

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.01.2020</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.527 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>S. H. Bhagat</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.02.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.01.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.575 of 2019</p> <p>D. A. Bharmade .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.02.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.01.2020</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.61 of 2020</b>  (This matter pertains to A'bad Bench and it was registered at Aurangabad as O.A.No.61/2020 and placed before this Bench for urgent relief as the Bench at Aurangabad is not available.)</p> <p><b>P. L. Deore</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar holding for Shri V. B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has challenged the impugned order dated 04.09.2019 whereby he was kept under suspension in view of registration of crime under the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988. Learned Counsel for the Applicant in reference letter dated 27.12.2019 (Page 31 of PB) submits that the proposal of revocation of suspension and reinstatement in service is already under consideration before the Government.</li> <li>3. Learned P.O. is directed to take instructions about the said proposal and to apprise the Tribunal on next date.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.02.2020.</li> <li>5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>10. S.O. to 25.02.2020.</li> <li>11. R &amp; P be sent to Registrar, M.A.T. Aurangabad Bench for further action.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member(J)</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.01.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.1026 of 2019</p> <p>S. K. Chandane .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P. G. Kayande, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that reply is under typing and same will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record. Copy be supplied to the learned Counsel for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. On the request of learned Counsel, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.02.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*



The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 29.01.2020
	<p align="center">O.A.No.1083 of 2019 with 1086/19, 1087/19, 1092/19, 1093/19 with M.A.621/19 with 1094/19 with M.A.622/19 with 1088/19 with 1095/19 with O.A.1112/19 with O.A.1212/2019 with O.A.1200/2019 with 1203/2019 with 36/2020</p> <p>S. A. Waghmare &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, Shri U. V. Bhosale and Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsels for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply in O.A.No.1212/2019, 1203/2019 and 1200/2019 on behalf of the Commissioner of Police. It is taken on record. Learned P.O. seeks two weeks time to file reply in remaining matter.</p> <p>3. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.02.2020.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> <p align="right">[PTO.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.01.2020.</p> <p>O.A.No.971 of 2019</p> <p>R.P. Gaikwad .....Applicant Versus .....Respondents. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule learned P.O. with Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant invited our attention to the impugned order dated 30.08.2019 (page 56, Exhibit-H of P.B.), wherein it appears that the applicant has been reverted because he was working on the post of Warden against nomination quota. However, learned Advocate has also pointed out minutes of meeting of D.P.C. (page 18 to 25, Exhibit-B of P.B.) where Applicant is at serial no.2, at page 21 and promoted from S.C. category and it appears that the Applicant was promoted to the post of promotional quota.</p> <p>4. Prima facie, it appears that the impugned order dated 30.08.2019 to revert the applicant because he was appointed against nomination quota is not proper. By record it appears that the Government vide its letter dated 03.12.2019 has written to Respondent No.2 (The Additional Commissioner, Tribal Development, Thane) to take necessary action regarding such case. However, till now nothing has been done. In view of such situation Respondent No.2 is directed to examine the issue and take necessary steps within one week time and communicate to the Applicant as well as to Tribunal through learned P.O.</p> <p>5. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed. S.O. to 05.02.2020.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.972 of 2019</p> <p>A. L Suryawanshi &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule learned P.O. with Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicants invited our attention to the impugned order dated 30.08.2019 (page 56, Exhibit-H of P.B.), wherein it appears that the applicants have been reverted because they were working on the post of Warden against nomination quota. However, learned Advocate has also pointed out minutes of meeting of D.P.C. (page 18 to 25, Exhibit-B of P.B.) where Applicants are at Serial Nos.7, 10 and 12, at page 21 and promoted from O.B.C. category and it appears that the Applicants were promoted to the post of promotional quota.</p> <p>4. Prima facie, it appears that the impugned order dated 30.08.2019 to revert the applicants because they were appointed against nomination quota is not proper. By record it appears that the Government vide its letter dated 03.12.2019 has written to Respondent No.2 (The Additional Commissioner, Tribal Development, Thane) to take necessary action regarding such case. However, till now nothing has been done. In view of such situation Respondent No.2 is directed to examine the issue and take necessary steps within one week time and communicate to the Applicants as well as to Tribunal through learned P.O.</p> <p>5. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed. S.O. to 05.02.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- </p> <p>(A. P. Kurhakar) Member(J)</p> <p>(Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Caram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.01.2020.</p> <p>O.A.No.973 of 2019</p> <p>S.S. Shelar &amp; Ors. .... Applicants. Versus ..... Respondents. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule learned P.O. with Smt. K.S. Galkwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicants invited our attention to the impugned order dated 30.08.2019 (page 55, Exhibit-H of P.B.), wherein it appears that the applicants have been reverted because they were working on the post of Warden against nomination quota. However, learned Advocate has also pointed out minutes of meeting of D.P.C. (page 18 to 25, Exhibit-B of P.B.) where Applicants are at Serial No.3 and 11, at page 21 and promoted from Open category and it appears that the Applicants were promoted to the post of promotional quota.</p> <p>4. Prima facie, it appears that the impugned order dated 30.08.2019 to revert the applicants because they were appointed against nomination quota is not proper. By record it appears that the Government vide its letter dated 03.12.2019 has written to Respondent No.2 (The Additional Commissioner, Tribal Development, Thane) to take necessary action regarding such case. However, till now nothing has been done. in view of such situation Respondent No.2 is directed to examine the issue and take necessary steps within one week time and communicate to the Applicants as well as to Tribunal through learned P.O.</p> <p>5. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed. S.O. to 05.02.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- (Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>





Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">D.A.No.1022 of 2019</p> <p>A.S. Sonawane &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule learned P.O. with Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicants invited our attention to the impugned order dated 30.08.2019 (page 56, Exhibit-H of P.B.), wherein it appears that the applicants have been reverted because they were working on the post of Warden against nomination quota. However, learned Advocate has also pointed out minutes of meeting of D.P.C. (page 18 to 25, Exhibit-B of P.B.) where Applicants are at Serial Nos.1 and 8, at page 21 and promoted from S.C. category and it appears that the Applicants were promoted to the post of promotional quota.</p> <p>4. Prima facie, it appears that the impugned order dated 30.08.2019 to revert the applicants because they were appointed against nomination quota is not proper. By record it appears that the Government vide its letter dated 03.12.2019 has written to Respondent No.2 (The Additional Commissioner, Tribal Development, Thane) to take necessary action regarding such case. However, till now nothing has been done. In view of such situation Respondent No.2 is directed to examine the issue and take necessary steps within one week time and communicate to the Applicants as well as to Tribunal through learned P.D.</p> <p>5. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed. S.O. to 05.02.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhakar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Snree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000—4-2019)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. ....

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*


The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.01.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.1206 of 2019</p> <p>A. R. Dabade .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.02.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.01.2020</p> <p>M. A. No.65 of 2020 in O. A. No.81 of 2019</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>S. P. Pathak ...Respondent</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents). The Respondent (Ori. Applicant) and his Counsel are absent.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.02.2020.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 12.02.2020.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.01.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.83 of 2020</p> <p>M. C. Lilani &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.02.2020.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 26.02.2020.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">   Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000—4-2019)

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.01.2020</p> <p>M.A. No.68 of 2020 in O.A.No.83 of 2020</p> <p>M. C. Llani &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A.No.68/2020 is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.84 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.K. Mane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant has challenged impugned order dated 3.1.2020 (Exh.A pg.17) whereby the respondent kept the applicant on supernumerary post for a period of 11 months. Perusal of order reveals that the order has been passed with the observations that the applicant has given up the claim of Scheduled Tribe and on that basis the impugned order has been passed. Following paragraph from the impugned order reads as under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">“ज्याअर्था, श्री. शंकर कुंडलीक माने, पोलीस वार्डक-३९२ यांचे हिंदू-महादेव कोळी, दंडी त्यांचे जात वैधता प्रमाणपत्र अद्याप पर्यंत सादर केलेले नाही व महाराष्ट्र प्रशासकीय न्यायधिकरण, मुंबई येथील पत्र क्र. MAT/MUM/JUD/२६४६/२०१२, दि. ०४/०६/२०१२ अन्वये सेवा समाप्ती आदेशवार Interim Relief देण्यात आल्याने त्यांनी अनुसूचित जमातीचा दावा सोडून दिलेला आहे.”</p> <p>3. Prima facie the contents of aforesaid para are incorrect as there is no such order of the Tribunal that the applicant has given up his claim of Scheduled Tribe. Indeed the Tribunal by order dated 4.6.2012 passed in OA No.502 of 2012 granted ad interim relief in favour of the applicant and later on merits the OA was allowed by order dated 21.1.2013. Thus, prima facie the basis of the impugned order seems to be incorrect.</p> <p>4. Further more as rightly pointed out by Ld. Advocate for the applicant the case of the applicant does not fall within the parameter of GR dated 21.12.2019 passed by Govt. in pursuance of the directions issued by Hon'ble Supreme</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Court of India on 6.7.2017 in SLP No.8928/2015 Chairman and Managing Director FCI Vs. Jagdish Balaram Bahira.</p> <p>5. The claim of the applicant to validate the case certificate is still under consideration before the Caste Scrutiny Committee as prima facie seen from the record.</p> <p>6. In view of the above, the Ld. PO is directed to apprise the respondents and to inform this Tribunal as to on what basis the applicant is said to have given up his caste claim.</p> <p>7. Issue notice returnable on 25.2.2020.</p> <p>8. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>11. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>12. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>13. Hamdast granted.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 29.1.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman (A) 29.1.2020</p> <p>(sgl)</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.44 of 2020

J.S. Kavishwar ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 18.2.2020.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurbekar)  
Member (J)  
29.1.2020

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Shree Bhagwan)  
Vice-Chairman (A)  
29.1.2020

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.34 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.B. Salunke .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 4 and Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.5.</p> <p>2. As per para 9(a) of this Tribunal's order dated 24.10.2018 in OA No.933/2018, though the applicants therein were permitted to appear for physical test as well as viva voce but in para 9(b) it was directed to keep the applicants' marks/ranking in sealed cover subject to outcome of the said OA.</p> <p>3. It appears that as per Exh.H page 33 of OA, the name of respondent no.5 Shri Lingappa Nivrutti Sargar (Applicant no.2 in OA No.933/18) appears at Sr. No.407 and in 'recommendation remarks' column, it is written, 'Open-Sports-2 As per Court Order'.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO is unable to produce any Court order which permitted to open sealed cover. On the contrary the name of respondent no.5 Shri Lingappa Nivrutti Sargar (Applicant no.2 in OA No.933/18) is already deleted from OA as per order dated 13.2.2019 in OA No.933/18.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, the Secretary, MPSC is directed to file affidavit, how the name of respondent no.5 is recommended by showing, 'As per Court Order'.</p> <p>6. At the request of Ld. PO and Ld. Advocate for respondent no.5 adjourned for two weeks. Ld. Advocate for respondent no.5 is at liberty to file reply.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 12.2.2020. Hamdast &amp; Steno copy granted.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 29.1.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman (A) 29.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.4 of 2018</u></p> <p>S.M. Jadhav &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 3 and Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Respondents No.4 to 70.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that Ld. CPO is unable to come today as she is not well and requests that the matter may be kept tomorrow.</p> <p>3. This Tribunal by order dated 28.1.2020 has directed the Ld. CPO to produce compliance to the order dated 11.4.2019 of the Hon'ble Chairman.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO is directed to check if office has received any instructions and file the same on record.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 30.1.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 29.1.2020 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman (A) 29.1.2020</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.72 of 2020</u></p> <p>R.R. Wagh ..... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 12.2.2020. Issue of interim relief is kept open.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 29.1.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman (A) 29.1.2020</p> <p>(sgi)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.85 of 2020</p> <p>N.H. Koli <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span> Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">.....Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.02.2020.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Mand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 25.02.2020.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>