents are directed to file a short affidavit in reply within 10 days.</p> <p>4. The issue of interim relief is kept open.</p> <p>5. S.O to 9.7.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/s/</p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.06.2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 401/2021</u></p> <p>Shri S.P Paralkar & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants prays that the impugned order dated 3.10.2019 by which the services of the applicants are terminated by quashed and set aside and the applicants be reinstated in service. Learned counsel for the applicants states that there is no delay in view of the order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court.</p> <p>3. Issue notice made returnable on 20.7.2021.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. The Respondents are directed to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>8. S.O to 20.7.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AkN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.06.2021</u></p> <p><u>M.A 212/2021 in O.A 401/2021</u></p> <p>Shri S.P Paralkar & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N Dixit) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.06.2021</u></p> <p><u>M.A 149/2020 in M.A 506/2019 in O.A 733/2019</u></p> <p>Shri M.R Rathod & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.A Valimbe, learned advocate for the applicants, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents and Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the Respondents no 8 & 9.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O to seek instructions and responsible officer from the office of the D.G.P and Home Department to remain present and to make statement about the latest position.</p> <p>3. S.O to 6.7.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.06.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 34/2020 in O.A 404/2018</u></p> <p>Shri V.P Pawar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.L Dhone, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O files affidavit in reply to the query raised by the Tribunal. Copy of the same is given to the learned counsel for the applicant and same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O informs that the applicant who is seeking promotion as specially disabled person, one post is kept vacant.</p> <p>4. S.O to 6.7.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>29.06.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 10/2015 in O.A 907/2013</u></p> <p>Shri P.C Dabhire & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Presenting Officer produces copy of order of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court dated 3rd July, 2018 in W.P 5621/2015. The operative portion of the order set aside the impugned judgment and order passed the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Hence, nothing remains in the contempt application. C.A stands disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N\Dixit) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.737 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.R. Gaud ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Id. Advocate for the applicant seeks two weeks time for filing rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.7.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 29.6.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

O.A. No.265 of 2021

A.B. Nyaynit ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Applicant in person and Smt. Archana B.K.,
learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. I.d. PO seeks three weeks time for filing reply.
3. S.O. to 20.7.2021.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
29.6.2021

(sgj)


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.151 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.B. Taskhedkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. I.d. PO seeks one week's time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 6.7.2021 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 29.6.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

O.A. No.749 of 2019

V.G. Davari ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondent No.1 and Shri Amar Bodke holding for Shri M.V. Thorat, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.

2. Id. Advocate for Respondent no.2 seeks time.
3. S.O. to 20.7.2021.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
29.6.2021

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.356 of 2020</u></p> <p>M.D. Mire ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Vaishali K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Id. Advocate for the applicant seeks two weeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.7.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 29.6.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20__

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20__

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.582 of 2020</u></p> <p>J.K. Bhatkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Vaishali K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks two weeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.7.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 29.6.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.102 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.H. Shaikh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Id. Advocate for the applicant seeks four weeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.7.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 29.6.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>