

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

28.02.2017

O.A No 170/2017

Smt G.U Chavan . . . Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer stated that there is delay in filing the Original Application and there is no application seeking condonation of delay. Issue of delay is kept open.

3. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 15.3.2017.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O 15.3.2017.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

DATE: 28/2/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:

Shri A.U. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri K.B. Bhise

~~CRO/PO. for the Respondents~~

Adj. To: S.O. to 15/3/17.

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>28/2/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri. J. MALIK (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. None for the applicant. Attorneys for the Applicant Shri <u>K.B. Blise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To <u>S.O. to 29/3/17.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>28.02.2017 <u>O.A No 211/2013</u></p> <p>Shri V.S Patil ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>None for the Applicant. Heard Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants.</p> <p>This Original Application was dismissed by order dated 14.11.2013 by the Tribunal. The Applicant has filed Writ Petition no. 11596/2014 before the Hon. High Court. By order dated 12.7.2016, the matter has been remanded back to the Tribunal to decide on merits in accordance with law.</p> <p>It appears that the Applicant is not coming to the Tribunal after the matter has been remanded by the Hon. High Court.</p> <p>Issue notice to the Applicant to remain present on the next date. S.O to 29.3.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>L.O</p> <p>Learned Advocate Shri M.A Patil for the Applicant present. Notice need not be issued to the Applicant. S.O to 29.3.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 28/2/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. Archana B.K. Advocate for the Applicant. Vishwajeet Kulkarni holding facr. P.S. Pathak. Shri/Smt. holding facr. P.S. Pathak. C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To. 15/3/17 ce/ht [DA 1069/16]</p>	<p><u>28.02.2017</u></p> <p><u>M.A 28/17 in O.A 1069/16, M.A 29/2017 along with other M.As</u></p> <p>State of Mah & Ors ... Applicants (Ori Respondents)</p> <p>Vs. Smt P.K Sahane & Ors ... Respondents (Ori Applicants)</p> <p>Heard Ms Archana B.K, Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori Respondents) and Shri Vishwajeet Kulkarni, holding for Shri Pathak, learned advocate for the Respondents (Ori Applicants).</p> <p>Affidavit in reply has been filed by the Applicants (Ori Respondents) Learned Advocate Shri Kulkarni states that he does not wish to file rejoinder.</p> <p>All the Original Applications are admitted. Misc Applications to be heard along with Original Applications.</p> <p>Place for final hearing on 15.3.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- v (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000—2-2015)

[Spl. MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>28/2/17</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>S.S. Dere</u> Advocate for the Applicant Sri/Smt. <u>Ms. N.G. Gohad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents <u>O.A. is admitted.</u> Adj. To <u>S.O. to 3/3/17.</u></p>	<p><u>28.02.2017</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A No 1133/2016</u></p> <p>Shri S.J Mulla ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Affidavit in reply has been filed. Learned Advocate Shri Dere states that he does not wish to file rejoinder.</p> <p>O.A is admitted. Place for final hearing on 3.3.2017.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

28.02.2017

M.A 550/2016 in O.A No 1197/2016

Shri S.D Mane & Ors ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri V.V Joshi, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Learned Presenting Officer is directed to file affidavit in reply to the Original Application. *last chance,*

M.A to be heard along with O.A.

S.O to 14.3.2017.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarkar)
Vice-Chairman

Akn

DATE: 28/2/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. V.V. Joshi

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To S.O. to 14/3/17.

C.S.H.

[OA 1197/16]

[Signature]

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000—2-2015)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE: 28/2/17</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>B.A. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>Ms. N.G. Gohad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To <u>S.O. to 14/3/17.</u> <u>Hamdast.</u></p>	<p><u>28.02.2017</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A No 1056/2016</u></p> <p>Shri A.S Jage ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>It appears that affidavit in reply has been filed by Respondent no. 1, while no affidavit in reply is forthcoming from Respondents no 2 & 3. Last chance is granted to Respondents no 2 & 3 to file reply, if they so wish. Applicant may file re-rejoinder, if he so wishes.</p> <p>S.O to 14.3.2017. Hamdast.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><i>sh</i></p> <p>DATE: <u>28/2/17</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>M. D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Ms. Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To <u>S.O. to 29/3/17.</u></p>	<p><u>28.02.2017</u></p> <p><u>O.A No 174/2017</u></p> <p>Shri S.B Chavan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Presenting Officer stated that there is delay in filing the Original Application and there is no application seeking condonation of delay. <i>Issue of delay is kept open.</i></p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 29.3.2017.</p> <p>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. S.O 29.3.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> <u>28/2/17</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents <u>Adj. to S.O. to 15/3/17.</u></p>	<p><u>28.02.2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A No 169/2017</u></p> <p>Smt S.S Jagdale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Presenting Officer stated that there is delay in filing the Original Application and there is no application seeking condonation of delay. <i>Issue of delay is kept open.</i></p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 15.3.2017.</p> <p>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. S.O 15.3.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE: 28/2/17</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents Adj. Tm. <u>S.O. to 15/3/17.</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>28.02.2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A No 171/2017</u></p> <p>Smt M.D Gurav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Presenting Officer stated that there is delay in filing the Original Application and there is no application seeking condonation of delay. <i>Issue of delay is kept open.</i></p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 15.3.2017.</p> <p>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation / notice is ordered under F 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions of limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by Hand delivered speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. S.O 15.3.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 172 OF 2017

DISTRICT : MUMBAI

Mrs Madhura S. Chawan)...**Applicant**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors)...**Respondents**

Smt Vaishali Jagdale, learned advocate for the Applicant.

Ms Archana B.K. learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Shri Rajiv Agarwal (Vice-Chairman)

DATE : 28.02.2017

ORDER

1. Heard Smt Vaishali Jagdale, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The case of the Applicant is that she has applied for Group 'B' post of Scientific Officer in Food & Drugs Administration from open category, which will be clear from the copy of the Application Form placed on record, which shows that though she belongs to OBC category, she has clarified that she does not belong to Non Creamy Layer category and an OBC person who does not have Non Creamy Layer Certificate obviously belongs to open

Rh

category and she has also paid fee for open category candidate. Learned Advocate Mrs Jagdale further stated that Respondent no. 3 has been shown to have been recommended by Respondent no. 2 from open sports category though she has secured only 53 marks while the Applicant has secured 64 marks. As the Applicant is more meritorious and if no candidate from open sports category was available, the post should have been added to the open post and then the Applicant should have been the obvious choice rather than Respondent no. 3 for selection to that post. She, therefore, prayed that interim relief that the post from open sports category should be kept vacant till decision of this Original Application should be granted.

3. Learned Presenting Officer stated that she does not have any instructions in the matter. It is ascertained that the notice was served on Respondent no. 2 yesterday and nobody is present from the office of Respondent no. 2. However, it is not possible to come to a definite conclusion that notice has been served on Respondent no. 3.

4. Considering the facts on record, I am inclined to grant ad interim relief that Respondent no. 1 will not act on the recommendations of the Respondent no. 2 regarding open sports category post till the next date.

5. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 29.3.2017.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by



Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. S.O 29.3.2017.

Sd/-
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Place : Mumbai
Date : 28.02.2017
Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

**REVIEW APPLICATION NO.19 OF 2016
IN
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1114 OF 2015
WITH
REVIEW APPLICATION NO.20 OF 2016
IN
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.675 OF 2016
AND
REVIEW APPLICATION NO.21 OF 2016
IN
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.674 OF 2016**

Mr. K.N. Lonkar & Ors.)...**Applicants**

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)...**Respondents**

Mr. M.D. Lonkar, Advocate for Applicant in R.A.19/2016.

Mr. A.A. Gharte, Advocate for Applicants in R.As.20 & 21/2016.

Mr. N.K. Rajpurohit, Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents 1 & 2 and Mr. N.P. Dalvi, Special Counsel for Respondent No.3 - MPSC.

**CORAM : RAJIV AGARWAL (VICE-CHAIRMAN)
R.B. MALIK (MEMBER-JUDICIAL)**

DATE : 28.02.2017



PER : R.B. MALIK (MEMBER-JUDICIAL)

ORDER

1. These Review Applications (RAs) having been remanded by the order dated 6th January, 2017 in three Writ Petitions, the first one being **Writ Petition No.13376/2016 (MPSC Vs. Shri Bharat S. Karad and Another and 2 other Writ Petitions)** are appointed today for ruling upon the issue as to whether the rights of the candidates enlisted in the select list are likely to be affected by the orders that will be ultimately made in these Review Applications, which as mentioned above, are remanded for fresh consideration and decision.

2. We have perused the record and proceedings and heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in R.A.No.19/2016 and Mr. A.A. Gharte, the learned Advocate for the Applicants in R.As 20 & 21/2016, Mr. N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1 & 2 and Mr. N.P. Dalvi, the learned Special Counsel for the Respondent No.3 – MPSC. As far as this order is concerned, MPSC is the main contesting Respondent though the State is also not opposed to them.



3. By an order dated 7.9.2016, this Bench decided by common Judgment three Original Applications out of which these three Review Applications arise being **OA No.674/2015 (Bharat Karad Vs. State of Maharashtra and others and 2 other OAs)**. Para 8 thereof reads as follows :

“8. The Respondent No.3 is hereby directed to consider the case of these Applicants for being appointed to the posts they had applied for, bearing in mind, the observations in the preceding Paragraph and if found eligible and fit, recommend their names for being appointed thereto within four weeks from today. The State Government shall then take appropriate measures for appointing them within four weeks thereafter. These Original Applications are allowed in these terms with no order as to costs.”

4. Thereafter, on 16.9.2016, three Review Applications were presented and the same were decided in the following terms.

“Heard M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the Applicants in R.A 19/2015 in O.A 1114/2015, Shri A.A Gharate, learned advocate for the Applicants in R.A 20/2016 in O.A 675/2016 & R.A 21/2016 in O.A 674/2016 and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

These Applications for review are made in respect of recently rendered judgment by this very bench that spoke through one of us [Shri R.B Malik,



Member (J)] in O.A nos 1114/2015, O.A 674/2016 & 675/2016.

We have perused the record and proceedings. It is not necessary for us to enter into the procedural nicety of whether Review Application is proper course of action to be adopted or it should be in the form of speaking to the minutes. By consent, we proceed on the assumption that Review Application is competent course of action to be adopted in so far as these R.As are concerned.

Hearing the rival submissions we are of the opinion that while there is no question of reviewing our order as to its pith and substance, a slight addition would facilitate proper execution of the order.

We, therefore, direct that in so far as R.A no 19/2016 is concerned a further addition shall be made "by revising merit / select list dated 8.9.2015 pertaining to Advt. no 91/2013 with consequential action". As far as R.A 20/2016 is concerned, exactly the same will be the order.

In so far as R.A 21/2016 is concerned, instead of the word Advt. 91/2013 the Advt. no 90/2013 shall be read and the select list of 2.9.2015 instead of 8.9.2015.

These Review Applications are disposed of with no order as to costs. Hamdast."

5. Aggrieved by the order on the Review Applications, the MPSC went in Writ Petitions above referred to, before the Hon'ble High Court and the said Writ Petitions were disposed of by the following order.

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a stylized, cursive name that is difficult to decipher. The signature is written on a white background and is positioned at the bottom right of the page.

“For the reasons recorded above, writ petitions are allowed and disposed of. The order impugned in the petitions passed by the Tribunal while allowing the review application tendered by the Original Applicants is quashed and set aside. The Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal is directed to reconsider the issue after extending an opportunity of hearing to the Petitioner – MPSC and if deem fit and necessary to hear the parties, who are likely to be affected by such prayer as prayed for by the Original Applicants. The Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal shall decide the review application afresh expeditiously on merits and in accordance with law. Rule is made absolute accordingly in the aforesaid terms.”

6. It is clear from the above order of the Hon'ble High Court that our order on the Review Applications came to be quashed and set aside and directions were issued to reconsider the issue after hearing the MPSC and if considered necessary, also to hear the parties who were likely to be affected by such prayer of the original Applicants.

7. The MPSC, post remand filed their Affidavit-in-reply through Mr. Shridhar S. Pathak, Deputy Secretary.



Several issues have been raised in opposing the Review Application which will have to be dealt with appropriately when the Review Applications will be finally heard. As for today, the stand of the MPSC as espoused by the learned Special Counsel Mr. Dalvi is that the order on the Review Application cannot be made unless the persons likely to be affected by any order made on the Review Applications were also heard.

8. In this order, we will desist from making any detailed observations which are strictly not necessary for the issue that we are determining. However, this much needs to be said quite clearly that at the time of hearing of the OAs and even the RAs, it was not brought to our notice that there was a common written examination but the interview were separately held for the various posts in the context of the post being higher or lower. Now, that aspect of the matter was clearly highlighted by Mr. Dalvi and in the Affidavit-in-reply filed post remand, that plea has been taken. In this view of the matter, therefore, there are two ways of looking at it. In the first place, we can possibly say that MPSC is there to take care of the interest of those that are not before the Tribunal, but at the same time, there can be and this stand we are going to adopt, an argument that those whose interest is likely to be affected were better

~


heard which is the question left open also by the Hon'ble High Court in the above referred Writ Petitions. We, therefore, direct the Applicants to implead hereto as party Respondents the candidates in the select list who are likely to be affected by any order made on the Review Applications. Compliance within three weeks from today. The Review Applications be placed before the appropriate Bench on 28th March, 2017 for hearing and disposal. MPSC is directed to furnish the names and addresses of such candidates within one week to the Applicants and copies be furnished in the Court.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member-J
28.02.2017

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman
28.02.2017

Mumbai

Date : 28.02.2017

Dictation taken by :

S.K. Wamanse.

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

28.02.2017

M.A 93/2017 in O.A No 175/2017

Shri S.S Ahire ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 29.3.2017.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. S.O 29.3.2017.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Akn

28/2/17

D/B

Coram- Hon. Shri Rajiv Agarwal
CJG

Adv. A.V. Bandiwadekar for
the app.

Ms. S. Suryawanshi, P.O. for
Reps

S.O. to 29/3/17.

[Handwritten signature]

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

28.02.2017

M.A 95/2017 in O.A No 176/2017

Shri B.N Wakchaure ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 29.3.2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O 29.3.2017.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Akn

28/2/17

D/B

Coram - H.M. Shri Rajiv Agarwal CV/C

Adv. A.V. Bandiwadekar for
the appl.

Ms. S. Suryavanshi, P.O. for
R/S.

S.O. to 29/3/17.

[Handwritten signature]

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

28.02.2017

M.A 75/2017 in O.A No 137/2017

Shri S.R Shinde ... Applicant
 Vs.
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 29.3.2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O 29.3.2017.

28/2/17

Coram - H.M. Shri Rajiv Agarwal
 Adv. A.V. Bandiwadekar for app.

Ms. S. Suryavanshi, P.O.
 for ROPS.

S.O. to 29/3/17.

[Handwritten signature]

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
 Vice-Chairman