



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders
	Date: 28.07.2020  T.B. Gadekar Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	O. A. No.276 of 2020  .....Applicant .....Respondents.  1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  2. S.O. to 22.09.2020.  Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson  NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.07.2020</p> <p align="center">O. A. No.272 of 2020</p> <p>Dr. K.S. Deshpande .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 04.08.2020.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>	

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.07.2020</p> <p>O. A. No.273 of 2020</p> <p>M.N. Thombre .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 04.08.2020.</p> <p>NMN</p>	<p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.07.2020</p> <p align="center">O. A. No.296 of 2020</p> <p>Dr.R.S.Hiray .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Time prayed for filing Reply.</p> <p>3. Affidavit of service is filed.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.08.2020.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.07.2020</p> <p>O. A. No.330 of 2020 with M.A. No.185 of 2020</p> <p>Maha. Rajya Hangami Hivtap Prayogshala Karmachari Sanghatana &amp; 2 Ors. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant seeks limited relief that their representations dated 25.04.2019, 03.07.2019 and 18.06.2020 are to be decided within one month. The union of Lab. Technician working in Malaria Health Department, have filed this application for their absorption and permanent appointment as Lab. Technician and Senior Filed workers as they have been working in the labs of the Respondent nearly for fifteen years.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks time for instructions.</p> <p>4. There is no need to give time to instructions as to decide the representation as it is duty of the Respondents to decide within specific time framed. Therefore Respondents are directed to decide representation made by the Applicant Union within one month i.e. on or before 31.08.2020 without fail. Respondents to take note that the Tribunal is burden with so many petitions as the Respondents are not taking decision in time.</p> <p>5. O.A. is disposed of.</p> <p align="right">A. Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson [P.T.O.]</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corom, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 28.07.2020

M.A. No.185 of 2020 in O. A. No.330 of 2020

**Maha. Rajya Hangami Hivtap**

**Prayogshala Karmachari**

**Sanghatana & 2 Ors.**

.....Applicant

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.**

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This Application is made for seeking permission to pursue the matter jointly. For the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. M.A. disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)

Chairperson

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.07.2020</p> <p>O. A. No.267 of 2020</p> <p>B.S. Gore .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 11.08.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 28.07.2020</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O. A. No.335 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>S.M. Sathe</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri S.M. Sathe, Applicant in Person and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.08.2018.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. Learned C.P.O. waives service of notice.</li> </ol> <p align="right">✓</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>8. The Applicant prays that his promotion is to be considered from deemed date 01.05.2016 as it was given to his subordinate colleague as also to be paid the salary of their entitlement from the said deemed date. He further prays for the declaration that Respondent have misused the Government circular dated 02.04.1976 and have deliberately did not promote him earlier.</p> <p>9. Learned P.O. seeks time to take instructions from the Respondent whether the Applicant is entitled to his claim specifically of the deemed date.</p> <p>10. S.O. to 11.08.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 28.07.2020

O. A. No.332 of 2020

A.B. Patil .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Suyawanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant holding for Shri Ashutosh Singh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant challenged the order dated 20.05.2020 of his transfer from Adgaon, Police Station, Nashik to Control Room, Nashik, it is submitted by the learned Advocate for the Applicant that the Applicant has completed only nine months at Adgaon Police Station and he has been transfer from Adgaon to Control Room as the punishment. She pointed out the reference in the impugned order. She further submits that there is no compliance of Section 22(N) Police Act as not complied in absence of approval of the appropriate authority.

3. Learned P.O. not served, want to take instructions. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for interim relief however, on the perusal of the impugned order it is found the Applicant is already relieved and he has already joined his new posting at Control Room, Nashik, hence, no need of interim relief.

4. Amendment in respect of adding Section 22(N) of Maharashtra Police Act in the Application is allowed. Consequential amendment copies to be served today itself.

5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.08.2020.

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. S.O. to 18.08.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Mridula R. Bhatkar</i> Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_



IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.07.2020</p> <p align="center">O. A. No. 338 of 2020</p> <p>K.B. Kakade .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.09.2020 .</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. The Applicant challenges the seniority list dated 12.06.2019 wherein his seniority is shown at No. 1281 whereas he claims that as per provisional seniority list which was published on 1.1.2004 to 31.12.2004 his seniority was shown at No. 1238 now the seniority list for the period 1.1.2004 till 31.12.2010 the list is published on 12.06.2019 which is under challenged in this matter.</p> <p>8. It is pointed out by learned Advocate for the Applicant that the names of the Applicant in provisional list was shown in between one Mr. Vivek P. Salunke and Shri Ranjit P. Desai however, though the name of the Mr. Vivek P. Salunke and Shri Ranjit P. Desai are appearing at Sr. 1444 and 1445 respectively. In the list dated 12.06.2019 the name of the Applicant is not shown in between these two employees. It is submitted by learned Advocate for the Applicant that the Applicant after receiving final seniority list dated 12.06.2019 submitted the representation immediately i.e. 16.06.2019 to the Divisional Commissioner while replying to that representation he has send letter to the Government R.1 on 02.07.2019 and has considered the claim though now at Sr. 1238 or 1245 but it was excepted by him at Sr. No.1258 from 1281.</p> <p>9. Learned P.O. seeks time to take decision.</p> <p>10. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that Government holding scheduled Departmental Promotion Committee (D.P.C.) and on the basis of final seniority list the names for the post of Deputy Collector i.e. promotional post for Tahasildars is going to be considered. Hence, she prays for interim relief that D.P.C. not to be held till here representation is decided.</p> <p>11. On taking instructions from Prasad R. Shinde, Revenue &amp; Forest Department, Section Officer, informs that no D.P.C. is schedule in near future. In view of this statement no interim relief. Learned P.O. submits that the representation of the Applicant dated 16.06.2019 in respect of seniority list will be decided till 30.08.2020.</p> <p>12. S.O. 03.09.2020.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;">         Sd/-        (P.N. Dixit)        Vice-Chairman     </div> <div style="text-align: center;">         Sd/-        (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)        Chairperson     </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.07.2020</p> <p align="center">O. A. No.329 of 2020</p> <p>M.H. Mhaldar .....Applicant Versus .....Respondents. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant claims that he be appointed on compassionate ground in the place of his father who was class IV employee who took voluntary retirement on 12.11.2015 and the Applicant made Application on 16.11.2015. In O.A. 1185/19 by order dated 10.12.2019 Single Bench of this Tribunal directed to decide the claim of the Applicant within two months thereafter Respondents by order dated 06.02.2020 took decision Civil Surgeon, Kolhapur, Respondent No.3 informing the Applicant that the Applicant being Muslim cannot fall in Schedule Caste and therefore the Applicant has no right to get appointed on the basis of compassionate ground.</p> <p>3. The Applicant challenged this order on two ground first the order of discrimination violating Article 14 of the Constitution and secondly circular dated 19.08.2013 does not exclude any such person from Muslim community.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. seeks time, time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>5. S.O. 27.08.2020 /</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.07.2020</p> <p>O. A. No.330 of 2020 with M.A. No.185 of 2020</p> <p><b>Maha. Rajya Hangami Hivtap</b>  <b>Prayogshala Karmachari</b>  <b>Sanghatana &amp; 2 Ors.</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant seeks limited relief that their representations dated 25.04.2019, 03.07.2019 and 18.06.2020 are to be decided within one month. The union of Lab. Technician working in Malaria Health Department, have filed this application for their absorption and permanent appointment as Lab. Technician and Senior Filed workers as they have been working in the labs of the Respondent nearly for fifteen years.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks time for instructions.</p> <p>4. There is no need to give time to instructions as to decide the representation as it is duty of the Respondents to decide within specific time framed. Therefore Respondents are directed to decide representation made by the Applicant Union within one month i.e. on or before 31.08.2020 without fail. Respondents to take note that the Tribunal is burden with so many petitions as the Respondents are not taking decision in time.</p> <p>5. O.A. is disposed of.</p> <div style="text-align: right;"> <p><i>Mridula Bhatkar</i>  Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson  [PTO.</p> </div>




**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.07.2020</p> <p align="center">C. A. No.318 of 2020</p> <p>D.P. Kharmate .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Drs. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter involves issue of competency of the Respondent who according to the Applicant erroneously suspended him and now reinstated him.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O., produces the order dated 20.07.2020 passed by Additional Commissioner of Police, Central Region, was reinstating the Applicant. It is taken on record. Learned P.O., submits that the Applicant has already joined the service on 20.07.2020 this fact is confirmed by learned Advocate for the Applicant. Hence, no urgent order for reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.10.2020.</p> <p align="right">             Sd/- _____            (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)            Chairperson         </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 28.07.2020</b></p> <p><b>O. A. No.319 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>P.A. More</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As the Applicant was appointed on compassionate ground in cadre of group 'C' post could not complete the condition of passing of Marathi typing examination with requisite speed within six months from the Date of appointment as clerk group 'C'. Hence, his service was terminated by order dated 11.06.2018. The petitioner made the representation on the basis of one G.R. dated 08.09.1997 wherein the person who could not follow the condition of getting appointment in group 'C' on compassionate ground can be absorbed in the earlier group 'D' an once they ought for group 'D' then he cannot claim any further promotion in future in group 'C'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that Applicant is ready to get opts for fresh appointment in group 'D' on conditions for such appointment are accepted by the Applicant.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. wants time to take instructions.</p> <p>5. It will be heard finally at the stage of admission.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 06.08.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Mridula R. Bhatkar</i> Sd/- <b>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**IN**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 28.07.2020

C.A. No.64 of 2019 In O. A. No. 333 of 2018

B.B. Chavan .....Applicant  
 Versus  
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant claims her pensioner benefits. Learned Advocate for the Applicant informs that the Applicant has received all the retrial benefits except regular pension though she is receiving provisional pension.

3. S.O. 15.09.2020

Sd/-  
 (P.N. Dixit)  
 Vice-Chairman

Sd/-  
 (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  
 Chairperson

NMN



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**IN**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 28.07.2020</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.695 of 2018</b></p> <p><b>N.K. Chavan &amp; Ors .....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant today files Affidavit-in-Rejoinder, it is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.09.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">   Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman </p> <p style="text-align: center;">   Sd/-  28/7/2020  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson </p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.07.2020</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.16 of 2020 in O. A. No. 1007 of 2018</p> <p>H.V. Sapale .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pursuing to the order dated 16.12.2019 in O.A. 1007/2018 of this Tribunal and Respond to order in this C.A. dated 09.06.2020. Learned C.P.O. submits that Respondent have complied with the order of the Tribunal by issuing the posting order dated 16.07.2020 to the Applicant she produces photocopy of the said order, it is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. submits that in respect of conducting Departmental Enquiry the Application for extension of time is filed.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that one Application by the Applicant signed by him dated 24.07.2020 that he has received the proper order and he is satisfied with the said order and therefore he does not want to further pursue the matter. In view of this facts and the development C.A. is hereby disposed of.</p> <p align="center">   Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman </p> <p align="center">   Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson </p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.07.2020</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.18 of 2020 in O. A. No. 1171 of 2019</p> <p>S.A. Bale .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that this is the first date of this C.A. as the appeal against the Applicant was to be decided within the period of three months pursuant to the order dated 11.12.2019 passed by the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that the appeal is not yet decided. However, Respondent are moving Application seeking extension of time.</p> <p>4. S.O. 11.08.2020.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.07.2020</p> <p>O. A. No. 339 of 2020</p> <p>Ms. M.V. Mohite .....Applicant Versus .....Respondents. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Mohite, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter is pertaining to the seniority list for Tahasildar which is upto till 2010 and issued on December 2019. Learned Counsel for the Applicant that the similar issued is raised is O.A. 327/2020 and the said matter is adjourned to 18.08.2020.</p> <p>3. Copy to be served to P.O., this matter is fixed on 18.08.2020.</p> <p>4. S.O. 18.08.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.07.2020</p> <p>O. A. No.1018 of 2018</p> <p>T.B. Metakari &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard None for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 17.09.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">   Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman </p> <p style="text-align: center;">   Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson </p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**IN**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.07.2020</p> <p>O. A. No.303 of 2020</p> <p>M.N.Thosare .....Applicant Versus .....Respondents. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this case the Respondent is suppose to file reply. However, it is not ready and not filed. It is further submitted by C.P.O. that the Respondents are not going to conduct Departmental Promotion Committee as no date is fixed.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.08.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

EN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 28.07.2020

O. A. No.1079 of 2019

M.S. Chougule .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. S.O. to 13.08.2020.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

NMN

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.07.2020</p> <p>C.A. No.19 of 2020 in O. A. No.1158 of 2016 with O.A. No.352 of 2017 with O.A. No. 353 of 2017</p> <p>Smt. S.K. Goraksha &amp; 24 Ors .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. These all 24 Applicants who has filed this contempt petition against Respondent have no compliance of direction in the order dated 09.03.2020 the issue is pertaining to the refund of recovery of the amount from the Applicants.</li> <li>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.09.2020.</li> <li>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 03.09.2020.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**IN**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.07.2020</p> <p>O. A. No.165 of 2020</p> <p>N.R. Raut .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 17.09.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

LN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.07.2020</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.469 of 2012 with O.A. No.682 of 2013 with O.A. No.879 of 2015</p> <p>Dr. A.R.Taraie &amp; Ors. Dr. M.B.Deolikar &amp; Ors. Dr. G.P.Wadekar &amp; Ors .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in (O.A. 469/12), None for the Applicant in (O.A. No.682/13 &amp; 879/15), Shri K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent in (O.A. 469/12), Respondent 1to4&amp;6 in (O.A.682/13), Respondent 1to6 in (O.A.879/15) None for other Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri N.Y. Chavan to remain present on 25.08.2020.</p> <p>3. Matter is called out and adjourned to 25.08.2020.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

**IN**

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.07.2020</p> <p>O. A. No.259 of 2020</p> <p>D.H. Gawali .....Applicant Versus .....Respondents. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-Reply is filed by C.P.O.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.09.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 28.07.2020

O.A. No.1355 of 2009

M.K. Subedar .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1to3, None for the Respondents 4,5,8,32,54,57&59, Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent 14, None for proposed Respondent, Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Respondents 11,13,20,68&82, and Shri R.M. Patil in Person Respondent No. 44 is absent.

2. This is the oldest matter on the file of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai.

3. Learned C.P.O. is directed to communicate to all the Advocates except Shri Bandiwadekar, that the matter will be heard on 25.08.2020.

Sd/-  
(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-  
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

**IN**

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.07.2020</p> <p>O. A. No.595 of 2019 with O.A. No.595 of 2019</p> <p>A.A. Andhare R.K. Jadhav .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri Ashutosh Kulkarni, learned Special Counsel for the Respondent alongwith Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of the Advocate for the Applicant adjourned to 06.08.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**IN**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram; Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 28.07.2020

O.A. No.440 of 2019

R.B. Fulpagare

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard None for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. S.O. to 24.11.2020.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

NMN



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**IN**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.07.2020</p> <p>O.A. No.704 of 2019</p> <p>S.G. Kadam .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 24.11.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">   Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman </p> <p style="text-align: center;">   Sd/-  28/7/20  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

**IN**

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 28.07.2020

O. A. No.172 of 2020

A.N. Balchandra .....Applicant  
 Versus  
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. S.O. to 11.08.2020.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

NMN



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**IN**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 28.07.2020</b></p> <p><b>O. A. No.261 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>B.A. Jadhav .....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. For Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.09.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">   Sd/-  <b>(P.N. Dixit)</b>  Vice-Chairman </p> <p style="text-align: center;">   Sd/-  <b>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</b>  Chairperson </p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

LN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 28.07.2020</p> <p align="center">O. A. No. 320 of 2020</p> <p>H.M. Vanave .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice to the Respondent before admission returnable on 22.09.2020.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. S.O. to 22.09.2020.</li> </ol> <p align="center">   Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman </p> <p align="center">   Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson  [P.T.O.] </p> <p align="left">NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**IN**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 28.07.2020</p> <p align="center"><b>M.A. No.27 of 2020 in O. A. No.13 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>R.S. Pawar</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and None for the Respondent M.P.S.C., and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents R. 2 &amp; 3.</p> <p>2. Respondent M.P.S.C. to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.08.2020.</p> <p align="center">   Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman </p> <p align="center">   Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FN**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 28.07.2020</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A. No.162 of 2020 in O. A. No.258 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>C.A. Rane</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Brushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply to be filed by the Respondent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.09.2020.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------


Date: 28.07.2020

M.A. No.188 of 2020 in O. A. No.848 of 2018


The State of Maharashtra & Ors. (Org. Resp.)....Applicants  
Versus  
R.S. Salunkha & Ors. (Org. Appl.) .....Respondents

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and None for the Respondent (Org. Applicant) is absent.

2. S.O. to 30.07.2020.

  
Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

  
Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

NMN



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

**IN**

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 28.07.2020</b></p> <p><b>M.A. No.187 of 2020 in O. A. No.226 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>P.L. Khairnar &amp; Ors. ....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Purnam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. C.P.O. to take instructions.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.07.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

## INUATION SHEET No.

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.07.2020**

**O.A.Nos.230/2020 with 231/2020 with  
232 of 2020**

1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicants are seeking extension in service on the basis of Government G.R. dated 03.09.2015 and 29.08.2018. In the meantime, the Applicants are, however, stand retired from service. Interim relief was not granted.
3. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is lastly granted.
4. S.O. to 11.08.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhakar)  
Member(J)

vsm

# INUATION SHEET No.

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.07.2020

O.A.No.151 of 2020

1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In the present O.A., the challenge is to the transfer order dated 12.12.2019.
3. Enough time is availed by the Respondents but failed to file the same. On previous date, last chance was granted but in vain.
4. In view of above, the matter is kept for hearing at the stage of admission without reply. In the meantime, the Respondents are at liberty to file reply, if they so desire.
5. S.O. to 18.08.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(I)

vsm

Date : 28.07.2020

O.A.No.157 of 2020 With O.A.No.161 of 2020

1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In pursuance of the order dated 14.07.2020 passed by this Tribunal as to why *suo moto* contempt proceedings should not be initiated against the Respondent No.4.

3. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed Affidavit of Shri Anoop Kumar, Principal Secretary, Animal Husbandry, Dairy Department and Fishery Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai.

4. In Affidavit, it is stated that the order for grant of provisional pension is passed on 16.07.2020 and the proposal is forwarded to office of Accountant General (A.G.) for approval which is under consideration of A.G.

5. Regret to note that it is only after the orders passed by this Tribunal, the Respondent No.1 had initiated action for grant of provisional pension which is also not yet finally approved by the office of A.G. The Applicants are retired in between 2015 to 2019 but deprived of final pension.

6. In view of above, the Respondent No.1 – The General Manager, Worli Milk Scheme, Worli, Mumbai is directed to depute the officials in the office of A.G. and get the provisional pension so that Applicants should get provisional pension without loss of time.

7. In so far as the issue of final pension is concerned, learned P.O. submits that the matter is under consideration before the Finance Department and Law & Judiciary Department for their opinion about certain issues in the matter of grant of final pension.

8. In fact, the said issue ought to have been considered and decided expeditiously within reasonable time after retirement of the Applicants but having not done so, ultimately the Applicants have filed the present Original Applications.

9. In view of above, two weeks time is granted to Respondents to take appropriate decision in the matter of final pension of the Applicants and to apprise the Tribunal on the next date so that O.A. can be heard expeditiously.

10. S.O. to 11.08.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

**CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.07.2020**

**O.A.No.868 of 2019**

1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, the matter is taken on board by way of circulation for filing Affidavit-in-Rejoinder.
3. Affidavit-in-Rejoinder filed by the Applicant is taken on record.
4. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.
5. S.O. to 18.08.2020.

N 224 /  
Sd/-

✓  
(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

**CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.07.2020**

**O.A.No.945 of 2019**

1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, the matter is taken on board by way of circulation for withdrawal of Original Application.
3. In the present O.A., challenge was to the transfer order dated 11.09.2019. No interim relief was granted.
4. In the meantime, the Applicant has made representation to consider his request for transfer at Pune on the post which became vacant in December, 2019.
5. Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that as the Applicant has already made representation which is likely to be considered by the Respondents, permission be granted to withdraw the O.A.
6. Allowed to withdraw the O.A.
7. The Respondents shall pass appropriate order on the representation made by the Applicant in accordance to rules.
8. Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

  
(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 28.07.2020</b></p> <p><b>O.A.Nos.244 of 2020 with 245 of 2020</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. Today, learned Counsel has tendered Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicants in both the Original Applications. It is taken on record.</li><li>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</li><li>4. S.O. to 25.08.2020.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- WV (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders
		<p><b>Date : 28.07.2020</b></p> <p><b>O.A.Nos.240 of 2020 with 241 of 2020</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day if the concerned officials attend the Tribunal for affirmation.</li><li>3. Un-affirmed copy of reply be supplied to the Applicants.</li><li>4. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicants, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</li><li>5. 5.O. to 11.08.2020.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">W (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**CONTINUATION SHEET No.**


Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.07.2020**

**O.A.No.103 of 2020**

1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Enough time is granted to file reply but not filed till date.
3. In the present O.A., challenge is to the transfer order dated 04.12.2018.
4. In view of above, the matter be placed for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to the Respondents to file reply in the meantime, if so desire.
5. S.O. to 11.08.2020.

Sd/-

  
(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

**CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 28.07.2020**

**O.A.No.204 of 2020**

1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record.
3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Reply.
4. S.O. to 18.08.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 28.07.2020</b></p> <p align="center"><b>C.A.No.202 of 2020</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. On 14.07.2020, the matter was adjourned on the request of learned P.O. to take instructions from the Respondents as to why the name of the Applicant could not be included in the waiting list for appointment on compassionate ground as <i>prima-facie</i> there was no hurdle to include his name in the waiting list.</li> <li>3. However, today learned P.O. has no instructions from the department and again sought time. The Applicant's father Shri Bhudhaji Gavit died in harness on 24.04.2009 and the application was made on 30.06.2009 for appointment on compassionate ground. However, till date no decision is taken.</li> <li>4. It is <sup>MVHS 16</sup>regret to note that even the matter is of appointment on compassionate ground, the Application is not decided for more than ten years and it is simply pending which <i>ex-facie</i> shows total inaction and lethargy on the part of the Respondents.</li> <li>5. In view of above, the matter needs to be heard expeditiously at the stage of admission without wasting time.</li> <li>6. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission on 04.08.2020.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 28.07.2020</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.942 of 2019</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 and Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.3.</li> <li>2. During the course of hearing, it is transpired that there is some mistake in Para No.7 of the Affidavit filed by Shri Rajaram Mane, Divisional Commissioner, Nashik. Para No.7 is as under:- <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>"7. I say and submit that, accordingly Divisional Commissioner Nashik by revoking previous order dated 29.03.2014 and 09.03.2015, Divisional Commissioner Nashik has passed modified order dated 07.07.2015 of establishment of Civil Services Board under the chairmanship of Deputy Commissioner of the division considering the guidelines mentioned in G.R. dated 17.07.2015 of Department of Revenue &amp; Forest with regard to the constitution of Civil Services Board for the transfer of the cadre of Tehsildar &amp; Deputy Collector according to the directions given in the G.R. dated 31.01.2014."</i></li> </ul> </li> <li>3. Thus, the Divisional Commissioner seems to contend that he has passed the order dated 07.07.2015 about the establishment of Civil Services Board considering guidelines mentioned in G.R. dated 17.07.2015 issued by the Department of Revenue &amp; Forest which was not in existence at the time of passing order dated 07.07.2015.</li> <li>4. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned P.O. submits that there is some mistake in drafting Para No.7 and she should be allowed to file fresh Affidavit of Divisional Commissioner about composition of Civil Services Board and to explain mistake in Para No.7.</li> <li>5. It appears that the Divisional Commissioner has sworn the Affidavit without reading its contents. Though, in law he was obliged to ensure the correctness of the contents of Affidavit before swearing the same. It appears that Affidavits are filed in haphazard manner and the Presenting Officers also did not bother to see its correctness before filing in the Tribunal.</li> <li>6. In view of above, I am inclined to grant opportunity to Respondents for filing fresh Affidavit of Divisional Commissioner, Nashik. It be filed within a week.</li> <li>7. S.O. to 04.08.2020.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>