

1

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------


Date : 29.01.2016.

M.A.No.40 of 2016 in R.A.No.2 of 2016 in
O.A.No.956 of 2014 with O.A.No.957 of 2014 &
O.A.No.958 of 2014

1. Heard Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Respondents (Org. Applicants).

2. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad states that learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad is absent due to her personal difficulties and hence request for adjournment.

3. Adjourned to 29.02.2016.


(J.D. Kulkarni)
Member (J)


prk

29/2/16

Coram - Hon. Shri J.D. Kulkarni (CMB)

Ms. N.G. Gohad holding for
~~Adv.~~ Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, P.O.
for ~~Rep.~~ App. (Org. Resp.)
Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar for
the Rep. (Org. App.).

S.O. to 29/2/16.



MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/200 /2016
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,
Free Press Journal Marg,
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date : 29 JAN 2016

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 710 OF 2015.

✓1. Shri Rakesh B. Sahu,
R/o. Mangeshi Shrushti Phase-2, B- Wing, Flat No. 808, Near Vasant
Vally, Wayle Nagar, Kalyan (w), Dist. Thane.

....**APPLICANT/S.**

VERSUS

✓1 State of Maharashtra, Through the Secretary, Forest Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai. ✓2 The Chief Conservator (Territorial)
Thane Circle, Thane. Micro Wave
Tower, Bara Bungalow Area, Kopari,
Thane (E)-400 603.

...**RESPONDENT/S**

✓ Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

*The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the 29th day of **January, 2016** has made the following order:-*

APPEARANCE : Shri. N.P. Dalvi, Advocate for the Applicant.
Ms. N.G. Gohad, P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : **HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

DATE : **29.01.2016.**

ORDER : Order Copy Enclosed / Order Copy Over Leaf.

Anasa
29.1.2016
**Research Officer,
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,
Mumbai.**

Date : 29.01.2016.

O.A.No.710 of 2015

1. Heard Shri N.P. Dalvi, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In this O.A. the Applicant had challenged his suspension vide order dated 25.05.2015. Respondent No.2 on various grounds mentioned in the application such as that the suspension is illegal, arbitrary and colourable exercise of power of Respondents, reasons for suspension are not recorded as per Rule 4(1)(c) of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1979 and so on. The Respondents has filed the reply affidavit and tried to justify the suspension order.

3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant Shri N.P. Dalvi has placed reliance of one circular dated 14.10.2011 issued by the Government of Maharashtra which states that the case of officer under suspension shall be considered periodically for review. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that his client has already submitted representation and the same has not yet been considered by the Respondents. He submits that the Respondents be directed to consider the said representation dated 25.05.2015 (copy whereof is tendered, marked 'X') within the time frame limit for which the Respondents have so consented.

4. In view thereof, the application stands disposed off with directions to the Respondents to take decision of the representation filed by the applicant as regards his revocation of suspension as per Rules within one month from the date of receipt of the order and communicate the same to the applicant. Applicant shall be at liberty to approach this Tribunal in case the decision goes against him. No order as to costs.

5. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

29/1/16

Case - 110th St of J.D. Kulkarni (MB)

Att. N.P. Dalvi for the appl.

Ms. N.G. Gohad, P.O. for the
R.O.S

Order passed in Tribunal's order
returned

with directions case is disposed
of.

TRUE COPY

(Signature)
29.1.2016

Asst. Registrar / Research Officers
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Mumbai

oll
5.

(Signature)
(J.D. Kulkarni)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20


FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 29.01.2016.

M.A.No.569 of 2015 in C.A.No.142 of 2014 in
O.A.No.27 of 2003

1. None for the Applicants. Heard Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. Shri K.B. Bhise submits that he has not received the copy of M.A.
3. Applicant to supply the copy of M.A.
4. Adjourned to 29.02.2016.


(J.D. Kulkarni)
Member (J)

prk

29/1/16

D/B

Coram - Hon. Shri J.D. Kulkarni (M/J)

None for the appl.
Shri K.B. Bhise, P.O. for the
Rgs.

S.O to 29/2/2016.



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20


IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>29/1/2016</u> <u>D/B</u></p> <p>Coram - Hon. Shri J. D. Kulkarni (M/J)</p> <p>Adv. C. T. Chandratre for the Appl.</p> <p>Ms. N. G. Gohad, P.O. for the Resps</p> <p>S.O. to 29/2/2016 <u>B/E</u></p>	<p>Date : 29.01.2016.</p> <p>C.A.No.66 of 2015 in O.A.No.499 of 2014</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Ms. N.G. Gohad request for grant of time for compliance of the order.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad adjourned to 29.02.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> (J.D. Kulkarni) Member (J)</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Curam, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>29/1/16</u></p> <p>OSAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Member - Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri. <u>K. R. Jagdale</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>A. J. Chougule</u></p> <p>C.P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Order passed in the Tribunal's chamber.</p> <p>Adj. to <u>S.O. to 11/2/16.</u></p> <p><i>(Signature)</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.116/16</u></p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Regard being had to the scope of the OA and the ultimate relief sought, I direct the Respondents to file their Affidavits-in-reply on the next date positively without fail. The OA could as well be disposed of finally on that date.</p> <p>Issue notice returnable on 11.2.2016.</p> <p>Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>S.O. to 11th February, 2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  <u>29.1.16</u> (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 29.01.2016 </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE: 29/1/16</u></p> <p>CHAIRMAN</p> <p>MR. H. C. RANVAGARWAL (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p>MR. M. S. H. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>Advocate for the Applicant</u> Shri/Smt. <u>S. I. G. Gajakosh</u></p> <p><u>Shri/Smt. N. G. Gohad</u> C.O.P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>order passed by the Tribunal's coram.</u></p> <p><u>Adv. to 26/2/16.</u></p> <p><i>(Signature)</i></p>	<p><u>O.A.104/16</u></p> <p>Heard Ms. S.I. Gajakosh, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Issue notice returnable on 26.2.2016.</p> <p>Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>S.O. to 26th February, 2016. The learned P.O. do waive service.</p> <p><i>(Signature)</i> <u>29.1.16</u> (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 29.01.2016</p> <p>(skw)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE: 29/1/16</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri K.R. Jagdale Attorney for the Applicant Shri A.J. Chougule C.O. for the Respondents Order passed in the Tribunal's collection.</p> <p><u>Adj. to</u> S.O. to 11/2/16 last chance for Reply.</p>	<p><u>O.A.84/2016</u></p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>After hearing the parties for quite some time, I direct that the Respondents must file their Affidavit-in-reply on the next date because the Applicant being T.B. affected employee, it will be the top most concerned of the Tribunal to make sure that she is not in a manner of speaking taken for a ride. In so far as salary is concerned, there can be no hitch in the payment of her salary for November-December, 2015 and January, 2016, and therefore, although as mentioned by the learned P.O, the matter has now been forwarded to the Treasury, I make it clear that on the next date, when the matter appears before me, the salary must have been paid to her. Failure to comply would lead to serious consequences.</p> <p>S.O. to 11th February, 2016 as last chance for reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  <u>29-1-16</u> (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 29.01.2016 </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>29/1/16</u></p> <p><u>RAJIV AGARWAL</u> (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p><u>R. B. MALIK (Member)</u></p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> <u>P. B. Khaire</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>A. J. Chougule</u> Advocate for the Respondents</p> <p><u>Order passed in the Tribunal's order.</u></p> <p><u>Office Admit:</u> <u>Liberty to mention granted.</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>O.A.1020/2015</u></p> <p>Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Shri Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Applicant makes a statement that the Applicant does not want to file Rejoinder. Admit. Liberty to mention granted.</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i> <u>29-1-16</u> (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 29.01.2016</p> <p>(skw)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE: 29/1/16</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u></p> <p>• Honble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p>Honble Shri. R. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p><u>APPLICANT:</u></p> <p>Shri. R.G. Panchal</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri. N.G. Gohad</p> <p><u>OPPOSITE:</u> for the respondents</p> <p>order passed in the Tribunal's order in</p> <p><u>Adj.:</u></p> <p>O.A. is Admit - Liberty to mention granted</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.1025/2015</u></p> <p>Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>The learned P.O. Ms. Gohad files Affidavit-in-reply for the Respondent No.1 and another one being a composite reply of the Respondents 3 & 4. The OA proceeds without the Affidavit-in-reply of the Respondents 2 & 5. Shri Panchal informs that the Applicant does not want to file Rejoinder. Admit. Liberty to mention granted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  <u>29.1.16</u> (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 29.01.2016 </p> <p>(skw)</p>


IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE: 29/1/16</u></p> <p><u>PRESENT:</u> Hon'ble <u>Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL</u> --- (Vice-Chairman) --- Hon'ble <u>Shri. R. F. MATEK</u> (Member) J</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>S.S. Dere</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>A.J. Chougule</u> G.O./P.O. for the Respondents <u>order passed on the Tribunal's account.</u> Adj. <u>to 26/2/16.</u></p>	<p align="center"><u>O.A.111/16</u></p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Issue notice returnable on 26.2.2016.</p> <p>Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>S.O. to 26th February, 2016. The learned P.O. do waive service.</p> <p align="right">  (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 29.01.2016 </p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

A.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Ceram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE: 29/1/16</u></p> <p><u>COMAM:</u></p> <p>Hon. Mr. Shri. RAJIVAGARWA (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p>Hon. Mr. Shri. R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u></p> <p><u>Mr./Shri. K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>Shri/Smt. N.G. Gohad</u> C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>order passed in the Tribunal's order.</p> <p>Reply filed by R. 223. S.O. to 18/2/16.</p> <p><i>Gohad</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.730/15</u></p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Affidavits-in-reply of Respondents 2 & 3 are taken on record. Affidavit-in-reply of Respondent No.1 is not filed. The OA proceeds without the written statement of Respondent No.1. Adjourned for Rejoinder to 18th February, 2016.</p> <div style="text-align: right;">  <u>29.1.16</u> (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 29.01.2016 </div> <p>(skv)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

MA/R.A./CA. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.102/16

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

As a matter of fact, the findings of this Tribunal in OA 275/2010 (Dr. Balaji B. Birajdar and 7 others, dated 19.6.2013 to which the present Applicant was the Applicant No.8 produced a certain result which in my opinion must lead me to hold that this OA should be expeditiously heard. The OA having already been filed, I do not think the Respondents shall precipitate that matter at least in such time as the OA is heard and decided. The Respondents must file their Affidavit-in-reply on the next date and regardless of whether they do so or not, it will travel to the next stage.

Issue notice returnable on 12.2.2016.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

29/1/16

Mr. R. K. Agarwal
(Chairman)

Mr. A. K. (Member)

Mr. M. D. Louker

Mr. N. G. Gohad

Mr. P. O. for the Respondents

order passed by
the Tribunal's order

S.O. to 12/2/16.

(Signature)

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 12th February, 2016. The learned P.O. do waive service.

(Signature)
29-1-16

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
29.01.2016

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>29/1/16</u></p> <p>Hon. Mr. L. BHAVAGARWAL - Chairman</p> <p>Hon. Mr. J. J. ... (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE</p> <p>Shri. Samina Mirza Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri. A. J. Chougule Advocate for the Respondents</p> <p>Order passed in the Tribunal's favor.</p> <p>Sur-rejoinder filed by P. No. 1704. OA is admitted. Liberty to mention granted.</p> <p><i>FH</i></p>	<p><u>O.A.630/2015</u></p> <p>Heard Ms. Samina Mirza, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Sur-rejoinder is taken on record. OA is admitted. Liberty to mention granted.</p> <p><i>(R.B. Malik)</i> 29.01.16</p> <p>(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 29.01.2016</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>29/1/16</u></p> <p>CHAIRMAN: Hon'ble Mr. RAJIV AGARWAL (Chairman)</p> <p>MEMBER (J): Hon'ble Mr. R.B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri. <u>Samina Mirza</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri. <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.S.O./R.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>Order passed in</u> <u>(the Tribunal's cabinet)</u></p> <p><u>M.A. is disposed of.</u></p> <p><i>(Signature)</i></p>	<p><u>M.A.7/2016 in O.A.630/2015</u></p> <p>Heard Ms. Samina Mirza, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Ms. Mirza, the learned Advocate seeks permission to withdraw this MA for amendment so that the main OA in which the pleadings are complete could be heard early. In any case, for want of particulars, this MA was even otherwise not a proceeding which could have been lightly allowed. But now the leave sought is granted and the MA is disposed of as not pressed with no order as to costs.</p> <p><i>(Signature)</i></p> <p>(R.B. Malik) 29.1.16 Member (J) 29.01.2016</p> <p>(skw)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 467, 633, 638, 585, 648,
667, 791, 822, 524, 589, 606, 636, 632, 742, 766, 767,
768, 786, 808, 809, 904 & 960 OF 2015

DISTRICT :

Shri Vinod Ramchandra Badekar & Ors)...**Applicant**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & others)...**Respondents**

Ms Swati Manchekar, with Shri A.V Bandiwadekar and Shri
C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the Applicants.

Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the
Respondents

CORAM : Shri Rajiv Agarwal (Vice-Chairman)

DATE : 01.02.2016

ORDER

1. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, with Shri A.V
Bandiwadekar and Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for
the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief
Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. These Original Applications are being heard together as the issues to be decided are identical. Learned Advocate Ms Manchekar has more or less completed her arguments and Shri Chandratre has adopted the arguments of Ms Manchekar. Before Shri Bandiwadekar could start his arguments, it appears that information on some of the points which are enumerated hereafter will be necessary to decide the issue involved in this Original Application.

- (i) It appears that 1500 posts of Craft Instructors was created on contract basis. The reasons for not creating posts on regular establishment like paucity of resources with the State Government, if true, may be cited. In this connection, concerned filed notings / copy of the cabinet note may be placed on record.
- (ii) It appears that as per G.R of 23.8.2010 a person can be given appointment only for a period of two years and if necessary it could be extended by another period of two years. The circumstance in which this decision was taken also needs elaboration. It may be clarified whether it is a conscious decision of the Government that some sort of rotation policy was adopted so that a large number of persons will get necessary experience, which will be useful in future.
- (iv) The decision was taken to create 1500 posts on contract basis even though large number of vacancies were there on regular establishment. These regular vacancies are also sought to be filled now. Why it was thought

necessary to first fill the vacancies on contract basis and why it was decided to fill the regular vacancies later may also be clarified.

- (v) It appears that while issuing G.R dated 15.10.2015 Government has now decided to fill 1500 posts which were earlier to be filled on contract basis, by appointing persons on clock hourly basis. This will create three class of Instructors, namely regularly appointed, appointed on contract basis and appointed on clock hourly basis. If the intention is to continue 1500 posts indefinitely then this classification will have to be justified.

These issues are mentioned by way of illustration only and if there are any other facts which Respondents may like to bring to the notice of the Tribunal, so as to assist the Tribunal to decide these O.As., the Respondents will be free to do so. The learned C.P.O seeks three weeks time to file affidavit in reply covering these issues and other points which Respondent would like to bring to the notice of this Tribunal. The affidavit may be filed by an officer working in Government in Mantralaya at a senior level.

3. It is seen that in the affidavit in reply filed on behalf of Respondents in O.A no 524/2015 on 8.1.2016, minutes of the meeting of the High Powered Committee held on 9.11.2015 have been appended. At serial no. 6 is the proposal regarding granting of regular pay scale to 1500 posts created on contract basis which is the subject matter of the

14

present O.As. It is mentioned that the Committee did not take any decision on merits as the matter was subjudice before this Tribunal and decided to wait for the outcome of the decision of this Tribunal. It is made clear that the Committee is free to take decision in the matter on merits and need not wait for the decision of this Tribunal. This may be brought to the notice of the Chief Secretary, Government of Maharashtra, who is the Chairman of the High Power Committee.

4. Learned C.P.O stated that I.T.Is are now under the Skill Development and Entrepreneurship Department. Learned Advocates Ms Manchekar, Shri Bandiwadekar and Shri Chandratre seek leave of this Tribunal to carry out necessary correction in the title of the O.As. Leave granted. Amendment to be carried out within a period of one week

5. Interim relief to continue. S.O to 22.2.2016 Hamdast.

Sd/-
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Place : Mumbai
Date : 01.02.2016
Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.