

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>28/4/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>v.p. potbhare</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri /Smt. : <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>29/4/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 28.04.2016.</p> <p>R.A.No.07 of 2016 in O.A.No.1235 of 2009</p> <p>S.T. Shinde Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri V.P. Potbhare, adjourned to 29.04.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>[Signature]</i> Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 28/4/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. : V.P. Potbhare Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : A.J. Chougule C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: MA is allowed.</p>	<p>Date : 28.04.2016.</p> <p>M.A.No.118 of 2016 in R.A.No.07 of 2016 in O.A.No.1235 of 2009</p> <p>S.T. Shinde Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is for condonation of delay.</p> <p>3. O.A. was decided by this Tribunal on 24.10.2013. Applicant had challenge the order by filing O.A. on 06.12.2013 and withdraw the Writ Petition by filing Review Application on 01.02.2016. Miscellaneous Application for condonation fo delay is filed on 09.03.2016.</p> <p>4. Delay caused in filing of Review Application is due to time spent in bonafide pursuing the Writ Petition.</p> <p>5. Delay is properly explained and hence, the same is condoned.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes; Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance; Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's Orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.04.2016.

O.A.No.358 of 2016 with M.A.No.194 of 2016

5.B. Harugade & Ors. Applicants.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Smt. K.S. Gaikwad is called to make a statement on the following points :

- (a) Whether the list annexed to impugned communication dated 14.03.2016 is adhoc / final list to be acted upon by the competent selection committee.
- (b) Whether the decision as to promote the officer in the cadre of A.P.I. based on their ranking in the seniority has evidence as annexed to impugned communication is likely to be acted upon.

3. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad has taken instructions from Law Officer, Shri K.R. Upasani and Desk Officer, Shri Anil Sawant, from the office of Director General of Police and states that two days time may be granted for making statement on the foregoing points.

4. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O..

5. S.O. to 03.05.2016.

DATE : 28/4/16

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. : K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 31/5/16

[Signature]

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi)
Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 28.04.2016.

O.A.No.328 of 2016

Shri Prabhakar Tukaram Sonkamble ...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra ...Respondents

1. Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents is directed to take instructions on following points:-

- (a) Who is the Appellate Authority against the impugned order dated 28.07.2015.
- (b) Is the appeal preferred by Applicant pending before the Respondent No.1, and as to when the appeal would be decided.

3. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O. to communicate this order to the Respondents.

4. S.O. to 17.06.2016.

DATE: 28/4/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : J.N. Kamble

Advocate for the Applicant.

Shri/Smt. : A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To..... 17/6/16. steno-copy &

Hamdast allowed.

BE

sba

Sd/-
(A.H. JOSHI)
Chairman

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 28.04.2016.

O.A.No.305 of 2016

Dr. Nitin P. Mokashi ...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

1. None for the Applicant. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 20.06.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorised and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced alongwith affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 20.06.2016.

DATE: 28/4/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)-A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : None for the app.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 20/6/16

[Signature]

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi J.)
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>28/4/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:- Shri/Smt. : <u>V.U. Sherkhane holding for A.V. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s <u>Admit.</u> <u>Adj. to O.A. to come up for hearing in due course</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>ATE</u></p>	<p>Date : 28.04.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.122 of 2016</p> <p>P.P. Patil Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Sherkhane, the learned Advocate holding for Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Shri K.B. Bhise has tendered the affidavit-in-reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit. O.A. to come up for hearing in due course.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>(A.H. Joshi, J.)</u> Chairman</p> <p>prk</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 28/4/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. : Purnam Mahajan Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : N.K. Rajpurohit C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. 28/4/16</p> <p style="text-align: right;">HJK</p>	<p>Date : 28.04.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.390 of 2016</p> <p>M.K. Survase Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam, Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 09.08.2016.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 09.08.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>29/4/16</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice: <u>Smt. A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u> <u>Hon'ble Smt. M. R. Sasikumar (Member) A</u></p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Smt/Smt: <u>Heard Appl. in person</u> Advocate for the Applicant <u>Smt. K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O. / E.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>OA is disposed of accordingly</u></p>	<p>Date : 28.04.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.380 of 2014</p> <p>S.V. Shelar Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Applicant in person and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant in person states that he wants to withdraw this O.A. and want^{with} liberty to file fresh O.A. for praying appropriate relief.</p> <p>3. Leave to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to file fresh with appropriate prayers and relief as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. O.A. is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>28/4/16</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Siri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramoshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt. : <u>R.M. Kolge</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri /Smt. : <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... <u>CA is disposed of as withdrawn.</u> <u>BK</u></p>	<p>Date : 28.04.2016.</p> <p><u>O.A.No.700 of 2015</u></p> <p>Shri Bhaguji Shankar Murhe ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for liberty to withdraw the Original Application.</p> <p>3. Original Application is disposed of as withdrawn.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>T.A.H. Joshi, J.</u> Chairman</p> <p>sb</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p> <p>Date : 28.04.2016</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions, and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>28/4/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri H. Ramchikumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.G / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>4/5/16</u> <i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 28.04.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.111 of 2015</p> <p>D.R. Hindlekar Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri M.D. Lonkar states as follows :-</p> <p>(a) He would pin-point the issue on which decision of the case depends and address on the next date.</p> <p>(b) This will enable the Tribunal to seek specific reply and find out whether the matter can be sorted out at threshold.</p> <p>3. In view of the foregoing, S.O. to 04.05.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i> Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, f.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 28/4/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri Smt. Punam Mahajan Advocate for the Applicant Shri Smt. K. B. Bhise C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. 21/7/16</p> <p style="text-align: right;">BTE</p>	<p>Date : 28.04.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.384 of 2016</p> <p>Shri Kishor Pandurang Chaudhari ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 21.07.2016. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorised and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced alongwith affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. Heard on the point in interim relief. 8. The Composite order of promotion and posting cannot be seen in isolation and read as an order of Transfer. 9. Therefore Applicant ^{has} failed to make out a case of illegality by demonstrating any fault in the posting on promotional post. Hence there is no case for granting of interim relief. 10. Applicant's prayer for interim relief is rejected. 11. S.O. to 21.07.2016. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>Sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>28/4/16</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt. <u>Leave note of adv. JS. Deshmukh</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri /Smt. : <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>20/6/16.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BTE</i></p>	<p>Date : 28.04.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.No.160 of 2016</u></p> <p>Dr. Ashok S/O Nanaso Waydande & Ors. ...Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri J.S. Deshmukh, the learned Advocate for the Applicants is absent and has filed a leave notice. Heard Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents prays for time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.06.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p>sb</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 28/4/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. : K.R. Jagdale Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : K.B. Bhise C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. 22/6/16.</p> <p align="right"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 28.04.2016.</p> <p align="center"><u>O.A.No.186 of 2016</u></p> <p>Mr. Nitin Laxman Sukalika ...Applicant</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has tendered reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for time for considering the reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.06.2016.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- T.A.H. Joshi, J. Chairman</p> <p align="right">[Signature]</p> <p align="right">[PTO.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 28/4/16</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A.</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : V.V. Sherkhane holding for A.V. Bandiwadkar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : K.S. Gaikwad C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: 29/6/16</p> <p style="text-align: right;">HTE</p>	<p>Date : 28.04.2016.</p> <p>C.A.No.34 of 2012 in O.A.No.846 of 2009</p> <p>Dr. Major P.Y. Gaikwad Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Sherkhane, the learned Advocate holding for Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Smt. K.S. Gaikwad on instructions from Shri V.P. Ghodke, Under Secretary, Public Health Department, Mumbai states as follows :-</p> <p>(a) Seniority list is prepared and published.</p> <p>(b) Ten days time is to be given for raising objection. Thereafter, seniority list would be published.</p> <p>(c) After publication of final seniority list, the seniority list matter would be placed before the appropriate Establishment Board.</p> <p>(d) Six weeks time may be granted for reporting compliance.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. In view of request of learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, adjourned 29.06.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) / Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>